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T is to the Incunabula, the Cradle Books,( r ) first productions of the babyhood and early childhood of the press, that "semi-omnipotent engine " which in its middle age now dominates the world, that I owe, as I have said, my introduction to the flower-strewn paths of the collector's country: to them, therefore, I give the first place in the notice of my accumulations, and though my fect have in later years wandered far in other still more beguiling regions of that fair land, the excitement engendered more than forty years ago by the quest of these rare volumes has been in measure revived by the enforced rencwal of acquaintance with the half-forgotten friends of my youth. Mr. E. Gordon Duff in his delightful compendium $\left(^{(2)}\right.$ gives to the young collector the excellent advice "not to be too catholic in his tastes but to confine his attention to one subject," and points out that "a collection of fifty miscellaneous fifteenth century books has not, as a rule, more interest than may be associated with the individual books, whilst in a collection of fifty books printed in one town or by one printer, each book is a part of a series, and obtains a value on that account over and above its own individual rarity or interest." No kind mentor was at hand to give me this sound counsel at the outset of my career, and I have grievously sinned against the salutary maxim. I have taken the Incunabula as they offered themselves for the love I had to the individual examples, and have thereby lost opportunities for any such independent study as might conceivably* have resulted in some trivial addition to bibliographical knowledge. And yet as I indite this my rough list of these isolated volumes, solely for a general public whom I would interest, and not for experts, I am without regrets on this scorc. Many a reader may, I think, find a passing pleasure in the description of books of the most varied characteristics issuing from fifty or sixty different presses, who would hardly turn over the pages of a scientific investigation, however erudite, into the peculiarities of some fifty or more books whose claim to notice is that they were all printed, let us say, at Memmingen or elsewherc. As they pass under review my few Incunabula seem to form after all a more representative collection than the manner of their

[^0]acquisition gave reason to expect, and afford a not altogether inadequate notion of many of the leading characteristics and peculiarities of early typography. Under a hundred, all told, they will yet be found to illustrate a fair proportion of the more celebrated German and Italian presses. In putting together my notes on the little group I have been guided, wisely or unwisely, by the desire to give, in so far as may in small compass be accomplished, a modicun of the same pleasure which the volumes themselves give to me. It was unavoidable to cast the descriptions in some sort of bibliographical form in order to avoid slovenliness and ensure a certain measure of uniformity, but in most cases I have kept them as concise as possible, giving somewhat more of detail where the book was exceptionally rare or interesting. From these notes experts will, of course, learn absolutely nothing, and I shall be only too happy to find that I have made no very grievous errors. It is with the same desire to please, earnest if ineffectual, that I have subjoined various short notes on the different presses, gathered from sources accessible to all students but put into a concise form, containing just the sort of information you would like to give to the friend who was looking over your shoulder at a book which was new to him, and who wanted to know something about the printer, and perhaps about the contents of the volume. In the second place I have not hesitated to insert a considerable number of reproductions illustrative of the typographical peculiarities of many of the presses mentioned, as well as some of the illustrations contained in the rarer or more interesting volumes.

In the term Incunabula are by common consent included all those books or sheets printed with movable types which appeared in or before the year 1500. No accurate estimate can as yet be made of the numbers of the different issues embraced by this definition. Hain, who died before the completion of his Repertoriun Bibliographicum, has catalogued 16,299 Incunabula, but the recorded number has been greatly augmented since his time, and a conjecture would probably be accepted as reasonable by most bibliographers which assumed that not less than 25,000 distinct editions were printed before the end of the fifteenth centurya record of less than fifty years of work which can but suggest the urgent need in which the world stood of the first inventor. The reader's attention may here be called to a few of the obvious characteristics which distinguish the earliest Incunabula from later books. One of the first things which strikes the eye in these earliest volumes is the frequent use of contractions, familiar and intelligible enough to those acquainted with MSS. of the same or earlier date, but puzzling to those accustomed only to modern type. It would be beside the mark to give in so elementary a notice any interpretation of these abbreviations, which are printed here just as they occur in the original volumes. A glance at the first few quotations as expanded will however show that no formidable difficulties exist in reading the books themselves. Thus in the first volume in the collection (the Secunda Secunde of S. Thomas Aquinas); the first words would read in modern print-Questio prima de virtutibus ac ziciis in spirituali . . . Explicit ordo et signatio questionum secundi libri secunde partis beati Thoma de Aquino benedictus dens, etc. A second peculiarity of the earlier Incunabula when compared with modern books is the absence of the semicolon, and a much rarer employment than at present of the comma and colon,-a third, the peculiarities of spacing and mneven termination of lines,-a fourth, the absence of title-pages, of pagination, of signotures, and, as compared with the later Incunabula, of catchwords and of registers, in fact of all those devices which facilitated the binding of the volume and ready reference to its pages. These omissions are the more striking because all these contrivances are to be found in many MSS. of earlier date than the Incunabula. The presence in many volumes of spaces for large initial letters left to be fitted in by the rubricator or illuminator, and the not infrequent use of "initial directors" to guide him in his task, is another feature which cannot fail to attract notice. Registers are the earliest and most primitive method of indicating to the binder the way in which the sheets of the book were to
be bound. The register is a little table at the end of a large number of carly printed books, in which are cnumerated in order the first words of the leaves composing the first half of each gathering or "quire." The earliest known use of these is in the Cesar and Lucan of Sweynheym and Pannartz (Rome, I469). A catchword (récleme) is the first word of a page printed at the bottom of the preceding page to indicate in another way to the binder the sequence of the leaves. These were first used in the Tacitus of Vindelin de Spira about 1469. The first book with a title-page is the Sermo ad populume predicabilis by Arnold ter Hoernen of Cologne, in 1470. Title-pages descriptive of the contents of a book were not in general use till near the close of the fifteenth century, the necessity for their introduction being obviated by the extended use of the colophon (from the Greek word nodopiv, a summit, hence, the finishing stroke-the completion). In these colophons, as will be scen, is often to be found important information as to date, place of printing, printer and other matters. The earliest example of an ornamental title-page is to be found in Ioannes Regiomontanus, Kalendarium, printed at Venice by Bernardus Pictor, Petrus Loslcin and Erbrardus Ratdolt in 1476. Editions in Italian and German were issued simultaneously with this, with similar title-pages. No carlicr example is known of an ornamental title-page, or of one which gives not only the name of the book and date of publication, but also the place of imprint and name of printer. The paging of leaves was introduced by the same printer in 1471, in his Adrianus Carthusicnsis de remediis utriusque fortunce, and was effected in this instance by numerals placed in the centre of the right hand margin of cvery alternate page. Signatures are letters in alphabctical order printed on the pages composing the first half of the gathering, usually but not always at the bottom. The first usc of these printed with the text seems to be found in Nider Expositio Decalogi printed by Johann Koclhoff, of Lubeck, at Cologne, in 1472 . Spaces left for initials and initial directors.- At a time when the products of the new art had to bear competition with manuscripts, the coloured initial letters which formed by their contrast with the brilliant black of the text a feature so agrecable to the eye, were in the Incunabula, with a very few notable exceptions, not produced by press work but filled in, in a space left for the purpose on the printed sheets, by a rubricator whose handiwork was of a more or less elaborate character, according to the requirements of the public for whom the book was intended, and who not only inserted the initial letters but also in many cases made it his business to touch up with red the capital letters throughout the volume, and to underline passages at his pleasure. Some of the most delicate will bear comparison with high-class work in MS. volumes. For the guidance of the artist, who could not in all cases be trusted to insert the right character, a very small letter, an "initial divector", was often, but not always, printed in the space left for the rubricated or illuminated letter. An excellent example of the need for some such guidance will be found in the facsimile following page 2 of Mr. Horatio F. Brown's admirable volume, The Venction Printing Press, illustrating the two editions of the Decor Puellarum, which he calls Decor A and Decor B. In the copy of the Decor B the rubricator, having no printed indication of the letter he was to introduce, has inserted a D instcad of a C, making the first word of the second chapters read DRIEDO instead of CRIEDO. A large number of the carlicr Incunabula please the artistic cye by the beauty of the paper or vellum on which they are printed, and by the brilliancy of the ink; in the case of those in which gothic types are used, by the quaintness of their gencral appearance, and by the bizarre design and cxecution of the woodcuts with which they are illustrated, whilst from many of those of Italian origin a different kind of gratification is derivable from the charming proportions of the roman characters employed, and the refinement of the engravings which they frequently contain. Some idea of all these characteristics may be obtained by an inspection of the facsimiles of text and illustrations accompanying the description of my own volumes.

The foregoing slight and superficial notice of some of the characteristics of the works of the earliest printers will perhaps suffice as an introduction to the description of Incunabula in the Collection, but it is my desire more fully to interest my readers' sympathies with the pioneers of the typographic art by a short excursus devoted to that inexhaustible topic, the mystery of the origin of the invention, and of the name and country of the inventor. This digression is comprised in four chapters, entitled respectively, The Dazun of Typography (Dociments)——The Invention-Wooden Types-The Evolution of the Type-Mould. Although in the short space devoted to these important subjects extreme compression has been in some cases inevitable, it will be found that in others new ground has been broken, and investigations initiated on points which seem to deserve fuller scrutiny than they have hitherto received.


FACSIMLLE (REDUCED) OF A WOODCUT IN THE "ART DE
FHEA MOURIR:" (PARIS, ANT. VÉRARD, 1492.)


F some of those technical arts which have lessened the labours and sweetened the life of man, (the "artes illiberales" of an unenlightened age,) we are fortunately able to trace with reasonable certainty the beginnings and to honour the progenitors. Not so with the earliest inception of an industry which has conferred perhaps the greatest of all benefits upon humanity. Encompassing the birthplace of the Art of Printing, and hiding the personality of the first printer, is a hitherto impenetrable fog of mystery, an atmosphere of doubt and darkness which investigators have for ages endeavoured to pierce, expending or wasting in the process lives, fortunes, reputations, mountains of paper, seas of ink, yet leaving unsolved, as all but the enthusiasts on either side will admit, the one crucial query, and allowing the inquisitive world still to yearn for a positive answer to the question-When, where, by whom and, above all, by what steps was mankind first blessed with this heaven-born art? In the honour of their own particular heroes rival cities erect statues, strike medals, celebrate anniversaries, empty wine-bottles, fill the air with patriotic applause and most confident orations, and yet to this day no one can say that he knows of a surety to what individual or even to what country these encomiums are really due.

The reader who is asked to examine the peculiarities of a number of books whose chief claim on his attention is based on their early date is entitled to some information, however slight, on the still earlier productions of the press, and on the nature of the controversies relating to the genesis of the art to which they owe their existence. The extremely elementary character of such a sketch as can be given in a page or two will be evidenced by a moment's consideration. Among the cities thought at one time or other worthy to have begotten the Art of Printing may be enumerated Augsburg, Basle, Bologna, Dordrecht, Feltri, Florence, Haarlem, Lubeck, Mentz, Nuremberg, Ronue, Strasburg, I'enice; and in the list of suggested inventors occur the names of Castaldi, Coster, Faust, Gutenberg, Han, Jenson, Mentelin, Schaffer and others, to say nothing of such incongruous personages as Saturn, Job, Cicero and Charlemagne.

A record of the names of those writers who up to the ycar 1740 had taken part in the discussion, with extracts from their treatises, occupics in the Typographica of J. C. Wolff some 2,300 pages, no less than one hundred and twenty-five authors being cited in behalf of Gutenberg alone; and since that date not only has the list of champions of one or other cause been very largely added to but the arguments have become more critical, more exhaustive and far more voluminous, the last contribution, for example, of Dr. Van der Linde in support of Gutenberg containing no less than $\mathrm{I}, 100$ pages.

Even among the supporters of the claims of one city alone, that of Mentz, the important questions still remaining unsettled are very numerous, though many have been disposed of since the beginning of the present century, when Daunou could present thus tersely, and somewhat satirically sum up, the difficulties which presented themselves to the enquirers of that day: "That Mentz was the birthplace of printing is the most generally received opinion, but those who uphold it are not in accord, either in regard of the year or the inventor. What year, say between 1440 to 1457 , was the real date? Was the creator of the art Gutenberg, Faust or Schaeffer? or did each contribute his share to the invention? and of what nature was that share? Do the names of Gutenberg and Genssfleisch belong to two individuals or to one? and was he born at Mentz or at Strasburg? was he gentleman or valet, artist or money-lender? Was John or Peter Faust or Fusth goldsmith or bookseller? was he also called Gutmann, or is it he whom we must call Gcnssfleisch? Are we to recognise him in the fabulous personage so celebrated as the magician Fuust? Was Schoeffer shcpherd or cleric? was he the son-in-law of Gutenberg or of Fusth? Was there in this first printing-office one only Schoffer, or perhaps two, the layman and the clcric? What were the first processes of the inventor or inventors? did they in the first instance make use of fixed slabs or of movable letters of wood? Did they in the next place employ cut metal types or stems on which the letters were engraved? or was the transition immediate from movable wooden types to metal types made as we make them now ? Was this kind of type invented or only perfected by Schaeffer? What ware the first productions of the Mentz press, and by whom and when printed? These questions are not all cqually problematical nor of equal importance, but there is not one of them which has not been resolved in differing ways in the various treatises published from 1600 to 1802 , even by those which agree in assigning to Mentz the place of honour." ("Analyse des opinions sur l'origine," \&c., p. 78, Paris, An. xi.)

The causes of our ignorance on a matter of such moment are lucidly propounded by Schopftin, (Tind. Typogr: page 3). He remarks that when the literary world came to realize the importance of typography questions began to be asked about the inventor and the place of invention. But in every discussion the writers paid too little attention to the evolution of the art, and not unfrequently confounded the anticipation, the first cssays and the perfecting of the invention. The first inventors, of course, who could have furnished information respecting the truc origin, were simply bent on making money and quitc indifferent to glory. They had for many years preserved the secret of their art and thus gave to its perfectors the opportunity of appropriating all the credit of the invention.

A process of elimination has resulted in a great reduction of the number of aspirants, and there remain roughly only two groups of advocates, those of Coster and a Dutch invention, those of Gutenberg and a German invention, and the agnostics who hold non-proven the conclusions of either of the former and wait for more light.

The student who may happen to desire any real knowledge of the controversy is referred to the list of Bibliographical Books in the prescnt volume, most of which can be procured without difficulty, in which he may dig for himself to his heart's content and thence form his own conclusions.

For his help in such a quest 1 have thought it not inopportune to subjoin in the first place a very brief epitome of the respective claims of the supporters of Gutenberg and Coster, and following these a certain number of accurate facsimiles (made expressly for this purpose and taken where possible from the originals in this country or on the Continent) not only of some of those pièces justificatives which are appcaled to or relied upon by either party, but also of the very carliest pieces of printing known to be in existence.

These facsimiles will be, I think, in the main entirely new to the English reader, and of all of them it may be said that they are, by reason of the greater accuracy of modern systems of reproduction, more trustworthy than many of those to be met with elsewhere. The photo-lithographic examples in some comparatively recent books, English and foreign, are so roughly executed as to be very disappointing and even misleading when compared with the originals. I hope that these object-lessons may in measure atone for the enforced brevity of the following sketches of the claims of the two parties to the controversy, which I offer with diffidence, claiming indulgence for any shortcomings or unintentional misstatements.

## A brief sketch of the contentions of the advocates of gutenberg.

JOHANN GUTENBERG or Gudenburch, the son of the patrician Fielo Gensfleisch and Eise Gutenberg, was born at Mentz about the year 1399. There were two children of the marriage, Johann and Fielo. Johan took his mother's name at a time when it was feared that her family might become extinct. He was sometimes described as Johann Gensfeich alias Gutenberg. The whole family exiled themselves, probably to Strasburg, in consequence of civil disturbances, and it is in that city that we find the earliest notice of Johmn. In no less than six more or less authentic documents before the year 1439 mention is made of him or his family. In that year he appeared as defendant in a law-suit at Strasburg, the plaintiff in which was Jerge (Georg) Dritzehen, who sued Johann Gensfleich of Mentz, called Gutenberg, in reference to transactions which had taken place between the defendant and Andres Dritzehen, the recently deceased brother of Gearg. In the course of this suit (a short notice of which will he found on page 8) it appeared that Gutenberg had been engaged in some secret processes which are by many writers supposed to have been connected with printing, a presumption to which some of the phraseology lends itself. But the latest exponent of the case for Gutenberg, Dr. Van der Linde, seems disinclined to see in the document, which, however, he treats at great length, any evidence that the art had been invented as early as the date of the suit, viz., in 1439. In 1441 and 1442 Gutenberg was in pecuniary difficulties, documentary evidence of which, now probably destroyed, existed in the Church of St. Thomas at Strasburg. With this exception we have little or no information of his doings during the years between 1439 and 1448. In 1448 he had returned to Mentz, still in poverty, and was when we find him there borrowing through his relation, Arnold Gelthus, one hundred and fifty guilders at an interest of eight and a half guilders. By the year 1450 he must, it is suggested, have accomplished in the way of printing something sufficiently tangible and attractive to convince Johann Fust, a rich citizen of Mentz, that it was safe to lend him in that year a sum of money wherewith to establish a printing press and to join him in a partnership for carrying on a business, the enterprise contemplated being no less, say the Gutenbergians, than the printing of the forty-two-line Bible, now known as the Masarine, and attributed by the latest exponents of the opposite school to the press of Peter Schaffer. It is curious that, whilst Van der Linde ascribes to Pfister the printing of the thirty-six-line Bible, Mr. Hessels is almost willing to allow the Bible, and other works including the Catholicon, as well as the Indulgence of thirty-one lines, to the press of Gutenberg, whilst claiming in the most positive manner for Schaffer the forty-two-Iine Bible and the Indulgence of thirty lines, four editions of the Donatus, and a Cantica ad Matutinas. Five years after this partnership had been entered into Fust brought an action against Gutenberg for the recovery of 1,550 guilders which he alleged that the latter owed him, and the case was heard at Mentz and
concluded on the sixth of November, 1455, when, Gutenberg not having appeared, a decision was given against him which led, it is supposed, to his being compelled to give up press, materials and business into the hands of his unscrupulous partner Fust, who at once associated with himself Peter Schaffer of Gernssheym, with well-known typographical results. The Notarial Instrument recording the oath taken by Fiust as a result of this decision is usually called the Helmasperger Document, because in it is the name and notarial comment of Ulricus Helmasperger, who testifies that he had drawn it up on Fust's behalf. A facsimile of it will be found opposite page 18 . Gutenberg, though thrown penniless on the world by the adverse decision of the court, did not lose heart, and, assisted with funds by the Doctor Conrad Homery or Humery, must have established a new press in Mentz, whereat he printed in 1460, as some bibliographers believe, the Catholicon of Joannes Balbus de Janua which others ascribe to Bechtermunze. In 1462 the house of Fust was burned and his press destroyed in the sack of Mentz by the Archbishop Adolf, but nothing is krown of what became of Gutenberg's office. In I 466 the printing office which contained his types was in active operation at Eltaille in the hands of Henry and Nicholas Bechtermunze. Gutenberg died in 1468 and was buried, as some say, in the Dominican Church at Mentz. His matrices, types, instruments and other utensils passed into the hands of Dr. Homery, to whom they belonged by right ; but by a document, of which a copy is preserved, Homery gave an undertaking to the Archbishop Adolf that he would use them in no other town than Mentz, nor sell them to any but a citizen of Mentz, even if a stranger should offer him a higher price for them. These types were afterwards used by Henry and Nicholas Bechtermunze but the details of the transfer are still obscure and puzzling. Among the other testimonies in behalf of Gutenberg relied on by the Mentz school are the following :-In a letter of Gul. Fichet to Robert Gaguin discovered at Basle in a copy of Gasparini Orthographia, printed at Paris circa 1472, it says: "It is rumoured (ferunt) that not far from the city of Mentz a certain Johann Gutenberg (Johannes, cui cognomen Bonemontano) first of all invented the art of printing, by means of which books are made with letters of metal, not with the pen." In 1483 died Mattia Palmieri, (born in 1423). In the same year Ratulolt published St. Jerome's translation of Eusebii Chronicon, in which there were continuations down to 1449 by Matteo Palmieri and from 1449 to 148 r by his kinsman Mattia. Under the year 1457 there is a paragraph stating that no words can express the debt of literature to Germany, where by Johannes Guttenberg zun Jungen the method of printing was with most subtle genius invented in 1440 at Ments on the Rhine. In the same year Iac. Phil. Foresti of Bergamo published his Supplementum Chronicorum, in which it is stated under the year $145^{8}$ that the art of printing books was discovered in Germany, according to some by Guthimberg of Strasburg, according to others by Faust, and to others some by Nicolas Jenson. The statement contained in the Chroniz van Köln, 1493, is, curiously enough, relied on by the controversialists on either side as a pillar of strength in support of their respective arguments. For this reason I have in my notice of the copy in my collection given a facsimile of the text and a short résumé of the way in which each party has handled it. In 4499 , and again in 1501 , Jacobus Wimpheling attributes the invention to Gutenberg. The Chronicon of Sfanheim was written about the year 1506 by Johannes Trithemius, though the work was not published till 1601 . In it Trithemius states that the art of printing books (imprimendi ac characterizandi libros) was discovered anew at Mentz by Joannis Guttenberg, who, having spent all his substance in accomplishing with difficulty the new invention, perfected it by the counsel and help of those good men Joannes Fust and others, and in the Annales Hirsaugienses, forming part of the Compendium printed by Johann Schoeffer in ${ }^{1515}$, under the year 1450 Trithemius says that he was told by Peter Schaffer that Gutenberg and Fust first printed the Catholicon from aylographic blocks, but could of course print no other books from these, and that they afterwards found out a more subtle way of founding the forms of all the letters of the Latin language which they called matrices; that while they were printing the Biblia they spent more than 4,000 florins before they had printed the third quaternion, and that then Peter Scheffer, an ingenious and prudent man, thought out (excogitavit) a readier method of founding types, and brought it to its perfect state (et artem ut nunc est complevit). The later testimonies in favour of Gutenberg are of less importance than those just referred to.


FACSIMLE [ON A GREATLY REDUCED SCALE], OF THE COSTER PEDIGREE, NOW FOR IHE IIRST TIME PUBLISHED. FROM \& PHOTOGRAPH FROM THE ORIGISIL OBTAIXED PS THI

# REFUTATIONS BY THE COSTERIANS OF THE CLAIMS OF GUTENBERG. 

T"HESE, as distinguished from the positive arguments in favour of Holland and of Coster sketched below, are concerned in the first place with the absence, at the time when it would naturally have been made, of any claim on the part of Gutenberg during the Helmasperger Process to an invention of the art. In the next place the art of Fust and Schoffer is spoken of by them in the colophon of the 1457 Psalter as an adinventio, but nothing is said of the place of invention nor of the name of the inventor, though these facts would have been matters of common knowledge at the time if the contentions of the Gutenbergians are well founded. In the colophon of the Catholicon of 1460 again, where the art is cxtolled, no mention of an invention is to be found. Further, the testimony of Fichet in 1470 or 1472 is to be taken as a rumour derived at second or third hand from the three first Paris printers (Crantz, Gering and Friburger), two of whom are known to have lived at Basle, and communicated in all probability to them by Berthold von Hanau, presumed to be the Bertolff von Hanauree, Gutenberg's servant mentioned in the Helmasperger document, and other testimonies can be in like manner traced to interested persons who however were careful to make in public no assertions of the kind at a time when such assertions could have been contradicted or affirmed. The very first distinct claim for Mentz is really made in the third edition of Justinianus (Institutiones), issued by Schoeffer in 1476 , wherein he says that that city is the "impressorie artis inventrix eliminatrixque prima," utter silence on so important a point having been till that time maintained-a circumstance which, until sone lucid explanation is forthcoming, it is difficult to reconcile with the pretensions of Mentz to priority in the art. And finally the indebtedness of Gutenberg to the Donatuses printed in Holland before his own beginnings, as is described by Ulvich Zel, stands on an unshakable foundation and cannot be ignored or got rid of. Some rebutting evidence is to be found in the older books written in the Gutenbergian interest, but the ablest and most modern summary, including much new and carefully marshalled evidence, is to be found in the article "Typography" in the Encyclopadia Britannica, and at much greater length in the following works of Mr. J. H. Hessels, M.A. Gutenberg: wers he the Inventor of Printing? (London, Quaritch, 1882, 8vo, pp. 201); Haarlem the birthplace of Printing, not Mentz (London, Elliot Stock, 8vo, pp. 85). A perusal of these treatises will go far to supply what is wanted on the negative side of the question.

## A BRIEF SKETCH OF THE CONTENTIONS OF THE ADVOCATES OF COSTER.

THE earliest and one of the most important arguments in favour of an invention prior to that of Gutenberg is to be found in the note in the diary of the Abbat of Cambrai under the year 1445, of which a notice and facsimile will be found on page 12. This document affords, it is contended, positive evidence that in the year 1446 , years before it can be shown that Gutenberg had printed anything, printed books (Doctrinales) were purchasable in Flanders. It will be seen by the remarks which accompany the facsimile that the German school is quite indisposed to accept the validity of this argument.

In the town of Haarlem is preserved at the present day an old pedigree of an inhabitant of the town named Laurens Janszoon Coster and his progeny, made for one Gerrit Thomaszoon, who died in 1563 or 1564, having been sheriff in 1541, and who claimed to be a descendant of Coster, in which occurs the inscription, "Zyn tweede wijff was Louris Janssoens Costers dochter die deerste print in die werlt brocht Anno 1446 " (date since altered to 1440), i.e., "His (Thomas Pieterssoen's) sccond zerife whas the daughter of Louris Janssoens Coster, who brought the furst print into the world Anno 1446." A pedigree based on this document was published at Haarlem by W. Van Kessel, a Latin version will be found in the Origines Typographica of Gerard Meerman, and a facsimile of the original on a greatly reduced scale is annexed.

Scriverius (Laurea Laurentii Costeri, Harlomi, 1628 , Svo, page 28) speaks of a work in Latin written in the form of a dialogue on the Invention of Printing by John van Zuren, a printer of Haarlem, all of which but the title and prefatory leaves were lost as was supposed during the siege of that place in 1573. In the portion preserved the author denies in polite terms to Ments the right to the honour of the invention, which he ascribes to his native city, in hac urbe nostra Harlemensi prima csse jacta opificii hujus fracluri fundamenta, rudia fortasse, sed tamen prima, but makes no mention of the name of the inventor, to whose
house, however, he refers as still standing in a decayed condition. In the dedication of an edition of the Officia Ciceronis, published in the same year by Van Zuren and Coornhert, the latter states that he has been informed in good faith that the art was invented in Haarlem, but transferred to Ments by a perfidious servant of Coster, who perfected it in that city. He has been told this by persons of great age and consideration, who informed him of the name and family of the inventor, described to him the first rough method of printing and pointed with the finger to the house of the first printer. In ${ }_{15} 67$ Luigi Guicciardini, in a description of the Netherlands printed at Antwerp, refers to the claim to the discovery of printing at Haarlem as being supported not only by its inhabitants and other Hollanders but by the evidence of authors and other " monuments," and mentions the belief that the author of the art died before he had perfected it, that his servant went to Mentz and having published some small specimen of his art was well received, and at last the report was spread that the invention had its origin in that city. In 588 Hodrunus Junius (best known, I think, to collectors as the author of a rather rare book of Emblems, 1569 and 1575) published at Leyden in 4 to his Batavia, on page 17 et seqg. of which book is the statement which has been discussed with more bitterness than any other except, perhaps, that of Koelhoff in the Chronicle of Cologne. It has been reprinted and translated perhaps a score of times. The historical portion is ushered in by a good deal of flowery language quite in consonance with the practice of many writers of that period, but not so conducive to an implicit faith in the narrative as a simpler relation might have been. Although the story is so well worn a brief condensation of Junius's account of the discovery seems necessary. He gives it as the result of communications to himself from aged and notable persons and from citizens holding high offices in the state, who assert that they have received the relation of the facts from their ancestors and confirm it by most important testimony. It is on this wise.

There lived 128 years ago (i.e., before the writing of the Batavia, circa 1568 ) in a rather important house (adibus satis splendidis) one Laurence, son of John (Lourens Janssoens) called Coster (the Dutch term for Sacristan, an honourable and hereditary office having given name to the family). As he was one day walking after dinner (sumpto cibo), as was the manner of well-to-do citizens in those days, in a spacious wood he began to cut letters from the bark of a beech-tree, with which reversed he impressed on paper first one verse and then another as copies for his grandchildren. And as this turned out well he began. being a man of great and ready wit, to contemplate greater things, and assisted by his son-in-law Thomas (son of Peter) invented a more glutinous and substantial kind of ink than that already in use, because the common ink was found to spread or blot, and therewith printed whole pages (pinaces) with pictures and text combined, of which productions Junius had seen rude specimens, these first essays being printed on one side only of the paper. This book was written in Dutch and entitled Speculum Nostra Salutis, and it was arranged that the blank sides of the paper could be pasted together so as to avoid their unsightliness. After that Coster substituted characters of lead, and subsequently of tin, for those made of beech, the metal being more substantial, less flexible and more durable than the wood. Very ancient wine-pots cast from what remained of those types were still to be seen in Junius' time in the house of Lourens, afterwards inhabited by his great-grandson Gerard (Gerrit), son of Thomas, a distinguished citizen, who had died a short time before the writing of the Batavia. As the new art was favourably received, and the new merchandise, unseen before, attracted buyers from every quarter, handsome profits and an increasing love of the art went hand in hand, and more workmen were engaged. And here the trouble began, for among them was a certain John, bearing as is suspected the ominous name of Faust, but whether this be so or not Junius will not take much trouble to enquire, not wishing to disturb the shades of the departed by stimulating those pangs of conscience with which they must have been afflicted as long as life lasted. This John was bound to secrecy by oath and first employed in the manipulation of the press. Now when he thought that he was thoroughly versed in the composition and in the casting of the types he seized the most fitting opportunity possible, namely on Christmas night when all were wont to be engaged in Divine service, and fell upon the whole store of the types, packed up the stock of tools so ingeniously prepared by his master (choragium omne typorum involut, instrumentorum herilium ei artificio comparatorum supellectilem convasat) and fled with his booty. Going first to Amsterdam, then to Cologne, he at last reached Ments, where, being out of the reach of pursuit (quasi extra telorum jactum), he reaped the fruits of the robbery by opening a workshop of his own, and in the space of one year, about $\mathbf{~} 442$, it is certain that there issued from that office the Doctrinale of Alexander Gallus, a grammar then in extensive use, and the tracts of Petrus Hispanus, both printed with the types which Lourens had used at Haarlem. All these relations,
says Junius, he himself heard in days gone by from the mouths of old and trustworthy men, who had received their information from their predecessors, handed down from one to another as a burning torch is passed from hand to hand. He remembers, too, that Nicholas Gale, his tutor, a man venerable and of most retentive memory, assured him (Junius) that as a boy he had often heard a certain booklinder named Cornclues (a man of more than eighty years of age who had been an under-workman in Coster's office), relating with the greatest emotion and fervour the history as he had heard it from his master, of the development and increase of the (at first) crude art. The tears would flow from his eyes when mention was made of the crime, and the idea of the glory stolen from his master would so overpower him that he would burst into the most violent reproaches and threats against the thief, and curse those nights which he had for some months passed with him in one bed. The Burgomaster, Quirinus Talesius, admitted that he had almost the same words from the mouth of the same bookbinder."

Later writers, Boxhorn, in his work De Typographicce Artis Inventione et Inventoribus, Leyden, 1640: Schöpfin, in his Vindicice Typographica, Strasburg, 1760 : Meerman, in his Origines Typographica, the Hague, 1765 : furnish arguments in favour of a Huarlem invention, and suggestions affecting its date, but must not be relied upon for much additional information. The very strongest arguments in favour of a Dutch origin for the invention are to be found in the existence of the large number of fragments from an unknown press, (of which two specimens recently discovered are now in my own collection and are described hereinafter, ) printed, as is almost universally believed, in Holland, with the types of the Speculum nostra Salutts, or types analogous thereto, and in the fact that a large number of these remarkable and almost mysterious fragments have been discovered in Haarlem or its vicinity. One of these, a portion of a Donatus, "was found in the original binding of an account book of 1474 of the Cathedral at IIarlem, in which an entry occurs showing that the account book had been bound by Cornelis the bookbinder, the very man who is alleged by Junius to have been the servant of the printer of the Speculum, etc. and who therefore plays an important part."

And, finally, it is claimed that these fragments afford precisely the evidence wanted for the confirmation of the testimony (of Zel ) in the Chronicle of Cologne that the prefiguration of the Mentz press was to be found in the Donatuses printed in Holland.

## REFUTATIONS BY THE GUTENBERGIANS OF THE CLAIMS OF COSTER.

THE arguments by which the school of Mentz endeavours to refute the claims of Holland, and especially of Haarlem, to an invention of printing prior to that of Mentz are voluminous indeed and can be glanced at here only in the briefest possible way. An attempt to discredit the interpretation of the memoranda of the Abbat of Cambrai relating to Doctrinales printed with movable types before 1445 forms naturally the forefront of the attack on the priority of a Dutch invention, and the few notes on this document which will be found on page 12 will serve to define the position of each party on this momentous point. On the account given by Junius, and on the ascription by the pedigree of the invention to Coster, scathing ridicule has been poured out. It is queried why the thief who robbed Coster of his types and of his glory was not pursued, exposed and punished and his pretensions annihilated, and why the descendants of Lourenz, who continued to print till 1472, never claimed the honour of the invention for their ancestor. How was it that the family did not religiously preserve some specimens at least of the works of which they must have been so proud?- that no Dutch writer or printer from 1441 to 1588 claimed the honour of the invention for his countryman Coster? -that the earliest recognized printer in Haarlem, Jacob Bellaert. printing in 1483, never alluded to the earlier practice of the art in his town? How was it that, whilst Coster's descendants were living in Haarlem at the time when Van Zuren, Coornhert and Junius were writing their books, these writers neglected the opportunity of making the fullest enquiries from the family and of giving publicity to the replies?

On the pedigree and on the individuality of Coster, Chandler or Sheriff as he is variously termed by German writers, unfavourable criticism of the most minute and exhaustive nature has been written, and the reader who desires to become acquainted with these attacks, and with the anti-Costerian views of the Gutenbergians at large, cannot do better than look through the pamphlet by Dr. Van der Linde, entitled The Haarlem Legend (see my list of Bibliographical Books), in the 170 pages of which he will find an abundance of exciting reading, often, however, more aggressive and flippant than argumentative.

(I.) THE LAW-SUIT BETWEEN JERGE (GEORG) DRITZEHEN AND JOHAN VON MENTZE GENANT
GUTENBERG, ANNO 1439.
$\triangle$ LL that is known of this interesting suit is (or rather was) contained in three volumes said by J. D. Sckapflin to have been discovered by him in 1745 in an old tower at Strasburg, called the Pfenningthurm, among the protocols of the Senate. The extracts relating to Gutenberg were published by him fifteen years later in his IFindicice Typographica.

We are under deep obligations to $M$. Léon de Laborde, a scholar greatly interested in the question of Early Typography, for the trouble that he fortunately took in examining and copying the depositions which occur in the two earlier of the volumes referred to, and for the publication of his researches in his work, Débuts de l'Imprimerie à Strasburgr, Paris, Techener, 1840 , 4 to. We are thus enabled to make use of an absolutely accurate text, which, owing to the destruction of the originals during the bombardment of Strasburg by the Germans in 1870, would now have been unattainable.
 The facsimiles of some of the more important passages which will be found below are taken from the examples given in the "Dibuts."

The first volume, he tells us, was composed of two quires each of 42 sheets, or 84 leaves ( 568 leaves in all), on the soiled and yellow parchment cover of which was written :-

The first deposition of Dritehen against Gutenberg is found on the recto of the ropth leaf and is continued on the leaves $107,108,109,110$. "lhis portion of the suit finishes with the cleposition of Fridel von Seckingen. On the recto of the 117 th leaf is the deposition of Gutenberg against Georg Dritzehen, ending on the 1 i8th leaf with the deposition of Stocker. "The second volume, also bound in parchment, consisted of 24 sheets or 48 leaves of paper, of which five were blank, sewn together in a single quire. The plaint of Lorenz Beildeck is on the recto of the zist leaf. The first list of witnesses occupied the lower half of the verso of the 38 th leaf; the second list the whole of the recto of the 44 th leaf. Everything in these volumes which relates to the law-suit was transcribed by the same scribe, who at every resumption of his work wrote with rather a firmer hand, a peculiarity which might give the impression of its having been written by several scribes. Not only, however, was this not the case, but it is certain that it was the original redaction, one might say the original minute, of the transaction, for all the erasures and marginal additions were written in the same hand, in a way which could not occur in a cops, however clumsily made. De Laborde gives no description of the third volume, in which was the Sentence of the Council, and it would appear that he never saw this original.

From the details of this suit, which are sufficiently voluminous, it would appear that Gutenberg was desirous of obtaining funds for a new project, viz., the manufacture of looking-g/asses(') for sale at Air-la-Chapelle, whither a pilgrimage took place every seven years, when the great fair held on this occasion would have ensured a ready sale for his wares, of whatever sort they may have been, and associated with himself Hans Riffe, mayor of Richtenau, a little town near Strasburg but on the other side of the Rhine, on the condition that one-third of the profits should belong to the capitalist and two-thirds to the inventor. Andres Dritehen, who had previously been associated with Gutenherg "in order to learn and comprekend some arts from him," and had been instructed by him in the art of polishing stones, by which he had then made good profit, desired to be allowed to join the new partnership, and Anthonie Feimann, a friend of Gutenberg, begged that his brother, Andres Heimann, might also be admitted into the association. A new contract was entered into in the beginning of 1438 , by which one-half of the profits was allotted to Gutenberg, one-fourth to Riffe and one-eighth each to the two Andreses. But when all was in order it happened that the fair which should have been held in I 439 was put off till the next year ; and the two Andreses having looked in upon Gutenbers at the Convent of Saint Arbogast, where he was working, found that "he had concealed sezeral arts from them which he weas not obliged to show them," at which they were displeased and broke up the partnership, and formed a new one to last for five years. In consideration of the undertaking of Gutenberg that he zeould conceal from them none of the arts he kneze, they agreed to bring in an additional sum of money, If one of the partners died, the athers should, at the end of the five years, pay one hundred guilders to the heirs of the deceased, for all things made or unmade, for the money advanced, and for the forms and all the tools, nothing excepted:

## 

(vnd formen vnd allen gezügk nützit vfgenonen).
The rest of the money and all the assets should remain in the partnership. Andres Dritzehen seems to have worked hard in the interests of the association, but he died about the end of 1438 and his brother Georg brought against Gutenberg the action of which we are speaking to compel him either to return certain moneys to the representatives of Andres or to admit another brother, Claus Dritzehen, to take his place in the association. This claim was disallowed by the Council before whom the case was argued; it was ordered that the partnership convention should be maintained and that only fifteen guilders should be paid to Georg and Claus Dritehen.
(1) 1. Pute Lacroix (better known under his pseudonym Le Bibliophiie Jacob) made the highly ingenious suggestion that the term Sfiegel (looking-glass $=$ Speculum) of the law-suit was intended to veil the word Spection, and that the project of the printing of the Speculuen Hzomance Sialuationes was thus obscurcly described.

INCUNABULA.
It is in the technical testimony of a few of the witnesses, and not in the commercial details of the transaction, that the greatest interest for us is to be found.

The first entry in the volume heads the case for the plaintiff :-
"This is the truth aehich Jorge Dritzehen has deposed against Johon vow Montane named Gutenberg."


It was shown by the evidence of Barbel won Kabern, "the tradeswoman," that Andres Dritsehen had told her that his expenditure in the new venture was not much less than five hundred guilders, to obtain which he had mortgaged house and land, and that, on her asking him what they would do if they failed, he replied: "We cannot fail: before a year is passed zee will haze our capital back, and all be happy, unless God wishes to afflict us." This evidenced the entire faith of Dritsehen in Gutenberg and in the new undertaking, of whatever character it may have been. The next testimony gives some technical details as to the secret involved in the undertaking. Dame Ennel said that Lorenz Beildeck (Gutenberg's servant) once came into the house (after the death of Andres) and said: "Dear Claus Dritzehen, the blessed (late) Andres has four pieces (stücke) living in a press (Inn diner pressed ligen); now Gutenberg has requested that you will take them out of the press and separate them, so that no one may, knower what it is, because he would not like that anyone same it." Similar evidence having been given by another witness, Conrad Sahspach said that Andres Hei/man came to him at one time and said: "Dear Conrad, as Andres Dritzehen has died, and thou hast made the press and hnowest of the affair, so go thither and take the pieces out of the press, and take them the one from the other, then nobody knows what it is;"

(da haftu die puffin gemaht ind weift vmb die fache do gang dahin wand er nom die ftücke vf der fen vol zerlege fú vo einander fo weir nicman was es ift)
but that when he wished to do so, on .S\%. Stephen's day last, the thing was gone. Lorenz Beildeck testified that Gutenberg had sent him to tell Claus after Andres' death that he should not show to anyone the press which he had under his care, and that Gutenberg said moreover that he should take great care and go to the press and open it by means of the two little buttons? (zeiurbelin), whereby the pieces zeould fall asunder. He should thereupon put those pieces in or upon the press, after which no one could see or comprehend anything. AVdehart Stacker told him that Andres Dritsehen in his last illness gave him particulars of
the partnership with Gulenberg which he said he wished he had never joined, also that when they were in this partnership Andres Heilman and himself went to Gutenberg at St. Arbogast,
"where he had concealed from them sezeral arts which he was not obliged to show them."

(do hette er nũ ettliche Kunft vor jnen verborgen die er jnen nit verbunden was zü zeügen.)
A testimony, possibly of the greatest moment, but the relevance of which to the great question is still in dispute, was given by Hans Dïnne, the goldsmith, who said that three years ago or thereabout he had earned from Gutenberg nearly 100 guilders, merely' for that which belonged to printing (alleine das zu dem trucken gehöret).

The value and importance of this record are by no means universally recognized by writers on the invention, its authenticity having been disputed by the advocates of Coster, and its relevance by some of the admirers of Gutenberg. Wetter (Krit. Geschicte, $238-57$ ) had gathered in 1836 a number of suspicious facts in connection with the documents, to which, however, he did not attach extreme importance, and subsequently modified his opinions. Mr. Hessels (Gutenberg, 23-57) has given to the whole question considerable attention, and has earned the gratitude of the English reader by furnishing in parallel columns the complete text from De Laborde, with the varia lectiones of Schœpflin, accompanied by a literal English translation. But he finds circumstances calculated to shake his faith in the source through which the discovery of the volumes was first announced, and absolutely doubts the authenticity of the sentence of the Senate contained in a volume never seen by De Laborde. His criticisms are traversed by M. Schorbach (Festschrift, 1900, pages $165-6$ ), who has, with most Gutenbergians, an absolutely unshaken faith, on external and internal grounds, in the genuineness of the records, including that containing the sentence of the Senate. He claims that the character of the writing, as traced by De Laborde, is in exact accordance with that of many still preserved Strasburg Acts, and that the language, orthography, and style of the documents agree in every detail with those Acts.

Objections of still another kind have been raised, based on the contents of the documents, on the misstatements and contradictions of some of the witnesses, and on the date of the pilgrimage during which the "looking-glasses" were to be sold, which took place in 1439, and not in 1440. These seem to me to have been pretty fairly met by M. Schorbach. But in my view, the chief objection to the theory of forgery, a difficult task in any case, is the want of any clear definition in the documents that this suit had a positive relation to the invention of the art of printing, a circumstance fully admitted by some Gutenbergians, and which will be alluded to immediately. He would have been a very clumsy forger who, concocting these documents in the interest of Gutenberg's cause, did not make it clear that they contained internal evidence that the venture of Gutenberg at Strasburg was of a typographical character.

I have said that the relevance of the law-suit to the art of printing as we understand it is not admitted by all of the admirers of Gutenberg. Notably is this the case with Dr. Van der Linde, who very candidly tells his readers (The Haarlem Legrend, page 20) not only that in these documents we find no connection with xylography, but that the four pieces (of the press as he understands the phrase) do not refer to typography, whilst in referring to the evidence that Hans Diinne "carned nearly 100 guilders merely" for that which belonged to printing, we have no right to infer more from it than that the work of Gutenberg stood also in connection with the working of metal." I should like to consider the four pieces to be component parts of a type-mould.

And there the matter stands. I have endeavoured to give, with extreme brevity, it is true, some little idea of the amount of acceptance which has been accorded to the documents; but now that they no longer exist, each reader must judge for himself, by following in detail the arguments of either side, whether he will accept their genuineness, and by a perusal of the full text whether he considers it probable that Gutenberg was endeavouring to print at Strasburg in or about the year 1.4.0.

# (II.) EYTRACTS FROM THE DIARY OF JEAN LE ROBERT ABBAT OF CAMBRAI, UNDER THE DATE <br> $$
\text { OF } 1 \not 745 \text { (HTTH FACSIMILE). }
$$ 

THE very earliest hint which up to the present time we possess of the existence of a book printed in Europe with movable types is to be found in a MS. "preserved in France in the archives of the Departement du Sord at Lille. The volume contains a diary of occurrences relating to the Monastery of Saint-Aubert in Cambrai in the handwriting of its Albbat, Jean le Robert. The important passages, of which a facsimile is appended, were first noticed by Ghesquière in 1772 , and M. Bernard has given in his highly interesting work, De l'origine et des debuts de l'imprimerie, 1853, a facsimile and very complete notice of the entries. The texts run as follows:-

Item pour . 1. doctrinal gette en molle anvoiet querre a Brug. par Marq. I. elcripvain de Vallen . ou mois de jenvier xlv pour Jaq. xx s.t. II Sen heult Sandrins . I . pareil q. leglife paiia . . . . .

Item envoiet Arras . 1. doctrinal pour apprendre ledit d. Girard qui fu accatez a Vallen. et eftoit jettez en molle et coufta xxiiii. gr. \| Se me renvoia led. doctrinal le jour de Touff lan. li. difans quil ne falloit rien et eftoit totit faulx. Sen avoit accate. l.x. patt .en papier.

That is to say:-
Item for a Doctrinale gette en molle (printed) which I sent for to Bruges by Morquet (or Marquart) who is a scribe of Taleneiennes; in the month of January 1445. for Jacquet-20 sous tommois. Little Alexrander had a similar one for which the church paid.

Item sent to Arras a Doctrinale for the instruction of the said Dow Gerard, which had been bought at l'alenciennes and was "jettez en molle" (printed) and cost twenty-four gros. He returned to me the said Doctrinale on All Saints' Day, 1451, saying that it was worthless, and was altogether faulty. He had bought another copy for 10 patards ( $=$ sous) on paper.

[^1]








FACSIMILE OF PASSAGES IN THE DIARY OF THE ABBAS OF SAINT-AUBERT, 1445 ANE 1451.

It is not surprising that on the one hand these passages should be welcomed in the above sense by the believers in a Dutch origin of printing dating from 1440 or thercabouts, nor on the other that the accuracy of M. Bernard's translation of the words should be impugned by their opponents. Great issues necessarily depend on a decision still entirely in dispute.

Dr. Van der Linde makes what has struck more than one subsequent writer as being an extremely arbitrary and unsupported assumption, viz., that "these Doctrinales were printed from a (wooden) forme, jeté en moule." His rendering seems to me to be, in default of any serious attempt on his part to controvert Bernard's authorities, both irrational and unscientific. Skeen, also an opponent of the view that books printed with movable type were referred to by the Abbat, argues that "the phrase jettez en molle might most naturally be used to express on the part of anyone ignorant of the process of printing the appearance of a book which he knew was not written, but which bore upon its face the evidence of having in some way or other been cast or moulded. As this evidence would appear the same, or nearly so, whether produced from engraved blocks or from separate letters, the phrase would be just as applicable in one casc or other." Dr. A. Wyss of Darmstadt, in his article Gutenberg oder Coster (Centralblatt für Bibliothekswesen, Leipsig, 1888) takes exception to M. Bernard's rendering. He says: "Molle, mole (according to the French of to-day Moule), comes from the Latin modulus, and means form. Jeter en molle means "mettre en forme," to place in a form, to shape. Nothing prevents us from taking it to refer to printing from a block. Littré has amongst others the following meanings for moule: 'Planche de bois ou sont grazés les modèles des cartes id jouer,' and for mouler, - 'chez les cartiers, appliquer le feuille sur la moule'. $\therefore$. If in i 446 , when the Abbat made his first purchase, typographically printed books had already existed the expression "gette en molle" might just as well be applied to such as to block-books. But to determine the existence of Typography from the application of this expression will not do."

The reader must draw his own conclusions in respect to this extremely important but contested point. For my part, I think that M. Bernard and his friends have probably the best of the argument.
(III.) FACSIMILE (FROM THE COPY IN THE BIBLIOTHEQUE NATIONALE AT PARIS) OI THE 3I-LINE LETTER OF INDULGENCE, WITH PRINTED DATE 1454.

(ASCRIBED BY THE MIJORITY OF WRITERS TO JOHANN GUTENBERG, BY OTHERS TO PETER SCHEEFFER.)

## 1454 Dec. 31. PAULINUS CHAPPE. <br> LITERE INDULGENTIARUM NICOLAI V.

Vniuerfis criftifidelibs pātes litteras infpecturis paulinus Chappe Confiliarig ambafiator $z_{\sim}$ pcurator generalis Sere $=$ Il niffimi Regis Cypri ì hac pte Salutē in dnō Cū Sāctiffimg í xpo pr̃ z dn̄s nr̃. dn̄s Nicolag diuīa puidētia. papa v9, etc. ; line 17 begins: prefumant Alioquī dicta conceffio quo ad plenariā remiffionē in mortis articulo et remiffio quo ad pcťa ex cófidentia vt p̈mittit || Cömiffa nullig fint roboris uel momēti Et quia deuoti- || Juxta dictū indultum de facultatibus fuis pie eroga-. merito huiufmodi indulgentiis gaudere debet In veritatis teftimo \|| nium Sigillum ad hoc ordinatum prefentib; litteris teftimonialib; eft appenfum DatumAnno dñi Mccecliiii || die uero-Menfis-I| ; line 22, Forma pleniffime abfolutionis et
 te abfoluat etc.; line 28, Forma plenaric remiffionis in mortis articulo || ; line 29, Mifereatur tui zc. Dn̄s nofter ut fupra Ego te abfoluo ab oñibs pctis tuis gtritis qfeffis zoblitis reftituendo
te vnita $=\|$ ti fideliū $z$ facramentis ecctie, etc.; line 31 ends, In noīe priis et filii et fpūs fancti Amen. II

Oblong folio, printed on wellum in two types; the words (V)niuerfis and paulinus in the first line, the whole of line 22, the words (M)ifereatur tui zc in the 23rd line, the whole of line 28, and the words (M)ifereatur tui $₹ \mathrm{c}$ in the 3 Ist line being, with the exception of the reoodcut initials V and M, in type like that with which the 36 -line Bible is printed, and the rest in much smaller gothic type. These large initials were unquestionably printed from wooden blocks. Text measures $162 \times 222$ mom., exclusive of large initial letters; spaces left for names, ternination of word eroga(uit), dates and place of issue; ——resembles Hain, II754, Proctor, 59. The name filled in in MS. of the reeipient of the Indulgence is Iodocus Ott von Azerpach (Anspach), the place of issue is Moguntinæ (Mentz), the date the last day of December.( ${ }^{1}$ ). At the end is the note in MS. of the issuer of the Indulgence, Io. abb9. monafterij fcì burchardi ad premiffa deputus. A MS. note at left just under line 31 reads: $\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{j}$ lib et iij folid. (the price of the Indulgenee).

For the allocation of the issue of the 31 -line Indulgence, of which a facsimile is annexed, to its proper position, it is necessary to make use of the elaborate analysis by Mr. Hessels (Gutenberg pp. $150-151,164-16_{7}$ ) of the differences existing in known copies.

There are four issues of this Indulgence, which are really one composition affected by successive modifications in some of the words which make them appear different editions. These are as follows: (a) The Indulgence with printed date Mccccliiij, 3I lines; types, (i) large church type regarded ${ }^{2}$ ) as identical with that of the 36 -line Bible described on page 25 , and (2) a smaller text or brief-type. Besides these a large initial V , and two large initials M , which differ from each other. (b) Indulgence with printed date Mrcccliiij, $3^{2}$ lines, including a blank line 19, types as in issue a. (c) Indulgence with printed date Mccccliiij, 31 lines. (d) Indulgence with printed date Mcccclv, 3 I lines, types as in previously mentioned issues. The copy under notice belongs to (c) in the above analysis of issues. The differences between these issues are extremely slight, the only divergence between ( $c$ ) and (d) being that the date Mccccliiij has been altered to Mcccelv, and the last four lines from foot seem to have been a little shifted.
$t$ This Indulgence, in any of the first three forms especially, is of the very highest importance for the history of typography generally and for that of Mentz in particular. It contains the earliest printed date, and it is impossible to overrate in that aspect its value, or that of the 30 -line Indulgence, the first edition of which (existing so far as is known only in a single copy) has an identical claim to consideration. The personality of the printer is still undetermined, but the weight of evidence is even more strongly perhaps in favour of its attribution to Gutenberg than in the case of the 30 -line Indulgence. In any case a common ground is to be discovered between Mr. Hessels and M. Dziatzko, the former remarking (Gutenberg, page 182) that the types which he calls in this instance 1 and 2 (page 150,op. cit.) with that of the Catholicon of 1460 are the only ones which can be claimed for Gutenberg, whilst the latter (Sammlung, pages $56-86$ ) advances the theory to which I shali shortly refer, that the types of the 30 -line Induigence may have been cast by Gutenberg after those of the 3 r-line had been made use of. He has treated with great minuteness the various problems raised by the correspondence of the larger of the 42 and 36 -line libles rcspectively with the larger types in the 30 and 3 -line Indulgences. The almost simultaneous appearance of documents printed with these respective types presenting, as we shall see, material differences, has very naturally led almost all bibliographers to the conclusion (practically accepted at this day') that there were two presses at work at Mentz in the year 1454. And it is not only in the larger types that these cardinal differences are conspicuous. The smaller types, although presenting points of unmistakable relationship in some of the capital letters, (compare the $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{M}$ and especially the peculiar S in the two facsimiles) differ greatly. Of this divergence the sloping $f f$ in the 30 , as contrasted with the
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vertical ff in the 31 , afford notable examples. Again, a far larger number of capital letters is employed in the 31 than in the 30 -line letter. Although to most observers it would appear that the tectmique of type of the $3^{1}$-line Indulgence exhibited a great advance upon that of the 30 -line, and that for that reason we should give the priority to the former, M. Dziatzko sees some reason to believe that the printer of the 30 -line has made improvements upon the arrangement of the type of the 31 -line, a single example of which may suffice, viz., the substitution of a capital for a lower-case "P" in the first line. For myself I might be willing perhaps to give the compositor of the 30 -line letter credit for some of these niceties, but I am sure that the typefounder and punch-cutter of the 3 r -line was the more able artist of the two. The causes which led to two issues from two separate founts of type are next fully discussed. A comparison of the MS. indications of the places from which the two types of document were issued shows that by far the larger number of the 31 -line Indulgences emanated from Mentz, whereas almost all the 30 -line letters belong to the Diocese of Cologne. There are exceptions, it is true, but the broad fact that each of these two classes of Indulgences seems roughly to have had its own field suggests the explanation that there is no absolute need to suppose that there were two printing offices at this time at Mentz. The conclusions arrived at by M. Dziatzko, on grounds far too numerous to be even alluded to here, are that after the first batch of Indulgences had been printed, whether we consider that they were produced for Mentz or for Cologne, the types were handed over to the officials for safe custody, to prevent any fraudulent use of them, and that when the next set was called for a second set of types aras prepared and supplied. (This suggestion refers of course only to the smaller types, and not to the Church text, the few words in which resemble the founts of the 36 and 42 -line Bibles respectively.) This theory is, I think, supported by the fact that none of the smaller types of either Indulgence make a later appearance in any book whatsoever. It would seem that they were segregated for this ecclesiastical purpose only. Those bibliographers who attribute the 42 and 36 -line alike to Gutenberg naturally assign the 30 and 3 -line Indulgences to the same printer. But Mr. Hessels, as we shall see, as well as the late Mr. Henry Bradshaw, gives the 30 -line Indulgence with great confidence to Peter Schaffer, whilst almost conceding the 31 -line to Gutenberg. M. Dziatzko, be it observed, would recognize Schoeffer as the artist responsible for the execution of the punches and dies of the 3 r -line but not of the 30 -line Indulgence.

The circumstances under which these Indulgences were issued were very briefly as follows: About the year 1451, John III., King of Cyprus, menaced by the growing power of the Turks, sent to various Christian states, to ask their assistance, one of his subjects named Pruulinues Chappe (Zappe). His appeal to Pope Nicholas V. resulted in a promise of plenary Indulgences to those who, from the ist of May, 1452, to the ist of May, 1453 , should give material assistance to the cause.

It was, as is well known, the practice to give in exchange for the sum contributed to the Papal or other treasury an acknowledgment in the form of a Letter of Indulgence indicating the object to which the payment was to be applied, the details of the spiritual benefits offered in exchange for the contribution, the name of the contributor and that of the agent for the sale. These documents were of course issued in large numbers, and up to this time had been prepared by scribes, the correcting of whose textual errors was a work of considerable labour. 'It is particularly instructive to note how eagerly and promptly the Papal authorities appreciated the assurance offered by the new art of an identical conformity of all the copies with the original MS., and that the very earliest printed documents which bore a date had their origin in this practical desire for textual accuracy.

The subject has been treated by many bibliographers, the most important notices having been given (1) by De Laborde, who furnishes several facsimiles, also descriptions of the eighteen copies known to him of the 1454 and 1455 issues, and refers to thirty-seven bibliographical works in which these earliest printed Indulgences had been already mentioned; (2) by M. Bernard ("De Torigine," foc., I., pages 166-176); (3) by Mr. Hessels (op. cit.), and lastly and most fully by M. Dziatzko (Sammhug Bibliothekszevssonschaftlicher Arbeiten).

The Indulgence is onc of those known as Confessionalia. An English rendering of part of a similar document printed in 1488 by Peter Scheffer will be found on a later page.

# (IV.) FACSIMILE (FROM THE COPY IN THE BRITISH MUSEUM) OF THE 3O-LINE INDULGENCE, WITH PRINTED DATE 1455. (ASCRIbED BY SOME IURITERS TO JOHANN GUTENBERG, BY OTHERS TO PETER SCHEEFER.) 

1455 (before April in, dated in MIS. April 29). PAULINUS CHAPPE.
LITERE INDULGENTIARUM NICOLAIV.
Uniuerfis Crítifideliby pītes Irās infpecturis Paulinus Chappe Cōfiliariy Ambafiator z pcurator generalis Sereniffimi || Regis Cypri in hac parte Sal'm i dn̄o Cū Sāctiffims i x xopo pr̃
 zoords, Alioquī dicta gceffio quo ad $\|$ plenariā remiffione in mortis articulo et remiffio quo ad pčta ex 9 fidentia ut prmittitur 9 miffa nullius fint roboris uel momenti $\|$ Et quia deuotiJuxta dictum indultū || de facultatibus fuis pie eroga_merito huiufmodi indulgentiis gaudere debet (altered in MS. to debēt). In veritatis teftimoniū figillū ad hoc ordinatū || pntibe lris teftimonialibo eft appenfum Datū-Anno dn̄i M.cccclquīto die vero-menfis- II line 21, Forma pleniffime abfolutionis et remiffionis in vita $\|$; line 22, Mifereatur tui zc. Dn̄s nofter ihefus xups, etc.; line 27, Forma plenarie remiffionis in mortis articulo \|; line 28, Mifereatur tui zc. D̄̄̀s nofter ut fupra Ego te abfoluo ab oñibo pot̃is tuis cōtritis qfeffi et oblitis reftituendo te vnitati $\|$ fideliū z facramentis ecclefie, etc. : line 30 ends, In noie patris z filii z fpiritus fancti Amen. ||

Oblong folio, printed on vellum in two types, the words (U)niuerfis and Paulinus in the first line, the whole of line 21, the words (M)ifereatur tui 7 c in the 22 nd line, the whole of line 27, and the words (M)ifereatur tui 7 e in the 30th line being, with the exception of the woodcut initials, printed in type like that with which the 42 -line Bible is printed, and the rest in much smaller gothic type of a less formal character than that of the 31 -line letter of Indulgence. The large initials are from wooden blocks, as is demonstrated by De Laborde by a comparison of their condition in various issues. Text measures, exchusive of large initial letters, $151 \times 231 \mathrm{~mm}$.; spaces left for names, termination of word eroga (uit), dates and place of issue. The name of the recipients of the Indulgence are filled in in MS as follows: dñs Hinric9 mais paftor in Rofelden, Greta z et pirenkranfz eg foror Stina Kufé cū fib 5 fviz Helena z Congūde Guda Krufen et bela Kluten eius filia. The place of issue, also in MS., is in opido Nuffien (Nenss, near Cologne), the chate the 29th of April. Not in Hain, Proctor, 55.

Of this Indulgence Mr. Hessels (Gutenberg, pages $164-167$ ) describes two editions, of which the second has two issues. First edition (a) Indulgence of 30 lines with printed date Mceceliiij, printed with (r) large church type usually considered to be identical with that of the 42 -line Bible (described on page 21), and (z) a smaller text, or brief-type. Besides these a large initial $U$, which helps to distinguish this from the 31 -line Indulgence, which has an initial V. Ony one copy of this edition is known, which is now in the Spencer collection at Manchester. Second edition (b) with printed date Meecel quito. Some minor differences between this and the next issue are noted by Mr. Hessels. Only two copies of this first issue of the second edition are known to be in existence. One is in the Royal Library at Berlin, and the other, now in the British Muserm, from which the present faesimite is taken, has been in succession the property of Niegrbauer, of Dr. L'toss and M/. B. Hevwood Bright. De Laborde's facsimile (made of course from a tracing) is very unsatisfactory. The photo-lithographic reproduction ly Mr. Noel Humphress, praised loy Mr. Hessels, is far superior to it, but the supremacy of the autotype process for such purposes is evidenced by a comparison of the latter with that here presented. The second issue (c) of the second edition, exhibiting but slight differences from (b), appears to exist in three copies.
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Doubts have been thrown by M. Dziatzko on the authenticity of some of the copies recently discovered, but though no need for the discussion of this question here seems to arisc, this scepticism is not shared by all German bibliographers. A more interesting question for us is that of the identity of the church-type with that of the 42 -line Bible. Bernard, whilst admitting the striking similarity of the two founts, is not convinced of their identity, and this may be a point still requiring fuller investigation. The reader can form a rough conclusion from the specimens here put before him. In one detail there scems to be an accordance; after the $\mathfrak{c}$ in the church-text lines in the Indulgence follow the secondary forms of the $\mathfrak{r}$, the $\mathbf{I I}$ and the $\mathbf{I l}$ devised by the founder of the type for the purpose of preserving uniformity in the distance of the stems of the letters, as will be described (page 21) in the notice of the 42 -line Bible. The capital I' found in the Indulsence does not seem to occur anywhere in the Bible.

Mr. Hessels made in $\mathbf{1 8 8 1}$ an interesting and enviable discovery-that in an Indulgence of 33 lines issued in 1489 by Raymundus Peraudi, and unquestionably printed by Peter Schoeffer, the initial Mof the second absolution is identical with that of the first absolution in the Indulgence of 30 lines, and hence he unhesitatingly contends that Schoeffer was the printer of the document under notice as well as of the 36 -line Bible and the Cantica ad Matutinas. He is therefore in this, as in so many other questions of which the solution is still to be found, aux prises with German bibliographers.

A curious misapprehension as to the mode of production of these Indulgences existed in the minds of at least three comparatively modern bibliographers. Lambinet, writing in 1810, Wetter in 1836 and Sotzmann in the same year, all maintain that these are not typographical but xylographic productions, having been printed from wooden blocks, a conclusion to which they were led, on the one hand by the variations in the forms of the letters, and on the other by the fact that the fand foverhang the adjacent letters. The first argument is easily disposed of by the absolute identity of many of the types, and by the consideration, far too often overlooked, that it was the object of the printer to attain in his productions a rough approximation to if not an absolute imitation of the manuscripts he was endeavouring to supersede; the second by the fact that letters combined in the manner alluded to were habitually used by the early printers with movable types, the result being produced by devices now well understood. De Laborde (Dibuts, $11-17$ ) has paid great attention to these questions, which he has illustrated by facsimiles, and Dr. Schwenke (Festschrift, 1900) has greatly elaborated the matter. Some remarks on the nature of the types from which these documents were probably printed will be found in a subsequent chapter.
(V.) FACSIMILE OF THE HELMASPERGER DOCUMENT

## (the notarial instrunent of the laivsuit of johann fust against Johann gutenberg, dated the gTh of November, I455).

The genuineness of this document, one of the most important in connection with the relation of Gutenberg to the art of Printing, has, it is true, been impugned, but may now be considered to be established. The whereabouts of the original was unknown, and apparently considered of no importance even by Gutenbergians as lately as 1882, when a most conscientious and untiring search for it in Homburg, Frankfort-on-the-Main, Hoehst-on-the-Nidder and Darmstadt was made by Mr. J. H. Hessels, whose exhaustive and interesting narrative (') of all that was known at that date has earned the warm commendation of his literary adversaries. Within four years after the publication of Mr. Hessels' researches the original document, or perhaps one should say one of the three originats, was found by the librarian, M. Karl Dziatzko, in the autumn of 1886 , in the Library of the University of Gottingen, where it must have lain since the year 1741, when it was presented by Joh. David Kohler, then Professor of History in that University. It is preserved in a tin box specially made for it, in which is set the medal in memory of Gutenberg struck by Kohler for the jubilee in $\mathbf{1 7 4 0}^{\text {. A very full and critical account of the document and its discovery, }}$ accompanied by a facsimile, was published at Berlin in 1889 by M. Dziatzko,( ${ }^{2}$ ) and it is from that work

[^3]that the present reproduction is with acknowledgments derived. The dimensions of the document, which is on parchment, are $420 \mathrm{~mm} . \times 255 \mathrm{~mm}$. ; the text in one column is 217 mm . wide. A portion of the blank margin is not included in the reproduction. M. Dziatzko remarks: "Our document contains a notarial redaction of the protocol relating to an enquiry upon oath at Mentz, on the 6th of November, ${ }^{1455}$, by the Notary Utrich Helmasperger, in the presence of witnesses, into the legal question between Johan Fust and Johunn Gutenberg, at the request of the former, whereby the extent of his demands on Gutenberg were to be settled, but to be made valid from the later legal evidence. It was principally a question of paying back a certain capital and the additional payment of a considerable amount of interest, which Johann Fust considered he had a right to on the grounds of the former agreement to claim from Johann Gutenberg on the conclusion of their business connections. In order to determine the exact purport of the oath taken it was necessary to take up the verdict itself, as well as part of the allegations made, so as to make it intelligible, and also the answer of the defendant, and to insert them in the protocol concerning the date. It is just this manifold elucidation of the relations between Fiust and Gutenberg which makes the document so valuable, and it must be regretted that it only refers to the first article of Fust's complaint, and that the other differences between the two men are not even hinted at."

It is not fully acknowledged by all controversialists that the document has reference to printing at all, but the mention of servants' wages, rent, paper and ink as necessaries which Fust had undertaken to provide for the mutual undertaking with Gutenberg distinctly point to this conclusion. Gutenberg draws a distinction between the works or tools made with Fust's money, which he recognizes as being pledged, and the "work of the books," which he hopes he was not bound to pledge for the eight hundred gulden referred to. Even if the connection between Fust and Gutenberg had nothing to do with the printing of books this passage would prove with greater certainty that Gutenberg alone possessed a "Werk der Bucher" which he thought very highly of, and which was already his when he formed the connection with Fust, It is subject for discussion whether this phrase refers to finished printed work or to the invention itself. The conclusions arrived at by M. Dziatzko after a very full discussion of the text are as follows: (i) The business connection between Gutenberg and Fust, which was dissolved in 1455, was formed for the purpose of producing printed books; (z) Gutenberg alone took the leading and directing part in the business; (3) His business connection with Fust for the production of printed books dates from the beginning of the year 1450 ; (4) Already at the beginning of the connection the aim of typography, as well as the arrangements for carrying it on, was quite clear to the mind of Gutenberg. If this had not been the case, and if Gutenberg had not given him specimens of the new art, and been able practically and clearly to demonstrate to him the prospective profits of such an arrangement, Fust would hardly have agreed to be responsible to so large an extent as he promised in writing,

The first three lines of the document run as follows, and can be pretty readily deciphered in the facsimile: I(n) gottes namen amen Kunt fy allen den die diefz offen Inftrument fehent oder horent lefen Das des Jars als man zalt it nach xpi i unfers hern geburt dufent vierhundert vond funffundffunffsigk lar In der dritten indictien uff dornftag der do was der fefte dag des mondes zu latin genant nouember Cronung des aller heiligften in gott vater wnd hem vnfers hem Califti von gottlicher || . . . The last there lines of the text read: find alle obgefchrieben fachen gefcheen In den Iare Indictien dag ftund babftumme Cronung monet vnd ftade obgenant II in bywefen der Erfamen menner Peter graniz Johann Kift Johann Kunoff Johann Yfeneck Jacop fuft burger zu mencz \|| Peter Girnizheim ${ }^{\mathrm{I}}$ ) und Johannis Bonne clericken menezer Stadt vad Biftums ezu gezugen funderlichen gebeden vad geheifehen. II To the left of the attestation clause, which concludes the document, will be seen a curious notarial mark drawn by Melmasperger, viz., a scroll beneath a stiff square sleeve, from which projects a hand holding a flower. The signature on the scroll and the cight lines of the attestation are in the same handwriting.

The first allusion to this document is to be found in the Emcomion Chalcographice, Mogunt. 154 I, 4 to, of Johannes Arnoldus Bergellanus, in which he briefly relates in elegiac Latin verse the partnership between Fust and Gutenberg, and assails the equity of the judgment. The document itself was printed for the first

[^4]

time in 1734 by Senchenberg in his Selector. Jur. et Mist. Anecdot., and afterwards in 7740 by John Cliristian Wolf in his Monumenta Typographice and in 1741 by David Köhler in his Ehren-Rettune foham Gutenbergs. The only English rendering with which I an acquainted is that of Dr. Van der Linde, which is very free and very considerably abridged. I have therefore made a fresh literal translation to the best of my ability, craving indulgence for any inaccuracies which may result from iny incompetency successfully to grapple with the archaic wording of the original.

# Instrument of a certain day whereon Fust made his reckoning and conformed it with an Oath. 

In the name of God Amen! Be it known to all those who see this public document or hear it read, That in the year as men reckon after the birth of Christ our Lord one thousand four hundred and fifty-five, in the third Indiction, on Thursday which was the sixth day of the month called in Latin November, in the first year of the Coronation of the most holy Father in God and Lord Calixtus III. by divine providence Pope, between eleven and twelve in the middle of the day, at Mentz, in the great Refectory of the Barefooted (friars) in presence of me, public writer and of the witnesses hereinafter mentioned personally appeared the honourable and trustworthy man Jacob Fust burgher of Mentz, and on behalf of his brother Johann Fust also there present has propounded said and declared that between the said Johann Fust his brother of the one part and Johann Gutenberg of the other part a certain day at this hour was named marked and fixed in the convent Hall of the same place to see and to hear the Oath of the said Johann Fust according to the purport and tenour of the judgment between the two parties. And in order that the Brothers of the above named Convent, still assembled in the Convent Hall should not be molested or disturbed, the said Jacob Fust stated by a messenger in the above named Hall that if Johann Gutenberg or any one on his part was in the cloister for the above mentioned affair he ought to attend to the business. After such a message and enquiry, there came into the said refectory the honourable Herr Heinrich Guntheri sometime parson of St. Christopher at Mentz, Heinrich Keffer and Bechtolff of Hanauwe servant and page of the aforesaid Johann Guttenberg, and after they had been asked and required by the said Johann Fust what they were doing there and why they were there-whether they had power in the affair on the part of Johann Guttenberg, they answered collectively and individually that they were commissioned by their Juncker Johann Guttenberg, to hear and to see what would come to pass in the affair. Thereupon Johann Fust protested and testified that he had kept the appointment as agreed and fixed and had waited for his opponent Johann Guttenberg till twelve o'clock and was still waiting for him who had not put in an appearance in the business. He proved himself ready and willing to satisfy the judgment given on the first article of his claim according to its contents. Thereupon he had read word by word together the plaint and reply, and it runs thus. And as Johan fust has promised to the aforesaid Johan Gutenberg at first as is written in the memorandum of their agreement that he would without fail lend to Johan Gutenberg eight hundred guilders in gold with which he should finish the work, and whether it cost more or less did not concern him, and that Johann Guttenberg on the same eight hundred guilders should give him six guilders on each hundred as interest. Now he has raised these eight hundred guilders on interest and has given them to him-therewith he was not satisfied but complained that he has not yet had enough of these eight hundred guilders, so as he wished to give him a sufficiency (or satisfaction) (cin genugen) he furnished him with eight hundred guilders more besides the eight hundred guilders already (lent) so that he had advanced him eight hundred guilders more than he was obliged to do according to the terms of the above mentioned agreement and that he himself had to pay consequently one hundred and forty
guilders of interest on the eight hundred guilders which he had furnished to him already. And although the aforesaid Johann Guttenberg has written in the aforesaid memorandum that he would give him on the first cight hundred guilders six guilders as interest on each hundred, Yet had he not in any year handed him any such interest but he had had to pay it himself which amounted according to proper reckonings to two hundred and fifty guilders. And as Johann guttenberg had never paid him such interest namely the six guilders of money of the first eight hundred nor moreover the interest of the last eight hundred guilders, and he had been obliged to borrow the same interest among Christians and Jews and provide besides thirty-six guilders, well reckoned, for the search (zu Gefuch) which together with the principal amount truly amounts to 2,020 guilders-he now requires him to return and repay all this without loss. Whereto Johan guttenberg made answer that Johann fust had furnished him with eight hundred guilders, with which money he was to prepare and make his tools (geczuge) and with such money to content himself and to employ it for his requirements and such tools should be a pledge of the aforesaid Johann, and that Johannes should give him yearly three hundred guilders for expenses and also servants wages, rent, parchment, paper, ink, etc. Should they not thereafter (alfdan) agree he was to give him back eight hundred guilders and the tools should be redeemed. Moreover it was well understood that he should furnish such work with his money which he had lent him on his pledge, and he hopes that he is not obliged to bestow (zulegen) such eight hundred guilders on the work of the books (werck der bucher). And although also in this memorandum it be written that he should give him on every hundred guilders six guilders as interest Johannes fust has told him orally that he did not require from him such payment. Besides such eight hundred guilders were not paid all and all at once according to the terms of the memorandum, as he in the first article of his claim has (gemedet) and alleged, and in regard of the further eight hundred guilders he desires to render him an account. He accords him moreover no further (keins foltes noch) usury and hopes that according to law he is not liable on that account, etc. When therefore he had given such reply, answer, opposing, and concluding statements in these and many other words-We give this legal decision (so sprecken zioir sum reehten) -When Johann guttenberg has made out his reckoning of all receipts and of the expenditure which he has made for their common benefit, what money he has received and taken over and above in money shall be reckoned in the eight hundred guilders, but if it should be that it was found in the account that he has given him more than eight hundred guilders which has not been employed for their common benefit he shall return that, and should Johannes fust (prove) by oath or trustworthy testimony (redlicher kuntfchafft) that he has raised the aforesaid money on securities and that he has not lent it out of his own money. Then shall Johann Gutenberg also deliver and pay such money according to the tenour of the memorandum. As the said judgment as just reported was read in the presence of the aforesaid gentlemen Heinricks etc., Heinricks and Bectolff servants of the said Johann Guttenbergk the aforesaid Johann Fust with uplifted fingers placed on the Holy (Gospels) in the hand of me, public writer (notary), swore stated and vowed that everything comprehended in a note of the judgment which he then handed to me was entirely true and just so help him God and the holy (Saints) and the tenour of the said note is, word for word, 1, Johannes Fust have borrowed 1,550 gulden which were paid to Johann Guttenberg and expended in our common work for which I have had every year to pay interest and usury and I still owe a part of it, thus I reckon to him for each hundred guilders which I have borrowed as aforesaid for cvery year six guilders of the said money which has been paid to him which has been employed in our common work and which is found in the reckoning whereof I demand from him the interest according to the tenour of the judgment (decision) and that is true I will maintain as is just according to the tenour of the judgment as the first article of my claim, which I have made on the above named Johan Guttenberg concerning the above inentioned matters.

The aforesaid Johan Guttenberg has demanded of me, (Helmasperger) as public scribe, one or more public Instruments as many and as often as he may have nced of them. And all the above mentioned things took place, In the year, in the Indiction, at the day, hour, in the year of the coronation of the pope, in the month and in the place above named, in the presence of the honourable men Peter Gransz, Johann Kist, Johann Kunoff Johann Ysenech Jacob Fust burgher at Mentz, Peter Girnszheim and Johannes Bonne clerics of the town and see of Mentz, specially asked and required as witnesses. And I Ulrich Helmasperger clerk of the Bishoprick of Bamberg by imperial authority public writer and of the Holy See at Mentz sworn notary, seeing that I have been present at all the above named proceedings and articles with the above named witnesses and with them have heard them; Therefore have 1 had this public Instrument written and made ready by another hand, and have subscribed it with my own hand and have marked it with my own mark having been commanded and requested (to do so) as a true record (uhrkunde) of all the aforesaid things.

The reader who wishes to pursue an investigation into the documents having relation to Gutenberg may find materials in the 123 closely-printed pages of M. Karl Schorbach entitled, Die urkundlichen Nachrichten ilbor Johann Gutenberg, which form a portion of the volume entitled Festschrift zum funfhundertjahrigen geburtstage von Johann Gutenberg, Mentz, 1900. Herein with painsworthy diligence the titles and text of no less than twenty-six documents are printed, and are accompanied by twenty plates of facsimiles. It is an unfortunate circumstance that a very large proportion of these documents exist only in copies ; the author of the article has, however, given his reasons for his faith in the genuineness of all those papers which he has admitted into his list, and has discussed very fully the attacks of those writers who have impugned it. The Helmasperger document comes in of course for a very extended and interesting notice.
(VI.) FACSimile of a page of The 42-Line bible (from the grenVILLE COPY IN THE BRITISH MUSEUM).
BIBLIA LATINA. THE 42-LINE BIBLE, USUALLY CALLED THE MAZARINE BIBLE, THE FIRST BOOK PRODUCED BY TYPOGRAPHY.
(ASCRIbED BY SOME WRITERS TO JOHANN GUTENBERG, BY OTHERS TO PETER SCHGEFER.)

Fol. Ia: (F)Rater ambrofius || tua michi munufcu || la pferens. detulit || etc. Fol. 5a, col. I : In principio creauit deus celū $\mid$ et terram. Fol. 64ıb, col. 2: Gratia dñi nĩi ihefu crifti cū omnib $\bar{y}$ vobis amē.

Folio, printed in gothic type; text measures $290 \times 197$ mm., but the dimensions z'ary; 641 leaves, printed in double columns, 42 lines to the column, except Fol. 310 , which has 41 ; without numerals, catchwords, or signatures; spaces loft for initial letters. Divided into ten sections, which must have been printed independently of each other, commencing Fol. I, 102, 129, 247, $26 \mathrm{I}, 325,486,598,609,634$; Folios 246b, 260b, 513 b, 597b, 632b blank. Some copies hate Folios 1-5 printed quite differently, Folios 1-5a with 40 lines to the full page, and Fol. 56 with 41, and these have a title at the beginning printed in red in three lines: Incipit epiftola fancti Iheronimi ad \| Paulinum prefbiterum de omnibus diuịne hiftoric libris. capitulū $\tilde{p} m \bar{u}$; and Fol. $4 a$, col. 2 : Incipit plogus in penthāteucū moisi; and Fol. $5 \pi$, col. 2 : Incipit plogus brefith quē nos genefim $\mid$ dicimus. These leaves with 40 and 41 lines must have becn printed first. and
the printer must have decided after completing the fifthe leaf to have 42 lines in the page, which was effected withont any breat in the text, the last word on Fol. 56 being Comedit, and the first on Fol, 6a deditq, (Gen. iii. 6). Then when the original leaves 1-5 were exhansted, they were reprinted with 42 lines to accord with the number of lines in the rest of the book; but the printercould not avoid a break at the end, where we find Comedit deditq, althongh deditq, is the first word on the next leaf. Similarly in this copy leaves 129a-132alave 40 lines to the column with titles printed in red. The book of Acts is placed after the Epistle to the Hebreaus in this and several other carty editions. Certain leaves were set up in type and printed twice, so as to form practically taio editions (see next copy), and one leaf (Fol. I 34) is extant in at least three different forms.

Another copy on zellum, leaves 21-32a and 325-340a are differently printed from the freceding coty'. Leaves 129-I 32 have each 42 lines, yet each page contains exactly the same matter as in the preceding copy. The titles on Fol. 129-132 are not printed. The errata are corrected by hand. The watermarks in the copy on paper are (1) and (2) a bull, passant; (3) and (4) a bunch of grapes; (5) a bull's head, stylus, and star. Hain, *303I, Proctor, 56.

The above description is taken from the Catalogue of the Library of the British Museum, in which the Grentille copy whence our facsinile is derived is the first copy noticed.

The existence of this magnificent book, in many ways the most remarkable and precious production of the press, was not known to modern bibliographers until about the middle of the last century, when a copy was discovered in the Mazarine Library at Paris. Since that time an indefatigable search has brought to light a number of copies amounting, according to the latest very careful computation-that of Dr. Paul Sikzenke of the Royal Library at Berlin(')-to perlaps forty in all, perfect and imperfect, of which twelve are on vellum and the rest on paper. The commercial value of this most covetable of books, which had been steadily rising for a century, has of late advanced by leaps and bounds. The Bodleian copy was purchased in 1793 for $£ 100$; that of the Duke of Sussex in 1844 for $£ 190$. About the year 1875 Messrs. Asher, of Berlin, offered a copy with seventeen leaves in facsimile for 4,000 thalers, whilst the last which appeared at a public sale (the Makellar copy) was purchased for $£_{2,950}^{2}$ by the late Mr. Quaritch, who had previously paid $£ 4,000$ for the Ashburnham copy on vellum.

Much discussion has taken place, especially of late years, as to the identity of the printer, and also as to the precedence of this 42 -line Bible or that of the 36 -line, often called Schellorm's' 1 the Bambergs Bible, which resembles it in many particulars. MI. Dziatzko, principal Librarian in the University of Göttingen, has, after an exhaustive investigation, arrived at the conclusion, hitherto unrefuted, and accepted by $D r$. Schwenke, that the 3 -line Bible was set up at any rate in part from the 42 -line, and must be considered to be posterior to it in order of date. It may be here remarked that the Mazarine Bible must have been completed at any rate in 1456 , as is proved by the often quoted notes in the copy of the Bibl. Nationale at Paris of the illuminator Henricus Albech, alias Cremer, dated the 15 th and 24 th of August of that year respectively.

The book itself is a marvellous achievement, and an almost unique example of the attainment per saltum of approximate perfection in an art only just emerging from an embryonic stage, of which, indeed, according to a widely spread belief, it was the embryo itself. It must have cost its printer years of experiments, even after his first experience with movable types, to ensure the even printing, the glossy blackness of the ink on the stout paper, the sharp appearance of the type and the excellent register and alignment. The great merit clained by Dr. Schzvente for this Bible, a clain which may be most thoroughly endorsed and easily justified, is the extreme regularity of the distances between the stems of the various letters, giving, as may be seen in the facsimile, a remarkably uniform and pleasing appearance. The ingenious and painstaking way in which this agreeable result was produced, in spite of many difficulties, has been exhaustively investigated and described by this writer. The peculiarity is very rarely
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to be found in other early books, with the exception of the "Psalterium" of 1457 . Briefly speaking, the method by which this curious result was arrived at was the casting, in addition to the ordinary types, of certain special secondary and quasi-mutilated types of certain letters, the normal projections of which would have kept the neighbouring letters at too great a distance. A very interesting point (misunderstood by Sotheby) in connection with the printing of this Bible is the change in the size of the type after the first pages of the divisions A and B had been worked off. This was necessitated by the change from the 40 -line scheme to the 42 -line, and whilst some types were re-cast, others were actually cut down in height to such an extent that a portion of the curved dots of the i's was sliced off in the operation. 'The only explanation which can be given of some of the other alterations made in the type as the work proceeded is that the matrices had probably worn out and that new ones had to be made. Everything in Dr. Schwenke's opinion points to the conclusion that the printer had neither steel punches nor copper matrices, ${ }^{2}$ ) and that the latter were of lead, made either by stamping into that metal punches made of metal or of wood or by hammering wooden punches into the semi-molten lead. When the matrices or even the punches were worn, $\left.{ }^{( }{ }^{2}\right)$ new ones would easily be made ; these would, however, not correspond exactly with the old ones, and thus that multiplicity of forms may be accounted for, which could hardly be explained on the supposition that the unalterable steel punches and copper matrices had been employed. So much for the types. The irregularities in the composition are next exhaustively dcalt with in Dr. Schwenke's treatise. It is clear that the printer had no proper "chases" or "furniture," and that only at the top and bottom was the mass of type secured, so that lateral deviations from the vertical line are often to be observed. Critical observation of the method of printing and of the watermarks lead to the conclusion that no less than six presses were employed, that the Bible was begun by Gutenberg in association with Fust in 1454, or at the very earliest at the end of 1453 , and completed in July or August, 1455, and that the whole time from the beginning of the association till the end of the year, ${ }^{1} 453$ must have been occupied by Gutenberg in experiments. The result of a perusal of Dr. Scluwenke's extremely interesting article is to enable us in a measure to realize that enormous pains were bestowed by the printer, at an extremely early period of the art, upon the typographical niceties of this wonderful production. The question of the fragments of Donatuses, etc., in the smaller type of the 42 -line Bible is then fully discussed, and it is contended that only those can be ascribed to Gutenberg's own press which afford evidences of the rules of printing observed by Gutenberg himself. And here we arrive at a most momentous point of disagreement between Mr. Hessels (who has bestowed so much research upon the subject of Gutenberg's work) and Prof. Schwenke. The well-known Donatus of 35 lines, a fragment of which is preserved in the Bibl. Nationale at Paris, and contains the colophon, Pcr petrum de gernssheym in urbe Moguntina cum suis Capitalibus, affords, it is true, says the German professor, zeho gives the 42 -line Bible rerithout the slightest reservation to Gutenberg, an absolute proof that the type of this Bible had come into Scheffer's possession at a date not ascertained. The omission of Schoeffer's name might lead to an early date being assigned to the Donatus, but the exclusion of that of Fust induces him to assign to it the year 1467 or 1468 . "But," says Mr. Hessels, "not only zelas this trpe in Schaffer's hands when this Donatus weas printed, but with that type Schoffer himself had printed the 42 -line Bible and the 3o-line Indulgence, in neither of which had Gutenberg part or lot." The reader will find another lucid investigation of the points at issue in the work of M. Bernard, I ., 3 II-315; this writer assigns a late date to the Donatus, and refuses to credit Schœffer with either the 42 -line Bible or either of the Indulgences. So wide a divergence between the opinions of some of the most accurate and indefatigable of recent bibliographers on the question of the personality of the printer of the most important volume in the world will vindicatc my statements as to the uncertainty even now existing on the questions connected with the earliest productions of the press.
(1) The reader is referred to the chapter on the Evolution of the Type Mould for an investigation into the processes by which the types of the 42 -line Bible and the 1454 and 1455 Indulgences were in all probability produced.
${ }^{\left({ }^{2}\right)}$ From my own experiments, I conclude that whilst one to two hundred types could probably be cast from a leaden matrix, if great care were exercised, it would be very liable to injury at a much earlier stage, and that the wooden punch would very offen nol serve for the manufacture of more than one matrix, but that the early typefounder probably found a way out of the difficulty which experiment has shown me to be easy and practicable.

(VII.) FACSIMILE OF A PORTION OF THE 36-LINE BIBLE FROM THE COPY IN THE BRITISH MUSEUM, IN CONTRAST WITH THE SAME TEXT IN THE 42 -LINE BIBLE.

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\text { BIBLIA } & \text { LATINA. THE } & \text { 36-LINE } & \text { BIBLEE, OFTEN } \\
& \text { CALLED THE BAMBERG } & \text { BIBLEE. }
\end{array}
$$

Fol. I $a$ : (F)Rater am- \| brofius mi || chi tua munufcula pferens de \| tulit fimul. et fuauiffimas litte- || ras : etc. Fol. 82b, col. 2 : Gracia domini no- || fri ihefu crifti cum omnib; no- $\|$ bis amen.

Folio, printed in gothic type in double columns, 36 lines to a column; text measures $297 \times 90+19+90=199 \mathrm{~mm} . ;$ without numerals, catchwords or signatures; space left for initial letters. The book consists of four sections, commencing respectively on leaves 1, 267, 447, 669. The verso of Fol. 207 at the end of the Old Testament is blank. Differences probably exist in many leaves between this copy and others. Hain, 3032, Proctor, 60.

The origin of this Bible is still most uncertain. It is printed with the same types as the Manung zeidder die Durcke, used also by Albrecht Pfister at Bamberg in Boner's Edelstein, 1461, Vier Geschichten, 1462, and in the undated Belial, and apparently as those used by an anonymous printer in the Indulgences of Nicholas V., 1454 and 1455 (see pages 13 and 16). The types may have belonged to Gutenberg, who may have sold them to Pfister. As will be seen on page 27, a Donatus of 27 lines, of which a fragment only exists, may also have been printed from these types.

This extremely rare book is known as the Bamberg Bible because nearly all the existing copies were found in the vicinity of the town of Bamberg : as Pfister's Bible because some bibliographers, among whom is Bernard (II. 59), have attributed it to Albert Pfister of that town, and was at one time called the Schelhorn Bible, because it was fully described in 1760 by that bibliographer, who considered it to be the oldest edition of the Latin Bible printed by Gutenberg. It was for the first time mentioned by Schwartz, who in the year 1728 unearthed a copy in the library of a monastery near Mentz. The only direct indications of the date of printing are to be found in a copy preserved in the Bibl. Nationale in Paris bearing a MS. inscription of the date of 1461 , and in a register of expenditure of the abbey of St. Michael at Bamberg begun on the 21 st of March, 1460 , in the cover of which is a waste leaf of the Bible. Internal evidence, however, of an earlier date is perhaps afforded by the 3 r-line Indulgence of 1454 , in which similar types are used, but it should be noted that in the Indulgence the types appear sharper and newer than those of the Bible. The typographical disposition of the text of the 36 -line Bible is by no means so pleasing or so well cared for as that of the 42 -line, as will be seen by a comparison of the facsimiles (compare the spacing of the words diefere, B. 42, line 2, defere, B. 36 : nifi, B. 42, line 4, and nifi, B. 36 , line 3 ), and the printing is in all respects more negligent. The scrupulous care taken in B. 42 that all the outside sheets of a section were of similar paper is entirely wanting in B. 36 , where each fresh stock of a particular kind of paper was formed into a section and used up without any precaution. M. Dziatzko has shown that, in spite of the comparative crudity, clumsiness and want of taste shown in the 3 -line Bible as a whole, it cannot be considered as anterior in date to the 42 -line. Whilst holding the same view, and arguing that Gutenberg, having printed a soignée edition, could never at ạ later date have brought himself to issue a less carefully executed successor, Dr. Schweenke thinks it possible that some unscrupulous and nameless assistant of Gutenberg's may have learnt enough of his master's ways to accomplish this somewhat clumsy imitation, and finding perhaps that the work hung on hand, may have sold his apparatus and perhaps also the whole edition of the 36 -line Bible to Albrecht Pfister, who in his turn used the types, without being able to renew them as he would have certainly done had he been their creator.
(VIII.) FACSIMILE OF A PAGE OF A DONATUS OF 3I LINES PRESERVED
IN THE ROYAL LIBRARY AT THE HAGUE.

(FROM A PHOTOGRAPH SPECIALLY TAKEN FOR THIS WORK.)


#### Abstract

The fragment of which the annexed plate presents a facsimile (permission to photograph the


 original having been very courteously granted me by M. Byvanck, the chief librarian at the Hague,) is one of two which were found in 1844 by Mr. Campbell, sub-librarian at the Royal Library, in the old binding of a Dutch Book of Hours printed at Delft in 1484, having up to that date escaped observation by reason of their being anopisthographic, i.e., printed on one side only of the vellum, and of the printed sides having been glued to the cover of the book so that only the blank sides in each case were visible, even these being partly covered by little engravings pasted on them by some previous owner of the volume. Mr. Campbell having detected slight indications of printed matter showing through the vellum, detached with scrupulous precautions the leaves from the cover, in the presence of Mr. Holtrop, and great was the joy of the two bibliographers when these precious fragments were revealed.The leaves are printed, as has been said, on one side only of the vellum, with movable types, thirty-one lines to the page. The ink is pale, and is effaced by the contact of water, some lines of the leaf which is not here reproduced having been obliterated by that means. The types are the same as those of the well-known abecedarium discovered in 1751 by M. Enschedé, now in the Town Hall Library at Haarlem. They are of Dutch origin, and moreover possess characteristics similar to those of the Speculum IHumance Salvationis and the rest of the books and fragments hereinafter alluded to as Prototypographia Hollandica, notably that of the $t$ with a final bar. It is the first specimen of Dutch typography described by M. Holtrop (Mon. Typog. des Pays-Bas, page 15), and facsimiled by him, Plate II. (3). The composition and press-work are in many respects of the crudest possible description; there is no punctuation, there are no hyphens, the letters vary extremely in size, the alignment is most irregular, but on the other hand the lines are of fairly equal length. The general appearance is considerably rougher and more primitive-looking than that of the Dutch editions of the Speculum, to which, however, these fragments, as has been said, present a striking analogy.

Facsimiles of portions of the editions of the Speculum Ifumance
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FACSIMILE OF A PORTION OF THE SPECULUM HUMAN.E SALVATHONIS (MIXED LATIN EDITION) FROA THE GRENVILLE COPY IN THE, BRITISII MUSEUM. Salvationis have been so freely given in books on early typography that I have not thought it needful to include in the present series an autotype of a page of that extremely important book, but 1 append a reproduction of a part of the text, from which it will be seen that its appearance, primitive though it be, appears at any rate to indicate a more advanced stage of the typographical art than the Donatus under consideration.

If we are to believe the pronouncements of the Gutenbergians, the Speculum and this Donatus are quite late productions, certainly not earlicr than $1472 .\left(^{{ }^{*}}\right.$ ) In that case, instead of being, as at first sight
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[^7]would seem probable, one of the earliest efforts of an inventor who had only the most primitive devices for making his types, who did not understand how to manufacture a glutinous adhesive ink, or perhaps how to print on both sides of the vellum, we should have to look upon this page as the work of a man who was too much isolated or too poor to avail himself of appliances which had already for twenty years been available for the production of some of the most excellent examples of the typographic art.

## (IX.) FACSIMILE OF A PAGE OF A DONATUS OF 27 LINES PRESERVED IN THE BIBLIOTHEQUE NATIONALE, PARIS. <br> (FROM A PHOTOGRAPH SPECIALIV TAKEN FOR THIS WORK.)

The last page of a fragment of a Donatus, of which only two leaves, the fifth and the tenth, have been preserved; on the verso of the fifth leaf is written at the right hand corner the word Heyderssheym, and a date of which the upper portion has been shorn away, but which shows the cyphers 14 distinctly, followed by what may be the figures 5 r . Were these figures to be assumed as giving the note of a year after which the Donatus was not printed, we should of course have to do with an earlier date for the Mentz productions than we otherwise possess. This fragment is described and figured by Gotthelf Fischer, "Essai sur les monumens typographiques de Jcan Gutenberg" (Mayence, 1802). He obtained his information from his colleague, M. Bodmann, who had discovered the leaves in the archives of Mentz, where they served as cover for a register of accounts 1451-1492, and has reproduced the first four lines of this particular page. In his opinion the Donatus inscriptions require very careful verification, and his faith in M. Bodmann does not appear to be very firm. He attributes the fragment to the press of Gutenberg, and considers that the book was printed with movable types made of wood. The very numerous variations in the shapes of the letters preclude, in his mind, the idea that the types can have been cast in a mould. Mr. Hessels, who has examined the leaves, gives in his "Gutenberg" an interesting exposition of the arguments for and against the authenticity of the date, and of its relevance, if genuine. He doubts the identity of the type of this Donutus with that of the 36 -line Bible, with which it has, as we shall see, much analogy. $\left(^{1}\right.$ ) The greatest interest, under these circumstances of doubt, which the fragment has for me is the practical way in which it has been handled by Duverger in his anonymous work, "Histoire de l'Invention de l'Imprimerie par les Momuments" (Paris, 1840). His method of arriving at a determination of the press from which it proceeded, and of a solution of the difficulties presented by its physical peculiarities, is that commendable one of experiment, which has found so little favour with other writers on the earliest productions of the press. He assumes it to be printed by Gutenberg, causes type to be made approximating as nearly as possible to those of the 3 -line Bible which he ascribes to that press, casts some of them in soft lead, batters them about to his heart's content by frequent impressions and friction, prints from them nine lines of the Donatus and gives us the result. I have placed a facsimile of this impression opposite to the facsimile of the original page in order that the reader may judge for himself whether he has justified his claim to the explanation that the Donatus owes the crudity of its appearance not to initial defects in the type but to the hard usage which the types of soft lead had undergone after the printing of the 36 -line Bible. I can hardly understand how Duverger produced his effect by mere repeated impression; the types seem to have been rather unfairly and unjustly treated and the alignment has been marvellously tampered with. I confess that I am not quite convinced by his experiment, believing that the differences between these founts and of the justifications of the types are far greater than can be accounted for by mere wear and tear, though there are the closest resemblances between them.

I append a facsimile of Duverger's page illustrative of his theory. The reader will kindly note that the first nine lines are printed from modern type cast in lead for Duverger from matrices made in imitation of those of the 42 -line Bible, purposely battered; the next nine from type lcss ill-used; the next six lines from unused lead type from the same matrices and the last three from types cast in a harder metal. These last lines may set us on thinking whether differences between volumes from the same press may not be sometimes traceable to a difference in the composition of the type-metal as well as to differences of other kinds.

[^8]






 pritipia








 niore pitiz a









[^9] PRESERVED IN THE BIBLIOTILEQUE NATIONALE AT IPARIS, PRINTEI) FROM TYPES RESEMBLING THOSE















 maslegite legant tyutum legran tulegto ille iple lega muslegtate legutaup leguntate in patiua moia te






 Pretito pfīa legratislegrint pretento plutquiptatarum legiffur

# (X.) and (XI.) FACSIMILE OF TwO PAGES OF THE PSALTERIUM OF 1457 FROM THE COPY PRESERVED IN THE IMPERIAL LIBRARY IN VIENNA. 

[FROM PHOTOGRAPHS SPECIALLY TAKEN FOR THIS WORK.]
1457. PSALTERIUM, THE FIRST BOOK WITH A PRINTED DATE.

[MENTZ, JOHANN FUST AND PETER SCHEEFER.]

Fol. 1 a: (in red) Dn̄icis diebs poft feftum trinitatis Inuitatorium; (in black) Regē magnū dn̄m venite adoremus, $\tilde{\mathrm{p}}$ s Venite. \| (In red) dn̄icis dieb 5 poft feftū epॠie Inuitatoriū. \| (In black) Adorem9 dn̄m qui fecit nos, ps Venite aii Seruite. It Line 5 : (in black) (B)eatus vir qui || nōn abiit in || confilio impiorum et in || etc. On Fol. 1750 is the colophon: Pn̄s. fpalmo? (sic) codex. venuftate capitaliū decoāt9 \| Rubricationibufq, fufficienter diftinctus, II Adinuētione artific̃ofa imp̃mendi ac caracterizandi. \| abfq, calami vlla exaracōne fic effigiatus, Et ad eufe- !| biam dei induftrie eft ofummatus, Per Joћerm fuft || Ciuē magūtinū. Et Petrū, Schoffer de Gernfzheim, || Anno dn̄i Millefiō. cccc.lvij. In viglia Affūpcōis, ||

Folio, printed on vellum on 175 leaves (some copies having only 143 leaves), in Missal letters of two sizes, the text of the Psalms, Canticles, Creed, etc., being in the larger type, with 22 lines to a page, and the directions, prayers, and liturgical matter in smaller type with 24; the initials of each verse, as well as zehole sentences of liturgical matter, in red; large initial letters in red and blue, surrounded by blue and red tracery respectively; text measures $300 \times 205 \mathrm{~mm}$; without numerals, signatures, or cutchwords; in tens, except the 11 th gathering, which has eight, the 12 th, which has six, the 15 th, which has nine, the 16 th, whieh has eleven, the 17 th, which has ten, and the 18th, eleven leaves; in the edition of 143 leaves, the colophon is on Fol. 143b, which page is blank in the 175-leaved copies. Hain, 13479, Proctor, 64.

The text is arranged according to liturgical requirements. The Psalms, all of which are contained in the first ${ }^{1} 36$ leaves, not being placed in the order which they occupy in the Bible, but in that in which they were used for chanting on the various days and hours; they are interspersed with Canticles from all parts of the Bible, hymns, prayers, etc. ; spaces are left blank for the insertion of matter in MS. Staves for music are printed in, on which in some copies the notes for chanting are written in MS. The omission of 32 leaves from the end of a certain number of copies is explicable by the fact that the liturgical matter contained in them is arranged according to the Use at Mentz, and their absence rendered the Psalter a vailable for places where that Use did not prevail.

Of this magnificent volume, in many respects the most valuable printed book in existence, only nine copies are known to be extant, viz. :-
I.-Of the Edition of 175 leaves.-(1) In the Imperial Library at Vienna (from which our reproductions are derived), a beautifully clean and unused copy, measuring 410 mm . in height, on very white vellum - -the only perfect one of this edition. It was formerly in the Library of the Archduke Ferdinand at Schloss Ambras in the Tyrol. (2) In the University Library at Berlin-this copy wants leaf 34. (3) In the Bibliothique Nationale at Paris-this copy wants leaves 167-172. (4) In the Royal Library at Dresden extremely imperfect. II.-Of the Edition of 143 leaves.(5) The copy formerly in the Library of Earl Spencer, since acquired for Manchester by Mrs. Rylands. This is the only perfect copy of this edition. (6) In the Bibliothique Nutionale at Paris-wanting only the
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last leaf. (7) In His Majesties' Library at Windsor Castle-ma very clean copy, wanting leaves i37-14r, formerly belonging to the Ursuline Convent at Hildesheim. (8) In the Library at Darmstadtwanting leaves 28 and 137-143. (9) The copy in the Grenville Library at the British Museum-which wants leaves 28 , $37-143$; a poor copy which has been cleaned and washed, and many of the initial letters painted over.

There are other differences between almost all the existing copies the details of which have been very fully investigated by Mr. Russell Martineau, to whose most interesting article in the first volume of Bibliograplica (London, 1895) I am indebted for the particulars up to the point where the subject of the initial letters is touched upon, and to this article I would refer the reader for fuller details. The result of his exhaustive examination shows that there is no evidence that the book was set up as a whole more than once, yet it is clear that there were two distinct editions, and that with certain exceptions all the known copies belong to the first of these except (5) and (8) belong to what Mr. Martineau considers the first edition, and further that the typographical arrangement of the first page varies in each line in each of the three copies preserved in this country,-a result apparently of the successive efforts of the printers to produce the most pleasing possible result.

The commercial value of any perfect copy which might now be discovered is incalculable. The most recent purchase, however, mentioned by Brunet (that of the copy ceded by the Royal Library at Stuttgart to the Imperial Library at Berlin) involved the payment of the very modest sum of 7,000 florins only. The late Mr. Quaritch purchased at the Syston Park sale in 1884 for $£ 4,950$ a copy of the second edition (dated 1459) of this book by the same printers. This very copy had previously been sold at Sir M. M. Sykes's sale in 1824 for $£_{136}$ sos., and still earlier at the MacCarthy sale in 1815 for 3,350 francs. It now belongs to Mr. J. Pierpont Morgan, who is said to have paid Mr. Quaritch the price, $\mathscr{£}, 25$, at which it appears in his Monuments of the Early Printers, 1888.

The most striking feature in this wonderful book is the use of large decorative initial letters, filling in depth from two to six lines of text, printed from blocks in two colours; the initial being in red if the ornament surrounding it be blue, and vice versá. The printers prided themselves with good reason on this new feature. They characterize their volume, as we see in the colophon, as being adorned by the elegance of its cupifals ("venustate capitalium decoratus ").( ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ) An entirely new departure in the very new art of printing, this double-colour printing was of course thought out for the gratification of purchasers who had long been accustomed to the splendid embellishment in this manner of the hand-written service-books which were so much in demand, and with which such volumes as the Psalterium were designed to compete. This claim of the printers is very explicit, and its wording is thought by many to militate against the suggestion that Schœeffer was the inventor of steel punches and copper matrices, which he would have definitely claimed in this colophon had that invention been his.

The beauty and the extreme accuracy of the register of these initials has led to considerable discussion as to the method of their production. Bernard, I., 227, was perhaps the first writer to suggest that the two colours of these initials were printed at one operation, the printer making use of two blocks, one for the letter, the other for the ornament, capable of being separately charged with their respective colours, then fitted together, and printed with the rest of the text. Blades disagrees with Bemard's conclusions. He considers that there was but one block for each decorated initial, that this was not inked but printed "blind," to use a technical term, for the guidance of the illuminator, who followed the indentations with a brush charged with the necessary colours. It is confidently asserted, however, by those who have examined untouched copies, that the colours are printed and not laid on by hand. But the process suggested by Blades (based on an examination of the two-coloured initials of a Bible printed by Sweynheim and Pannartz in 5467 ), though incorrect in its application to the Psalterium, receives an interesting exemplification in a copy of the 1462 Bible in the British Museum, in which, as was pointed out to me by my friend Mr. Proctor, it has been recently noticed that all the red initials are printed,

[^10]whilst the blue, though set up with the red, have been impressed without being previously coloured, leaving in the vellum "blind" impressions for the guidance of the rubricator, who has, however, in this copy at any rate, chosen to treat these impressions merely as "initial directors," and disregarding their form to follow his own devices in painting his blue letters, as will be seen in the annexed

sketch. De Vinne thinks it probable that separate blocks were not used, but that the colours were separately painted on the letter and the ornament respectively. M. Wallau, of Mentz, devotes a whole article to the subject of these initials (Festschrift, 1900, pages 261-304); he has evidently collated with great care the initials of the Mentz copy of the Psalterium with those which occur in later impressions in 1459, 1490, 1502, 1515, and 1516, and insists on the following conclusions: (1) that those initials were printed, as suggested by Bernard, with a double block of the construction patented by Sir William Congreve in 1819 (it had been previously patented in another form by Solomon Henry in 1786) ; (2) that the blocks were of metal, not of wood; and finally he shows, by very numerous observations of the wear of particular letters, that the metal in which the delicate tracery was cut was a hard one, which alone could resist the peculiar wear, and that frequent mendings and solderings of the blocks took place, the traces of which were very visible as time went on.

One of the most convincing proofs that the initials were produced by a contrivance which allowed both colours to be printed at one operation is the great superiority of register which the original page possesses over all modern reproductions, including the present. Reproduction at three operations by lithography or by relief-blocks, as in my reproduction, cannot be expected to give a register quite as accurate as that of the original. M. Wallau boldly asserts that among the hundreds of initials which he has examined in his collation of the editions already mentioned, printed from the same blocks, there is not one which can be shown to have a faulty register, though differences in the width of the white margin between the letter and the ornament, due to the method of inking the blocks, are very noticeable. It seems probable that the black and red printing of the rest of the text was effected not by two separate printings, but by very carefully charging the types, which were all composed together in one forme with their respective colours, a delicate process, as in some cases the red and black letters almost come in contact.

It will be seen that the black printing is of extraordinary excellence ; almost as much care has been taken in the design of the types for this volume as in that of the 42 -line Bible, to which attention has already been called. In order to preserve the approximate uniformity of space between the stems of the letters, certain letters have been deprived of the small projections which would have militated against this result. Note in the first line of the facsimile the mutilated upper portion of the left stem of the $\mathbf{1 1}$ which diminishes the space between it and the $\mathfrak{e}$-of the $\mathfrak{i}$ in the word flios in the fifth line-of the $\mathfrak{u}$ in the ficut of the tenth line, and so on. The similarity of the means used to produce a pleasing result in this and in the 42 -line seems to furnish an argument for the belief that they were both due to the workings of the same master mind, and in M. Wallau's opinion the whole preparation for this process was made by Gutenberg, not by Schoffer, after long, careful and costly experiment, and he suggests that in conjunction with other typographical property these colour-blocks formed a part of the pledged articles which, on Nov. 6, i455, fell to Fust, the acquisition of which was to compensate him for the large sum in dispute.

I was desirous of presenting to my readers an entirely new facsimile of a page of this beautiful book. The page usually selected for reproduction is naturally that in which the magnificent initial " B" of the Beatus vir occurs. That is too well known to warrant repetition here. The next page in order of artistic excellence is that containing Psalm Iiii. By the great kindness of the Director of the Imperial Library at Vienna, Hofrath Dr. Joseph Karabacec, Professor in the University of that city, I have been permitted to have photographic reproductions made by Messrs. C. Angerer und Göschl, of Vienna, of the above-mentioned page and of the colophon. These gentlemen have bestowed great pains on the production of the necessary blocks, and I hope that my readers will be satisfied with the result. The colours in some previous illustrations have been so brilliant as to convey a most inaccurate conception of the original, whilst with very inferior facilities to those which we possess at the present day, Dr. Falkenstein (Geschichte der Buchdrucker Kunst, r840) succeeded in producing, in this and other respects, a very good imitation of the colophon. - Of this I now annex a new facsimile (XI.) made for me from the same volume as the preceding, and by the same photographic artists.



LTHOUGH the Art which enables us rapidly to multiply in a material form the expression of our ideas is an absolute necessity to modern life, and more imperatively indispensable than any of its fellows, yet is the interest taken in matters connected with its origin and inception in all probability less widespread than that excited by the records of discoveries of comparatively smaller importance. Not one man perhaps in a thousand pauses for a moment to conjure up a conception of the kind of world in which we should now be moving were our means of intercommunication still restricted to the methods in use before the introduction of printing by movable types, or of the state of chaos which would by this time have existed had the dissemination of facts and thoughts by means of the press been much longer delayed than the middle of the fifteenth century, and probably not one in fifty of educated men burdens his memory with the first recorded date of a document printed in Europe with movable types, whilst those of the first railway enterprise, of the first ocean steamer, of the first telegraph wire, are familiar to many.

But even in these days of bustle and scramble there are some who can spare time for retrospects, and they who care to skim the contents of these volumes may not be unwilling to bestow a few moments on a brief investigation of the earliest technical methods by which printed matter was produced in Europe, giving a passing glance at what had been effected at an earlier date in the land of the rising sun.

It has been remarked that had Europe had relations with China in the sixth century it might have absorbed ideas which would have led to a multiplication of books by type some eight hundred or nine hundred years before what we call the discovery of the art in the fifteenth century. But there is small basis for this rather academical suggestion. Had any conceivable chance brought the eastern and western nations into contact at that period the invention would have found in Europe a soil absolutely barren and non-receptive. It is therefore only as a matter of historical interest that reference is here made to the Chinese, Japanese and Corean inventions. Of the first of these a most interesting notice is given by M. Julien in a little brochure entitled "L'Imprimerie en Chine au Sixieme Siecle de notre ère" (Paris, 1850? 8vo), a short summary of which is as follows.

In the Encyclopædia called Khe-tchi-King-youtn, Book XXIX., Fol. 2, it is stated that in the thirteenth year of the reign of Went-ti, founder of the dynasty of Souil (A.D. 593), it was decreed that all inedited texts should be collected and engrazed on wood for publication, an announcement which proves that those productions which we call block-books (books printed from slabs of wood on which the text has been cut in inversc order and in relief) were well known long before the time of Fong-ing-zuang or Fong-Too (eiran A.D. 932), to whom the invention of this process has by some writers been attributed. And indeed from the wording of the Edict it may be inferred that the art was already well established before the promulgation of the decree in the sixth century. Even in the second century the ancient Chinese texts were engraved on stone for their preservation, and placed in public places (just as, long before the Christian era, texts were incised on stone for the same purpose in Assyria, Egypt, Greece, and Rome). A modification of this procedure came into use towards the end of the Thang dynasty (A.D. 907), when the authorities began to have the texts engraved on stone in inverse order, in order that a number of impressions might be taken from each slab. In the thirteenth year of the period Chunhoa (A.D. 992) the Emperor Thai-song made a decree that all MSS. of a certain class should be engraved on and printed from stone. These "were printed by hand without its being soilcd by the ink"-_that is to say, perhaps, that they inked the surface only of the stone, covered it with paper and then rubbcd the back of the paper, which, when removed, showed the inscription in white on a black ground. I can find no trace of such productions in the B.M., though from M. Julien's remarks it is to be inferred that such are still extant in China. The very first mention of movable types in that country appear to occur in the memoirs of Tchin-Kouo, who was received as Doctor A.D. Io56, and is therefore a contemporary of the inventor whose work he describes. He says that in the period King-li (IO41-1049) a blacksmith named Pi-ching invented a method of printing with movable plates called ho-pan. (This term still survives, and is used to designate the plates of the Imperial printing-office at l'ekin.) And this was his invention. Of a paste made of fine and plastic clay he formed regular plates of the thickness of a piece of money called Trien, and on these he engraved characters, thus forming types which he burnt in the fire to harden them. He then placed on a table an iron plate, and covered it with a very fusible cement composed of resin, wax and lime. When he desired to print he took an iron frame divided into vertical compartments (Chinese writing running in that and not in a horizontal direction), and placing it on the plate arranged his type upon it, pressing them closely against each other. Each frame filled with types thus formed a plate, which he brought near to the fire so as to soften the cement, and then pressed upon the composition a flat board of wood. By this means the types were cmbedded in the cement, and becaine level and compact, and ready to be printed from. Wooden types werc not used on account of their porosity, and because they would not leave the cement in the same clean manner in which the clay letters did; when the frame had been printed from, it was again warmed, and a swcep of the hand sufficed to rclease the types in a perfectly clean condition, ready for use. Pi-ching's relations took possession of his types at his death, and his process docs not appear to have survived him.
M. Julien informs us that the Chincse of the present day use wooden punches, costing only about $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d}$. or Id. each, and from them form matrices in a porceluin paste, which is afterwards baked in an oven, the types being cast in these matrices in a mixture of lead and zinc, and sometimes in silver. He is unable even to guess how the justification of matrices of so hard a nature is cffected. But in spite of that secmingly insurmountable difficulty the typographical results are eminently satisfactory. It is not in China and Japan alone that anticipations of modern typographical processes are to be found; particulars of another carly departure are to be found in a communication from Sir Ernest Satow to the Royal Asiatic Society (Transaction X. 252). In Corca, in the year 1409, the seventh year of Yung-lo, was printed with
movable types the Sun-tzü Shi-i Chia chu. In the post-face of this work occur the following remarks by the monarch: "Block-cut books are apt to be imperfect, and it is moreover impossible to print all the books that exist. I desire to have types moulded in copper with which to print all the books that I may get hold of, in order to make their contents widely known." His Highness having defrayed out of his own purse a large part of the expense, the officers began to cast the type on the nineteenth day of the moon, and in a few months they had cast sevcral hundred thousand types.

But a still earlier date of printing is claimed for Corea by Sir E. Satow, who believes that the annotated edition of the K'ung-tzü Chia-yii, reprinted in Corea from a Chinese version, really dates from 1317-1314, and assigns the date of 1337 to the Chinese Encyclopædia entitled "Wān heen t'ung K'aou," printed in Corea with movable types, from a rolume of which, exhibited in the King's Library of the B.M., the accompanying illustration is taken. From the foregoing greatly condensed notices it will be seen that the general principles of typography had been developed in the far east long before they had penetrated the western intelligence. It must, however, be always borne in mind that it is one thing to print with movable types the large and clumsy Chinese and Japanese characters, and another to prepare and print from the comparatively minute and delicate characters of even the earliest European Incurabula.


COREAN PRINTING WITH MOVABLE TYPES, A.D. ${ }^{1337}$.

we endeavour to realize with any precision what took place at the Dawn of Typography two pregnant queries will probably occur to our minds: "W"hat did the Inventor of Printing invent?" and "Why was not so simple an art practiscd noes ago, say in Greece or Rome, where intellectual culture and technical skill walked hand in hand?" It will be converient to give precedence for a brief space to the latter consideration. Let us reflect for a moment on the mechanical possibilities of the Roman era. In the best days of the Imperial City. the art of casting in many metals was extensively practised, whilst their incision for the die-sinkers' purpose was more skilfully exccuted than in many of the works of succeeding ages, and some of the more delicate repousse work of the classical period might well excite the envy of the artist of any time or country.

The elements of the technical skill needful for the production of tyes of a high order of excellence lay very near the hand of the artificer of Rome. Already there were in
existence in his day, in large numbers, brass or bronze stamps presenting an exact analogy to those xylographic bloclis which gave the suggestion to the unknown typeinventor of later days-stamps or brands on which the deeply-cut letters were cast in relief and in reverse order, so that with suitable ink an inscription could have been impressed on vellum or other available material. These stamps, the exact purpose of which does not seem to have been yet ascertained, must have been in common use, for in the British Museum alone there are some one hundred and forty of them of various shapes and sizes. The name on most of these stamps is in the genitive case, showing that the object to be marked was the property of the owner of the stamp or brand, and the design and workmanship are often commendable, though much of their original sharpness has of course been lost by exposure to various corroding influences. The maker of the Roman stamp possessed sufficient technical skill to enable him to model and cast any letter separately, and finish it so that it would have served either as a type or as a punch wherewith to make the matrix of a type, had this been required of him. But that was all. The supply of handicraft skill available for the production of manuscripts was plentiful at Rome, but the demand for the product was not overwhelming. In the first place there was an abundant provision of literary slaves (librarii and amanuonses) constantly engaged in the business of copying books and


BRONZE STAMP OR BRAND. "ASPER."


BRONZE STAMP OR BRAND. "RAPSAB." more than able to supply the requirements of scholars. The fact that a daily newspaper (the Acta Diuma) containing the "Daily Acts of the Senate and the People" was established by Julius Cæsar, and published in one form or another for two or three centuries, evidences the facility with which large numbers of copies of manuscripts could be disseminated. Apart from the fact that there was no such absolute necessity for the wide distribution of knowledge as might have stimulated the inventive mind to devise a readier way of producing reproductions of literary matter two physical deficiencics barred the way to progress. The first and most fatal of these was the absolute want of paper. The thoughts of the Romans had to be recorded on one of four principal materials, wax, parchment, vellum and papyrus; the first was of course employed only for temporary records, the second and third must always have been somewhat dear and unsuited for any but expensive volumes, the last, so extensively used by Egyptians, Greeks and Romans, was alike by its colour, brittleness and harshness quite unfit for a printer's use. The second deficiency is one not so likely to occur to the mind, namely, the want of a ziscous ink: A method of preparing this would doubtless have been found had the need for it become obvious. But to its non-existence and that of paper in those days is due, we must conjecture, the delay in the inception not only of typography, but also of a kindred art, that of the production of impressions from engraved plates. All was at hand for the genesis of this latter process had these two materials been available, as may readily be seen by an inspection of the admirable designs incised on the backs of the Roman bronze mirrors preserved in the National Collection, from any of which a line engraving could have been produced by filling up the incised pattern with a glutinous ink, cleaning the surface and then applying pressure or friction on the back of a piece of superimposed paper. Bearing these facts in mind, and remembering that the experimenter of the fifteenth century possessed the enormous advantage over the ancients of being able to procure an unlimited quantity of paper of a far better quality than that now in general usc, we may revert to the query, What zeras there for him to invent? He had under his eyes, in the Block-books, productions zwhich doubtless furnished the first
suggestion of what we call Typography. The annexed illustration is a facsimile of a page of a Donatus as printed in the last century from one of the two original wooden blocks cut by hand in the fifteenth century, which were formerly in the collection of the Duc de La lallière, and are now in the Bibliotheque Nationale at Paris.(1) This particular block is not one of the very earliest productions, but similar blocks were in existence many years before the invention of movable types. That the happy thought of the possible mobility of char-acters-of cutting such a slab into separate letters and arranging them as required was the germ of all subsequent discoveries is more than probable, but such a process would not suffice for the printing of even the roughest book as we now understand the term. For cven after the technical details, presently to be adverted to, involved in the production of serviceable wooden types had been successfully mastered, and they had been placed in proper order and compacted, they would still have had to be treated just as the xylographic blocks themselves were treated, smeared over, that is to say, with a watery pigment, and then covered with paper the back of which must be rubbed by hand till an impression was produced, differing only from the xylographic print already in pretty general circulation, in the fact that the matter had been varicd at the pleasure of the printer by the rearrangement of the type. The second and third inventions were probably simultaneous, though differing in character, to wit, the
block of the fifteenth century now in the bibl. nationale at paris.

[^11]composition of a sticky ink, and an adaptation of the fress by which the assembled type could be accurately and speedily printed on both sides of a sheet of paper. Though a large number of representations of the Printing-press of the sixteenth century are in existence, we possess little or no information on its very earliest construction. It is true that an alleged discovery of a portion of the press of Gutcuberg took place at Mentz in 1886, which, had the relic been genuine, would have evidenced the fact that a screzw was used for giving the needed pressure, but the "find" is entirely discredited, even by the Mentz votaries. There can be little doubt, however, that the principle of the screw-press was perfectly familiar to and would naturally be utilized by the inventor for obtaining the heavy pressure so essential in an operation where adjustments of surfaces were so crude, very considerable modifications of such ordinary forms, as for example that of the winc or oil press, being, however, necessary in even the earliest stages of typography. These last-mentioned improvements have assumed in the minds of some investigators so much importance that they have considered the invention to have been that of the Printing-press rather than that of the mozable types, of the ink or of the type-mould, and it is urged by Skccu ("Early Typography," Colombo, 1872, Svo) that the "zunrbelin" mentioned in the Dritachen-Gutenberg process as objects which were to be hidden if the secret was to be kept were the long screz's or struts which, reaching from the head of the press to the ceiling of the press-room, served to resist the upward thrust occasioned by the turning of the main screw of the press! When a press which could be operated with a fair amount of accuracy and a tenacious ink had been thought out and adopted, the possessor of well-made wooden types was, as I shall show, in a position to produce on a small scale by means of the three inventions, viz., the arooden type, the glutinous ink and the press good printing on both sides of a sheet of vellum or of paper. But no improvements in such types, press or ink could have enabled the crude art to germinate and expand as it so rapidly did, or render it universally serviceable to man. A means of rafidly multiplying types, and of gizing them permanent sharpness, was imperatively needed, and the whole scope of the new art was radically and immeasurably extended by the invention at an early stage of the type-motild, by which any number at pleasure of metallic types of fairly uniform shapes could be produced by simple labour. But, strange to say, the enormous importance of this last step has not been fully appreciated by historians, though a careful study of the subject would, I think, lead most of us to agree with De Vime that the inventor of the type-monld is the inventor of typography. An attempt will be made in the following pages to investigate the successive steps by which the task was probably accomplished.


FROM ' HYPNEROTOMACIIA,' (ALDUS, 1499).


OR centuries it has been a matter of popular belief that the types used in the earliest days of printing were made of zoood. This opinion is without doubt attributable in the first place to the details given by funizes of the narrative of the Costerian invention, and in the second to the very numerous variations in the shape of many letters which occur in the earliest productions of the press. These freaks have, however, been in recent times shown to be quite consistent with the use of soft metal types when produced by the somewhat crude methods which preceded the introduction of steel punches and copper matrices, coupled with the disinclination of the early printer (who still clung to the vagaries of the scribe whom he was displacing) to be tied down to monotonous uniformity. It has, however, been of late the fashion to deride not only as unnecessary, but also as absurd, the assumption that it is physically possible to print a book with these types. I venture to say that the investigations of the possibilities of wooden types, whether undertaken by the believers or by the disbelievers in their use, have hitherto been unsatisfactory and untrustworthy because they have been carried on by scholars, printers or typefounders rather than by mechanics. The question is one in which argument should be based on earnest experiment and not on theory-Solvitur ambulando.

The contentions and experiments of some of the believers in the use of these characters have done as much to discredit their acreptance as the attacks of those who conceive their employment on the large scale to be utterly impracticable. Theod. Bibliander(2) was one of the first writers to make mention of wooden types. He says: "At first they cut the letters on wooden blocks the full size of the page. But because that was a costly and troublesome business they thought out the use of separate wooden types threaded on a string which held them together; with a series of which the page was filled." Meerman(3) takes the same view, and in its support prints the passage of which a facsimile is annexed, introducing a specimen of letters cut in box-wood but not threaded, forming the words "Spiegel der Behoudenis." The result is, as will be seen, not only unconvincing, but most damaging to his hypothesis. One of

Hac certe methodo literas aliquot e ligno buxino mea gratia fculpfit quidam Roterodami artifex, quac prelo fubjectac (absque fili junctura) tra haec Belgico fermone formavere vocabula: Spiegel der Behoadenis

MEERMAV'S DEMONSTRATION (? OF THE PRACTICABILITY OF PRINTING FROM WUODEN TYPES.
the next experimenters (about the year 1770) was the celebrated typefounder Johames Enschede: He says: "I have exercised printing for about fifty years, and engraving on wood for nearly forty-five years; I have cut letters and figures for my father's and my own printing-office in

[^12]wood of box, pear and medlar ; I have now been a typefounder for upwards of fifty ycars, but to do such things as those learned gentlemen (Jumius and Mcerman) pretend that Laurens Coster and his heirs have done would be impossible either to me or to Papillon (the most clever woodengraver of France, perhaps still living). Nor could the artists Albrecht Durer, De Bray or 1z. I'an der I'me have accomplished them. To print a book with capitals of the size of a thumb, as on placards, 'Housc and Ground,' which are cut in wood, and which I have cut myself by hundreds, would be ridiculous; to do it with wooden letters of the size of a pin's head is impossible. I have made experiments with a few of a somewhat larger size. I made a slip of wood on which I drew letters in 'gros romain,' which I afterwards engraved, leaving between them the space necessary for a saw-cut. I had no want of fine and grod tools; the only question now was to saw the letters mathematically square off the slip. I used a very fine little saw, made of a very thin spring of English steel, so cleverly made that I doubt whether the good Laurens Janszoon had a saw half as good; I did all I could to saw the letters straight and parallel, but it was impossible to succeed in doing so-there was not a single letter which could stand the test of being mathematically square. What now to be done? It was impossible to polish or file them. I tried it, but it could not be done cven on our typefounders' grindstones. I only succeeded in spoiling my letters. In short, the thing was not practicable, and I am convinced that no wood-engraver is able to cut movable letters in such a manner that they remain mathematically square, for in the exact quadrature of the letters is to be found the secret of typographic alignment. If, however, I wished to give my trouble and time to it, I should be able to exceute the three words 'Spiegel onzer Behoudenis' better than the Rotterdam artist has done in the Latin works of M. Meerman; but it is impossible, ridiculous and merely chimerical to print books in this manner."

On this experience of M. Enschedé, V'an der Linde remarks: "We cannot wish for a more decisive and competent criticism of the story of Junius than this, given by a Haarlemer and a Costerian, for Junius represents Coster as having printed the Spectulum in Dutch with wooden types; he makes him, in other words, do something impossible, ridiculous and chimerical. It is true that the wooden types have been patronized until our times, that Camus has given a specimen of printing with wooden types of two lines, Wetter of one column, Schinkel of half a page, but none of their specimens have proved what they should have proved, the practicability of printing a book with movable letters, i.c., to distribute the forms, to clean the ink from the letters, to submit them to frequent strong pressing, and to retain the usefulness of the letters employed, and without the aid of modern apparatus (?) It is high time for criticism to make a fire of these imaginary wooden letters . . . these fatal unhistorieal wooden types! Wetter spent nearly the amount of ten shillings on having a number of letters made of the wood of a pear tree. His letters, although tied with string, did not remain in the line but made naughty caprioles. The supposition that by these few dancing lines the possibility is demonstrated of printing with 40,000 wooden letters, necessary to the printing of a quaternion, is dreadfully silly." The experiment of Wetter alluded to by Van der Linde is described by Wetter in his Kritische Geschichte der erfindung der Buchducker Kunst. (Mentz, $1838,8 \mathrm{vo}$ and ob. folio, page 302), and its disastrous result is shown in Plate 11. of his Tafch of same date, here reproduced. The following are the author's remarks on his attempt: "The unceasing labour of carving letters which was connected with block printing (for nothing else could be printed with the letters cut on tables of wood) induced Gutenberg, according to Faust's words, to cut these blocks to picces, to take out all the letters in order to begin the composition, and to replace the worn (or damaged) letters with new. I have proved from many concordant testimonies that printing has really been accomplished by means of movable letters of pear and boxwood. Camus has shown the possibility of printing with such type by having a small number of wooden letters made and having two lines printed with them. I furnish
a still more complete proof, for I had letters made of pearwood in type the size of the forty-twoline Bible, and these in a sufficient number to enable me to print a whole column, which has been demonstrated on the appended Plate II. These letters have all been carved out of a block of wood of the same thickness throughout, side by side in lines of equal width, the block was then divided into separate parts, and these again were cut up into separate letters. All these letters, therefore, were made of the same height and width, without any particular spacing. Then each one was pierced with a hole, and in the composition they were threaded on to a strong thread. All the lines were immediately enclosed together in the simplest manner in a frame, and printed, all of them, without any difficulty. (r) it is hardly necessary to state that one could carve not only more durable but also still smaller letters, with finer strokes and sharper angles, out of boxwood." Wetter quotes Daunou, who says: "' The opinion that the Bible was printed with cast type is in every respect the most reasonable, but this is principally proved by the testimony and consideration of the difficulties presented by any other method." "I have cxposed," continues Wetter, " the nullity of objections of this sort in the notes to Heinecker and Daunou. But they are immediately refuted by the test which I present on Plate II. One can print an extensive work like the Bible without insuperable difficultics by means of carved letters made of sufficiently strong wood. With the 40,000 letters required for printing a quire of four sheets one could print all the rest. The process of carving such a number of letters accurately,
 ©f facta eft lut. © $\mathfrak{C l}$ nidit deus rucem quod effet boma, et divifit rucem a tenebriz, apperravitate nucem dieat et tenebrab noctem. factumaue ebt oesper et mane dié unuz. Dixitque deus: Fiat firmamettum in medo anuarum, at dinffit aquab ab aguis. ©

WETTER'S UNSUCCESSFUL ATTEMPT TO IMITATE A PORTION OF THF FORTY-TWO-LINE BBLE WITH WOODEN TYPES.

[^13]and of setting them up, would probably extend over a period of one and a half years, but would by no means entail any enormous expense, although it would cost much more than the casting of an equal number in metal."

Far from being out of conceit with the discreditable outcome of his experiment Wetter has the temerity to say: "The almost perfect uniformity of the same letters in the printed example on Plate II. was obtained in a similar manner. For each letter of the alphabet a stamp made of wood was carved, and this, by means of printing ink, was printed off on to the wooden block as many times as was necessary." Wetter (Kritische Geschichte, p. 302).
M. Léon de Laborde( ${ }^{1}$ ) occupied himself in a more practical manner than Meerman, and more successfully than Wetter, in an attempt to demonstrate that Gutenberg's earliest work was done with wooden letters. He does not say
 whether he drew his letters on the end grain or on the long grain of the wood; it was "sur utn des blocs de bois employés pour les gravures de nos éditions illustrées," and on this he drew 128 letters (e). His first illustration shows nothing but that it is possible to draw on a block of wood letters which have some resemblance to each other; but too little care has been taken with the alignment. He apologises in the most candid manner for these defects by the remark that it was only a rough experiment of his own made for amuscment and without any previous practice. The faint white lines in the margin indicate the saw-cuts he afterwards made between the letters.
DE LABORDE'S FIRST BLOCK.

The next illustration shows the same preparation with other letters for the subsequent very rough process, viz., that of dividing the letters by a saze. No other tool seems to have occurred to him as needful, and De Laborde appears to have ignored the simple means of giving by a plane or even by a chisel quadrature to his little types.

The attempt to print with these unskilfully


DE, LABORDE'S SECOND BLOCK.
unsatisfactory, but is cxactly what nearly as damaging to the claim It is somewhat strange that on the same page M. de Laborde indicates in the most convincing manner in the fourth illustration the way in which he conceives the wooden type for the thirty-one-line Indulgence to have been arranged. Ceme Ceme Cevm Ceve Cere Ceeve mievee

LE LAIORIE'S ILLUSTRATION OF THE FEASIBILITY OF PRINTING WITH WOODEN TYPES.

It is surprising that he did not make a more scrious attempt to give that regularity to his

[^14]own types which the illustration proves him to have considered needful, and which as I shall show is perfectly practicable.

In refutation of the alleged enormous expenditure of time and money necessitated by the use of wooden types, De Laborde hazards the calculation that an engraver could complete twenty-five letters per diem. That this might be possible I am not in a position


DE LABORDE'S DEMONSTRATION OF THF. SHAPES OF TVPES USEI IN THE THHRTY. ONE-LINE INDULGENCE. to deny, but the estimate appears to me to be rather too sanguine. Camus in $1 \$ 10$ told Lambinet that each letter cost him ten sons to make. We should best arrive at a credible estimate were we to ask ourselves how many words it would seem probable that a rylographer would draw on a block of wood and cut in a day, and what would be the time consumed in parting and squaring the letters when so engraved.

A radical fallacy lay at the root of the experiments of most writers on this subject which has entirely prevented their grasping the possibilities of wooden types. They have assumed, probably with reason, that the first thing done by the man to whom occurred the happy thought of the utility of movable letters was to cut up a xylographic slab on which letters in relief had been engraved, such as that now preserved in the Bibliotheque Nationale at Paris,(1) an impression from which is reproduced on page 37 sutpra, and saw it into little blocks, on the top of each of which was a letter. He may indeed have made this very crude experiment, have tried to assemble these uncouth bits and have taken a rough impression from them If this experiment were encouraging he would most certainly never repeat it, for the simple reason that he would find with Enschedé, De Laborde(?), and Wctter that he could not operate with any success upon the sawn surfaces.

The explanation of these little failures is after all really a most simple one-these blocks were all cut the wrong way of the grain. The blocks of the Block-books were slabs of wood (planches de bois) the grain of which ran lengthways, hence the sawn surfaces of the little blocks were endzuy's of the grain, and to reduce them to their proper form by Meerman's or Enschede's hones or grindstones was a hopeless task. But no mechanic who had made the crucial essay of cutting up the engraved board would again face the "honing" process. He would take a section of uniform thickness cut across the srain of a piece of pear-tree, maple or boxwood, and draw on it in reverse order within parallel lines an alphabet resembling the letters of the block-books, making the letters as nearly as possible of the same height. He would next engrave then in relief, part each letter off with a saw and then, instead of having to grind or fle the edges to give then their proper size, he would be able to shape them, along instead of across the grain, with a tool which we cannot conceive not to have been then in use-viz., an ordinary planc( ${ }^{(2)}$ (used preferably, but by no means necessarily, with a "shooting board" which consists merely of two planed boards joined at right angles, in which the plane travels, the type being kept in a position to be planed square by a small stop of wood). And a chiscl carefully used would have the same result as the plane. By this means all his letters must needs have the quadrature of which Enschede speaks and could be given the exact width shown to be required. He would not go far in this process before he would find out that the little blocks must all have the same "body" as we call it, so that when he laid down a number of them side by side on a flat surface no type would project above another. To ensure this in practice
(1) M. Bernard, however, gives (1., 106) his reasons for believing that the xylographic Donatuses are later than the printed editions which served the xylographer as models! $\left(^{2}\right)$ Although the adze was largely used by early workmen,
where in later times the plane was more advantageonsly employed, we cannot doubt that the latter tool was well where in later times the plane was more advantageously employed, we cannot doubt that the latter tool
known long before the fifteenth century. It had attained a perfect development early in the sixteenth century.
he would make his slips (as indced Enschedé seems to have done) all of the same width, the difference being that the grain of the wood of the inventor's slips would run up and down the length of the wooden type, whereas the grain in Enschedé's and perhaps in De Laborde's experiments ran across it. But little mechanical knowledge is needed for the appreciation of the impossibility of obtaining a serviceable result by the latter process, or of the case of ensuring with ordinary skill by the former, blocks of wood of proper quadrature and of the needful width. I am a firm believer in the wisdom of testing theory by practice. My own experience as a worker in wood for two or more years during my education as a mechanical engineer led me to think that the capabilities of this matcrial for use in typography had been underrated and its defects exaggerated by the writers whose adverse opinions I have quoted, and with a view of demonstrating the practicability of making with ordinary tools, and with only an ordinary amount of skill, durable wooden types of even small "bodies," I commissioned Messrs. Day and Collins, Ltd. (of Fann Street, Aldersgate Street), whose business is that of making larger wooden types, principally by machinery, and who had never hitherto undertaken to make any smaller types than three-line pica ( $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{in}$.), to make for me by hand the letters needful for composing part of the concluding lines in Mentelin's edition of the Secunda Sccunda

## ibis xpّus ońs nofter quí eft fuper omía veus benedictus

FACSIMILE OF PASSAGE FROM AQUINAS, SECUNDA SECUNDA (HENTEL/N; 1466 ).

## ib's xpúus dñs nofter qui eft fuper omia seus bencoíctus

THE SAME PASSAGE PRINTED FROM MODERN WOODEN TYPES.
of S. Thomas Aquinas, of which a facsimile is annexed. The result of a comparison of the annexed facsimiles (1) of the original text, and (2) of the same passage printed from these modern zwooden types, will, I think, be admitted to be highly creditable to a workman to whom this handiwork was entirely new. It may be said in passing that no suggestion is made that the Secunda Sccundæ was frinted with other than metal types. The text was only selected as being printed in a small character, and as being the earliest in date of my reproductions. By exactly the same method I had made for me the wooden types, of which a specimen is annexed, of part of three lines of a Doctrinale, a facsimile of which will be found on a later page. The way in which Messrs. Day and Collins set about this second set is shown by the annexed illustration; the letters were photographed on to the blocks of box cut across the grain and then engraved, broken up by

Sed 4 poductá potitura ber auta fonaf breuis


portion of early dutch doctrivale the saw and marked by lines the width of the body. The 1MITATED IN AN IMPRESSION FROM MODERN WOODEN TYPES. little pieces were then reduced to their proper dimensions by the plane and shooting board. The engraver has carefully followed some of the irregularities of the original, so that the alignment, about which no care was taken, leaves

[^15] much to be desired. I had paid little attention to this fcature in those two sets of letters, the experimonts having principally in view two objects, the first to demonstrate the durability of wooden types, in as nearly as possible the conditions under which the early printer would have used them, the second to use them as punches in a way shortly to be described. It las been stated, even by writers as careful and unbiassed as Bernard, that after the first use of such types, or rather after the washing of the formes, they would have become useless on
account of their deterioration (by moisture), and Mr. De Vinne, a practical printer, makes the remark: "Even if it were possible to cut them, it would be impossible to use them. No care could keep them from warping. Types must be wet with ink, and they must be cleaned with lyc or water; they must be exposed to changes from heat to cold, from dampness to dryness. Under these influences the little skewers of wood, for so they must be regarded, would soon be twisted out of shape and unfitted for future service. It is in this liability to warp that types of wood fail most signally. It is not enough that they can be made to serve for one experiment ; the only demonstration of practicability that a printer can accept is that of repeated distribution and recomposition, a feat which has never been done." So also the late Mr. Talbot Reed, in his splendid work, "A History of the Old English Letter Fonndries" (London, 1887, 4to), remarks: "Admitting for a moment that some printer may have succeeded in putting together a page of these wooden types, without the aid of leads, into a chase: how can it be supposed that after their exposure to the warping influences of the sloppy ink and tight pressure during the impression, they could ever have survived to be distributed and recomposed into another forme." It is with the very greatest deference to the technical knowledge, large experience, and high intelligence of these agreeable authors that I venture to put forward a very opposite conclusion to theirs.

The wooden type, of the impressions from which photographic reproductions are here shown, were composed, printed from and distributed, and threc hundred impressions taken (corresponding to the probable number printed of most of the early books), cleaned (not with (ye,(1) as was rather oddly suggested by Mr. De Vinne) but with oil, then recomposed in entircly different sequence, another three hundred copies printed from them, and so on for seven times, and always in different juxtaposition. I then had another 1,400 impressions pulled, distributing the matter after each two hundred impressions. The reader will be able to judge, by a comparison with the illustration on the previous page, whether any serious deterioration has resulted from thirtecn repetitions of the process of distribution, cleaning and composition, and 3,500 impressions from the types. The little "skewers" have not warped in the very smallest degree, and if they could speak they would I think

> Sed in pourta pofitum Gec acuẽo conat breuio IRE nỏ penit? bas nozmag

THREE THOUSAND FIVE HUVDREDTH 1MPRESSION FROM WOODEN TYPES, OF WHICH THE FIRST PROOF IS SHOWN ON THE PRECEDING PAGE, AFTER BEIVG THIRTEEN THMES DISTRIBUTED AND AGAIN COMPOSED IN DIFFERENT ORDER.


BLOCK OF BOXWOOD PREPARED FOR CUTTING LETTERS. express their willingness to serve again for another 5,000 or 10,000 impressions, as my printer tells me they could (see Evolution, plate 11., figs. 4 and 5). Mr. De Vinne, Dr. Van der Linde and others have given to a confiding public the result of theory instead of taking the trouble to make conclusive experiments. One cannot help being reminded of the apocryphal but instructive story of the Merry Monarch, the Royal Society, the bowl of water and the gold-fish.

The next experiment was designed to illustrate the most obvious, simple and ready way of making these types with some regard to alignment. At my suggestion slips of boxwood were planed the exact thickness of the body, lines were scribed on them on the end grain showing the exact height of the letters, and the letters were drawn between these lines by hand; they were next engraved, sawn apart

[^16]and planed at the sides to the required width. By this very simple process the compact fitting, without any "leads," of the types in the forme is virtually as well assured as in the case of metal types, and any very serious error of alignment can only be due to the carelessness of the draughts-

> SeO fí poud或) et adiedo fomat breuis ¢̧2 $\mathfrak{x}$ ºx penit? bas nozttas

IMPRESSION FROM THE THIRD SET OF wooden types cut with more regard TO ALIGNMENT THAN THE SECOND. man in drawing, or of the engraver in engraving the types within the lines, the regularity attainable being unquestionably greater than that found in many early productions of the press. The practical difference in the accuracy of alignment obtainable by wooden letters thus made, as compared with that from metal types, is due to the greater delicacy of adjustment obtained by finishing with the slight touch of a file the end of a copper matrix than can possibly be ensured by drawing and engraving the letter on wood at exactly the proper distance from the top and bottom of the "body." The method by which this last set of letters has been prepared is that which would suggest itself to any mechanic to whom the desiderata had been explained.

Types so made can be "pied" and recomposed without loss of alignment, as will be seen by the illustration. A few months' practice would enable the modern letter-cutter to acquire far greater skill, and the shape of the letters and especially the alignment would gain greatly thereby.

Some of my ingenious friends
i haue raze donments and shature pictures Sole potis and io on

SENTENCE PRINTED FROM WOODEN TYPES DISTRIBUTED AND RECOMPOSED.
to the portion under notice.
The types being fairly accurate in body, it is as easy to print a page as three lines, and I would undertake, if it were not for the expensc of cutting the types which is entailed in our days by the price of skilled labour, to print with such wooden types, on a very primitive press, a Donatus or a Doctrinale which would compare most favourably with the early editions of these works of which facsimiles will be found in this volume, though of course not even
S.patúrafíanntat gritonioautuden
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IMPRESSION FROM THE THIRD SET OF WOODEN TYPES DISTRIBUTED AND AGAIN COMPOSED. types into anagrams more or less pertinent, specimens of which are subjoined. In the first the types are exactly as in the
original. For the second the double types have been original. For the second the double types have been
dissociated. The first of the sentences may be taken to relate dissociated. The first of the sentences may be taken to relate
to my collection generally. The second is certainly pertinent have amused themselves with arranging all or some of the distributed

## sto pure art

 3)as done to mury to Spread an actiue discuifion as pzintSENTENCE PRINTED FROM WOODEN TVPES DISTRIBUTED AND RECOMPOSED. approaching in excellence many fine specimens of the earliest printing from metal typesand thus for cver dispose, if I have not already done so, of the flippant assertion of Dr. Van der Linde that any scrious printing with wooden types is "impossible, ridiculous, and chimerical."


E have seen that the speculative theories on the construction and possible employment of wooden types have not been fully borne out by practical investigation, and the same condition of things appears to exist to a very considerable extent in respect of their successors, the metallic types upon which typographical processes now( ${ }^{( }$) almost entirely depend. A circumstance which cannot but give the investigator some surprise on the very threshold of his search is the very free and constant reference in authentic notices of inctunabula, and especially in the colophons of the books themselves, to materials harder than lead as being used in typography, inferentially for the substance of the types. Jenson, in 1471, calls himself librorum sculptor. In 1473, Bartolommeo, of Cremona, in the colophon of the Summula Confessionis of Antoninus, says, "quenn legis impressus dum stabit in ære character. Dum non longa dies vel fera fata prement. . Cedite chalcographi millesima vestra figura est." In 1476, Husner says that the Preceptorium of Nider was produced by him "Von pennis ut pristi (sic) quidem sed littcris seulptis artificiali certe conatu ex ere." In 1489, in the colophon of the Explanatio Psalmorum printed at Basle by Johann of Amorbach, we find "hi judicent qui illud aliis similibus sibi, sive manuscriptis sive ere impressis litteris contulcrint." In 1495, in the colophon of an edition of Hormolaus Barbarus, we

Were the earliest types made of brass? have a double mention of the hard metal, "per chalcographum Carolum a Darleriis civem Cremoncnsem Caractcribus æneis impressa. Many more instances may be cited of the implied use of letters "out of" (cx) brass, and of the mention of the printer as a woriter in or with brass (chalcographus). A barbarous word which I do not remember to have seen elsewhere occurs in the colophon of Thomas Murner, De reformatione poctarum (Argent, 1509) -"offendes pisime lector passim acregraphantis negligentiâ incastigatiores mendas."

A notice of modern conjectures on this most interesting problem of early hard metal types would intrude unduly on our space, but the following are too well-known to be entircly
(') Extensive factories equipped with elaborate machinery exist, however, here and in the United States for the production of wooden types for use in advertisements, posters etc.
omitted:-Mcerman, Origines Typographicce, Hag. Com. I765, folio i., 26 (who by the way considers that the books or fragments which I have called Palaotypographia Hollandica were printed from wooden types), "imagines" the use of types, called by him sculpto-fusi, which he conceives to have been simply little blocks of metal of the shape of the wooden types but cast in hard metal, on one end of which the character in reverse was

Meerman's conjectures. Types engraved by hand? [Sculpto-fusi.] ensaved by hand. This author had the types composing the words "Speculum Salvationis" made in this way and exhibits the fairly creditable result on page 26 of the first part of his book. Schoepflin (I'indicice Typographica, Argent, 1760, 4to, pp. 49-50) holds the same view as Meerman, citing John Knoblouch, the printer of the Belial of Theramo (Argent, 1478), who says that he completed it (perfecit) ereis figuris. There are abundant instances of expressions are notas, aneis formulis, notas de duro orichalco, which would lead one at first sight to believe that in the early stages of the art types of hard metal were constantly used, but I can find no satisfactory historical evidence for the conclusion that these metals were used in casting types to be afterwards engraved-sculpto-fusi. It is far more probable that these numerous allusions to a hard metal have reference in the earlier stages of the art to the punches, employed, as we shall hereafter see, in striking the leaden matrices in which the leaden types were cast.(1) In this case the emphasis on the word as may, I think, have been intended to indicate a degree of perfection obtained by the punch of hard metal greater than that which could be realized in types madc in matrices formed by its wooden predecessor. Moreover, it is more than likely that as does not in all cases mean what we call brass or bronze, but some other and perhaps softer mixture.

Even if these speculations as to the use of sculpto-fusi types could be shown to have had a substantial basis it is evident that but a very small advance would have been made by their use towards speedy and economical book production. Some improvements on wooden types would in that case have been made, but the invention was yet to come. A means of rapidly multiplying types, and of giving them permanent sharpness, was imperatively called for, and the whole scope of the new art was to be radically and immeasurably extended by the introduction at an early stage of the Type-Monld, by which any number at pleasure of fairly uniform types could be produced by simple labour. I have not found records of any patient investigation into the technical details of the evolution of this all-important invention or of the steps by which success was ultimately achicved, but it is impossible to doubt that such may have been from time to time undertaken, the results of which have escaped my notice. In default of definite historical information, which the reticence of the carly typefounders has rendered it impossible to obtain, it almost seems as if it had been considered either necdless or impracticable to obtain by induction or experiment any close insight into their methods. It occurred to me some time ago that the chances of making plausible gucsses on the subject would be greatly increased by approaching it from a purely technical standpoint, thus obtaining by careful experiments a basis for the formation of a theory rather than for the support of preconceived notions, and I have thercfore endeavoured to put myself as nearly as possible in the position of a workman of the first half of the fiftecnth century who desired to produce by the best means then at his command types more readily and more chcaply manufactured, more handy and more durable than the wooden types of which we have, I hope, established the practicability.

In such an investigation we have of course the incalculable advantage over this hypothetical mechanic of knowing beforchand the outcome of his labours, the point at which all
${ }^{( }{ }^{\prime}$ ) It will be seen hercafter that a Dutch punch-cutter named Cornelis IIendricsz called himself chalcotyper.
speculation ceases and certainty begins．My original conjectures on the history of the evolution of the type－mould have undergone from time to time considerable modifications，influenced as they have been by the often unexpected results of successive cxperiments．In these researches I have been in a most able and intelligent way assisted by Mr．G．E．Kenney，one of my workmen，who had never even seen a type－mould when these investigations were commenced， and who has patiently endeavoured under my instructions to exhaust the possibilities of early methods．Everything which I am about to describe is the outcome of actual practice extending over more than twelve months and involving the expenditure of a great deal of time，and，it may perhaps be allowable to say，of a modicum of ingenuity and patience．In the course of my enquiry I have had made full－sized type－moulds in accordance with what would seem to have been the successive stages of progress up to the early equivalent of the modern type－mould，and of these moulds illustrations from photographs will be found in their

## Method pursued in the present investigation．

 proper place．In them types have been made under varying conditions of heat，mixtures of metal and other circumstances，and no suggestion is，I think，here ventured which has not been fully justified by a practical test：I have had，however，to rely for my conclusions not only on these experi－ ments but also on a certain measure of imagination as to the sequence of the ideas which seem to me likely to have influenced the inventor＇s procecdings．For the definite technical results I claim acceptance as matters of fact，demonstrated，as regards some of the details， perhaps for the first time since discussion on early methods took place，and as a sure basis for future debate，but each reader must form his own judgment as to the order in which the various steps of the invention actually succeeded each other．－I make at The first inventor of the outset an assumption which will be by some readers disallowed， metal types may have used wooden letters as＂patterns．＂ and may indeed be entirely discarded without affecting my results，but can hardly be refuted，that the workman to whom the idea of founding metal types first presented itself would endeavour to use as＂patterns＂ （models）the wooden types which they were to replace，carving the letters，however，with a larger amount of taper than was needful when they were not to be so utilized．It will be needful to give to the non－technical reader a brief explanation（quite superfluous in the case of one who is acquainted with foundry practice）of the various initial processes，one or other of which was nccessary in that day as at the present for casting in the most elementary way without special appliances from a wooden model（＂pattern＂in foundry parlance）so simple a bit of metal as an ordinary type．A parallcl stick of wood or metal resembling in shape a common match，but very slightly tapered in transverse section so as to allow it to be withdrawn from the sand in which it is to be moulded，may be very readily＂cast from＂（i．e．，used as a model or＂pattern＂as the founders call it）by ramming some moistened sand round it in a box， which is divided into two parts horizontally so as to allow of the withdrawal of the pattern in a direction


SAND MOULD FROM WHICH THE＂PATTERN＂OF A LITTLE STICK HAS BEEN WITHDRAWN． 8 at right angles to its length，as shown in the annexed illustration，the mould closed up again and the hollow left when the pattern is withdrawn filled up with melted metal poured through a channel in the upper box which we call the＂runner，＂as here shown．

But if we carve on the end of the stick （pattern）a letter or any similar figure and


SAND MOULD IN WHICH THE LITTLE SJICK H．is BEEN ＂CAST＂OR＂FOUNDED．＂ （W1TH THE＂RだっVER＂ST11．L ATTAClIED．）
then mould it as before, we shall, of course, find that in attempting to lift the pattern out in a direction at right angles to its length we shall destroy the impression of that letter or device, because we shall draw along with the pattern the sand which had entered the hollowed part of

Casting types in sand not a perfectly simple operation. the design. No one would attempt to cast a type in that fashion. There are two ways out of the difficulty, both bascd on the principle that the impression in the sand from the end of the stick must be withdrawn in a direction at right angles to that in which the body of the pattern is lifted out of the sand. The first of these methods consists in fitting to the end of the horizontally divided box above described a third "part," a little box in fact, capable of being removed in the direction of the axis of the stick, containing moist sand in which has been impressed the form of the letter, so arranged as just to meet the end of the stem of the little stick. When the pattern of the shank or body of the type has also been removed, and the metal is poured into the cavity left, there will be found in the mould a casting perfect except for the slight taper in the section of shank already described, and the small end box can


TAREE-PART MOULD (APART) SHOWING impression in the sand of the shank and face of a type ready for casting.

> Types cast in three-part box.
> Antitype of simplest form of metal typemould.
be removed in the direction of the axis of the type, leaving the type with its properly formed end free when the box is opened. This method is, it may be parenthetically remarked, the exact antitype of the unadjustable metal type-mould. An equivalent process can be used which avoids the necessity for any such little third box. At the end of the wooden pattern of the shank and attached to it is formed a projection which we call a "print." When the sand has been rammed round the pattern and print, they are next lifted out of the mould, and a cavity corresponding to their form will be left in the sand. Into this cavity we place before the mould is closed what we call a "core," a body of exactly the same size as the print and bearing on the side next to the shank of the type an impress of the desired character, made by pressing into the core a letter cut in relief in wood for that purpose. This little core would be of dried, or even of green (undried)

Types cast in two-part box on little cores. sand if the type were to be a very large one, but for ordinary type such as the earliest printers required it has been suggested that it might conceivably have been of thoroughly dried clay or some form of plaster. I shall speak of these materials later. It is evident that a fairly perfect, if extremely rough, type could be cast in this way, the moist sand behind the core being removed after casting so that the core could be drawn back and the face left frce.

The illustration on plate 1. from a photograph of a mould made to illustrate this operation will I hope give the reader a pretty clear idea of this simple process. The upper figure shows the top of the box filled with moistened sand, a hole in which is left through which the metal has to flow. The lower figure represents the impressions of models of five types, in the second and third of which are placed the cores containing on their faces impressions of the types which it is desired to cast. When all the cores have been placed in position the upper box is placed on the lower, is secured there and metal poured in through the hole in the upper section of the box. By cither of these methods rough types, nearly parallel in the body, could be made (extremely slowly indeed it is true), if the core (zwich is to all intents and purposes equiralent to the matrix of the modern type-fonnder) contained a sharp impression of the wooden letter and was of a matcrial which would resist the molten metal, and if clay or plaster could have been utilized, a certain number of such rough types might have been cast, their sharpness,

 CORES, IN WHICH IT IS POSSHBLE VO CAST TYPES OF BRASS OK OF LEAI.

 CORFA, IN WHICH IT IS POABIIIE TO CAST TYPES OF IBRAS OR OF I.EA1)
of course, decreasing as the core began to wear, whilst a corc of damp sand would only serve for one operation.

The alternative processes just described are based upon the postulate that the types must be truly parallel from end to end, so that they may lie close to cach other in the forme when they are to be printed from. Were this not absolutely necessary a simpler method could be employed, viz., that of making the pattern of the shank slightly taper from end to end (so that it could be drawn out in the direction of its length) in one box, and moulding the letter at

## Types cast in two-part box without cores.

 the end in another box accurately fitted to the first. This operation can be performed in a very rough way cven with parallel patterns by shaking them bcfore drawing them out of the sand, as has been donc in the case of the types cast in an ordinary two-part box as shown in the illustration on plate II., fig. I. But although this third process has the advantage of simplicity, and the faces of the type can be cast. with considerable accuracy in properly" "foced" sand, the shanks of the types would always give trouble by their irregularity. That the method was onc by which, at a very slow rate it is true, serviceable metal types could be produced is unequivocably demonstrated by the annexed reproduction of an impression from leaden types cast insand in the way last described, and untouched on the face by hand. Bernard ${ }^{( }$) gives specimens of impressions of types cxcellently well cast for him in sand moulds, but gives no indication of the modus operandi, nor do we know whether the shanks and faces were in his experiment cast in one operation or not. I must remark before passing on to the next step that my experiments on the valuc of

## fofeifleppfo

IMPRESSIONS OF LEADEN TVPES MOULDED FROM WOODEN "PAT. TERNS" AND CAST IN SAND IN A TWO-PART POX. clay or plaster cores or matrices $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ as contrasted with impressions in properly prepared sand are very unfavourable to the harder materials. I have tried dried common clay, modelling clay and pipe clay, also the same materials faced with plumbago, and, as an altcrnative, mixed with charcoal dust. The moulds were heated to various temperatures, and the melted lead was sometimes pourcd very hot, and at others only at melting point, but I could in no case succeed in getting sharp castings, though great pains were taken in the process. The results of patient experiments with plaster-of-Paris matrices have been, if possible, even more unsatisfactory, and I have come to the conclusion that we may dismiss from our minds all the conjectures put forward by Otlcy and others based on the cmployment in the carly stages of the invention of either of the unsuitable materials just mentioncd.

Reverting now to the types cast by the last-named method,-that which was perhaps the most likely to be adopted by the earliest typefounder, a cardinal and almost fatal defect was inherent in the method of their formation. The face of the type might be sharp and clear as we have demonstrated, but the shank would be to a certainty rough and of irregular form, and as surely would in a majority of cases be out of square with the letter. Each type then would have to go through the hands of a workman, who would have to file the shank to a parallel thickness in three directions, and to keep it as far as possible square with the face. It need not be said that this must have been a work involving an absurd amount of skilled labour for a very small daily result. It is, however, evident that punches
Types cast at two operations, first in sand then in a metal mould. of brass or other hard metal could be formed in this way, which though vastly inferior to an engraved punch could be made to serve for making a matrix in softer metal. Many observations lead me to the conclusion that the very next step of the inventor was to simplify matters by founding the type in two operations, in order to ensure the squareness and parallelism of the shank. He moulded, I believe, in a flat box, with great ease, in fine sand, short ends of typc, in fact, mere latters in
( ${ }^{2}$ ) As suggested by several writers.
reverse, perhaps only $\frac{3}{16}$ of an inch in thickness, of which a hundred or more could be readily cast at one pouring, and which by reason of their shallowness would leave the sand without the slightest difficulty. On to the upper surface of each of these little


SECTION OF MOULD FOR CASTING TYPE IN TWO OPERATIONS. type-ends he would then propose to cast a shank of lead of the proper length and of the size of the wooden type which he wished to imitate, the hot lead poured in from above uniting with the plain surface and forming when cold a solid leaden type of the desired shape. In order to carry out this idea he had to make a mould preferably of metal, into the lower end of which the little type-end should be placed, the upper portion receiving the melted metal. This mould would be made in halves so that the type could be readily taken out, and it would be conveniently made of this section so that there would be less chance of the adhesion of the type to the mould than if it were made thus to this is still occasionally used for large types.


A similar process
the production of very

At this exact point, according to my theory, a very important invention, which should rather be called a discovery, was probably made. The process just described would only succeed if the right temperature were hit, when the body of molten metal would be sufficient to counteract the chilling effect of its contact with the cold "type-end" and the sides of the mould, and to ensure a sound casting. But in type, say of the size of that of the 42 -line Bible, it would often happen, as we have found in our experiments, that the thin stream of metal cooled too quickly, and that adhesion between the shank and the type-end aras not complete. In an attempt to obviate this defect we may imagine the inventor to have made the experiment of roughening the upper surface of one of the "type-ends" by cutting or casting a nick upon it, in which case the first casting made with metal at too low a temperature zoould leave a reised impression upon the end of the upper portion instead of uniting with the lower as was intended (plate II., figs. $2 \& 3$ ). Whether in this way, or by the accidental placing of the type-end with the character upwards instead of downzords, or merely by the observation that some other inequality in the upper part of the type-end was faithfully reproduced in the lower part of the shank, he would be struck with the idea, which is rather startling at first sight, that lead poured at a certain temperatuse upon a piece of cold lead in which a device has been sunk, faithfully refroduces the device in relief, and he would find by experiment that this operation could, contrary to what might have been expected, be repeated a great number of times without destroying the matrix, which could thus be utilized for a succession of

## Discovery or invention of the leaden matrix.

operations without being in any way damaged. This is the discovery or invention of the leaden matrix, which played, we must believe, so important a part in very early typography. The immediate result was doubtless the discarding of the two-fold process, the necessity for separate type-ends no longer existing; and the inventor's success was partly assured if he could perfect the leaden matrix. The prevailing theory is that these leaden matrices (the early use of which is almost universally admitted) were produced by impressing wooden types or punches into melted lead at a temperature just about that of solidification; and it is perfectly true that this process can be employed (as I have proved by experiment) without injury' by heat to the wooden puneh. But I am convinced that this was not a practice in favour with the early printers, as the result is far less sharp and satisfactory than that obtainable by another which lay close at hand. In this case, as in that of wooden types, theory has been allowed to guide opinion when practice ought to have been called in to settle an unsolved question. The late Mr. T. B. Reed, in his very delightful History of the Old English Letter Foundries, says (page 16): "It has been suggested by some that reood could be struck into lead or pezeter, but the possibility of producing a
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KAPERIMENTAL METAL HAND-TVPE-MOULD (NO. 2), NOT CAPAELE OF MDJUSTMENT FOR VARIOUS WIUTHS OF TYPE. THIS W.IS PROBABLY ONE OF THE VERY EARLIEST FORMS OF METAL TYPE-MOULD ; MATRIX SECURED IBY CLZP.


EXPERIMENIAL METAL HAND.TYPL-MOULD (NO. 3), NOT CAPALIE OF ALJUSTMENT FOR VARIOUS WIDTHS OF ISPE, HUT AILOWHC EASY JETICHAENT OF TVIES, WHILH MAY HAVE DEEN THE SECOND FORA OF MEIAI IVPE-MOULD ; MATRIX SECURED BY CLIP.



successful matrix in this manner is we consider out of the question"! My practice entircly disproves this assumption, and having made a large number of these "impossible" matrices, I have found that a far better result-one which, in fact, leaves nothing to be desired-can be

Leaden matrices made by tapping wooden punch into blank, not by pushing it into hot lead. obtained by gently tapping with a number of light blows a wooden punch into a cold leaden blank (or, better still, as my recent experiments have shown, by tapping the leaden blank on to the end of the wooden punch), than by pushing it into the melted metal just before solidification takes place. One inherent difficulty which practical experiment alone brings out, and which I venture to think must have been overlooked by the writers who put faith in the theory of the production of matrices in semi-molten lead, is that of ensuring by that process an impression of a uniform depth in, and exactly square with, the surface of the matrix-a difficulty which can be entirely overcome if the cold process be employed. I sometimes doubt if the inventor even attempted the semifluid process, and believe that he first tried to stamp his wooden letter into a flat piece of soft lead as we did, and smashed it as we did, and then tried the gentler method of tapping and succeeded as we did in making a thoroughly practicable matrix.

In any case we find him now provided with the rudiments of a type-mould, to wit, a mould opening in halves for the shank of the type,

## Second stage of metal type-mould.

 and a bit of lead with a good sharp impression of a letter on its upper surface, forming a serviceable matrix, the uniformity of the depth of the impression in which he had, however, at present no means of regulating. He would by a stop so arrange the mould and matrix that the latter should always occupy in plan the same relative position to the shank of the mould, and the general appearance of the little apparatus would be something like the annexed figures; the halving of the mould is shown in perspective and in section. When he had found it more convenient to take the mould in the hand he had to fit it with wooden cheeks to protect his fingers from the heat of the metal, and it assumed the form shown in plate II., fig. 6.Though types thus made could only be produced at a very low rate of speed and could not be relied on for any great accuracy of impression, they were nevertheless genuine ancestors of the types of

PLAN


THE TYPE STICKS 1 N A MOULD OF PARALLEL SECTION.

THE TYPE MUST BE FILED AT SIDES OR IT WILL NOT PACK. to-day. The mould, however, was manifestly unsuitable for practical work in more ways than one. In the first place its construction involved the alternative of the production of a form of type which would stick in the mould if its sides were parallel in cross section, or would not pack in the forme when cast if the sides were more tapered. A very ready way of getting rid of that annoyance would readily suggest itself, viz., to wake the mould open across the angle (see plate II I., fig. I), so that the type would drop out when the mould was opened. There is absolutely no evidence that I am cognizant of that this modification was ever adopted, and I only describe it as having occurred to me as a possible step in the evolution, but it was just such an improvement as


SUGGESTED FIRST FORM OF TYPE-MOULD FOR CASTING TYPE 1N ONE OPERATION.


SECTION
OF SUGGESTED FIRST FORM OF TYPE-MOCLLD the two-halves are held together merely by being pushed into the little stool).
might suggest itself to an intelligent man, and I think that I am entitled to claim it as possibly the third development, and in it, as will be seen, was the germ of


MITRED MOULD CLOSED.


MITRED MOULD OPEN. TYPE DROPS OUT.


ONE HALF OF MITRED MOULD MADE TO SLIDE ON THE OTHER.


THE NEXT DEVELOP. MENT. SECTION OF TYPEMOULDADJUST. ABLE TO VARIOUS WIDTHS OF TYPE. perfected invention. In such a mould types could be made at a fair rate of speed, and though the alignment would only be adjustable with great difficulty, this would, if the matrices were made on the principle indicated above, be just as good, neither better nor worse than that of the original wooden punches, the counterparts of wooden letters made with due regard to alignment. But the edges of the mould would wear in time, and a thin "fin" of metal result from the slightest imperfection at the junction of the halves of the mould. Both this construction and the former one had this enormous disadvantage, that only one width of letter could be produced in them, and that you required as many moulds as widths. With this disadvantage constantly in his thought, it must at the psychological moment have flashed into the mind of the inventor that if he protracted the sides of the single mould, shown in plan at A and B, the two halves would slide upon each other, and that thus every width of letter could be moulded in one and the same mould, the width being defined by the respective widths of the various matrices on which they closed. If the reader will place his hands in the position marked $C$, he will illustrate a section of
 the mitred type-mould, suitable only for one width of type, whilst by sliding one hand backwards and forwards upon the other in the second position $D$ he

## The essential feature

 of the adjustable typemould invented. schemer had adopted this modification, and had mounted his mould in wooden cheeks to protect his hand from the heat of the metal, he had (with the exception of the "break ") invented the mould of ycsterday (plate III., fig. 2).I must not be understood to asscrt that any great amount of practical typefounding was performed in any of the earlier stages of the cvolution-the whole experiments may only have occupied a fow wceks or months, mine have occupied more than a year-all that 1 desire to demonstrate is the process, mental or technical, by which the final result was arrived at. The adoption of a metal in place of a sand mould, and the substitution of soft metal matrices made from wooden punches for the experimental oncs of clay or plaster, were schemes which must have been adopted at an extremely early stage;-but the absolutely

The inventor of the sliding (adjustable) type-mould practically the inventor of typography. essential stride from an experimental to a practical state of things was undoubtedly that from the fired to the adjustable metal mould. To the solver of that problem the world may perhaps owe more than to all the other pioncers in the art of typography put together. An important technical difficulty mentioned above, however, had still to be overcome. Without some special provision the impression in the lead matrix might have two fatal defects: (1) it might not be of equal depth in each matrix, (2) it might be decper on one side of the letter than on the other; might not, in fact, be square with the shank or body of the typc. I suggest that these difficultics werc met in a very simple way which I have found essential to the production of good types from leaden matrices. The original punches I believe to have been the, facsimiles of the original wooden


PORTION OF VERY ANCIENT TYPIG-MOUTIJ (POURING-MOUI 1), AIDUSTABIF, FOR VARIOUS
WHITHS OF TV゙PE, RUT WIIHOUT " I:REAK,'


ANCIENT METAL HAND-TYPE-MOULD, ADJUSTABLE FOR VAKIOUS WIDTHS OF 'TYPE, . INII
WITH SECONHARY ADJUSTMENT FOR THF PRODUCTION OF OUERH.NGINC
LETTERS, WITH "BREAK": MATRIN SECURED BY SPRING
AS IN MLUU LATER FORMS.


EXPERIMENTAL METAL HAND-TYPE-MOULD (NO. 5), ADJUSTAELE FOR VARIOUS WHYTHS OF TYPE, WITH "BREAK" ; MATRIX KEPT IN POSITION BY WEDGE. In this monld hare heen cast the letters used in makines the Facsimiles of te-line Bible, and in a similar one of smallor "bodv" those for 31-line Indulsence.


HHE: HAND-TYPE-MOULD OF YESTERDAY, MATRIX KEP'I IN POSITION BY WIRE SIRING.
letters (but having the characters cut with sufficient taper, or "leave" as founders call it, to leave freely the metal in which they were to be cast or punched), and as such they werc already fairly justified for alignment, so that matter printed from them would be just as true (neither

## Methods of securing alignment and squareness of face of type with shank.

 more nor less) as other printing from wooden letters. The mould was, I suggest, made exactly to fit the punch in body, therefore when the inventor, having fitted in its proper place at the bottom of the mould the bit of lead made of the right width of the letter to be formed, which was to form the matrix, had closed his now adjustable mould to the width of matrix, had pushed the punch through the body of the mould, and had tapped it by a series of gentle blows into the lead, the impression would be right in two respectsin the first place it would be square with the body of the mould and thus with the type when cast; in the second (if the stop against which the picce of lead was pressed was correctly placed) each letter in a mould of whatever width would have the alignment of the original wooden types without needing any justification. At a very early period no doubt the small errors in alignment inherent in the wooden punches were rectified by filing a morsel off the end of the matrix just as is now done with the copper matrix, or by squcezing the lead so as to lengthen if the correction was wanted in the other direction, i.e., if the letter was too high-these are our successful methods. It remained to find a ready method of giving with certainty the same depth of impression in each matrix. For this purpose I would suggest that the inventor made use of a little apparatus which we have found to be invaluable-it was practically his improved movable type mould with the upper or taper portion
## Device for obtaining accuracy in the matrix.

 removed, as shown in the figure -the height corresponding with the length of his punch. The founder's task would thus be greatly simplified. All his wooden punches being exactly of the same height, he would fit the little piece of lead (M) which was

FIGURE SHOWING ONE HALF OF THE APPARATUS BY WHICH ACCURACY IN ALL POINTS OF THE MATRIX IS OBTAINED. to be the matrix so as exactly to equal in width the width of the punch, would close upon it the sides of the adjustable mould, and then tap the punch ( P ) down till its top was exactly on a level with the top of the apparatus; his matrix (M) would then, without any further precaution on his part, be accurate in all the necessary particulars mentioned above. And by this simple method the way was made plain for a process the existence of which has, I believe, never been hitherto hinted at, namely, the manufacture direct from the wooden punch and the first leaden matrix, of metal punches cast in a mixture ${ }^{\mathrm{r}}$ ) of lead and tin or other suitable metal, even, perhaps, antimony, of such a degrec of hardness as would produce, perhaps after some slight trimming, many a secondary matrix, sharp and serviceable, thus putting the founder at his ease, and rendering him independent of the original parent wooden punch.

An acquiescence in the view that such a process was used will go far to solve many of the difficulties which have arisen in connection with the somewhat nebulous notions which have

Secondary punches made of hardened lead. prevailed as to the practicability of leaden matrices. The importance of this secondary operation, which I have found of incalculable assistance, can hardly be overrated; it renders possible the production of types of a far higher degrec of excellence than can be attained from the first matrices madc
(1) On the sulject of hardening with an alloy, see Paulus Pater, Lipsioe, 1710 (Wolff 2, 705), who speaks of Catzerifografhius (Cassiterographia), i.e., types of tin, and considers that a great variety of metals entered into the composition of type in his day. Note also the Cost Book of the Directors of the Ripoli Press at Florence, $1474 \cdot 1493$, mentioned by several modern writers, in which, besides Steel, Brass, Copper, Tin, Lead andlron Wire, " Ietal" is mentioned as a necessary material in the Type-Foundry there.
direct from the wooden punches, giving, in fact, to the secondary leaden matrices struck from the harder metal punches a sharpness analogous to that produced by the striking of the hard metal, steel, into the softer metal, copper. And as its feasibility occurred to us in our primitive experiments, it is hard to believe that it was not also employed by the earliest typefounders. By the aid of these secondary hardened lead punches all our latest specimens have been produced.

Types thus produced had, however, one cardinal defect. At the upper end was, of course, the "runner" or " jet," consisting of a useless lump of metal, which had to be removed before the types could be assembled for the press. And one of the most puzzling things


TYPE AS FIRST made, without
"BREAK:" connected with the evolution of the type-mould is that, as we know, many years must have elapsed before the very simple device for dispensing with the process of cutting off this ugly bit of metal was devised. The reproduction on plate IV., fig. I, from an ancient type-mould recently unearthed in a search made, at a suggestion of mine, in their astonishing store-house of type-foundry antiquities, by Messrs. J. Enschedé en Zonen, of Haarlem, is taken from the object itself, most kindly lent me by that firm, and affords a delightful confirmation of the fact that types were actually made at an early date in this rough manner. It is possibly the only old "breakless" mould in existence. From the mould of Claude Garamond, in use about the year 1540, were produced types of the crude form here shown, and M. Duverger gives an illustration of the way in which the excrescence was, in his opinion, removed by a saw. After this separation of the uscless upper portion, it is quite possible that the types were placed together a few at a time, face downward, in a little box made for the purpose, and the rough ends filed off to a definite length. Without some such operation it is difficult to see how the faces of the assembled type could be made
 perfectly level and fit to be printed from except by inverting upon the block 1 N which break. "stone" the page when composed, and allowing all the letters to take Less Types Are filed their level thercon before locking up the forme, a backing of some such substancc as plaster being doubtless applied to the rough upper ends of the types to ensure the permanence of their relative positions and an cven pressure on the paper. The "break" ("saillie du jet") in the mould was the contrivance which obviated all this trouble and gave to the types uniformity of "height to paper"; it consists in such a narrowing of the "jet" by a modification of the mould as will ensure this result, the upper portion being readily broken off at the shoulder, leaving, after a slight trimming of the broken surface, the types of uniform height.

Any founder would invariably, when practicable, so alter the shape of his "runner" as
 TYPE W1TH1
"BREAK"."解 the labour of detaching it from the main body of any casting, and my own explanation of the belated adoption of the "break" is that the typefounder had feared that the sharpness of his type would be diminished by narrowing the inlet of the quickly cooling metal to the cavity in which it had to be cast, not having yet discovered that the "shake" or jerk which the later founder gave to his mould would drive the metal by momentum even through a narrowed orifice into the most delicate parts of the matrix. The subsequent additions to the mechanism of the type-mould consist mainly only in the means of producing " licks" or notches formed in one side, in England on the front and in France at the back, of the type, which are to be found in Moxon's and subsequent types, and in the spring which holds the matrix to the mould. It will be seen that it is my impression that the discovery of the capabilitics of lead as a trustworthy
material for matrices was probably made at a very early stage of the invention, and if that be a correct view not much is to be gained by an investigation into the possibilities of the other materials which may have been experimented on in the very early essays, and then abandoned in favour of lead. Though, as I have before remarked, my experiments with clay and plaster as materials for matrices have been very unsatisfactory, I have found it quite practicable to cast in dried sand by the first process shown (see plate I.), a type hard enough to serve, when an engraver has worked upon it, as a punch to be used in making matrices in a moderately soft metal.

An important point-one which deserves, perhaps, even more attention than I have given to it-is the method employed at the outset for the production of (1) the double letters, (2) the letters over which are contraction symbols, and (3) the overhanging letters. The most obvious suggestion is that separate wooden punches were made for each combination, and much patient investigation would be needed to prove that this was not frequently done; but there are

## Double Letters, Contractions, Overhanging Letters.

 two other processes which there can be little doubt were used as alternatives. With the adjustable mould, used as before described as an appliance for making matrices, and with two punches, preferably of hardened metal, placed side by side and tapped into the leaden blank, it is quite possible to make a leaden matrix of any desired combination, as may be seen in my reproduction of a portion of the 42 -line Bible, in the last nine lines of which this method has been employed with success. The process is as follows :-Taking as an illustration the combination fí, we make from the matrix for $\mathbf{f}$ a casting in harder metal, file the side away immediately under the overhanging part, and file down a punch for the $i$ made in the same way till it fits into its place. Then in the little apparatus we tap the two punches into the leaden blank, accuracy in depth and squareness being infallibly attained, and use a casting in hard metal made from
## Methods of Casting Double Types.

 this double-letter matrix as a punch from which to form other matrices. We employ the same process for making the punches for letters over which are contraction marks, and for the double letters the first punches being filed down to fit each other closely before being tapped into the leaden blank to form the matrix. Exception was made for special reasons in the case of the $\mathfrak{f t}$, $\mathfrak{l t}$ and $\mathfrak{D a}$, double punches for which were cut in wood.The second process was no doubt posterior to that just described. In the early type-mould shown in plate IV., fig. 2, which, though ancient, is shown by the break and the spring for holding the matrix in position not to belong to the earliest days of typography, it may be seen that by shifting a portion of the mould in a slot and securing it by a screw the shank of a type can be cast thinner than the width of the matrix, and an overkang thus obtained without any difficulty.

Were I to put forward these conclusions as to the probable modus operandi of the unknown earliest printer without further comment, I should be open to the charge of asking the reader to take my statements for granted without giving him, as I have done in the case of the wooden types, an opportunity of verifying for himself the results which I have

Experimental Reproduction of Passage from 42-line Bible. asserted to be attainable by my processes. 1 have therefore (at an expense of time and trouble which will, I am sure, not be fully realized by those who have not had an opportunity of witnessing our successive and often dispiriting failures), made from wooden models (wooden types they may fairly be called), the needful hard leaden types cast in leaden matrices for printing that portion of the 42 -line Bible of which 1 have elsewhere given a facsimile. A comparison of the modern text with the ancient will enable the reader to judge of the success of my experiment. There are thirteen lines in the specimen. In the first four the double letters were made from wooden punches, in which, by-the-bye, all the double or overhanging letters were combined. The punches in these lines

being cut shallow the metal would not flow freely into the corners, and as a result the letters had to be to some extent trimmed by hand, and not giving in all cases clear impressions, the faces were filed so as to give a flat surface. This imperfection of the types led to a study of the way in which the process could be improved. In the next eight lines new wooden punches, cut considerably deeper, were employed, and hardened lead punches having been made from these improved matrices in the manner already described, and again punched deeper into a leaden
Various Methods.
blank to form the final matrix, the types were so good as to require no
trimming, but the faces were not absolutdy square with the body. Some of the double and overhanging letters were in this case not formed in the wooden punches, but cast by the first method described on page 57. The matrices for the last line were made by a reversal of the original process. Instead of hammering the punch into the leaden blank, the blank was tapped on to the punch, the difference between the results of these two operations being as follows :-In punching from the top on to the leaden blank, the bottom of the blank has a tendency to curl up, a defect not easily rectified, the attempt to flatten it often spoiling the form of the letter, whereas when the blank is hammered on to the top of the punch, a piece of hard

Final Method of making Matrices. metal intervening, the bottom of the matrix is kept flat in the operation, and requires no rectification. The types made by this improved process are clean, so that they were printed from just as they left the mould, and the face is perfectly square with the body, and this is the method which, as giving the very best results, we may well conceive to have been that finally adopted by the printer of the 42 -line Bible.

In all my experiments I have found it convenient to make use either of type metal, or a mixture of lead and type metal, as these harder types are more easy to handle and give sharper results, but it need not be assumed, I think, that letters cast in ordinary lead would be liable to rapid deterioration from wear. In order to test this allegation, I cast a line of the 42 -line Bible

## malof uîa. Jfuge ab ea ner tranfeas

THE ONE-THOUSANDTH 1MPRESSION OF TYPES CAST IN UNHARDENED LEAl. in ordinary lead, and had 1,000 impressions taken from it, of which a photographic facsimile is annexed. It need not be said, however, that in the crude presses of the carly printers conditions existed far less favourable to durability.

The determination of the method of preparing the types used in producing the 31 and jo-line Indulgences seems to have presented more difficulties than that of those used for the 42 and 36 -line Bibles. It is now a matter of orthodox belief that the latter may have been cast in leaden matrices, but it has been pretty generally assumed, even by the most recent investigators, that the obstacles presented by the use of leaden matrices of so small a size as the types of the

Were copper
Matrices used in the 3 I and $30-$ line Indulgences? Indulgences were practically insurmountable. The question is one of very great importance.-If we are compelled to deny to the printer of these Indulgences the use of leaden matrices, and to assume that he used copper ones, we at once establish the fact that the art of punch-cutting in steel was existent before 1454, and the field of enquiry into his individuality is then greatly narrowed; whereas if we admit that these documents may have been printed from types made in leaden matrices, no definite date need hamper us in an attempt to discover the inventor of the steel punch and copper matrix. For these reasons I have thought it best fully to investigate the possibilities of printing a document with types cast in leaden matrices of the same, or nearly the same body as those used in the Indulgences.

I found, in the first instance, a difficulty in persuading any letter cutter to make me wooden punches of so small a size as the character therein employed, and I therefore had them all made


#### Abstract

in brass, and the portion of the first eight lines of the document here reproduced is printed from types cast in leaden matrices struck from these brass punches. The modus operandi in making these small types was as follows:-It must be premised that the brass punches were not madc as were my wooden ones, of the proper width of each letter and fairly correct for body and alignment. They were all of one section; in fact, ordinary taper punches such as would be used for striking a letter on a piece of soft metal. The punch was therefore (a) struck into a piece of lead, which was filed so as to fit fairly well into the bottom of the mould, in which it was then placed, and (b) a punch of hardened lead cast in it, the sides of which were then filed till the proper thickness of letter was obtained. This was then (c) struck into a new leaden blank which had been fitted to the mould, and any swelling-of the upper surface of the matrix filed away, the resulting casting being a perfect type identical with the punch. The words () miuerfis and paulimus are printed from leaden matrices struck with wooden punches, just in the way in which the lines five to twelve of the passage from the 42 -line Bible were printed. Had our punch cutter had a little more practice, an absolute facsimile could have been produced, the main difference perceivable between my copy and the original arising from the modification which he has unintentionally and unconsciously introduced into the form, the style and even into the body of the character. It is merely his mimetic faculty and not our process which is at fault. The V is printed from a wooden type as it was in the original.
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ROUGH IMITATION OF PART OF EIGHT LINES OF THE 3 r-LINE 1NDULGENCE PRINTED FROM TYPES CAST IN LEADEN MATRICES PRODUCED EY HARDENED LEAD PUNCHES OBTAINED BY THE USE OF BRASS PUNCHES.

I was still, even after the production of this imitation, unconvinced that the same result might not have been attained by the use of wooden punches, and therefore had wooden punches

Wooden or brass punches used for Indulgences? cut for the printing of the words die Maii anni dūi Mcceclii. In this second attempt the result, as regards shape of letters, is very satisfactory, but difficulties arose in consequence of the way in which the punches were cut, the delicacy of the small letters, added to a certain want of taper in their formation, preventing us from forming good castings of the hardened lead punches; when these, however, were trimmed by hand and tapped into leaden matrices types were cast therein which, without any adjustment, give, as will be seen, an excellent

## die Onaii anni əñi OScccclii

DATE FROM 3 -LINE INDULGENCE RE. PRODUCED BY TIIE USE OF LEADEN MATRICES STRUCK indIRECTLY FROM WOODEN PUNCHES. impression. I am of the opinion that the types of the Indulgences werc, or at any rate could have been, produced from leaden matrices, and that these miglit have been struck by wooden punches, for we could do it ourselves if it were desired ; but it seems probable that for letters so small and so simple as those of the Indulgences metal punches may have been employed, these little rounded letters involving far less skill on the part of the maker of brass punches than would have



been involved in the production of the larger metal punches required for the making of types for the 42 or 36 -line Bible. Having shown, as I hope, that there is absolutely no need to postulate copper matrices and steel punches for the printing of these Indulgences, I leave it to wiser heads than mine to decide on other grounds which process was actually employed.

By the courtcsy of Mcssrs. Enschedé en Zonen, I am cnabled to give a representation, of the size of the original, from a photograph kindly made for me by that firm, of an extremely interesting relic of the fifteenth century-a series of ancient copper matrices, the punches for which were cut about the year 1490, and were formerly in the possession of Henric ("lettersnider") at Delft, one of the first typographers who cast types, not only for himself but also for sale to other printers. These matrices are still preserved in the same rough case in which they have lain for centuries. Proofs from types cast in these matrices were published in 1768 , in a catalogue in my possession, of types cast by Mr. J. Enschedé. Herein also were impressions from large types cast in very ancient leaden matrices, the stamps for which were cut by Cornelis Hendricsz, at Delft, about the year 1510 , who calls himsclf a chalcotyper. These Early copper and
leaden Matrices
still in existence. leaden matrices and the copper stamps by which they were produced are still preserved in the museum of Messrs. Enschedé, who have added to the many favours conferred by having a photograph made also of these, doubtless the oldest leaden matrices in cxistence. The earliest book known to have been printed from Henric's type is Epistclen Endc Evangelicn, mitten Sermonen van den geheien iaer 1493, printed by Jacob Van Breda. Messrs. Enschedé have traced the use of this particular fount of type of Henric in no less than ten printing offices in the fifteenth century.

Attention must at this point be called to the views promulgated in the important and most interesting work of Mr. Charles Enschedé,(1) to whose suggestions, as coming from an antiquary and a skilled type-founder, much deference must be paid. It is with the very greatest diffidence that I put forward my own views as to the methods probably or possibly used in making the types for the 42 -line Bible-ideas formed before the publication of Mr. Enschedés work, and not materially modified by it. Briefly, the contention of this most careful and competent observer is that the type of the 36 -line Bible is older than that of the 42 -line. It is incredible to him that this large type could have been cast in one operation, and as the same processes were doubtless used in both Bibles, the 42 -line type was cast in the only way in which the 36 -line, in his judgment, could have been cast. The process was, in his opinion, as follows :Thin letters or models were carved out of brass by Gutenberg (who was a goldsmith, and would have been perfectly competent to make them). These were then beaten, or perhaps poured, into lead to form the matrix, which again was beaten into a composition of lead and tin in a semi-fluid state to form thin ends of type on to which shanks were then cast by a process similar to that which 1 have already assumed (page 52) to have suggested the earliest form of the metal mould. In his careful examination of the letters in the Leipsic copy of the 42 -linc Bible, Mr. Enschedé has discovered that the impressions of the types, especially when little worn, are sharper on the edges than in the centre. In this fact he sees a corroboration of his theory that these types were cast by the double operation described above. When the hot metal is poured on the colder typeend, the sides of the type harden sooner than the centre, and the centre sinks a very little below the sides, lcaving the letter hollow on the face. But, in reply, I may be allowed to say that I would undertake to cast the letters of the 36 -line Bible in one operation just as successfully as I have cast those of the 42 -line, and, moreover, that the hollowing of the face of the letters might equally well occur in casting the type in one operation as in two. The discussion as to the actual process made use of must be mainly influenced by the following
( ${ }^{1}$ " Technisch onderzoek naar de uitvinding van de boekdrukkunst," door Mr. Ch. Enschedé, Haarlem, 1901.
considerations:-Had the printer of the 42 -line Bible succecded in making as much progress in the art of type-making by wooden punches and leaden matrices as we have made in our crude experiments? If he had attained that modicum of success he would probably make his types by that ready method. If he had not, the more troublesome double process suggested by Mr. Enschedé was, one may conjecture, in all probability the only one at his command. And in that case it would be his successors whom I should credit with the production of type from leaden matrices and wooden punches. Further investigations will some day decide the question in one way or the other.

If, as I am sanguine enough to believe, I have proved to the reader's satisfaction not only the possibility but the probability of the use by the earliest printers of leaden matrices and wooden punches, the next question which naturally ariscs is-at what period the succeeding improvement took place, and by whom were first devised and made the steel punches and copper matrices by which alone types of high class could be produced. The technical The first steel punches and copper matrices. reader who is acquainted with the difficulties of cutting by hand and hardening such punches as must have been madc for the types with which the early ltalian press produced its beautiful specimens of printing, may be inclined to think that as much credit is due to the first successful maker of a steel letter-punch as to the inventor of the adjustable type-mould, and it would be in any case a natter of the highest interest to know whom we are to honour in this respect. The latest investigation into this matter is to be found in the valuable work of Mr. Ch. Enschedé before referred to. His reasons for assigning the credit to Peter Schoxfer are so cogent that they will, I think, carry weight with many readers, but we must balance against them the opposing views of some of the most recent German bibliographers.

For our help in an appreciation of the technical difficulties which would have to be overcome by the first successful cutter of a steel punch we may glance at the condition of development at which the art of making similar objects had arrived, towards the middle of

The first maker of a steel punch may possibly rank with the inventor of the type-mould. the fifteenth century. A much closer acquaintancc than I possess with the artistic productions in iron and steel of that period would be needful for an accurate appreciation of the obstacles which might lie in the way of the first production of a piece of hardened stecl as delicate as a punch for the typcfounder's use. The only illustrations which I am able to suggest are drawn from the art of the die-sinker, whose business it was to assist in the production of coins then current. If we find that his work affords evidence of want of skill, and of inability to bring into existence punches of a quality at all comparable to those by which the earliest copper matrices were struck, we have, I think, good reason to assign a high place, almost that of the inventor, to the artist who made the first copper matrices. The illustration on another page will enable the reader to see at a glance what was being done in Germany in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries in punch-cutting for coins. The reproductions therein presented are the result of enlargements for the sake of better definition to twice the linear dimensions of gold coins in the British Museum, selected for Crudity of form of the this purpose with the kind assistance of Mr. H. A. Grucber. No. I punches used in making is that of a ducat of Gerlach of Mentz, 1346-71, struck at Bingen; No. 2, the dies of coins of the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries. of a ducat of Rupert Count Palatine, 1358-90; No. 3, of a ducat of John of Mentz, 1397-1419, struck at Bingen; and No. 4, of a ducat of Theodoric II., of Köln, 1414-63. The letters on the dies of the coins are unquestionably struck by punches (two of which had in some cases to be used to produce one letter), and the crudity of these is made apparent by the result, leading to the belief that technical difficulties in the way of producing delicate and refined work were perhaps as yet unsurmounted.


COLD COINS OF THE XIV ${ }^{T H}$ \& XVTH CENTURIES. IN THE DIES OF WHICH PUNCHES WERE EMPLOYED

Was Schoeffer the artist who, stimulated by the urgent need of matrices more sharp and durable than those of lead, overcame these difficultics almost at a bound, and did he cut stec punches from which copper matrices were struck for the types of the Fust and Schoeffer Bible of 1462? And was Mentelin then and at a later date still making his type in leaden matrices? A minute and patient investigation would seem to be required for the solution of such questions as these, and for the determination of the exact time and manner in which the softer was superseded by the harder material.

So much for the crude methods of the pioncers of an art in the subsequent perfecting of which a succession of gifted and laborious experts-printers, typefounders, punch-cutters had their part. To Ratdolt, Jenson, the Aldi, to Granjon, Geoffroi Tory, Claude Garamond and the Elzevirs, to Breitkopf, Caslon, Baskerville, to Figgins, Didot, and Miller and a hundred others we are more or less indebted for the beauty of the type procurable, I will not say usually employed in the present day. It may not be out of place to mark time after four centurics and a half of felicitous progress, and to put on record a singlc example of the outcome of the dexterity of the punch-cutter and typefounder of the present day. This I am fortunately cnabled to do by the crowning kindness of my Haarlem friends. The subjoined specimen of what is, I believe, the most minute typography yet achieved has been specially printed for this work by Messrs. Enschedé en Zonen. The type is appropriately called by them Non Plets Ultro, and is what is technically known as $2 \frac{1}{2}$ point (Didot), the lincal dimensions being only about one-fourth of those of our small-pica.



## S. THOMAS AQUINAS. SECUNDA SECUNDE.

[STRASBURG, JOHANN METTELIV]
Fol. $1 a:(\quad) u e f t i o ~ \widehat{p m a}$ de ${ }^{\text {Ptutibus et vicijs in fpãli. || This begins the Table of Questiones }}$ 139 in number, which ends on the second column of Fol. 6a, line 51: Explicit ordo et fignacio queftionũ fcđi libri fođe \|| ptis beati thome de aquino bñdictus deq. Amen. I| Fol. $6 b$ blank, leaves 7 and $S$ blank. Fol. 246b, first column, line 58: dñs nofter qui || eft super omia deus benedictus in fecula. Amen. || Fol. 247 blank.

Folio, printed in gothic type, 247 leaves, in double columns, 59 lines to the column, text measures $272 \times 188(=83+22+83)$ mm.; without mumerals, catchwords or signatures; spaces left for the initial letters, no initial divectors. The watermark is the bull's head with stylus and star. Hain, ${ }^{*} 1454$. Proctor, 199.

A beautifully clean and perfect copy, measuring $373 \times 272 \mathrm{~mm}$., rubricated throughout ; initial letters filled in in red and blue alternately. The rubricator has added in the space at the end of the second column of Fol. $6 \boldsymbol{a}$ his initials and the date of rubrication 1466.

On the fly-leaf preceding the Table is the note of ownership of Thomas Chren, a facsimile of which, on a reduced scale, is given on another page. This may be translated "The prefent book of S. Thomas, the Secunda Secunda, was purchased by Thomas Chren, at that time Plebanus [Parifa Prieft] in . Irunfirch, Anno Domini, 1 466." (The obliterated word was dominum).

This is an extremely interesting copy of a volume which possesses much bibliographical importance. The edition of the Sectunda Secunde printed by Peter Schaffer at Mentz in 1467 (on March 6th) is the first with a date, and took precedence for a time of the present undated one. Braun, however, pointed out that a copy was in existence of this "oldest, rarest edition," in which the rubricator had written the words "Actum LXVI.," disposing, in his view, of the precedence of the Mentelin to the Schaffer edition, and was of opinion that the work was produced with other important works at the time when, as he assumes, Mentelin received the grant of arms from Frederic IV. Braun was not cognizant of the existence of the present copy, in which the date is much more clearly indicated. Hain, however, records the existence of two copies, one bearing the written date of $\mathbf{1 4 6 6}$, the other of $\mathbf{1 4 6 8}$, and agrees with Braun that these inscriptions decide the question of date.

The former of these copies was, subsequently to Hain's inspection, sold by the Royal Library at Munich as a duplicate, and is that now under consideration. It contains the ex libris Ex Bibliotheca ecclefiœ Collegiatæ Lateranenfis ad S . Nicolaum prope Paffavium. I can conceive of no reason for parting with the volume containing the earlier date unless it be that the other was a larger-it could not have been a finer-copy.

In the copy in the British Museum, which is larger than mine, the Table is at the end of the volume, there is no MS. date and the three blank leaves are wanting.

John Mentelin, whose name is also spelled Mentel, Mentele and Mentlin, the printer of this volume and the prototypographer of Strasburg, had four predecessors in the art : (1) the printer of the forty-two line Biblia,-(2) the printer of the thirty-six line Biblia,-(3) Peter Schœffer, first with and afterwards without John Fust, and lastly (4) the printer of the Catholicon. He was born at Schelestadt in Alsace. His name occurs in the registers of the city of Strasburg in 5447 , and in 1449 in the register of the tax on wines as a goldtschreiber, illuminator. Irrational attempts have been made to connect him with the first essays in the art, and even to ascribe its invention to him, but there seems no reason to believe that there was even any sort of association between him and Gutenberg. It is far more probable, in Mr. Bernard's opinion that, excited by the suggestions of the new art which leaked out in the course of the lawsuit between Gutenberg and his associate Dritzehen, he set himself to work to discover the secret, and with such success that very shortly after the appearance of the Psalter of 1457 he was able to establish his own press at Strasburg. In the chronicle printed by Philip de Lignamine at Rome in 1474 he is credited with having in 1458 a printing office in that city, where he was printing his three hundred sheets a day: the date given is very likely somewhat too early, but there arc indications that he was very busy at least as early as 1459-60. In a copy of his first Latin Bible (Hain, *3033, Proctor, 196) mentioned by Schœepfin is the rubricator's colophon, Explicit liber iste anno Domini Millefino quadringentesimo sexagesimo sexto formatus arte impressoria per venerabilem virum Johannem Mentell in Argentina. Similar evidence of date exists in a copy of his first German Bible (Hain, *3130, Proctor, 198). The book under consideration belongs, as we have seen, to the same category of inferred dates, and is interesting in this connection. The complete list of inscriptions recorded in copies of the Secunda Secunda giving indications of date is as follows:( r ) in a copy in the Library at Strasburg, "Anno 1466"; (2) in the present copy; (3) in one cited by Panzer (I. 77), "Anno Domini M.CCCC.LXVII. a festo Transfigurationis"; (4) in another, "Ad Fauces Alpium Pertinet iste liber 1468 " ; (5) in that cited by Van Præt, "Jokannes Bamler de Augusta, rubricator, 68."

Very few of the large number of works known to have been printed by Mentelin are dated, the earliest being Vincentius Bellovacensis, Speculum Morale, dated Dec. 4, 1473.

Mentelin carried on his business with true commercial spirit, and was probably the earliest printer of a bookseller's or publisher's prospectus. Two of these documents are in existence. One runs thus:-Volentes emere epistolas, \&c. (the list follows), veniant ad hospicium Zu den (the name of the inn left to be filled in in MS.). The second is fuller-Cupiens igitur prefatum volumen emere una cum coteris subscriptis bene emendatis veniat ad hospicium infra notatum et habelit largum (a generous) zenditorem.

By his enterprise and energy he made a rapid fortune. Dying on December 12, 1478 , he was buried in the great church of Strasburg, and the great bell was tolled in his honour, as may be seen, says Schœepflin, in the register of bell-ringing there. Obiit dominus Johannes Mentelin impressor post conceptionem Virginis Maria MCCCCLXXVIII. et factus est ei pulsus cum campana magna dominica sequenti de sero. Of the funeral of our own greatest printer we have a similar record in the parish accounts of St. Margaret's, Westminster (1491): Itm. atte bureying of William Caxton, for iiij torches rijs riija. Itm. for the bell atte same bureying, ajd.
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Anfer Tarpeu cuftos louis：unde：ox alis Conftreperes：Gallg decidit：Vltor adeft ． Vdalrtcus Gallus：ne quem polcätur in ufü Edocuit pennis nil opus effe tuis．
Imprimit ille die：quantư non fcribitur anno Ingenio：haud noceas：omnia uinct bomo．

COLOPHON OF JUSTINUS．（ULRICH HAN，circa ${ }^{3470 .)}$

# CPiologus fip Crretatu oe inifincio ne Fubirectioe fimpliaiu cofefloz Edití aono anthonino airbicpo flozêtino． 

Efrorūt frutantes ferüūncoxapt pfal mifta ．Scrutantes aliocrum pcā̃ funt äfeffores．Setutimium autẽ eft inqui fitio fata in cofeffionc．In quoquia multa confefores seffäuntinon tene et fufficia tet fe hatentes in aubictifia confifionistoonfe quenter reficiüt efiam in fo a gratia atimulam offencerts

1470 June 28 and Aug. 23. FLAVIUS JOSEPHUS. ANTIQUITATUM LIBRI VIGINTI-DE BELLO JUDAICO LIBRI SEPTEM.

[AUGSBURG, JOHAVN SCHÜSSLER.]

Fol. $1 a$ : Iofephi hiftorio $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ph}} \mathrm{i}$ viri clariffimi prologus || in libros antiquitatum vigiti incipit feliciter, || ( )Iftorĩa qfcribe || difponentiby || etc. The prologue ents on Fol. 1b, line 5: and the table begins Capitula in librum primum incipiunt. || Fol. 2a: the second column begins Iofephi antiquitatis iudaice $\|$ liber primus incipit feliciter. $\|$ ( )N PRINCIPIO. CREAVIT. DEVS. CELVM. ET. TER- \| RAM, SED. DVM. TERRA. \| ad afpectum non veniret, etc.

Fol. 20tb, col. 2, line 5: Iofephi hiftoriographi viri clariffimi. libri || antiquitatum numero vigĩti per Iohannem || fchüfler. Ciuem, Auguftenfem finiunt fcliciter || non feriptorum quidem arte. fed qua noftra || tandem etas dotata eft. imprefforia fo 5 exarati. || Amo a natiuitate currente dominica, Mille- II fimoquadringentefimofeptuagefimo. kalëdas $\|$ vero iulias quarto. '| Fol. 202a: Iofephi de bello iudaico prologus || in libros feptem incipit fcliciter. II Fol. 203a, col. 1: Iofephi hiftoriographi viri clariffimi de $\|$ bello iudaico liber primus incipit feliciter. ||

Fol. 287b, col. 1, line 34: Verfus in iofephum belli iudaici, || Iudaicam guerram. gentes vrbē. facra. terram. || Fine fimul trifti pro fanguine perdita crifti. || lofephus ifte meus por edidit autor hebreus. \|| Colophon: Jofephi hiftoriographi viri clariffimi. libri || de bello iudaico feptem. finiunt feliciter. per. lo- \|| hãncm fehüffler ciuem Auguftenfem impreffi. || kalendas feptembris decimo. Anno vero a ptu \|| virginis falutifero. Millefimoüdringentefimo \|feptuagefimo. || Laus optimo maximo. ||

Folio, printed in gothic type, 287 leaves, 2 columus, 50 lines, text masurcs $290 \times 192 \mathrm{~mm}$. $(=85+22+85)$; without mumeration, catchwords, or signatures; spaces left for large initial letters, no initial directors. The watermarks are:-(1) bull's head; (2) three elevations, the central being the highest (dreiberg); (3) Roman D, with a rod, which forms part of the letter, surmounted by a double cross; (4) pair of scales. Hain, *9451, Proctor, 1589.

The first edition of the Latin version of Josephus. The original Greek text was not printed till 1544 , when Froben published at Basle an edition in folio. This is the first production of Schiissler, the second printer at Augsburg. He printed no other book in 1470 ; three books in 1471 , one of which, the Orosius, will be found in this collection; four in 1472 , of which the Belial is also herein represented; and one in 1473. These nine works seem to comprise his whole production, with the exception of a broadside calendar. It will be noticed that, although the art of printing had been already exercised for some fifteen years, Schüssler took the opportunity, in sending forth into the world this first fruit of his press, to explain to his readers in the colophon that it zeas produced by no art of the scribe, but by that art of impression with which at length their age had been endowed.

An absolutely clean and perfect copy, measuring $368 \times 280 \mathrm{~mm}$., rubricated throughout, and the initials to all the chapters illuminated, the first with gold, the rest with bold flowing ornament in various tints, in the German style of the period, extending the full height of page. The earlier bibliographers all remark on the rarity of the edition, which is undoubtedly a grand specimen of printing as regards type, presswork and paper.

# Circa 1470. JUSTINUS. IN TROGI POMPEI HISTORIAS EPITOME. <br> [ROME, ULRICH HAN OR HAHN.] 

Fol. 1a: Juftini hiftorici politiffimi Epitoma in || Trogi Pōpei hiftorias pemiū incipit. || On Fol. I 38a, line 13, begins the colophon, of which a facsimile will be found elsewhere.

4 to, printed in roman type, 138 leaves, 32 lines to the page, text measures $182 \times 102 \mathrm{~mm}$. without numerals, catchwords or signatures; spaces left for the larger initial letters, no initial directors. The watermark, which occurs only once or twice in the volume, is a circle surmounted by a stylus and cross, within it a star. Hain, 9646, Proctor, 3343.

A sound and perfect copy, but short, measuring only $220 \times 135 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Ulrich Han, born at Ingolstadt, citizen of Vienna, was the second Roman printer, and established his press in the same year ( 1467 ) as Sweynheym and Pannartz, whose desertion of Soubiaco may be explained by the arrival, actual or expected, of a competitor at Rome. He was associated with Simon Nicolai Chardella, of Lucca, from the end of 147 I to the end of 1474 , and worked alone from that date to 1478 . The punning colophon was composed by Campanus, Bishop of Crotona, who acted from 1470 to 1472 as editor and adviser to Han, whose name he latinized into that of Uddalricus Gallus. In the Paraleipomena Rerum Memorabilium, Argent 1538, we find the following short and inexact notice of Ulrich Han: "Sub idem ferme tempus ( 1471 ), Ulrichus Cognomento Han, formas literarias, rem inauditam nec unquam Romanis visam Romam attulit. Fuit is natione Germanus, cui cognomentum (ut dixi) erat Han, id latine gallum gallinaceum significat: hinc falsus Campanus et aliquot docti existimaverunt hunc Ulrichum natione Gallum fuisse." The chronicler then quotes the Anser Tarpeii verses, and also a scrap from Beroaldus, praising Germany as the mother of printing. O Germania, muneris repertrix || Quo nil utilius dedit vetustas, || Libros scribere qua doces premendo. || This edition afforded plenty of amusement to the older bibliographers, and of discussion as of the claims of this or the edition of 1470 printed by Jenson to be the first edition of Justinus. It had in the last century a reputation for rarity.

## Circa 1470. ANTONINUS ARCHIEPISCOPUS FLORENTINUS. TRACTATUS DE INSTRUCTIONE SEU DIRECTIONE SIMPLICIUM CONFESSORUM.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\text { COLOGNE, } & \text { ULRICII } & \text { ZEL. }
\end{array}\right]
$$

Fol. $1 a:()$ Ncipiūt Rubrice fuper Tractatū de inftructione || feu directione fimpliciū confefforum. Et primo $\|$ de ptãte cōfefforis in audiendo confeffiones $\| \boldsymbol{z}$ abfoluendo. Table, 3 leaves. Fol. $4 a$ : Prologus fup Tractatu de inftructio \| ne, etc. (see facsimile).

Fol. I39a: Explicit Summa ofeffionū. feu Interrogato || rium pro fimplicibus Cōfefforibo Editū Ab \|| Archiepō florētino. videliç. frē Anthonino \|ordinis predicatorum. Fol. $139 b$ : Incipit Sermo beati Iohis Crifoftimi \|| (sic) de penitentia. Fol. 143b: Explicit fermo De penitentia. \| Fol. 144 blank.

4to, printed in gothic type, 144 leaves, 27 lines to a page; text measures $147 \times 89 \mathrm{~mm}$.; without numerals, catchwords or signatures; spaces are left for large and small initial letters; no initial directors; the chapters numbered in Arabic figures. The watermark is the bull's head, short stylus and star. Hain, ${ }^{*}$ II62, Proctor, 819.

A fine, clean and perfect copy, measuring $209 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}$. (some rough edges), rubricated throughout. MS. signatures, $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{S}$, in eights, occur close to the lower right-hand corner of the text ; the last leaf would be S8. In the original well-preserved monastic binding of the fifteenth century, boards covered with
brown leather, stamped with diamond-shaped (Adam, Eve, and serpent, lion and unicorn), and round (rose) stamps in compartments formed by diagonal crossing lines; in the margin a floral border runs between the lines surrounding the compartments; rebacked in dark calf, impressed with round (rose) stamp, metal work for clasps. MS. title on a strip of vellum on front cover. On Fol. $1 a$ is the MS. inscription, Conventg Ratifbon. ord. Prediń.

Ulrich Zel, a native of Hanau near Frankfort-on-the-Maine, has more claims than one to a high place among early German printers, as his productions have a certain affinity with those of Peter Schaffer, in whose office it is suggested by M. Bernard that he may have learnt his art. His first dated book is the Johannes Chrysostomus super Psalmum L., 1466, and he was still printing in 1499.(') 'The Chrysostomus, like all his earlier publications, was a 4to, and in this form his books appeared till 1473, when a sudden change occurred in both type and size, the characters of his books printed in this second period being modclled upon those of Peter Schoeffer, as seen in the Valerius Maximus of June 14, 1471, and their form being principally folio instead of 4 to. A very large number of books, mainly undated, issued from the press of Zel , the B.M. possessing more than one hundred and twenty volumes attributed to him. Zel, like Mentelin, was no wild enthusiast devoting his life to the production of the very best possible work, but a substantial, industrious printer and publisher, whose plodding methods enabled him to acquire a handsome fortune. Up till 1473 he styled himself in his colophons Clericus diocesis Moguntinensis; after that date, on his marriage, suggests M. Bernard simply Artis impressorice magister. Before the end of the century this protocharagmaticus, as he calls himself, had seen the establishment of more than thirty rival presses in Cologne. Zel's name is intimately associated in another connection with the history of typography, as will be seen later on in the notes on the Cologne Chronicle, 1499. Round his testimony, as recorded in that volume-to the invention of printing by Gutenberg, say the Mentz partizans-to its perfecting only, say the Haarlem votaries-a battle has been waged as fierce and as bitter as many of those fomented by civil or religious discord. Et adhuc sub judice lis est.

There is plenty of internal interest in this book of instruction, apart from its physical characteristics. Men and women in every station of life, and of the most varied occupations, have all to pass through the meshes of the confessional, but there is a noble and dignified reticence in the enquiries suggested by the Archbishop, brilliantly contrasting with the foul and demoralizing cross-examinations of some later catechists. He gives this notable piece of advice hereon to Confessors: Sed tamun hujusmodi cum magna cautela et a longe interrogentur ab ipsis, ne discant que ignorabant. Et multo magis servandum est hoc circa puellas.

Many of the queries put to the merchant of those days might be pertinently addressed to some modern "man of business." Does he trade only in order to support his family, help the poor and support the state? If he carries on his business with the intention of heaping up riches, this is a mortal sin, especially if he determines to make his pile per fas et nefas. Does he conspire with other traders to keep up the prices of their wares? Does he supply arms or merchandise to the Saracen or to other enemies of the Christian religion? Does he try to evade unjustly pedagia or gabella (octroi or salt-tax)? The next enquiry savours of the casuist. He is asked whether, having associated himself with other merchants, he acts disloyally and keeps back for himself some secret profit: if so, he is bound to make this good, unless he is certain that his associates have furtively abstracted just as much 1; and so on. The frauds and other wrong-doings of Mechanici in generale, and Mechanici in specie, are then enumerated, and we learn that, generally speaking, a workman must not make anything for a sinful purpose, e.g., dice for gambling, arms for an unjust war, rouge for the complexion; nor must he work on feast days nor abstain from moderate fasting. The Woollen zorker must not pay his weavers in kind, but in money. The Inn-keeper must not water his wine, allow loose folk in his house, nor serve his customers when they have already had too much, for these be mortal sins. The Baker must not give short weight or put too much bran into his loaves. The Tailor must not keep back any of the customer's cloth or silk left over after the garment is finished, for that is mortal sin, especially if there was a good bit of it (maxime si sit quid notabile). The Goldsmith must not sell Alchemical Gold for purel nor purchase second-hand sacred plate unless he well knows that the vendor has come honestly by it. The Miusician must not play Ballets (Ballata) or Choruses in Church.
${ }^{(1)}$ See a note to this effect by the author of the Cologne Chroniche, 1499, hereinafter described.

# Circa 1470. JACOBUS MAGNI [JACQUES LE GRAND]. SOPHOLOGIUM. 

[STRASBURG, THE $\mathbb{R}$ PRIVTER.]

Fol. 1a: Capitula tractato ค̂mi libri ค̂mi. Incipiunt || De inducētibus ad amorem fapiēcie. i. II The table ends at the botton of Fol. 3a: De virginibus...xv. De viduis...xvi. \| Fol. 3b: ( ) Lluftriffimi principis regis francorum || deuotiffimo confeffori domino Michae || li, etc. Fol. 97 a blank (the rubricator has written on the opposite page, Hic nichil deficit).

Fol 217b, line 34: Zophilogium editum a fratre Iacobo magni de Pari || fius; ordinis heremita 4 fancti Augu. finit foeliciter. II

Folio, printed in roman letter with the nodding $\mathbf{R}, 217$ leaves, 35 lines to a page; text measures $180 \times 15 \mathrm{I}$ mm.; withont memerals, catchwords, or signatures; spaces left for the large initial letters, no imitial directors. The watermarks are (I) cleft gothic purmounted by Greek cross; (2) gothic $\}_{3}$ with cleft tail and quatrefoil ; (3) gothic with straight cleft, and stylus capped with quatrefoil ; (4) bull's head, wide horns, short stylus and star; (5) bull's head with long horns, short stylus and star. Hain, *10472, Proctor, 24 I.

This is probably the first edition of the Sophologium. To the press from which it issued, none of zwhich are dated, and none of which have colophons, some twenty or more books are attributed.

There is much of mystery about the printer of this work, whose identity has never been authoritatively determined, but who is now called by common consent, and for want of a better designation, "the $\boldsymbol{P}$ printer." To this press M. Madden assigns only eleven works, whilst in the B.M. or Bodleian some twenty-seven, between 1464 and 1478, are included in the classification. Till a comparatively recent date his work was attributcd to Mentelin, and Panzer (1. 76-80) gives a list of twenty-one books in which the $\mathbb{R}$ appears, and considers them all to be printed with Mentelin's characters. And it was not till $\mathbf{1 8 3 5}$, when Dr. Kloss's library was sold, that it was noted that " there exist two distinct and entire editions of the Speculum Historiale of Vincent de Beauvais, and that they were coetaneous, being printed on the same paper with the same watermarks . . . the one edition with Mentelin's name to each volume, and the other, without a printer's name, in which the singular letter $\mathcal{R}$ appears." A facsimile of a portion of a page of each edition was given in the catalogue, and the writer pointed out that the type of the $\mathbb{R}$ printer is "smaller and more gothic than that of Mentelin, gaining one line in thirty or two lines in sixty of his acknowledged type," a page of Mentelin's edition containing sixty-five, and the $\boldsymbol{Z}$ edition sixty-seren lines. This writer conjectured that MFaso Finiguerra, who used an identical $\mathbf{R}$, had become a printer, and that these books were to be attributed to him, an ascription which is now entrely discredited.

Since Dr. Kloss's sale, the mystery of the unknown printer who produced at so early a date so considerable a number of books, and yet left no clue to his own identity, has excited the imagination and the industry of more than one bibliographer. M. Madden, of Paris, took up the matter with an astonishing enthusiasm, and has devoted to the subject more pages than most would care to peruse. Let the reader whom I begin to weary by my gleanings from his lucubrations take them as read: in the author's own pages they form an object-lesson in minute bibliography. He chides in the first place most bibliographers for their inaccurate reproduction of the form of the $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { S }}$, and more severely the still earlier writers who failed to take the smallest notice of this most obvious peculiarity in their otherwise minute description of the books in which it occurred. It was not till 1783 that Van Praet recorded it ; in the catalogue of the La Valliere collection, and even after that date, Strauss (Monumenta Typographica, 1787-90) and Seemiller (1787) ignore the nodding R. The views of later bibliographers as to the place of printing are then given, and though the balance of their opinion leaned towards Strasburg and Mentelin, M. Madden will have none of these conclusions. For reasons of his own he decides that Cologne must have been the place of printing, a determination which of course greatly narrows the field and simplifies the investigation. All Colognc printers have given their names, frequently their addresses, whilst on those points the $\boldsymbol{X}$ printer remains utterly silent. This excess of modesty would be inconceivable in a private printer, but not in an establishment where a numerous clientelle of customers purchasing books multiplied by scribes already

Vnde Gotti Romanos capere potcrant. Fed ob reuerenciam nominis Crifts in ecclefia manentes $1_{1}$ beros dimittebant.Quamobrem Auguftin9 primo de cuul. deı : Romanorum ingratitudinê increpat:eo o $\mathrm{d}_{1}$ rebant nomen Crift eis obfuiffe, et tamen eozf ydola fuccurrere non valebant. Ideo ipfin nomine $\mathrm{Crift}_{1} l_{1}$ berifacti fucrunt. Ex qua biftoria inferunt alrqui $C_{2}$ ab illo tempore citra principes temporales likertatem in ceclefia malefactoribus concefferunt. Quoniá laus eiusin ecclefia fanctorum inquit propheta

SPECIMEN OF THE TEXT OF SOPHOLOGIUM.
(风 PRTVTER, circa 1470).
Refert yfidorus
quo ad ifta narrator maxim9: ox nympha carmentis lit teras latinas primo tradidit ytalress: que carmentis a lo nomme nicoftrates vocata e. Vcrum quidá $\varphi$ x xii. litteras exhibut: poftmodum vero a lie littere addute fint. Vinde phme xun. hittere crantifte.A.b.c.d.e. f.g.i.1.m.n.o.p..r.s.t.v.poftmodum b. littera pro nota alpuracioris addita eft. Vnde proprie non 1 It tera fed afpiracionis nota vocatur: litteram eciam.g. latinı in proceffu temporss inuencrüt: loco cur9 veteres feri bebant.c. Hane autem litteram. q. bebrei non babene nee greci: Fed folum latinı. Rnrfus Saluftus ludı ma giter prius litteram. 1: adnuenit: loco cuius veteres frrabebant.c.\&q. Et fuper vacua a quibufdam noms satur Infup ex tempere auguft1.x. Iteraadrriuentaé Et proftremo tempore Augufti ëufdem latini a gré cis duas litteras mutuauerunt folicet $y$.grecum $\&_{3}$ propter nomuna grecia melı 9 \{cribenda :prrus auté loco3. frribebant duo of
existed, and where no such advertisement was needed. Grievous typographical errors, such as are found in the books of the $\boldsymbol{R}$ printer, are more likely to proceed from an establishment in which young printers were learning their trade than from a well organized press. And these latter conditions, M. Madden contends, were to be found in the Convent of Weidenbach at Cologne, where he finally locates the mysterious $\mathbb{R}$ press. The Sophologium of the X printer possesses a special fascination for this subtle and plausible bibliographer, and to it he devotes some scores of pages. Of this work there are two issues (Hain, *io47r, Proctor, 240) and (Hain, *10472, Proctor, 241), the present volume. So much alike are they that they can hardly be called two editions. Notable differences occur in ( I ) the colophons, the name of the treatise being spelled Zophihlogium and the word feliciter used in the first, and Zophilogium and foliciter in the second; and (z) in the circumstance that in the first, Latin words ending in tia, tio, are correctly spelled, whilst in the second they almost uniformly take the forms cia, cio. Wherefore M. Madden christens them the ( T ) edition and the (C) edition, mounts his two steeds, sours jumelles he calls them, and with joy, after the manner of the desulfor of the Roman circus, rides them almost to death. Far be it from me to follow here at any length his investigations, interesting enough though they be if you have even one of the sisters to look upon. He lucidly explains why our blank page (97a) is not blank in the (T) edition, and how this eyesore was therein avoided without preventing the correspondence of the two editions page for page, yet proves that ( T ) was not copied from (C). He closely examines page 266 in our edition (of part of which I have given a reproduction), and points out an extremely minute but unmistakable incident in its composition. Jacques le Grand, in speaking of the evolution of the Alphabet, says (eighth line from bottom of page) that it was Sallust, the schoolmaster, who introduced into it the consonant $k$. The compositor of the $\$ 2$ printer had, however, no k in his case, and therefore manufactured one by removing the dot from an $i$, cutting the face in two, and placing it after an 1 , as will be seen in the reproduction. In the ( T ) edition this makeshift does not exist, and the k is so sharply printed that it would appear as if the new punch itself had becn employed, it being not worth while to make a mould for casting a single letter. And so on, and so on. Not laborious trifling if a solution were aided by the investigation, or any sound theory thereby arrived at.

A good and perfect copy, measuring $260 \times 196 \mathrm{~mm}$., initials filled in, and some parts rubricated by a scribe who has indulged in an elaborate cypher at the end of his work. Many marginal notes and contemporary interlineations. On Fol. i $a$ an inscription, De Conventu fratrum minõm Leod.

Jacques le Grant, born at Toulouse about 1350 , was a man of some note in his time. Professor of philosophy and theology, and an eloquent preacher, he acquired at Paris in the latter capacity a great reputation, but becoming entangled in the internecine strife which then raged in the capital, connected himself in turn with each of the rival factions by which France was distracted at the end of the fourteenth and beginning of the fifteenth century. At first a partizan of the Burgundians, he went over after the assassination of the Duke of Orleans to the Armagnacs, and in their interest undertook a mission to England to beg aid for his party from Henry V., a step in the wake of which followed the invasion of France by English forces, the battle of Agincourt, and the ultimate loss of all our possessions in that country. The Sophologium, his most important work, is a patchwork or mosaic, a classified commonplace book, the materials of which have been got together in scraps from poets, orators, philosophers and theologians, roughly sorted and arranged into twelve treatises divided into four books.

## 1470-1. QUINTUS CURTIUS. DE REbUS GESTIS ALEXANDRI magni.

> [VENICE, WEVDELIN OF SPIRE.]

Fol. Ia: ( )NTER hec Alcxander ad cōducēdū || ex peloponcfo militem Cleādro cum pe \|| cunia miffo, etc.

Fol. 1536: Quinti Curcij ruffi hiftoriarum Alexandri magni |l Regis Macedonum liber nonus explicit. II Loquitur lector ad Vindelinum Spirenfem || Artificem qui.

# Quinti Curcij ruffi biftoriarum Alexandri magni Regis Macedonum liber nonus expliat 

Loquitur lector ad Vindelinum Spirenfem
Artificem qui.Q.C. reddit in lucem
Vindelne meo prius hic redditurus in auras Spıritus \& corpus linquet inane meum. Q ír tua nobilitas urrus:atq; inclita fama:
Pectore labatur candide amice meo

CONCLUSION OF QUINTUS CURTIUS. (WENDELTN OF SPIRE, 1470-I.)

## FRAH.RHOL.TARVISANVS.

 GERAR. DE LISA SCRIPTORI : MEI COPIAM FECIT. VT IPSE CAETERIS MAIOREM COPIAM FACERET..TARVISII.
.M.CCCC.LXXI. NOVEMB.
INSCRIPTION RESEMBLING A COLOPHON ON FIRST PAGE
OF PIMANDER. (GERARDUS LJS.A, 147r.)
Q.C. reddit in lucem. || Vindeline meo prius hic redditurus in auras || Spiritus \& corpus linquet inane meum. \|\| $Q$, tua nobilitas uirtus: atq, inclita fama: \| Pectore labatur candide amice meo II

4to, printed in roman type, 153 leaves, 32 lines to a page; text measures $175 \times 98 \mathrm{~mm}$.; without numerals, eatehwords, or signatures; space left for first initial, no initial directors. The watermarks are (1) pair of scales in circle, (2) anchor within circle, (3) crown (on pillar ?). Hain, *5878, Proctor, 4054.

A fine, clean and perfect copy, measuring $257 \times 188 \mathrm{~mm}$.
There is an abundance of discussion in the pages of the earlier bibliographers on the question of the precedence of this edition or of that of Geory Lauer, who printed an edition at Rome, without date, but in 147 I.

Wendelin of Spire or Spier, a German city on the left bank of the Rhine, was the associate and afterwards the successor of his brother fohn, the prototypographer of Venice. The elder brother exercised his art for only about a twelvemonth and printed in that short time two editions of Cicero's Epistola ad Fomiliares, and a magnificent Pliny, and began the printing of Augustinus de Civitate Dei, dying whilst it was in the press and leaving it to be completed by Wendelin. The colophon of that volume presents the story in a nutshell. Qui docuit Venetos exfribi poffe Ioannes \|| Menfe fere trino Centena volumina Plini || Et totidem Magni Ciceronis Spira libellos: || Ceperat Aureli: fubita fed morte perentus || Non potuit ceptum Venetis finire laborem || Vindelinus adeft eiufdem frater : \& arte || Non minor: hadriacaq, morabitur urbe. || M.CCCC.LXX. "John, who had shown to the Venetians that there could be produced (exscribi), in a bare three months, 100 volumes each of Pliny and Cicero, set to work upon Aurelius (Augustinus), but being cut short by a sudden death could not finish the volume he had commenced. His brother Wendelin, however, no less expert than he, is in Venice, and there he intends to stay." The skill and enterprise of John had gained for him an exclusive privilege of exercising the printer's art in Venice and its district for five years from the 18 th Sept., 1469 , but his brother failed to procure its transfer to himself: on the margin of the original document still preserved in the archives of St. Mark is written Nullius est vigoris, quia obiit magister et auctor. Wendelin was a prolific as well as a most artistic printer during the very short period in which his press was at work. It appears to have broken down in $\left.1473,{ }^{2}\right)$ and in $147^{6}$ he made a fresh but apparently very unsuccessful attempt to revive the business, only four or five books having been issued after its resuscitation. Spire was a city which possessed five or six presses, whence issued a considerable number of books, 1471-1500, and from its borders migrated to other cities other printers besides John and Wendelin, viz., Iodocus Hohenstein (Naples, 1475) and Joh. Emeric (Venice, 1487-99).

## 1471 June 14. VALERIUS MAXIMUS. FACTORUM ET MEMORABILIUM

## LIBER.

[MENTZ, PETER SCHCEFFER.]

Fol. $1 a$ (in red) : Valerii Maximi Romane urbis iurifpitiffimi. in librū \|facto ${ }^{4}$ et dictorum memorabilin̄ ad Tiberī̄ cefarem || Prefatio incipit. || (in black): ( )Rbis Rome exte- \| rarumq, gentī̄ facta fimul ac dicta $\|$ memoratu digna. $\|$.... On line 28 (in red), Definit prefatio. Tituli primi libri. \| Fol. Ib (in red): Valcrii maximi. facto 7 \& dicto 4 memorabiliū ad $\mathrm{Ti} \|$ beriū cefarem lib' primus incipit. Ca. i. de religionc. || ( ) Aiores ftatas folēnes. . . .

Fol. $198 a$ (in red): Prefens Valerii Maximi opus $\tilde{p} c l a r i f f i m u \bar{u}$. in nobili || urbe Mogūtina Rheni terminatū: anno M.CCCC.LXXI. \|. .xviij. Kalēdis iuliis: per egregium Petrī̈ fchoyffer de $\|$ Hernfhem artis impfforic mirrm feliciter è 9fumatī. \| Underneath is the device of Schaffer, also printed in red. (See faesimile.)

[^17]
# Valerij Maximi Romane urbis iuripitiffrmím librí factozer Dictszum memoeabilū ad そibernū ce§arem <br> URbis Romeexte = Drefatio íncipit. rarumqis gentiu facta fimulac bita memozatu signa/que apud alios la= tius diffulafut/ ut quambreutere co: gnofapoltmtlab illultribus electa auctoribus/seligere oftitui.ut oocu: menta füméuoleñtibs! longe mquificómis tabo: abfit. Vee mibicuncta cöplectēdi cupido ímeeflit. 

BEGINNING OF VALERIUS MAXIMUS.
(SCHOEFFER, 147L.)

Drelens Valern Maximiopus p̈lariflimü'm nobili urte MogüñáRtervi/termímatü'anno M.ecce.lxxi. -xviij.kalédis iulïs!'pr cgregium ßerrüftoopfer ie Bernfixemartis impflotie mğrm'FCliater ésfümatū


COLOPHON OF VALERIUS MAXIMUS
(SCTHCEFFER, 1471.)

Folio, 198 leaves, 30 lines to a page, text measures $178 \times 112 \mathrm{~mm}$.; printed in gothic type, without muncrals, catchwords or signatures, spaces left for the initial letters, no initial directors. The watermarks are (1) the small bull's head with stylus and star; (2) a bunch of grapes. Hain, 15774 , Proctor, 95.

The Editio Princeps (Hain, *15773, Proctor, 204) was printed by Johann Mentelin at Strasburg, without a date, but probably in June, 1470 .

A splendid, spotless and perfect copy, measuring $280 \times 202 \mathrm{~mm}$., rubricated throughout. From the library of Sir M. M. Sykes, in red morocco binding, with his arms and monogram impressed in gold on the sides.

In this little collection of Incunabula, the most obvious link, in some respects, with the earliest typographical productions of Europe is the volume under notice, which though of not very early date zeas printed by a partner in the first recognized firm of printers, zeliose name appears in the colophon of the very first dated book and is indissolubly connected in the popular mind zeith the art of printing. Peter Schaffer, the printer of the Velerius Maximus of 1471, is the same Schoeffer who, in conjunction with Johann Fust, printed the Psalter of 1457, and, if we accept the independent conclusions of the late Mr. Henry Bradshaw and Mr. Hessels, was the printer of an Indulgence at least as early as 1455 .

The annals of the life of Peter Scheeffer, born about the year 1430 at Gernszheim, near Mentz, begin with the colophon dated 1449, in his handwriting, of a MS. preserved in the library at Strasburg, a facsimile of which is given by Schoepflin. How he became acquainted with Fust, or in what capacity he was engaged does not appear, but it has been both assumed and denied that to his technical skill much of the credit of the extraordinary typographical and artistic excellence of the magnificent Psalter of 1457 is due. The press of Fust and Schoeffer existed, with an interval of more than two years (the result of the capture and sack of Mentz), till the death of Fust in 1466, when Schoeffer became the head of the house and continued to print till the end of the century, having as a sleeping partner Conrad Fust or Hanequis. His books did not at first succeed each other with great rapidity, and the Valerius is perhaps among the first fifteen of this second press. His most rapid production was between 1470 and 1479 . Peter Schaffer was, like Mentelin and Koberger, a printer with strong commercial instincts, and spared neither industry nor enterprise in the conduct of his large business. He had agents in Paris, Lubeck, Frankfort and elsewhere, selling the books of other printers as well as his own productions. In 469 he printed a broadside catalogue of books for sale by himself or his agents, containing particulars of twenty-one books, fifteen of which are from his own press. This earliest known publisher's list has been reproduced in facsimile by Mr. E. Gordon Duff in his delightful volume, Early Printed Books. The marriage of Peter Scheeffer to a Christine, the daughter of Johann Fust, is mentioned by the earlier bibliographers as a matter of certainty, and is an article of popular belief, but M. Bernard has shown that Fust had no daughter. His son Conrad was, as is stated above, a sleeping partner of Schoeffer's after Fust's death, and it was to Conrad's daughter Christine that Peter was married.

1471 Dec. 18. MERCURIUS TRISMEGISTUS PIMANDER. LIbER DE potestate et sapientia dei. E Graeco in latinum traductus a marsilio ficino.
[TREVISO, GERARDUS LiSA.]
Fol. 1 $a$, blank. Fol. 16 : Tu quicung, cs: qui hæc legis: fiue grāma- || ticus: fiue orator: feu philofophus: aut theo- $\|$ logus: fcito. Mcrcurius Trifincgiftus $\sqrt{\mathrm{II}}$ : que $\|$ fingulari mea doctria \& thcologica: ægiptii $\|$ prius \& barbari : mox Chriftiani, ctc. Fol. 2a: ARGVMENTVM MARSILI Fî- || CINi FLORENTINI IN LIBR̄̄ || MERCVRII TRISMEGISTI

AD \| COSMVM MEDICEM PATRIAE\|PATREM.: : \| ( ) O rēpore: quo Moyics natus \| cft., etc. Fol. 56b, the colophon: .FINITVM. || .M.CCCC.LXXI. DIE. XVIII. || .DECEMB.

8vo, 56 leaves, 24 lines to the page, printed in roman letter; $127 \times 78 \mathrm{~mm}$.; without numerals, catchwords or signatures; spaces left for the larger initial letters, no initial directors. The watermark is a crown with a circle at lower edge. Hain, 5456, Proctor, 6458.

The first edition of this work, and the fourth book printed by Gerard de Lisa (Van der Lyc, of Harlebeke, near Courtrai), also called Girardus de Flandria, the first printer at Treviso, the ninth town in Italy in which the printing press was set up. From the appearance of the Hermes there is a gap till Dec., 1474. After the resumption of his lahours in that year but few works issucd from his press at Treviso, which came to an end in the next. In 1477 Gerard printed one book at Venice; in 1480 , having removed to Cividale, he issued two more ; in 1484, with type from his last press, he printed at Uidine another two, and finally, at Treviso in 1492, this rolling stone found a resting-place in the city where he had commenced his labours, ending them about the year 1495, after the production of the Hedus de Amoris Generibus (to be hereinafter noticed) and four other volumes. This printer has always impressed me as being a true artist. His capitals, although less normal than Jenson's, are quaint and pleasing, and his lower-case so picturesque and enticing that when, very many years ago, I was foolish enough to contemplate a private press, it was in partial imitation of them that I proposed to have the punches cut.

The words FRAH. RHOL. in the inscription, of which a facsimile is given, and which occurs at the end of the address to the reader on Fol. ıb, refer to Franciscus Rholundellus, who was employed in the revision and correction of Lisa's press. A free English rendering of the self-complacent address beginning "Tu quicunque es," \&c., may perhaps be excused: "Knowe thou, whosoever thou art, grammarian, orator, philosopher, or theologian, that I am Mercurius Trismegistus. Me, for my singular theological doctrines, first the Egrptians and bariarians, then the ancient Christians, stricken with profound astonishment, did admire. And so it will be to thy advantage to buy me and read me, seeing that I cost but little, and yet shall give thee both pleasure and profit, for my teaching zwill gratify as well the moderately educated as the most learned of men. Forgive me, I pray thee, for speaking the truth without shame or reluctance. Do but read me-thou zvilt then confess that I have not lied to thee, and having read me once zrilt read me through again, and tell thy friends to buy and read me too. Fare thee zeell."

A very clean and perfect copy of this very rare and attractive little book, measuring $190 \times 131 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Fol. Ia: Regftrum (sic) pro capitulis tocius libri inquirendis. || De miferia hominum ab initio per peccatum. Ca. pmum. \|... The table finishes on Fol. 7a; Fol. Tbblant. Fol. Sa: Pauli horofii, etc., as in facsimile, page So. Fol. Sb (in fine): Finit prologus. |l Fol. ga: Pauli horofii . .. libri numero feptē incipiūt. || Fol. $130 b$ (in fine): Beati Pauli horofii prefbiteri in xp̃iani noîs querulos libri nũo feptem finiunt feliciter, Per Iohannẽ Schũfzler florentiffime vrbis Augufte conciuẽ impreffi, Anno a ptu virginis Marie falutifero. Mo q̃dringētefimo et feptuagefimopmo. Circit̃ iunij nonas feptĩas.

Folio, 130 leaves, 35 lines to a page, in gothic type, $205 \times 122 \mathrm{~mm}$.; without mumerals, catchwords or signatures; spaces left for the initial letters, no initial directors. The watcrmarks are (1) the bull's head, long double stylus between the horns, surmounted by a trefoil above two leaves; (2) a castle. Hain, *12101, Proctor, 1591.

A magnificent copy, measuring $305 \times 210 \mathrm{~mm}$., rubricated throughout, and with the initials and letters well and boldly floriated. It is sumptuously bound in red morocco, with broad floral decoration in gold, and the arms of Bavaria in gold on the sides. In immaculate condition inside and out. I bought


Rapbael Zouenzonius Ifter. Vindelino \{pyrêfi ob cius incrediblem imprimendi folertiam. D.D.

Confumatiflimus itle martialis Impreflus digitis uidelianis. Hicelt:bic lepidus facetrarum Princeps:\& falis articiilatinique. Hunc bunc lector emas: nbi futurum Preclarum comitem domı forilque leta conflio tui poetac.
it at the Quatremère sale. It had been sold as a duplicate from the Royal Library at Munich where it received its binding.

Orosius, a presbyter of the Spanish Church, who was born towards the close of the fourth century, appears to have undertaken this work at the suggestion of St. Augustine as a refutation of the slanders of Symmachus and others, who laboured to prove that the Christian religion was responsible for the decline of the Roman Empire. 'These accusations he refuted in his Historia by the citation from well-known records of accidents and misfortunes which had befallen the Roman nation in the days of their apparent prosperity.
[1471.] VALERIUS MARTIALIS. EPIGRAMmATA.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { VENICE, } \\
\text { IVENDELIN } & \text { OF SPIRE. }
\end{array}\right]
$$

Fol. 1a, blank. Fol. 1b: Plinii. Secundi Epiftoia ad Corneliū prifcū. \|l Fol. 2a: (b)ARBARA Pyramid̄̄ || fileat miracula. memphis. || etc. Fol. $91 a$ : M. Val. Mar. liber Septimus explicitus eft.|| (sic.) Octauus Sequitur.\| Fol. 91b, blanh. Fol. $92 a$ : (i)Mperatori Domiciano || Cefari Augufto Germa- || nico Dacio Valeriuf Marti|| alis falutẽ. ... Fol. 179b: The tert ends with the lines Criftateq, fonant undique lucis aucs. Fol. 180a: Georgius Alcxädrinus Angelo Adriano Oratori|| Regio Salutem. ||. Fol. 1816 , lines 1 and 2 : Raphacl Zouenzonius Ifter Vindelino fpyrēfi ob $\|$ eius incredibilem imprimendi folertiam. D.D. $\|$ On the third line: Confumatiffimus ille martialis || lmpreffus digitis uidelianis. || Hic eft: hic lepidus facctiarum || Princeps: \& falis attici: latinique. || Hunc hunc lector emas: tibi futurum Preclarum comitcm domi forifque || Iftri confilio tui poctac.

4to, 181 leaves, 32 lines to a page, tert measures $175 \times 92$ min.; printed in roman type, zuithout mumerals, catchwords, or signatures, spaces and initial directors at beginning of chapters only. The watermarks are (1) shears; (2) scales; (3) an anchor. Hain, *108og, Proctor, 4055.

There seems to be nothing to show that any edition of Martial had priority over this, though Hain 10810, printed at Ferrara by Andreas Belffortis, July 2, 1471, undoubtedly runs it close. The reader will not fail to note following the colophon, the printer's entreaty to the public to buy the book.

A very fine and perfectly clean copy, measuring $268 \times 191 \mathrm{~mm}$. It has the following imperfections: Leaf 1 , 102, and 111 wanting, supplied in old and careful facsimile. Leaves roz and in were originally printed in duplicate, and bound in the volume when I purchased it ; as a consequence, leaves 114 and 119 were missing. Their loss was supplicd by others in admirable facsimile, executed for me in 1860 by Harris, one of the ablest of artists of that period. No one who has not attempted such work can form an idea of its difficulties, yet the perfection to which it was carried by hand, before photography came to its aid, was such as to render detection by an untrained eye very difficult.

## 14(7)1. [B. GIOV. DI DIO CERTOSINO.] DECOR FUELLARUM.

[IENICE, NICOLAS JENSON, of SOMMEVOIRE.]
Fol. $1 a:$ QVESTA SIE VNA OPERA LA \| QVALE SI CHIAMA DECOR \| PVELLARVM: ZOE HONORE \| DE LE DONZELLE: LA QVALE || DA REGOLA FORMAE MODO || AL STATO DE LE HONESTE || DONZELLE.
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#### Abstract

Fol. iIfa: ANNO A CHRISTI INCARNA- \| TIONE. MCCCCLXI. PER MAGI- || STRVM NICOLAVM IENSON || HOC OPVS QVOD PVELLA- \| RVM DECOR DICITVR FELICI-\|TER IMPRESSVM EST.\| LAVS DEO.\|


4 tn , 1 iS leaves, 22 and 23 lines to the page, printed in roman letter, without memerals, catchzords or signatures; the text measures $126 \times 75 \mathrm{~mm}$; spaces left for larger initials, no initial directors. The watermark is a pair of scales. Hain, 6069, Proctor, 4078.


#### Abstract

\section*{Sapiate cariffime che} fi di tal meditatióe defiderate hauere abundante \& fatiffaceuole copia:uui porete effer โatiffacte $p$ una opererta chiamata luctus chriftianore zoe pian. to de chriftiani:ne laquale fe contiene la concordantia de li quatro paffii fer cüdo il tefto de tuttili.iiii.euāgelifta cum quelle azonte parole perfuaforie a péruenire \& condure lanima a füma compaffione de lo afflicto miffer Iefu cū molte lachryme per la dura paffiõe che lui foffēne per nro amore if forma de meditatioe induceuele al feruore de la fua ardéte dilectione:

PISSAGE WHICH REARS ON THE DATE OF THE DECOR PUELIARUM. UE゙NSON', $14 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ or 147 F )


The only edition in the fifteenth century of a little book known to many and seen by very few, "vix a millesimo cruditorum oculis visus" (Vogt), and described by De Bure as "Opus insignis raritatis." It has long been one of the desiderata of bibliophiles. Round the question of its date raged for half a century eager contentions on the part of bibliographers, champions respectively of the French and Italian press.( ${ }^{( }$) Had the date 1461, which is found in the colophon, been really that of the printing of the volume, and not a mere typographical mistake for 1471, to a Frenchman would have belonged the glory of introducing the art of printing into Italy four years before its acknowledged inception at the monastery of Subiaco in 1465 , and of being the first and not the second printer at Venice, whose prototypographer is otherwise John of Spire, and the first book printed there his Epistola ad Familiares of ${ }_{1}{ }^{6} 69$.

Maittaire's special pleading in favour of Jenson is not supported by any very cogent arguments. He combats the objection that there must have been, if Jenson really printed the Decor in 1461, a gap of nine years in which he printed nothing, by the suggestion that the books which he may have produced in that interval are lost to view by their seclusion in some great library or have been destroyed by the ravages of time! and points the admirable moral that publicity should be afforded to the contents of libraries of every kind, and that no loss of value to the rarer books would be caused by such promulgation. The clinching argument of Brunet in favour of the date of 1471 is based on internal evidence. On Fol. $48 b$ and $49 a$ of the volume is found a passage (a facsimile of which is annexed) in which the author recommends, for the enlightemment and satisfaction of his girlish readers, "una operetta chiamata luctus Chriftianorum zoe pianto de Chriftiani." As on the $4^{\text {th }}$ April, 147 I , Jenson printed a volume identical with the Decor Puellarum in the matters of type, quality of paper, abbreviations, punctuation and other peculiarities, with the following title, QVESTA E VNA OPERA LA QVALE SE CHIAMA LVCTVS CHRISTIANORVM, etc., it is but reasonable to conclude that in alluding in the Decor Puellarum to a treatise with the same title hc was referring to the work in question, which was then already in print. The whole controversy is summed up in the most interesting way in a long article by Mr. Horatio Brown (The Venetian Printing Press). He adduccs far stronger arguments than Maittaire in support of

[^18]the earlier date, and comes to the following conclusion: "The question will alzays be open to discussion, but the consensus of modern criticism at present tends, wrongly we think, to consider the dispute as settled in favour of John of Speyer and his edition of the Epistola ad Familiares of 1469 ." It is exhilarating to reflect that in Mr. Brown's opinion this vexed question is not closed for ever. The earlier writers are unanimous in emphasizing the great rarity of the Decor Puellurum. Naittaire had seen only a very imperfect copy in Lord Pembroke's library, whilst De Bure"considers it his duty to announce to the public that of the three only copies which exist in Paris, only a single perfect one is to be found, viz, that of M. Gaignat. This copy, a very beautiful one, is regarded as unique, the other two being mutilated, having a considerable number of reprinted leaves at the beginning, an irreparable and very visible defect in a book of such rarity; yet, notwithstanding these defects, they must always be considered as precious volumes, and of considerable value." Mr. Brown, on the other hand, says that it is not very rare, though it used to command high prices before its date was impeached. There are copies in the B.M. and Bodleian; ( ${ }^{( }$) and Libri's, a very fine one, only brought $£ 22$ in 1859. I possess a second copy, wanting many leaves.

A copy possessing desirable and undesirable points. In its favour are its size, $207 \times 137 \mathrm{~mm}$, ! against $178 \times 125 \mathrm{~mm}$., the dimensions of the copy in the B.M., and also the fact that it has the nintly leaf, often missing. In its disfavour, the first and last leaves are wanting, supplied in ancient facsimile, and its condition at the beginning and end of the volume is wormy-especially as regards the margins.

Whether Jenson were or were not the prototypographer of Venice, his fame as a printer exceeded that of all his Venetian contemporaries. He is first heard of in a passage in a manuscript preserved in the Bibliothèque de l'Arsenal at Paris, which recounts that "the King (Charles VII.) having heard that Messire Gurthemberg, chevalier, residing at Mayence in Germany, a man 'adextre en tailles et de caracteres de poincons;' had brought to light the invention of printing by punches and types, was curious about such a treasure (tel tresor), and ordered the masters of his mint to give him the names of some persons well skilled in that sort of engraving (taille), who should be sent secretly to the said place to obtain information of the said 'forme' and invention, and become aequainted with, conceive, and learn their art. The King was satisfied herein, and by Nicolas Jenson were undertaken both the journey and the mission of arriving at the comprehension of the said art and its execution, of which he was the first to make a duty (devoir) of the said art of printing in the said Kingdom of France." This mission was undertaken by Jenson in 1458. Jenson went to Mentz and did most thoroughly learn the art, as his subsequent career shows, but returning about the year 1.46 I , found that Louis XI., the son of his late patron, was disinclined to avail himself of the acquired knowledge, and he resolved to utilize at Venice the information which he had acquired. He had been an engraver in the Paris mint, and, being a man of great taste, based the design of his new fount upon the finest Italian manuscripts. $\left(^{2}\right.$ ) His work is of the highest order of excellence, and in my unskilled opinion derives much of its charm, as compared with that of many contemporary printers, from the extremely equable design and even spacing of the letters, which prevent the eye from resting on one particular portion of the text in preference to another. His first dated book (if the Decor be excepted) is the Cicero, Epistole ad Atticum, etc., 1470 . In 1480 or 1481 he associated with himself John of Colonia. With him he printed two books in 1481. The partnership existed till the death of Jenson towards the end of 1482 . Till 1474 Jenson employed roman type exclusively; in that year he began to employ the gothic characters which we shall meet with in his Nicolaus de Tudeschis, bearing date Nov. 22, 1477.

## 1472 July 2. JACOBUS [PALLADINUS] DE THERAMO. BELIAL SEU CONSOLATIO PECCATORUM. <br> [AUGSBURG, JOHANN SCHÜSSLER.]

Fol. $1 a$ : Reuerendi patris domini Iacobi de Theramo Compendiū pbreue || Confolatio peccatorum nuncupatum: Et apud nounullos Belial \|l vocitatum. ad papã Vrbanũ fextum conferiptum: Incipit feliter. \| (sic). ( )Niuerfis criftifidelibus atq, ortodoxe. fancte matris \|| ecclefie.... Fol. II4a: the text ends on the 34th line with the word AMEN. Fol. II4b:

[^19]on the isth line begins the colophon: Explicit lib' belial nūcupaty al's pcto? ofolatio Per Ioh? Schufziêl|ciuẽ Aug?. imp̃ffus. Año dñi Mocccclxxij. Iulij vero Nonas vj. Fol. iI5, blank.

Folio, 115 leazes, 35 lines to the page, tert measures $204 \times 123$ mm.; primted in gothic letter, without numerals, catchaords or signatures; spaces left for the larger initial letters, initial directors for the rubricator's guidance. The watermarks are (i) a crown surmounting a long rectangular figure with rounded corners; (2) a bull's head surmounted by a stylus and flower, the stylus passing down below the head, and ending in a cross ; (3) cross-keys joined at the top by a loop, above that a stylus with cross at the top; and others (4), (5), and (6). Not in Hain, Proctor, 1597.

The second edition; the first, printed also at Augsburg, by Günther Zainer, having appeared just eleven days before Schïssler's.

A bcautifully clean and perfect copy, slightly wormed, measuring $299 \times 200 \mathrm{~mm}$.
The argument of this extraordinary and at one time popular book is somewhat as follows: Christ, having been condemned to death by Pilate and crucified "on the 25 th of March," (") leaves His mortal body to be buried, descends into the infernal regions, holding in His hands the flag of triumphal victory over temporal death, and announces to the Powers of Darkness His coming in the words "Lift up your heads, O ye gates, and be lift up, te everlasting doors, and the King of Glory shall come in," to which summons the trembling demons reply, "Who is this King of Glory?" and Christ answers, "The Lord, strong and mighty, even the Lord mighty in battle"; whereupon the terrified inmates of the doomed principality only close their doors the more firmly against Him. But Christ, seeing that He is thus set at nought by the Princes of Darkness, breaks open their brazen gates and shatters their iron bars, and, with a white banner on which glows a rosy cross, enters the Infernal Kingdom as a victor who has seized his prey. And those whom He knows to be captives He lcads forth openly and places in honour in the Paradise of God. And Satan, bound with iron fetters, He plunges under waters of the deadly lake, and goes forth followed by our first parents, by Patriarchs, Kings and Prophets and by others who had walked in the way of the Lord. After their departure the infernal host, finding itself deprived of its prey, and seeing its King bound and cast into abysmal depths, is seized with utter despair and gives way to leonine roaring and the bitterest weeping. To whom Ascaroth, $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ in a pithy speech, recommends fortitude and immediate business-like action. "We well know," he urges, "that God is just, and with Him there is no acceptance of persons; we can prove our original peaceable possession of this habitation, and the violence used towards us ; upon such proof and judicial reparation will immediately follow. We have with us men learned in the law . . . you must appoint one or more procurators, provident and sagacious syndics, who, in the name of your University, may and can act for and defend you." Here he cites the laws which govern the case of a University bringing an action. The assembly of fiends having, with common consent, agreed to be guided by Ascaroth's advice, and Belial having accepted the procuration, he presents himself at the Divime Consistory, where sit four-and-twenty Elders and Patriarchs and ten thousand times a hundred thousand. Belial, in a mellifluous speech, sets forth his complaint against "Jesus, the son of Joseph and Mary," and requests that, as Christ had often asserted Himself to be the Son of God, "uni viro neutri parti suspecto, qui juris habeat peritiam, predictam causam decidendam committi sew si placet delegrari." A controversy then arises as to the Diocese of the accused; Belial argues that it is that of Nazareth, but after a discussion, which it is needless to quote, Jerusalem is agreed upon, and Solomon is appointed judge, Daniel notary, Moses appearing for Christ, the witnesses for the defence being Abraham, Isaac, Jacob, David, John the Baptist, Aristotle, Virgil and Hippocrates. The incidents of the process are presented with a certain amount of ingenuity, and great attention is paid to the legal formalities in use at the time of the composition of this singular production. Belial attacks Moses on the ground that he killed the Egyptian and hid his body in the sand. To whom Moses rather evasively replies, "Quotidiana fornax lingua twa."
${ }^{1}$ ) Many readers will be perfectly familiar with the discussions and disputes about the date on which Good Friday should be observed, and with the rather elaborate calculations by which the proper date is now decided. In A.1). 387 the Gauls observed it on March 18, the churches of Italy on April 15 and those of Egypt on April 23. I do not know whence Theramo obtained his March 25, probably from a mystic association with Lady-Day.
( $^{2}$ ) Ascaroth was or is (says Migne, Dict. des Sciences Occultes) a demon little known to fame, who protects spies and informers. He seems to be a sort of underling of Nergal, a demon of the second order, head of the infernal police, chief spy of Beelzebab, under the surveillance of the "grand justicier" Lucifer. I cite this information, bowever, under all reserve.

Having given some slight indication of the scheme of this extraordinary book, I shall be excused, probably thanked by my readers for not pursuing the subject further. The award is given at far too great length for quotation, and I may very properly omit the subsequent contentions between the discomfited demons, in which Beclseluth, Ascuroth, Belphegor, Boulin, Bual, Esmadeus and Miluth take part. The intention of the author, reverent throughout in spite of the familiarity with which he approaches sacred subjects, appears to have been to popularize the gospel narrative and to stimulate the interest of his hearers in the scheme of redemption. The work was proscribed by the Council of Trent, doubtless on account of some outspoken passages against the vices of the clergy, "Nonne vos pastores post predicatum evangelium assurgebatis ad pecata manifesta operanda in omni genere peccatorum," etc., etc.

James of Theramo was born at Aversa, of which place he became Archdeacon. In a paragraph immediately preceding the colophon occur in Latin these words: "Finished at Aversa, near Naples, on the last day of the month of October in the year 1382 (this date is incorrectly quoted by Braun, who had probably not seen the book), in the fifth year of the Pontificate of Urbun I'I., and the thirty-third of my owen age, an age akeays considered to be a sinful one in man's life, although God more willingly pardons the penitcnt sinner at that period of his cxistence . . . The sins of old age are more heinous: and the demons twee delight in them. . . . But youths and old min alike shall find healing in this opusculum (of 230 pages!) by a full comprehension of the mercy of God to sinners. Wherefore the work shall be knowen to them as 'The Consolation of Sinners, the zwithch, wehen thou hast read, thou shalt cry to God, 'Thou hast magnified They glory,' and thow shalt turn with consolation to that eternal life which may "He grant thee for ever and ever. Amen."

1472 July 16. TITUS LIVIUS PATAVINUS. HISTORIE ROMANE DECADES.
[ROME, CONR. SHEYNHEYM AND ARNOLD PANNARTZ]
Fol. 1a: Epitoma decadū quatuordecim. T. Liuii Patauini \| Hiftorici in centū \& g̈draginta librof diftinctum. \| The Epitome occupies 20 leaves. Fol. $21 a$ : T. Livii Patauini IIftorici ab Vrbe |! condita decadif prime. Liber Primuf. || (F)Acturuf ne fim operep̃tium : fi a p̃mordio urbif ref populi Romãi i| perfcripferim:... Fol. $162 b$ : the first decade ends on line 31. Fol. 163a: T. Liuii Patauini Hiftorici de fecundo bello Punico Decadif tertie. (sic.) LIBER PRIMVS. Fol. $301 a$ : T. Liuii Patauini hiftorici de bello Macedonico et || Afratico decadif quarte. LIBER PRIMVS. Fol. 408a: On line 34 begins the verses and colophon reproduced in faesimile.

Folio, printed in roman type, rendered peculiar by the invariable use of the long $f$ and the absence of dots on the i's; 408 leaves of 46 lines to the page, text measuring $262 \times 169$ mm.; without numerals, eatchwords or signatures, spaces left for the larger initial letters, no initial directors. The watermarks are (1) shears; (2) anchor in circle; (3) another type of anchor? Hain, *iol31, Proctor, 3326.

The fourth edition of Livy. The first (1469) is by Swernheym and Pannartz; the second (1470) by Ulrich Han; the third (1470) by Wendelin of Spire. In the first edition, the last two lines of the colophon explain more clearly than do the corresponding ones of the 1472 edition how it came about that these works were printed in the house of Pietro (and Francisco) de Massimi. Petrus cum fratre Francisco Maximus ambo || Huic operi optatam contribuere domum. || 'The first edition of 1469 is undoubtedly rare, and is praised to the skies by Dibdin in his usual pompous manner. "Writhout entering into minute details, it may be pronounced the finest old classical zolume in the zuorld," etc. Of the 1472 edition, "a reprint of the parent text of 1469 , with the omission of the prefatory epistle," he remarks "I am not azeare of any inducement for the possession of this edition." Brunet, however, says that this, the third Roman cdition, is certainly rarer than the first two. It is in any case (pace T. F. D.) a very noble book.

In 1462, on Oct. 7, the town of Mentz was sacked by Adolph won Nassau, and it has been assumed that to that event is to be traced the spread of the knowledge of the art of printing in other
countries by workmen thus thrown out of employment, and a gap of some three years does occur in the productions of this press between 1462 and 1465 . To this cause can hardly, however, be assigned the migration of Conrad Suevnheym and Arnold Pamarts, as it was not till 1465 that they made their way into Italy and set up a press in the Monastery of St. Scholastica at Subiaco, directly invited, it has been suggested, by the monks at that place, under the protection of Cardinal Turrecremata, where they printed as their first essay a Donatus pro puerulis; of this work, however, of which three hundred were published, no copy seems to have survived, and it is to the Cicero de Oratore, printed before Sept. 30, 1465, that (failing the claims of the Decor Puellarum) the honour of precedence in date over all other books printed in Italy is usually assigned. Roman type, based upon the form of letter called in Palæography the Caroline minuscule, was first used by the $\mathcal{K}$ printer at Strasbourg about 1464 , and employed a few years later by these printers in a modified and perhaps more refined form, as may be seen by comparing their colophon reproduced on page $\delta_{7}$ with the facsimile given of a passage from the Sophologium of 1470 . It obtained a still more perfect development at the hands of Wendelin of Spire and of Jenson. After the production of four books at Subiaco the partners quitted the monastery and set up a new press at Rome in the house of the brothers Peter and Francis de Maximis (Massimi). This establishment was in full work till the end of 1473 , and was largely employed in the production of classical works, "issued at a low price for the convenience of poor scholars," but so far in advance of the taste of the public as to bring the enlightened and industrious printers to poverty. An urgent and touching appeal to the newly-elected Pope Sixtus IV. was addressed in the name of the printers by the Bishop of Aleria, the text of which is printed in the fifth volume of the Nicolaus de Lyra: Postilla super Bibliam, issued by them on March 13, 1472. The petitioners recall to the recollection of the Pontiff the fact that they were the first to bring from Germany, "multo sudore et impensa," the art of printing into Italy. They proceed to give an intensely interesting catalogue of their principal productions, and of the numbers of each work issued from their press, which ranged from 275 to 1 , 100 copies. The sum of these amounts they say to 12,475 volumes : but buyers do not present themselves, and their large establishment is crammed with stock, "plena est quinternionum," whilst the printers themselves are starving, nothing more being left for their sustenance; if they could but obtain purchasers for their productions they would not ask aught from his piety, but would, on the other hand, offer of their own to his Holiness, knowing that in these times he is himself by no means prosperous, "te plurimum egere non nescimus." And so they entreat him to help them in their poverty. This petition does not appear to have been fruitful in results; the press struggled on till the end of the next year, when Sweynheym, who had from the beginning contributed skilled labour to the undertaking as an engraver of dies, left his partner and devoted himself to engraving with great ability a series of maps for Ptolomey's Geosraphy, dying in liarness (in 1476?) before the work was complete. Pannartz continued to print, but only issued some ten books, the last of which is dated Jan. 20, 1476, and he is believed to have died shortly after. The story of these unlucky prototypographers of Italy affords an example of badly requited intelligence, skill and perseverance, and an instructive parallel may be drawn between their failure and the success of Mentelin.

Covering almost exactly the same space of time-the Strashurg press approximately $1461 \cdot \mathbf{I} 477$, that of Subiaco and Rome 14651476 , the former is credited with between thirty and forty publications, the latter with from sixty to seventy, yet Mentelin made a fortune by his work and the printers of the Livy died in poverty. Mentelin's success was due to the fact that he was a thoroughly business-like man, not attempting to educate his public, but catering for their antiquated likings, whilst Sweynheym and Pannart\% strove, too early for success, to imbue with classical and artistic tastes an unappreciative world.

A beautifully clean and sound copy, measuring $350 \times 238 \mathrm{~mm}$., of which the last leaf is unnecessarily mounted. A few early marginal notes. It does not, however, contain either at the beginning or end of the volume the Epitome which ought to accompany the history. A bcautiful floral border, painted in sepia, runs down the sidc of the first page from the initial $\mathfrak{k}$, and two vignettes, of ccclesiastical character, between which are the arms of a former posscssor, fill the space at the bottom of the page. I fear that a binder of the beginning of the nineteenth century is responsible for the cropping of the volume.

# Afpeciflluntriflector quicunq libellor Si cupifartificum nomina noffe: lege: APpera rdebrf cognomina teutona: forfan Muttgec arf mufif infca uerba urrum. Córadu Suuenbeym: Arnolduf Pānartzq magiftrı Rome impreferunt talia multa fimul. 

In domo Petri de Maxemir .M.CCCC.LXXIL.dıe. .XVI.Iull.

COLOPHON OF LIVY. (SHEYNHEYM AND PANVARTZ, 1472.)






 Vc fceptrum hoc(dextra fceptrum nam forte gerebat) Nunq̣ fronde leur fundet urgulta neq; umbram: Cum femel in filuis imo de ftrpe reafum Matre caret:pofuitq; comas \& bracchia ferro: Olim arbos nunc artuficis manus are decoro Inclufit: patribufq3 ${ }^{3}$ dedrr geftare latinis.

# CAIUS JULIUS CESAR. OPERA. 

```
[ROME, SHEVNHEIM AND PANNARTZ.]
```

Fol. Iar: C. Iulii Cefarif. belli gallici. \| Commentariuf Primuf. \| ( ) Allia eft omnif diuifa in partef trif: (sic).... Fol. $65 a$ ends: Hif rebuf litterif Cefarif cognitif $\|$ rome dierum uiginti fupplicatio redditur. || Fol. 65b: A Hirtiu in nouiffimum cōmen- || tarrium (sic) belli gallici prefatio. |l Fol. 75a, line 20: C Iulii Ccfarif belli ciuilif || Pōpeiani cōmētariuf pmuf. || Fol. 166b: Anno Chrifti. M.CCCC.LXII. die uero. xxv. menfir augufti. || Rome in domo Petri de Maximif.\| Fol. I67a (the epistle of John Andrea, Bishop of Aleria) begins: ( )Ictatorif Cefarif cõmentariof: iam pridem multa diligentia \| me recognouiffe memineram. ...; ending, et pro uirili imitandum. Fol. $167 b$ : a Table of Contents.

Folio, $167^{\text {bleaves, }} 38$ lines to the page, the text measures $219 \times 131 \mathrm{~mm}$., lines somewhat imegular in length; printed in roman type, without numerals, eatchwords or signatures; spaces left for initial letters, but no initial directors. The watermark is a cross-bow in circle.

Hain, 4214 , Proctor, 3328.
A fine, large and clean copy (measuring $326 \times 229 \mathrm{~mm}$.), from which, however, the very numerous corrections of some ancient corrector of the text have been removed in the cleaning with occasional damage to the text ; one worm-hole.

The third edition of Cæsar's Commentaries, the first edition having been issued from the same press on May 12,1469 , the second from Nicholas Jenson's in 1471. It is a reproduction, with some modifications, of the first edition, and said to be as rare. Its comparative monetary value, however, is indicated by the fact that whilst the 1469 edition brought at the Sunderland sale $£ 195$ a copy of that of 1472 was sold at the same time for only $£ 70$.
1472. AURELIUS THEODOSIUS MACROBIUS. EXPOSITIO IN SOMNIUM SCIPIONIS ET SATURNALIORUM Libri SEPTEM.

$$
[\text { VENICE, N/COLAS JENSON. }]
$$

Fol. $a$ a: SOMNIVM SCIPIONIS EX CICERONIS || Libro DE REPVBLICA EXCERPTVM.\| ( )VM IN AFRICAM VENISSEM A MAN- \| lio confule ad quartam legionem. ... Fol. $3 b$ : MACROBII AVRELII THEODOSII VIRI CONSVLARIS $\|$ ET ILLVSTRIS IN SOMNIVM SCIPIONIS EXPOSITI- \| ONIS QVAM ELEGANTISSIMAE LIBER PRIMVS. $\|$ Fol. 47a: MACROBII AURELII THEODOSII VIRI CONSV- \| LARIS ET ILLVSTRIS IN SOMNIVM SCIPIONIS \| EXPOSITIONIS QVAMELEGANTISSIMAE LIBRI \| SECVNDI ET VLTIMI FINIS. Fol. 47b, blank. Fol. 48a: MACROBII AVRELII THEODOSII VIRI CONSV- II LARIS ET ILLVSTRIS CONVIVIORVM PRIMI DI- \| EI SATVRNALIORVM LIBER PRIMVS. \| ( )VLTAS VARIASQVE RES (see facsimile). Fol. 163b: MACROBII AVRELII THEODOSII VIRI CONSV- \| LARIS ET ILLVSTRIS SATVRNALIORVM LIBRI IM- \| PRESSI VENETIIS OPERA ET IMPENSA NICOLAI \|IENSON GALLICI. M.CCCC.LXXII.\|


VLTAS VARIASQVE RES IN HAC uita nobis Euftathi filinatura conciliauit: fed nulla nos magis ${ }^{\text {q. corum: }}$ quiénobis effent $\mathrm{p}^{\prime}$ creati:caritate deuinxit. Eam'q; noftram in his educandis atg; erudiendis curam effe uoluit: ut parentes nequ ${ }_{3}$ iid quod cuperent ex fétētia cederet: tantum ulla alia ex te uoluptatis:neq3 fi contra eueniret:tãtum mocroris capere pof, fint. Hinceft $\sigma_{2}$ mihi quoqs inftitutione tua nihil antiqus $x$ ftimatur. Ad cuius perfectioné compendia longis ànfractıbus anteponenda duo cés:morx'ģ omnis impatiens nö opperior:ut per hacc \{ola promoueas: quibus edifcendis grauiter ipfe inuigslas:fed ago ut ego quoq; tibilege rim: \& quicquid mihi uel te iam in lucem edito:uel ante $\bar{q}$ nafcereris in diuerfis feu gracx feu romanx lingux uoluminibus elaboratum eft:

BEGINNING OF TEXT, MACROBIUS, FIRST BOOK OF SATURNALIA.
(JENSON, 1472.)

> IVLII SOLINI DE SITV ORBIS ETMEMORABILIBVS QVAE MVNDI AMBITV CONTINENTVR LIBER IMPRESSVS VENETIIS PER NICOLAVM IENSON GALLICVM. M.CCCC. LXXIII.
> colophon of solinus. (

Finis eius quod inuenit Marci Varronis. Parceq legeris:fi aliqua min' polita iuencr!. Nà ata exomni pteefiue feanlú fecerit frue librarì Volumen quod uis corruptü erat ut neceffe fucrit aucupari binc inde fen tentias:iö fine robore ueniam dabis $\&$ errort manum imponas. Vale.
COLOPHON OF VARRO. (JOHANN OF COLOGNE AND JOHANV MAMTHEN, 5474?

Folio, 163 leaves, 40 lines to the page, printed in roman type, with many passages in Greek; text measures $227 \times 135 \mathrm{mmn}$; without numerals, catchwords or signatures; spaces left for the smaller initial letters, and initial directors for the rubricator, spaces only for the larger initials. Many blanks are left for diagrams and for Greck quotations. The watermarks are (I) anchor in circle (two types) ; (2) scales in circle (two types) ; (3) bugle. Hain, 10426, Proctor, 4085.

This edition of Macrobius precedes all others by eleven years. Boninus de Boninis was the printer of one edition in 1483 and another in 1485 . It claims especial attention, in the first place by reason of the great beauty of type, impression and paper, and in the second as containing the first printed texts of Homer and Lucretius.

In the Saturnalia, feasts of reason and not of sensuous indulgence, literary topics are critically handled somewhat as they were by the deipnosophists of Athenæus. The facsimile will serve to show not only the collocation of parallel passages from Homer and Virgil, but also the beauty and nobility of these early Greek printed characters undisfigured by the bewildering contractions of later days.

A fine copy, measuring $313 \times 212 \mathrm{~mm}$., rubricated throughout, and with quaint and bold initials at the beginnings of the chapters. Some few contemporary marginal annotations.

The following MS. note, written immediately after the colophon, makes no contribution to our present information nor to the beauty of the page:-Hic liber Macrobii imprefsus eft 6 tantum annis poft inventionem artis imprefforice vel saltem poft diunlgationem primi libri impreffi: atque eifdem vel omnino similibus characteribus quod affrmo quonium znam ex primis impreffis (viz., M. Tullii Ciceronis de officis) habui ante amos 28, in cuius fronte vel fine hae verba f(unt) impreffa. Prafens M. Tullii clarifimum opus Foannes Fuft Moguntimus civis non atramento plumali camna neque acrea fed arte quadam perpulchra manu Petri de Gernfheim pueri mei feliciter effeci. Finitum anno MCCCCLXVI. quarta die menfis Februarii. Ita teftor Milo Symnor Maij 12, 1664.

The Cicero of 1466 was evidently the earliest book of which Symnor had knowledge.

## [1472?] [ALBERTUS MAGNUS?] COMPENDIUM THEOLOGICE VERITATIS. <br> [NUREMBERG, JOHANN SENSENSCHMID.]

Fol. Ia: Incipit liber de nata diū̄tatis. \| ( ) Vod deus eft. Capi- \| tulū primu 5 . $\|$ Fol. 3a, line 24: Expliciūt tituli. Incipit plogus in cōpendiū theologice veritatis. || Fol. 84 blank, partly cut out. Fol. 88 blank, partly cut out. Fol. 115 blank. Fol. 150b, line 32: rita recipict fine fine amen. || Laus deo altiffimo. || Fol. 151 blank. The table begins on Fol. 152a: ( ) Vanq ordo et compendiofitas libri huius qui compendi-\| um dicitur theologice veritatis: ctc. On Fol. 162 a begins a treatise by Brother Bemold reducing the whole work to themes for sermons on Sundays and feast-days. This ends on Fol. 194b: Laus Deo. II On Fol. 7a the bottom line has been entively omitted in the press and has been supplied by the rubricator.

Folio, printed in gothic type (a peculiarity of which is that in the capital $N$ the diagonal stroke slopes down from right to left), 194 leaves, 37 lines, text measures $210 \times 141 \mathrm{~mm}$.; without mumerals, eatchwords or signatures; spaces left for initial letters, no initial directors. The watermark is a flower of seven petals. Hain, *432, Proctor, 1951.

The earlicst cdition of this work. The earliest dated edition is that of April 5, 1476, from the press of Chistoph Arnold of Venicc.
$A$ very fine and perfect copy, measuring $315 \times 218 \mathrm{~mm}$., rubricated throughout.
The present volume is printed with the same types as those used in the first dated book printed at Nuremberg (Franciscus de Retza Comestorium Uitiorum, 1470), which is usually attributed to Johann Senscnschmid alone, and he is thus considered as the prototypographer of that city. But it is contended by


BEGINNING OF LEGENIDA AUREA. (EGGESTE/N, $\ddagger+72 * 3$.

Expliciūt tituli. Incipit plogus m cöpensiū tbeologices writatis. Critatis theologics fublimitas cüfit fupnifplen conis raduus illumimans intellectum: ct regalū eli
 ologor fcriptis bieuc compendińu collgere dignú Duxi: quo et evícetur mater faftoon plexitas: et eñ à̀mueftgaujū plura via oetur z occafio fapiēt.
Theologla certé épzincepe fcientiay omniuz ct regma:cin artes esters tanọ pesiffeque famulantur - Mam ve natu, ris req illa folum ad ufum fuū accipit: $\infty$ qualo fibi fpeculum fabzicare valeat mquo confpaat 2 ditozem.
M. Bernard that the establishment of the press was really due to the initiative of Heinrich Reffer, once servant of John Gutenberg and a witness in the celebrated lawsuit between the latter and John Fust; and that Sensenschmid in the first instance merely played the part of money-lender to Keffer, just as Fust had done to Gutenberg in and before the year 1455 ; and further, that the capitalist robbed his working partner of the credit due to him by suppressing his name from the colophons of all the books but one which were printed in their joint establishment. We should, in fact, have known nothing of the association of the partners had it not been that in the most important of the volumes which proceeded from their press, Rainerus de Pisis, Pantheologia, April 8, 1473 (Hain, ${ }^{1}{ }_{13015}$, Proctor, 1959) their names are thus jointly mentioned in the colophon : Per industriosos impressorie artis Magistros Johannem Sensenschmid de Egra, et Henricum K'efer de Maguntiâ Nuremberge urbis cives. From this press issued nineteen books, of which the ascertained dates range from 1470 to 1473 . In 1474 we find Sensenschmid still at Nuremberg, allied with Andreas Frisner, $1474^{-8}$; then by himself at Bamlerg, $1478-14^{81}$; next at the same place with Heinrich Petsensteiner, $1482-4$; afterwards with Johann Beckenhubb at Ratisbon, 1485 ; and finally, back at Bamberg conducting his fifth and last press, in conjunction with Petzensteiner, from 1487 to 1491 . More than one instance of similarly migratory presses will be met with as we proceed. The material difficulties of such removals must have been at that time most inconsiderable.

## 1472 or 1473 . JACOBUS DE VORAGINE. LEGENDA AUREA.

[STR-ASBURG, IIEIVRICH EGGESTEIN]

Fol. 1a: ( )Niuerfī tempus pre-\|fentis vite i quatuor \|l diftinguit... The prologue ends on line 38 , col. 2, vfq, ad aduentum. \| Fol. Ib: ( )Duentus dñij p quatuor feptima- || nas agitur.... Fol. I 4 blank, partly cut out in this copy. Fol. 85 blank, partly cut out in this copy. Fol. $92 a$, MSS. numeral omitted; there is only one column of text. Fol. $92 b$ blank, printed on a narrow sheet which projects 148 mm . into the book, and has not been cut. Fol. 157 blank, and has been fartly cut out. Fol. $162 a$ (MIS. mumeral 158), one column only on a narrow shect, projecting 140 mm. into hook, and which has not bent cut. Fol. $162 b$ blank, with a MS. catchzerd. Fol. 291 (1IS. mmeral 285), column i, line 28: Explicit Lombardica || hystoria fanctorū. Fol. 2916 blank.

Folio, 291 leares, printed in gothic letter in double columns, 42 lines to the column, $207 \times 144(=64 \times 16 \times 64)$ mm.; zivithout printed mumerals, catchavords or signatures; spaces left for initials, no initial directors. The watermark is the small bull's head with short stylus and Tau. Not in Hain, Proctor, 278 .

Of about seventy editions of the very popular Golden Legend of Voragine printed before 1500 this is placed the second in Mr. Proctor's index, the precedence being given to an edition also without date printed at Basle by Berthold Ruppel, the prototypographer of that city, the third place being assigned to that of Michael Wenssler, Basle's second printer, as not appearing later than 1474 . The first edition with a date is from the press of Ulrich Gering of Paris, Sept. 1, 1475. A mention of the author will be found under the year 1475 in a note on a copy of the illustrated edition printed by Gunther Zainer in or about that year.

The earliest knowledge which we possess of Heinrich Eggestein, the third Strasburg printer, is derived from the researches of Schapftin, which show him to have had the degree of Master of Arts and of Philosophy, and that he married in 1451 and was living at Strasburg. It has been suggested that he was in the first instance in partnership with Mentelin. His earliest productions, dating from 1466 at the latest, are the Biblia Latina (Hain, *3035-6-7). A few dated books, 1471-1478, follow, and a considerable number of undated works, including the first German Bible. His name occurs only in the colophons of books printed in the years 1471 and 1472 . He is one of the most notable among early printers; he seems to have died not earlier than 1482 .

A large and perfect copy, with a few water-stains, full of rough edges, practically uncut, measuring $318 \times 225 \mathrm{~mm}$. Rubricated throughout; the rubricator has filled up leaf $1 a, 1 \mathrm{st}$ col., $1-4$, with a heading in three lines, and lines $38-42$, 2nd col., with a heading of three lines. Numerals in MS. throughout (with the errors mentioned above), MS. signatures, a few of which are cut off.

# 1473. JULIUS SOLINUS. POLYhistor Sive de situ et memorabilibus orbis. 

[VENICE, NICOLAS JENSON]


#### Abstract

Fol. $1 a$ : IVLII SOLINI DE SITV ET ME- $\|$ MORABILIBVS ORBIS CAPITVLA. \| PRAEFATIO. CAP. I. Two leaves of Table. Fol. $3 a:$ IVLII SOLINI DE SITV ORBIS TERRA-\|RVM ET MEMORABILIBVS QVAE MVN゙- DI AMBITV CONTINENTVR LIBER. If ... PRAEFATIO. CA.I. ( )VM ET AVRIVM CLAEMEN- || tia, ctc. Fol. 65b, line 19: the colophon, of which a reproduction is given.

4 to, 65 leaves of 33 lines, text measuring $190 \times 111$ mon, printed in romum type; without mumerals, catchaords or signatures; spaces loft and frinted initial divectors. The watermark is a cross-bow in circle. Hain, 14877, Proctor, 4089.


This is the earliest edition of Solinus with a date, and is apparently the first printed text.
A fair copy, somewhat damp-stained, and from which marginal notes have been partially removed by cleaning. It measures $246 \times 180 \mathrm{~mm}$.

The description of Britain given by Solinus, who flourished about A.D. ${ }^{2} 30$, is amusing. The world, he says, would come to an end at the northern shores of Gaul were it not for Britain, an island having more than 800 miles of coast-line up to the Chalydonian corner into which Ulysses was driven, as is demonstrated by certain old Greek writings. Surrounded by many not inconsiderable islands, Britain is approximated in size by Hybernia, a country so fertile in food for cattle that these seldom suffer from want of fodder except in the dricst seasons. In Hybernia there are no snakes; the race of men is warlike and inhospitable, drinking the blood of the vanquished and smearing their own faces with the gore. The first food offered to a male infant is tenderly carried to its mouth by its mother on the point of a sword, with prayers to the Gentile gods that only on the field of battle he may meet his death. For the greatest glory of this people is in arms and strife. The sea between the two countries is so stormy and restless that men can traverse it on a few days only during each year; for the islanders cross in boats of osier covered with the skins of cattle, abstaining from food during the whole voyage. Of the resources of Britain a more favourable account is given, and Solinus has a good word to say for its hot springs, its rich mines, and, to crown all, for its Lapis Gagates. This is our jet, a mineral which stood, as we know, high in the estimation of the ancients, not only as a decorative material, but also as an ingredient in magical and medical preparations. The words which Solinus here uses in its praise are almost paraphrased by Marbodus in his Lapidarium, written circa A.D. 1080 (translated by the Rev. C. W. King, Antique Gems, r860) :-

> "Lycia her Jct in medicine commends; But chiefest, that which distant Britain sends: Black, light, and polished, to itself it draws, If warmed by friction, near adjacent straws. Though quenched by oil its smouldering embers raise, Sprinkled with water, a still fiercer blaze."
[1473.] S. THOMAS AQUINAS. TRACTATUS DE PERICULIS CONTINgentibus circa sacramentum eucharistie.

[ULM, JOHANN ZAIVER OF REUTLIVGEN, First Press.]

Fol. I blank. Fol. 2a: Tractatus de periculis ptingentibus circa fac̃mētum || Eukariftie et de remedys corunde. ex dictis fancti Tho \|| me de aquino Feliciter incipit. || ( )Rimum periculum eft. ... Fol. 5 a, line 25: Tractatus de piculis circa facramentum || eukariftie contingentibus Feliciter finit. || Epl'a fancti Thome de iudeis ad petiti || onem comitiffe flandrie Feliciter incipit. || )Lluftri dñe zẽ. ... Fol 8a, line 33: Epl'a fancti Thome de iudeis ad || comitiffam Flandrie Feliciter finit. \| Fol. Sb blank.

Folio, printed in gothic letter on 7 or 8 leaves of $3 \mathrm{I}, 32,33$, or 34 lines, text measuring ISO to $193 \times 111 \mathrm{~mm}$.; without numerals, catchzvords, or signatures; spaces left for initials, no initial directors. No watermark. Hain, *1375, Proctor, 2495 .

The earliest edition of this work. Hain describes two other editions from the same press differing only in slight details from this, and seven later ones in the fifteenth century.

The first dated book from Jokann Zainer's first press was printed in 1473, the last in 1487, but there are a large number of undated volumes. The earliest date in a book from his second press (also at U(m) is 1497, and he printed till 1517 or 1518 .

A tall, clean, perfect copy, measuring $269 \times 199 \mathrm{~mm}$., rubricated throughout by a somewhat fanciful scribe, whose sketches now and then illustrate the subject matter.

The rarity of these Cautele or cautions to the officiating priest as to the course which he should pursue in the event of certain accidents to the elements of the Eucharist, is well known. They are occasionally incorporated with the service of the mass, besides being separately printed as here. Their contents cannot but arrest the attention of the curious in virtue of their singularity, and of the evidence which they contain of the superstitious importance attached by their compilers to the most trivial mischance. It would not be possible to quote some of them without the risk on the one hand of offending the feelings of the devout Catholic, or on the other of arousing the zeal of some fervid Protestant. A reference to another work on the same subject will be found under the year 1493 .

We find the germs of the cautele in the ecclcsiastical laws of the Anglo-Saxon Church at a very early period, A.D. 957 , when transubstantiation was not jet an article of belief. In the instructions to be addressed to the clergy when they came to fetch the chrism, ${ }^{( }$) they were told: "Somc priests reserve the housel (chrism) that was hallowed on Easter-Day all the year for sick men, but they do very greatly amiss who cause the holy housel to putrefy, and are unwilling to understand how great a satisfaction the Penitential directeth in relation to them, if the housel be putrefied, or musty, or lost; or if a mouse eateth it through carelessness. For that housel which was hallowed to-day is altogether as holy as that which was hallowed on Easter-Day, that housel is Christ's body, not corporally, but spivitually." The later injunctions, such as those of the Hereford Cautels cited by Chambers, $\left.{ }^{( }\right)$are of course dictated by a different, and in our apprehension more superstitious construction of the ordinance, and are very precise and detailed, though yiclding in minutencss to those of the book under consideration, in which, among the least objectionable of the fourteen Pericula are the following: Si Sacerdos vel minister non posuit aquam in vino. Si propter frigus quod sacerdos sentitur in manibus, hostia vel pars hostixe cadat in calicem. Si musca vel aranea cadat aut reperiatur in calice. Si negligentiâ sacerdotis Mus comedat hostiam. Si hostia inventa fuit sub pala vel corporali et dubitetur si est consecrata aut non.
 Sukariftie ge de remcops cozundé'eg diatis fanct Tho mede aquino Feliciter incipit.


Rimum periculum ent. Q $\sqrt{2}$ facerdos morte ol graui infismitate posuper ante ${ }^{\text {g }}$ miffă finiar Tuncoicendum $\Phi$ aut otingit ante.opecrati:
 vel uniqillog §! ante ofecratió ! nŏ opotet 9 miffa patium fuppleaz:'g̉a aठ buc De effentialibe nibil atum ef. Wi aut poft orectacós otriulq/oel alterius accioce rit ! tunc debet alius facezoos fupplé 62 incipe obi alter dimifrtira babecin operccise vij-q.i.pag. Dontifices Rec deat fiexi onö nifi extri patenti neceflitate vel g̈uc oinc ṗmi lacerdotis.
Ecundum piculúef Si [acerbosreco 「ijo lit le alq̉o circa mediă noaem comedifle.'vel ep
 pceffit ad ofecracöz tutius et melius efl 3 miffà dimité eukariftie contingentibus Feliciter finit生pla lanaci Thome de iudeis ad petiti onem comicifte Flandzie feliciter incipis


## 1473? GULIELMUS DE OCKHAM. DISPUTATIO INTER CLERICUM ET MLLITEM. <br> [COLOGVE, PRINTER OF AUGUSTINUS DE FIDE.]

Fol. Ia: Difputatio îter clericum et milite fup potefta $\|$ te prelatis ecclesie atq 5 principib 5 terra? com: miffa fub forma dyalogi incipit feliciter. |l ( ) lericus fermonis fui cxordium fub il hac forma pofuit dicēs. Miror op- || time miles paucis dieb $\boldsymbol{Z}$ tēpora mu || tata fepultam iufticiam. cuerfas le- ges. iura calcata, etc. Fol. i3b, line 10: Et fic eft finis laus crifto nefcia finis. \| Fol 14d: Compendiū de vita anticrifti incipit feliciter || etc. Fol. 16b: Explicit de vita anticrifti.

4to, printed in grothic type, 16 leares, 26 lines to a page, tent measures $137 \times 84 \mathrm{~mm}$; without mumerals, catchaords or signatures; spaces left for larger initials, but no initial dircetors. The watermark is a small bull's head, short stylus and star. Hain, *6II, Proctor, iog6.

This is probably the earliest edition of this celebrated tract, of which two other editions will be found noticed in subsequent pages. Nine books only are ascribed by Mr. Proctor to its nameless printer, whose type so nearly resembles that of Goiszoin Gops that the latter press is usually identified with the present. One notable difference pointed out by Mr. Proctor is that the section mark ( $\S$ ) is by the printer of this edition always used correctly, whilst Gops occasionally uses it for S.

The tract is a rare one ; it is in the B.M., but not in the Bodleian. A good, perfect copy, measuring $199 \times 140 \mathrm{~mm}$.

The treatise was prohibited by the Tridentine Index, and by many, probably all subsequent Indices; it is included even in the Index of 1843 , and all the editions are extremely rare. It is of some importance (as will be seen later on under the year 1491) to ascertain with certainty the name of the author. Goldastus, Balaus (our English biographer, Bale), and Flaccius Illyricus all attribute the composition of the tract to Irilliam Occam or Ocklam the Franciscan (the "Invincible," the "Venerable," the "Singular" Doctor), and there are many internal evidences in support of the ascription. Having left England in his youth, Occam-banished from Merton College, Oxford, said his enemies-was at the height of his fame as a lecturer at Paris during the period (1296-1303) of the memorable contest between Pope Boniface VIII., the pontiff who added the second crown to the tiara, and Philippe Le Bel, the persecutor of the Templars-a combat to the death between the Pontifical and temporal powers. In this encounter the struggle for the upper hand is marked by so much vigour on either side, and the riposte comes so quickly, time after time, in answer to the thrust, that even at this distance of time the chronicle of the fight $\left({ }^{( }\right)$makes excellent reading for the man of leisure. The weapons are at first fairly equal-on the part of Boniface Buli after Bull, which do not cost much in the making, asserting the subservience in all matters of the temporal Power to that of the Papacy-on that of the King the prohibition of the exportation of money or jewels from France without his permission, an enactment which of course entailed a terrible diminution of the Papal revenue. At one pause in the strife the Pope for good reasons retracts his first Bull of $\mathbf{1 2 9 6}$, "Clericis Laicos," only to retract in 1300 this retractation and add venom to his previous attacks by the audacious Bull "Auscutta fili" (promptly burnt on Feb. in, I302, by Philip), in which the Pontiff makes the startling claim that God has established him over all kings and kingdoms, "ad evellendun, destruendum, dissipandum, adificandum"一and then, in response to a threat on the King's part to convoke a Council for his deposition, finally launches at the end of the same year his celebrated "Unam Sanctam," whose concluding words form a climax to all his previous fulminations: "Moreover IT' declare, say, define and pronounce that it is absolutely necessary to salvation that cevery human being be subject (subesse) to the Roman Pontiff." This monstrous declaration was one of the last missiles of Boniface. His spiritual weapons proved in the end less effective than the very carnal ones of his enraged adversary. Insulted, terrified, and for a time imprisoned by the emissaries of Philip, the Pontiff regained for a short time his liberty only to die on Sept. 8,1303 , of fever brought on by his sudden reverse of
(') "ITistoire du Differend d'entre Le Pope Boniface VIII. et Philippes le Bel, Roi de l'rance," Paris, 1655, folio.
fortune. During this eventful strife, Occam, whose sympathies were entirely with the King-whose mission in life seemed to be to inveigh against the vices of the Popes-who was excommunicated in 1330 for his writings-and who in later life took up the cudgels against Pope John XX. on behalf of the Emperor Louis of Bavaria-was, we are told, engaged in the defence of Philip against Boniface ; and, in view of his predilections, and of his voluminous works of the same tendency, it seems reasonable to suppose that the attribution to him by Bale of the authorship of the Diulogus, so entirely devoted as that tract is to the interests of the Temporal as opposed to the Spiritual power, may be a correct one. This digression is, I am aware, excusable only in view of the somewhat personal interest which I feel in connection with the disputed authorship of the Songe duc Vergier, alluded to hereinafter under the year 1491.
[1474.] MARCUS TERENTIUS VARRO. DE LINGUA LATINA. [VENICE, JOHANN OF COLOGNE AND JOHANN MANTHEN OF GHERRETZEN]

Fol. $1 a$ (sig. a): Pomponius Platine falutem. (p). M. Terētius Varro togato $\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathrm{y}}$ litteratiffimg || inter inumerabilia volumīa ingenij fui vir || roman9 \& qui folus Afmii polliōis iudicio || viuẽs ftatuā in bibliotheca palatina meruit $|\mid$ xij. libros de lingua latina fcripfit, etc. quo 7 omniū ctate nĩa fex corrupti in manibg | habent. Eos monitu Lelii vallēfis magne et figularis (sic) || doctrine : legi füma cura ac diligentia. Vbi librarii lras \| mutaucrūt correxi: in his que infcitia penitus corrupit || non aufus fum manī īponé: ne forte magis deprauare $\|$ addidi tñ indicē pordine 1ra?: ut qui non nimis curiofi i| fint facilius Iucniant, etc. The Index of which he speaks ends on Fol. iob. Fol. ina (sig. a) : M. T. VARRONIS DE LINGVA LATia. || (q)Vemadmodū uocabula effent īpofí || ta rebus ī līgua latina fex libris expo || nere inftitui, etc. Fol. 54 blank. Fol. 55a: .M. T. VARRONIS ANALOG1AE LI \| BER PRIMVS. \| (q)Vomodo oratio natura tripartita cffet \| ut fuperioribus libris oftendi, etc. Fol. 85b: Finis eius quod inuenit Marci Varronis. Parce q || legeris: fi aliqua ming polita iuluener. Nā ita ex omni || pte : fiue feculü fecerit fiue librarii Volumen quod uis || corruptū crat ut neceffe fuerit aucupari hinc inde fen $\|$ tentias: iō fue robore ueniam dabis \& errori manum || imponas. Vale. || Fol. S6 blank.

4to, printed in roman type, 86 leaves, 29 lines, text measures $158 \times 99 \mathrm{~mm}$; withoat numerals or catchzeords; signatures, a ten leaies, then a-b-d each ten leaves, e eight, f six, h, i, and $\mathbf{k}$ each eight leaves; spaces left for large initials, smalt initiat directors. The watermarks are (I) crowned eagle displayed; (2) pair of shears, or scissors. Hain, 15858 (1), Proctor, 4295A.

This book is interesting from a bibliographical point of view as affording a very early instance of the use of Signatures, which had, however, been employed two years earlier in Vider, Expositio, printed by Koelhoff, Cologne, 1472 (Hain, 11786 , Proctor, iory). The date of this last book has, however, been questioned. Lambinet asserts vaguely that Ulrich Gering had used signatures in 1470!

This edition is placed seventh in Hain's list. It is undoubtedly preceded by that of Georg Lauer, possibly printed in 1471 (Hain, ${ }^{*}{ }^{5} 852$, Proctor, 3409), probably by others. Hain, 15858 , couples our larro with another book printed by Johann of Colonia and Monthen of Gherretsen, of which Panzer (1II., 101-109) says, "ad quam omnino pertinere videtur." The name of John of Cologne is first found in the Cicero de Finibus printed at Venice by Wendelin of Spire in 147 (Hain, 5328, Proctor, 4036) at John's expense (Toanne ex Colonia Agrippinensi sumptum ministrante). In 1472 Plautus was printed, opera et impendio Ioannis de Colonia agrippinensi atque Vindelini de Spira; in 1473 two editions of Petrus de Ferrariis appeared at Venice, the first (Hain, *6986) opera et impendio Ioannis de Cotonia nee non I'mdetini de Spira, the second (Hain, 6988) impendio providorum virorum Tohannis de Colonia Iokannisque Munthen de Gherretsem sociorum. The "provident" Johannes de Colonia, who had been providing funds for Hendelin, had now started on his own account with the other John as lis partner. II endelin printed no books after that year till 1476, and his types passed to the new firm.
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## An interesting fact is recorded by Mr. Brown ("The Venetian Printing Press," p. r5), viz., that Johann of Cologne became in the year 1479 a partner with Nicolas Jenson, an association which was very soon ended by the death of the latter in 1480 , though his name was retained by the publishing firm. The vir providus was probably the monied partner in this connection, as in that with Wendelin, as in each case his name takes the first place. <br> L'arro's treatise on the Latin language must be considered as curious rather than trustworthy, especially in the matter of etymologies, his patriotic spirit having induced him to eschew whenever possible the natural derivation of Latin words from Greek progenitors. A very hasty glance through the pages will demonstrate this. I take the first that come to hand, Calix a caldo ( = calido) quod in eo calda puls apponebatur et calidum eo bibebant, Celum (Colum) quod est celatum, etc., (quite ignoring ка́ $\lambda \boldsymbol{\jmath}$, and noìdor). <br> A tall, clean copy, measuring $229 \times 16 \mathrm{~m}$ m., MS. numerals. <br> [1474-5 ?] GIOV. BOCCACCIO. GENEALOGIE DEORUM GENTILIUM. <br> [COLOGNE, NICOLAUS GOTZ OF SLETZSTADT.]

Fol. 1 a in red: Genealogie deorum gentilium iohannis bocatii cerdaldenff ad || hugonem hierufalē et cipri regem Liber primus incipit feliciter. \|| Qui primus apud gentiles deus habitus fit: ( ) Are magnum et diffuetum, etc. ... Fol. in b, line 20: Genealogie deōrum gentilium liber feciudus. || De ethere herebi et noctis xxi. filio. \|| Fol. 28b: Text ends on cighth line, octauo reliquifq; $\| \quad$ Fol. 29a: Genealogie deorum gentilium liber quarius (sic) || De titano celi filio. \| ( ) E celo etheris ₹ diei filio, etc. ... Fol. 42b: Explicit quartus. || Scquit (sic) quītus. || Fol. 43a, 24 lines blank, line 25: ( ) upra libro tertio de celo dictī̀ eft, etc. Fol. $53 a$, line 13 : et fic finit $\mathfrak{q}$ ntus. || Fol. $53 b$ : Incipit fextus genealogie deorū gentiliǜ. || De dardano xvi. fcdi iouis filio. || Fol. 59b, line 22: Et fic finit fextus || Fol. 60a: Incipit feptimus, etc.... Fol. 66a, line 36: Et fic finit feptim9 \| Fol. 66b blank. Fol. $67 a$ : Incipit octauus, etc. ... Fol. 7 I b, line 36: Et fic finit octauus. \| Fol. $72 a$ blank. Fol. $72 b$ : Incipit nonus, etc. Fol. Sgb, line 34 : Et fic finit decimus. Il Fol. goa blank. Fol. 90b: Incipit undecimus, etc. ... Fol. 99b, line 15: Et fic finit xi. genealogie deorū gentiliū. ॥ Fol. 100a: De tantalo xxx. iouis filio. II Fol. iroa, line 26: Explicit xii. genealogie deorū genlī̄. Fol. inobblank. Fol. in ia: De hercule xxxviij iouis filio. ll Fol. IIgb: Three lines of text end with the words, Deo gratias. II On line 4: Explicit xiij. boccacij de genealogia deor̄̄̄ genti- || lī̄̄ fubtiliter ac opendiofe abbrcuiati. his que \| ad cognicōem poematū mino neceffaria funt re- \|| iectis, et rrliquis duobus libris qr de genealo- \| gia deorī non funt omiffis. \| Verfus din̄ci filueftri fup quindecim li $\|$ bris genealogie deorū gentiliū boccacij:\| Then follow the 17 lines of zerse by Dominic Sylvester (of Florence). Fol. I20a, the inder in two columns: ( )E antheo $1 \mathrm{l}^{\circ}$ po folio vij. II Fol. 125a: The index ends line 26, de zezio 1i ${ }^{\circ}$ ix. ... lxxix. Fol. 125 blank. Fol. 126 blank.

Folio, printed in gothic letter, 126 leaves, 36 long lines to a page, the text measuring 176 to $179 \times 115 \mathrm{~mm}$.; without mumerals, catchwords or signatures; spaces left for large and small initial letters, printed initial directors on first two leaves only. The watermarks are (1) the floriated gothic ${ }_{j}$ with cleft tail; (2) an anchor; (3) a lamb with nimbus, bearing a flag; (4) a bull's head, short stylus and star; (5) a shield surmounted by a fleur-de-lys, a monogram in the shield. Not in IIain or Proctor.

The first edition of this work was printed at Venice by Wendelin de Spire in 1472 . The present very rare edition has escaped the inspection of most bibliographers, Panzer describing it only at secondhand, and Hain not at all. Santander, however (II., 478), gives an accurate if hasty account of it, except that he attributes it to the press of Goiszeinus Gops of Euskirchen. There is no copy in the British Museum or Bodleian.

Senealogie beoum gentilum iobanmis boratin cetoaloent as bugonem blerufalē et apri cegem Jiber pırmus nicipit feliciter (4)

And magnum et biffuctum nauigiis itrautus nourmq; fumpturus iter tatus fum p向ectäoū Coze folereer 号 er titoze cimbe prom foluềa fit Dt rectius fecioo foitáte wento co beuchar quo cupit animus qnodquior tune compersfle rex Su cй compero qué fibi p̉mú doum finkere pri

PEGINNING OF BOCCACCIO, GENEALOGIA. (NICOLAUS GOTZ, 1474.5?)


The earliest dated book from the press of Nicolaus Gotz of Sletastudt, who comes ninth in chronological order among the printers of Cologne, is, according to Mr. Bradshaw, Vita Christi of Ludolphus, April 30, 1474, and his last the Biblia Latina of May 9, 1480; and many undated books also bear evidence of having been issued from his press. Mr. Bradshaw gives (Collected Papers, p. 240) a facsimile of a device used by him in some of his books (alas! not in the present one), which is not a woodcut, though apparently set up with the text, but engraved on copper in the maniere criblé ; it contains the printer's arms, crest and motto.

This is a large, sound and perfect copy, measuring $280 \times 195 \mathrm{~mm}$., with some trifing water stains. Rubricated throughout in red and blue alternately. Facsimiles of the illumination of two principal initials will be found on page 99. Initial directors in MS. after the first two leaves. The numbers of the leaves are inserted in MS. in Arabic numerals. MS. catchwords in faded black ink, the latter mostly cut off. A few marginal notes in a neat fifteenth century writing. Original binding of the fifteenth century, of bevelled boards covered with dark calf, on each side of which a panel divided by double lines into diamond-shaped spaces has been traced, stamped on each compartment with a square (dragon?) stamp. Rebacked in dark leather, the original clasps remain, as also some of the original Hy-leaves.

The volume belonged in 1476 to Joln Wymarke, who has not failed to record that fact on the flyleaves. Ifte libellus Iohi IWymarke conftat. Ifte liber conftat iohi Hymarke. His name is also partly legible in the decoration of the initial of the second book. In his hand, too, appears to be the sentence, Omne tempus perive puta quod ftudio non impertios. It subsequently passed into the hands of Roger Mayer (or Mayne), who has subjoined to his signature the words Mors Chriffi rita mea eft. The next note of ownership is that of Tho. Brett, Lib. Coll. Regin. Cant. 1687. Dabit Deus His quoque finem, Virg.

1475 circa Feb. 1. S. AURELIUS AUGUSTINUS. QUINQUAGINTA.

[AUGSBURG, ANTON SORG.]

Fol. $1 a$ : Incipiūt capitula libri fancti Auguftini $\tilde{q}$ vocaî quinq̃ginta. || De eo quod fcriptum eft. Quis êhomo qui vult vitam. モ cu- $\|$ pit dies videre bonos.... Fol. Ib, line 35 : Explicit Regiftrum. || Fol. 2a: Incipit liber beati Auguftini epifcopi qui vocatur quinq̃- || ginta. De co quod fcriptum eft. Quis eft homo qui vult vitã \|z cupit videre dies bonos.... Omelia prima. || Fol. 21b, line 12 : Explicit omelia. xij. Sequitur. xiii. || Nil deficit. The rest of the page is blank. Fol. 22a: Incipit xiij. De non tardando conuerti ad dominum neq, diffe- $\|$ rendo de die in diem. ... Fol. 92b, line 36: Anno dñi M.cccc.lxxv. circif kal Februarii Impreffus eft || liber ifte ad honorem dei. P Anthoniũ Sorg In Augufta. ||

Folio, printed in gothie letter, 92 leaves, 38 lines to the page, text measures $185 \times 120 \mathrm{~mm}$.; without numerals, catchwords or signatures; spaces left for initials, and printed initial directors. The watermarks are (I) bull's head, long-horned, and floriated stylus; (2) bull's head, shorthorned, with similar stylus ; (3) scales in circle, from which rise a stylus and star. Hain, *1987, Proctor, 164 I .

The only edition of this work (called Quinquaginta because it has fifty chapters) printed in the fifteenth century. It is the first book with a date printed by Anton Sorg. His was the fifth Augsburg press, and he printed between 1475 and 1493 a very large number of books classical, religious and historical.

An extremely fine, clean and large copy, full of rough leaves, and measuring $288 \times 203 \mathrm{~mm}$. Slightly rubricated, and the large initial at the beginning of the text illuminated in red and bluc. Numerals in MS. (incorrectly given). MS. signatures partly cut off. A few contemporary marginal notes.




## Sathannmury

1475 June I 3. BARTHOLOMÆUS PLATINA. DE HONESTA VOLUPTATE.

[VE,VCE, LORENZO (OF AQUILA) AND SIBYLLINUS (AN UMBRIAN.)]

Fol. Ia blank. Fol. ib: PLATYNAE DE HO- I| NESTA VOLVPTATE \| ET VALITVDINE LI- \| BRI PRIMI CAPITA. \|... Fol. $4 b$ : VIRI DOCTISSIMI. Pla || tynæ opufculum de obfo- || niis ac honefta uoluptate: || impreffum venetiis Iabo- || re \& diligentia Laurentii || prefbyteri d Aquila : nec nō Sybyllini Vmbri Duce in- || clyto Petro Mocenico. I II dibus Iuniis Mccoclxxy. Fol. 5a: PLATYNAE DE HONESTA VOLVPTATE: \| ET VALITVDINE AD AMPLISSIMVM AC \| DOCTISSIMVM D. B. ROVERELLAM. S. CLE || MENTIS PRAESBITERVM CARDINALEM || LIBER PRIMVS. ( )RRABVNT Et quidem uehemēter.... Fol. 93b, line 4: PLATYNAE DE OBSONIIS LIB. FIN. f

Folio, printed in roman type, 93 leazes, 32 lines to a page, text measures $188 \times 104 \mathrm{~mm}$.; without numerals, catchwords or signatures; spaces left for initials, no intial directors. The watermarks are (1) pair of scales in circle; (2) bull's head, stylus capped by a star. Hain, *i305I, Proctor, 4355.


#### Abstract

The earliest edition with a date, and probably the first appearance of the printed text. It is the only recorded production of the printers, whose press presents some peculiarities in addition to the defects to be presently mentioned. (1) For QV in the headings to chapters Qu is always used, as in QuO ; (2) the $v$ is usually rendered by a $u$, but often at the beginning of words by a $v$ of which the second stroke slopes more than the first ; (3) very long hyphens are used. These eccentricities will be noticed in the facsimile of a few lines of the text, which also illustrates the want of care, unusual in a Venetian printing office, exercised in locking-up the forme. This copy unfortunately wants the four leaves of table which furnish the date and name of the printers. This is not an unusual circumstance, and the La Vallière copy (No. 1703) sold in 1773 was in the same condition. It is large, measuring $265 \times 183 \mathrm{~mm}$., and has contemporary MS. signatures which begin with $a_{\mathrm{I}}$, so that the table was in all probability wanting when it left the printer's hands. In some copies the colophon on Fol. $93 b$ is wanting.


Barthelemi de Sacchi was born about 142 I at Piadena, a village in the vicinity of Cremona. In accordance with the fashion of that day he latinized the name of his birthplace, and is known in literature as Platina or Platyna. Sometimes in favour and oftener in disgrace at the Papal Court. he ultimately obtained in 1475 the post of keeper of the Library of the Vatican, an occupation in which he was engaged simultaneously with that of corrector of the press to Georg Lauer, who printed in Rome from $147^{\circ}$ to 148 r. His most celebrated work is the lite Summorum Pontificum ad Sixtum IV., a
book which will shortly come under our notice. The "honourable pleasures" which he introduces in the present volume are those of the table, and most entertaining are his disfuisitions on what to eat and how to cook and eat it. The paragraph reproduced on page 102 contains, as will he seen, instructions for very simple fare-A PLATE OF TOVGUES OR SAUSAGES. Boil salted tongue, cut into dice, put these into a dish, add farsley, mint, sage and aromatic herls to taste, then cover zuith vinegur. The same method will serve for larger sausages, but these are better suited for zointer than for summer, as this sort of food requives more digestion (than the freshly-prepared dish), and digestion is easier in zinter than in summer.

1475 Sept. 20. THOMAS AQUINAS. DE VERITATE CATHOLICIE FIDEI CONTRA ERRORES GENTILIUM.

$$
[R O M E, \quad A R N O L D \text { PANNARTZ. }]
$$

Fol. Ir blank. Fol. 1 b: Ioannes Frācifcus uenetg \& theologe || ordis pédicatol ad rcuerendiffimī. D. \| Marcī̀ Barbū Cardĩalë fācti Marci. \| ( )Vltos hac tēpeftate uiros.... The letter ends on line 21, col. 2. On line 22, col. 2: ( )Ncipiunt capitula primi libri. 11 The Table ends on Fol. 6b, line 43, col. 2: FINIS. Fol. 7a: Incipit liber de ueritate catholice 4 fidei cōtra errores gētilī̄̄. Editus || a fratre Thoma de Aquino: ordĩs || P̃dicato \%. Capl'm primī̀ phemin̄. \| Quod fit officium fapientis. \|| ... ( )Eritatem meditabiti. ||.... Fol. 296a, line 25, col. 2: FINIS. Then follows the colophon, of arthich a meproduction is here giach.

```
IMPRESSIT CLARVS AC DILIGENTISS.
ARTIFEX ARNOLDVS PANNARTZ.
    NATIONE GERMANYS
    IN DOMO VIRI NOBLLIS
    DETRIDE MAX.CIVIS ROMANI. ANNO
    INCARNATI VERBI.M.CCCCLXXV.
        DIE VERO.XX.SEPTEN.
        SEDEN. SIXTO.ILII.
        PONT.MAX.ANNO.
            EIVS.
                .V.
    colophon OF aquinas, DE veritate. (panvartz, m47.)
```

Fol. 296b: Regiftrum huius libri. II The register octupies the whole of the page.
Folio, printed in roman type, 296 leaves, 2 columns, 42 lines to the cohum, text measures $222 \times 144(=68+8+68) \mathrm{mm}$.; without mumerals, catchwords or signatures; spaces left for the initials, no initial directors. The watermarks are (1) a crossbow in circle ; (2) gothic (TD) and cross. Hain, 1387, but very imperfectly described by him, Proctor, 3529.

In the Bodleian but not in the British Museum.

The first edition with a date, one of the very few books printed by Pannartz after his partner's retirement, and a very rare volume. The editions of Strasburg (Proctor, 322), and of Venice, Franz Renner during his partnership with Nicolaus of Fronkfort (Proctor, $4^{159}$ ), are probably earlier than this.

An extremely fine copy, almost every page as clean as when issued from the press, measuring $308 \times 218 \mathrm{~mm}$. A contemporary MS. table indicating the leaves on which the various books begin occurs on Fol. ıa. Fol. $7 a$ is surrounded on three sides by a floral border in gold and colours, with the arms of a former owner.

## bibliA SACRA, LAtine.

[VUREMBERG, AVTO.V KOBERGER.]

Fol. Ia : Incip̃. epl'a fet̄i hieronimi ad paulin̄̄ $\tilde{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{fbi}|\mid$ te 4 : de oĩbo diuinc hiftorie libris. Ca p̃mũ. \| ( ) Rater ambrofio tua \|| mihi munufcula pfe || rens. detulit fiml' \& \|f fuaiffimas |ras: etc. Fol. 4 a, seventh line: Expl'. plogus. Incipit liber bhrefit que $|\mid$ nos genefim dicimus. Capi. I. || ( )N principio creauit dcus \|| cel̄̄ \& terrā. Terra at erat \|inanis et vacua: \& tenebre \| erāt fup faciē abiffi: \& fpūs \| dñi ferebã̃ fup aq̃s. Dix \|itq, deo. Fiat lux. Et facta \|f eft lux. Fol. 242b, second column, ends line 48 with the words: Explicit pfalterium. Fol. 243a: Epl'a fancti hieronimi pubiteri ad chro- I| macī̄ \& eliodo4 ep̃os đ libris falomōis. || Fol. 243a, col. 1, line 48: Explicit epiftola. Fol. 243a, col. 2, line I: Incipit liber prouerbiorum. || Fol. 384b, col. 2, line 16 : Explicit liber fecundus Machabeo 4 . \| Fol. 385 a, col. 1, line I : Incipit epiftola beati ieronimi ad dama || fum papam in quatuor euāgeliftas. || Fol. 385b, col. 1, line 14 : Explicit epiftola. Incipit argumentum || in euangelium fecundum matheum. || Same leaf, col. 2, line 2: Explicit argumentum. Incipit euange- || lium fecundum matheum. || Line 4:
 beati Iohan- nis apoftoli. || Line 23: Opus veteris nouiq, teftaméti. Impreffum || ad laude5 \& gloriam fancte ac indiuiduc tri- || nitatis. Intemerateq, virginis marie felicit || finit. Absolutũ confūmatumq $q_{3}$ eft. In regia || ciuitate Nurmbergeñ per Anthoniū Cober- || ger incolā ciuitatis ciufdē. Anno incarna || tōis dñice. M.CCCC.LXXV. Ipfo dic || Sācti Otmari cōfefforis. XV1. Nouẽbris.

Folio, printed in gothic type, 48 I leates, 2 columns, 48 lines in a column, text measures $278 \times 194(=86+22+S 6)$ mm.; without inumerals, catchwords or signatures; spaces left for initials, no initial directors. The watermarks are numerous. (1) Large flower of eight petals; (2) smaller ditto; (3) ditto with short stalk; (4) small flower of seven petals with stalk; (5) bunch of grapes; (6) shears, between the blades a short stylus and star ; (7) anchor in circle ; (8) curry-comb? Hain, ${ }^{*} 3056$, Proctor, 1980.

This is approximately the twenty-fifth edition of the Latin Bible, and the first from Koberger's press, issued in its fourth year (though Maittaire, 1305 , gives him credit for an edition in 1471). It is a beautifully-printed, very rare and much-esteemed votume. Masch ("Bibliotheca Sacra," vol. II., p. rio-1) enumerates several singular readings in the text, among these 1 Sam. xav. 6 , the words "et multis amis saluns faciens tuos et ommia tua" are entirely wanting, as are also in Jerem. xviii. 6, "Ecce sicut lutum in manibus figuli sic et clomus israhel manu mea."

Anton Koberger is one of the most interesting figures among the early German typographers. His was the second Nuremberg press, which existed there from 1471 to 1500 , and no less than 158 works issued by him in that period are to be found in the Library of the B.M. He was printing at Mâsle in 1502-4, and at Lyons from 5 509-1521. That he was a man of high consideration among his contemporaries may be gathered from the testimony borne by Badius Ascenscius, quoted by Santander. In his letter heading the Efistole illustrium vivorum, 1499, he thus addresses Koberger: "Siquiden cum sis librariorum facile princeps et inter fuldeles atque honestos mercatores non inferiori loco positus Litteratos omnes et colis et foves; pervisilemque curam ad bonos codices, vere, tersè, ac sine mendis imprimendos adhibes," etc. So that we find him not printer only, and fucile princeps among publishers, but also an honourable merchant and patron of literary men. He is said to have employed twenty-four presses at Nuremberg, besides having books printed for him in other towns.

A very fine, beautifully clean, and perfect copy, measuring $394 \times 283 \mathrm{~mm}$., rubricated throughout, large initials quaintly printed in. On Fol. ia, in MS., "Iste liber cst Sancti Michaelis Archangeli patront in" (name indistinct). A few marginal notes, well written in pale ink. Save for its comparatively modern binding in Russia leather, just in its pristine condition.

1475 Dec. I. MAURUS SERVIUS. EXPOSITIO IN TRIA VIRGILII OPERA.

$$
[M I L A N, \quad \text { "PRINTER OF SERVIUS."] }
$$

Fol. $1 a$ : P. VIRGILII MARONIS VITA. $l l$ ( )IRGILIVS. MARO. PARENTIBVS. MODI $\|$ cis fuit: $\&$ præcipue patre Marone: quem quidem $\|$ opificem figulum multi: plures autem cuifdam (sic) magi ui\|atoris: initio mercenarium : mox ob induftriam gene'y\|tradiderunt : etc. Fol. $7 a$ (with signature A): MAVRI SERVII HONORATI GRAMMATICI IN TRIA || VIRGILII OPERA EXPOSITIO: ET PRIMO IN BVCOLICA. || ( )VCOLICA: ut ferūt: ide dicta funt a cuftodia boū. i. II aẅo tenr ßoukúdav apo ton bucolon, ctc. Fol. 30 blank, cut avay. Fol. 1 zSb blank, preceding on Fol. I79a: Seruii honorati Expofitio in quintum librum acneidos. II Fol. IS6b blank, in the midst of matter. Fol. $194 b$ blank, preceding on Fol. 195a: MAVRI SERVII HONORATI GRAMMATICI EXPOSITIO || IN SEXTVM AENEIDOS. II Fol. (319)b: Anno a Natali chriftiano milleffimo quadrīgenteffimo septuageffimo quin || to Kalendis decēbribg Diuo Galeacio maria ffortia uicecomite Mediolani || Duce quinto florente hoc opus non indiligenter eft impreffum. ||

Folio, printed in roman type, 319 leaves, 4I lines to a page, text measures $221 \times 140 \mathrm{~mm}$; without mumerals, with catchwords in very unusual positions; leaves I-6 without signatures, then signatures A-Y, T-T S, then probably A-R in eights, S sezen leares. The signatures are placed so low in the page that in the B.MI. copy they have been cut off, and many are wanting here; spaces for large initials, no initial directors; spaces are left for the longer Greck quotations, which were to be filled in in MS. The watermark is a rose of eight petals. Hain, 14708, Proctor, 5886.

The fifth edition of this commentary, of which the first was issued by the $\mathbf{X}$ printer. The type is different from that of any other Milan press, and only three books by this printer, whose name has not been ascertained, are mentioned by Mr. Proctor, of which this is the only one with a date. The book is undoubtedly rare, and is a great bibliographical curiosity by reason of the extraordinary way in which the catchuords are arranged, to which many of the earlier bibliographers have failed to call attention. These helps to the binder indicating the sequence of the quires had not been long in usc, the earliest being in the Tacitus begun in 1473 by Johann of Spire, and finished, after his brother's death, by Wendelin. And "printer of Servius" seems to have only been feeling his way in their adoption. He has not used them throughout the volume. The first occurs on Fol. $22 b$, and in this and many other cases the catchword is not printed as

> Opus weteris noungs teftaméri. Tnipzeffum as laubes 2 g goziam fancte ac inbinisuc tri nitatis. Tntemerateg virgimis marie folicié finit- Abfolutû confümatumqseft. Inregia cuntate Murmbergeñ per Antbomí̆ Cober ger incolâ cuntatisenifiez. Ampo im carna tois Sníce.M.CCCC. LXXV. Ypfodir Säcti Otmari côfeffozis. XVI. Mouêbzis.

> COLOPHON OF BIBLIA SACRA, (KOBERGER, r475.)

Neque paruafi
uobss suga nam neque pindi . montes theffale funt: Parnafus et pindus: montes füt theffalix:apollini etmufis confecrati . Aonie aganuppe , nomina ouifurtfingulares aganippe autem fons eft boetix:qux et aonsa dicitur . . Aonie autem breais t:t ni quia fequsur uocalis uocalem. Sola fub rupe .

PORTION OF TEXT OF SERVIUS, EXPOSITIO (showing vertical catchword). (PRINTER OF SERVIUS, 1475.)

Nà hxcarbor.1.citrus omns poenetṕre plena eft pomis: qux in ea pactun matura: partimacerba: partmadhuc in flore suntpofita aut cette telcicis salubris. Nulla enim efficacior reseft ad uenena pelkida presentuus. Ea tillus. Sxux nonercx aut illæqux saux sunt autepiberon oft onmis
noaercaly
usual in a short horizontal line just under the right-hand corner of the text, but is put vertically, as will be seen in the facsimile of Fol. 29b; the next in the same odd position on Fol. 38b, the next on Fol. 46b, whilst on Fol. 546 and many subsequent quires the catchword is placed horizontally under the centre of the text. See facsimile. On Fol. $124 \bar{b}$ an incorrect word is used, "Cōtionē" instead of "Cōticuere." There is a gap between Fol. $156 b$ and Fol. 200b, in which there are no catchwords. On Fol. 2586 the catchword is "Sacrata," although this is the first word of the second line of the next page. There are none between Fol. 2664 and 2906 , nor between that page and 3066 . So that on the whole their disposition is distinctly erratic.

There can, I think, be little doubt that these catchwords were an afterthought of the person who was responsible for the publication of the volume, suggested in all probability by mistakes made by the binder in the assembling of the quires, in spite of the fact that provision for his guidance had been already made by the employment of signatures, printed so near the bottom of the page that they were in most instances cut off after the book was "forwarded," by his "plough." The catchwords are very roughly composed, and their type has been less uniformly inked than the text, giving just the appearance of having been stamped separately on each leaf by hand, though a collation with the copy in the B.M. leads to the conclusion that this was not really the case.

Greek type withouf accents is used for the shorter quotations, but the space left for them in the roman text is usually greatly in excess of what was really required, showing, I think, that two compositors were employed, the first, who could not compose Greek leaving abundant margin for the scholar who was to complete the composition.

We derive our knowledge of Horatius Maurus Servius, a grammarian of the fifth century, chiefly from Macrobius, who very early in the work introduces him to the literary coterie which holds sway in his Saturnalia (already noticed herein under the year 1472), as a very young professor, of bashful mien and exceeding modesty; he allots to him a whole chapter, Figura Virgilianue, in the sixth book, calls him doctorum maximus, and allows him to take an important part in the discussion on the poet whose works he had so laboriously annotated.
[Circa 1475.] BONAVENTURA. AUCTORITATES UTRIUSQUE TESTAMENTI.

## [STRASBURG, HEINRICH EGGESTEIN.]

Fol. 1a, lines 1-4 blank. Line 5, col. 1: ( )Recepit dominus || ade dicens ex omni || ligno paradifí co- \|l medes. Gencfis ij . $\|$ In quo etiā abfti- \| nēcia cōmendã̃ cū $\|$ dñs noluit hominē vti omni cibo $\|$ etiam in paradifo. ... Fol. 48a, col. 2, line 23 : diccbant hiis que a paulo diceban || tur Actuum xiii. ¥c. Fol. 48a, col. 2, line 25: Expliciunt auctoritates || vtriufq, teftamenti. ॥

Folio, printed in gothic type, 48 leaves, double columns, 42 lines to a column, text measures $193 \times 138(=60+18+60)$ mon.; without mumerals, catchwords or signatures; spaces for initials, no initial directors. The watermark is a tall cross on a rounded elevation, a lower one on each side, (dreiberg). Hain, *3534, Proctor, 283.

Eggestein's second edition. The type is different from that used by hin in the "Legenda Aurea," already described. The work does not seem to have been subsequently printed during the fifteenth century.

The first subject-matter index of the Bible, perhaps of any book. The references are conveniently arranged, e.g. in the paragraph on the Adomment of women reference is made to the meretricious disguise of Thamar, the maquillage of Jezebel, the wiles of Judith and the modest attire of Esther. Under a different title the same work appears as Exempla Scripturce, a copy of which will be noticed under the year 1478.

A fair copy, measuring $266 \times 202 \mathrm{~mm}$., slightly water-stained. Partially rubricated; the rubricator has filled up Fol. 1a, lines 1-4, with the title, Utriufq, Teftamenti au- \|| toritates incipiūt felicit. ||

Fol. ia : Enee Siluij poete Senēfis || de duob9 amantibg. Eurialo et || Lucrecia opofculũ ad Maria- || num. Sofinū feliciter || Incipit. Prefacõ. || ( )Agnifico ₹ generofo mi- || liti dño Gafpari flick || dino noui caftri cefareo || Cācellario, etc. Fol. ib, col. i, line 37, the letter to Slick finishes with the word Vale; line 38: ( )Neas filluius (sic) poeta Imp-|| ialifq ${ }_{3}$ fecretarius S. p. dicit || Mariano zofino vtriufq, iu- || ris interpreti. etc. The text begins on Fol. 2a, col. 2, line 26: (.)Rbem fenas vñ tibi et $\overline{\mathrm{m}}$ origo eft. \| Fol. 19b, col. 1, line 34: Explicuit opufcul̄̄̄ Ence II Siluij de duoby amātiby. \| Fol. 19b, col. 2: Epiftola Enee filuij poe- || te laureati Siue Pii Pa \|l pe feundi (sic) de amoris reme \| dio incipit.: ... ( )Neas Siluius tibi. || Ipolito mediolanenfi. || Salutē plurimaj dicit. || ... Fol. 22a, col. 2, line 13: Amoris remedium finit. ||

Folio, pminted in gothic letter, 22 pages, 2 columns, 38 lines to a column, text measures $187 \times 126(=57+12+57)$ mm. ; without numerals, catchwords or signatures; spaces left for initials, but no initial directors. The watermark is a bull's head, long horns, stylus capped with star. Hain, *217, not in Proctor.

A very rare edition, of which there is no copy in the British Museum or Bodleian. There are several other early editions of this celebrated book, none of which appears to have any definite claim to priority.

A large and perfect copy, slightly stained and wormed in places; it measures $273 \times 193 \mathrm{~mm}$. The "register" of the type, in this copy at any rate, is very irregular indeed, often as much as 6 mm . askew.

## THu ainantes

The popularity of this well-known romance, written by Æneas Sylvius (Piccolomini), afterwards Pope Pius II., may be estimated from the fact that some forty editions were issued before the year $\mathbf{r} 500$. The author, who appears to have composed the story of Eurialus and Lucretio in 1444, is said to have repented in his later days $\left({ }^{( }\right)$of his successes as a writer of amatory romance, but he did not attempt to disguise the warmth of his own passions at the time of its composition. In his preface addressed to Marianus Sosinus he begs him to read the story, and, as being a man, not to blush at the remembrance that something of the same nature has at one time or other happened to him. "For he who has never felt the flames of love is either a stone or a beast ; such (fervour) as we well know is not wanting in the marrow of the gods themselves." Yet he proceeds to ask what tale of love it can beseem him, Æneas, a man of nearly forty, to write, or his correspondent, who is fifty, to listen to.

The tale is in the vein of those of the Decameron of Boccaccio, written a century earlier. Lucretia, of the family of the Camilti, a girl of twenty, wife of the rich and undesirable Meneluus, a citizen of Sienna, is of perfect beauty in face, form and mind, and her charms are set forth in artistic and glowing colours. Of tall and entirely graceful stature, a goddess rather


PORTRAITS OF EURIALUS AND LUCRETIA.
(SCIIEDEL, LIBER CIIRONICARUIH, 1493.) than a woman, she was entirely thrown away upon a man like
 fineas Sylvius' work which he himself condemned in his Bull of Retractation, doubiless a politic measure on his part on assuming the tiara.

Menelaus, unworthy to possess in his house so fair a jewel, but quite worthy, says our author (pope in embryo) to be betrayed by her! Her beauty was of the true Italian type, her hair resembled flakes of gold, and under the thin dark eyebrows flashed intoxicating eyes with which the owner could slay men to the left and to the right, and bring them back to life at will; a kiss-provoking dimple graced the coral mouth when a smile disclosed her crystal teeth. Her voice music, her speech like that of the mother of the Gracchi; in spite of her simple and modest mien a brave heart beat in her lovely bosom. It would be quite beside the intention of this catalogue of ancient tomes to pursue in detail the course of love between this fair and eventually frail creature and her somewhat unworthy lover Eurialus, a love which of course sprang into being at their first encounter, or the story told in touching language of the strife between her passion and her scruples. ${ }^{\circ}$ ) The correspondence of the infatuated pair, their forgetfulness of their obligations, the masquerading of Eurialus, their secret meetings, risks, and hardly-scaped catastrophe are related, though in simple language, with no small art and feeling. The finale strikes one, however, as somewhat bald and disappointing. Eurialus has perforce to follow his imperial master in his journeyings over Europe; passionate letters and heart-breaking leave-takings ensue, and finally, though the reader finds no sort of necessity for an ultimate and lasting separation, poor Lucretio takes her lover's departure fatally to heart, divests herself of all her glorious attire, is never heard to sing or seen to smile and dies of a broken heart in the arms of her distracted mother. The craven Eurialus, who alone had shown abject fear when a common danger threatened the guilty pair, and whose passion was as selfish as hers selfsacrificing, was "very sorry" when he heard of her death, arrayed himself in mourning, and refused all comfort till the Emperor joined him in marriage to a very beautiful and chaste virgin of ducal blood! And for such a man Lucretia had died.

The remedy for love which in eleven columns of text follows the romance, though written in the previous year, is a jejune and most unconvincing production, attributing inconstancy as a matter of course to every woman who has once forgotten her vows, and warning the author's friend, Hippolitus, against her sex rather than against his own wayward inclinations.

As it may interest the reader to look upon the original of the last chapter of a fifteenth century novel, I append a facsimile of the concluding portion, the death of Lucretia being recorded in the first four lines.
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Expliait opufulū Enee Sliun de duolo amãabs

## 1475. GULIELMUS DE OCKHAM. DISPUTATIO INTER CLERICUM

 ET MILITEM.[COLOGNE, GOISWIN GOPS OF EUSKYRCHEN.]

Fol. i $a$ : Difputatio īter clericum et militē fup potefta || tē prelatis ecclefie atq, principib3 terra 4 com $|\mid$ miffa fub forma dyalogi incipit feliciter $| \mid$; line 4 , ( )lericus fermonis fui exordium fub $\|$ hac forma pofuit dicēs, etc. Fol. 13b, line 10: Et fic eft finis. Fol. 14a: Compendiūm de vita anticrifti incipit feliciter. Fol. 16b: Explicit compendiū de vita anticrifti fub anno dni. M.CCCC.LXXV.

4to, printed in gothic type, 16 leaves, 26 lines to a page, text measures $130 \times 84 \mathrm{~mm}$; without numerals, catchwords or signatures; space left for large initial, no initial directors; §used occasionally for $S$. The watermark is a bull's head, short stylus and star. Hain, 6117, Proctor, 1135.

The first dated edition of this tract, the earliest edition of which, by the printer of the Augustinus de Fide, has been already described. Books printed by Goiszein Gops are extremely rare; Mr. Proctor mentions only two, the Sermo de festo presentationis beate virginis and the Ockham, which last was not known to Santander. No book from Gops's press is in the Bodleian.

This is a tall, clean copy, measuring $263 \times 142 \mathrm{~mm}$., a duplicate from the Grossherzoglich Hessische Hof-Bibliothek. But it wants folios 14 to 16, containing the life of Antichrist, the Disputatio being perfect.

There is a very marked similarity between this edition and that by the printer of Augustinus de Fide previously described. Although, as will be seen, there is a slight difference in the size of the text, the pages correspond line for line and word for word until Fol. 1 I $b$ is reached, each text being probably printed from an identical MS., but at the bottom of this page the compositor of the earlier edition has made up his mind so to squeeze the matter as to get seven lines into six. A facsimile of a portion of these lines is given to show what power of compression was put into the hands of a compositor of that day by the right of using contractions ad libitum, and the subsequent reproductions will show how, on the next leaf, he got out of the difficulty of being a line behindhand by filling up the line in the middle of a sentence with unmeaning marks, thus making the next page begin with the proper word. These vagaries probably arose in the first place from miscalculations of the length to be occupied by the "takes" of the different compositors, which also account for the peculiarities in the composition of the Legenda Sanctorum of Eggestein (1472-3). The section mark (§) occasionally used by Gops for $S$ will be observed in the third facsimile.
[1475-6.] JACOBUS DE VORAGINE. LEGENDA SANCTORUM = LEGENDA AUREA $=$ HISTORIA LOMBARDICA.
[AUGSBURG, GUNTHER ZAINER.]
Fol. ia: Incipit plogus fup legenda fctơ 4 quã $p$ pila- || uit fr̃ iacobg natõe Ianuẽf. ordis fr̃m p̃dicato24. \| Fol. 1b, line 12:... ab octaua penthecoften vfq, ad aduentum. \|I End of prologuc, the text begins, line 13, with the heading, De aduentu domini. Fol. 398a, line 42 : || fuit çfi otilia duobs modis martir fuit \& cãnis maccrationc. || Hyftoria Iombardica fancto4 finit feliciter. || Fol. $398 b$ blank.

Folio, printed in a roman type with gothic affinitics. The capital letters are roman, the $I, H, L, M, N$, and $T$ of which are distinguished by the peculiarity of a small ring projecting from

## Et fi beu9pt biatur

propter mgantubimem revomt peccitoruin remiffionem bioeatis ne proptere witm rebelli onem non menamini is fon minus fed etam plus onctan ot tanbem faculate fimul et pote Ptate benubata elcaas - Tumquio per we ges tollento funt graae nobis per leges wef Fe a per beatorum prinapum priuilegia fancte

PORTION OF TEXT OF FOL. 1: OF DISPUTATIO. (GOPS, 1475.)

Et fi æus Dt blatue propter ingmatubimem rehocat pecatoram cetriflionem vipeatis ne proptet ufta petclli onem non meaamini 1 促on minus feb eciam plus onema et tancem faultate fimul ctpéate
 gré nob p leges coceffe z p btoy praipи puile


CORRESPONDING PORTION OF TEXT OF DISPUTATIO. (PRINTER OF AUGUSTINUS DE FIDE, 1472')

> Et ab oli
> tas nouas fi plaatent peomulgace: Alioquin fis aliquib noui tetepe acabit vifum fieat Sta tuēbu. Si nef nō poffet boc qui oft füm9, tnue null' poreat. ğa plta cum nō eft fupior blus PORTION OF TEXT OF FOL. s2 OF DISPUTATIO. (GOPS, 1475.)

Et $a b$
olitas nouas fiplacuent promulgane allioqui fi aliq̋́b noui pt fepe accivit vifum fisent fta tuenoum s Si fer non poffet bocidui eft fü muss func nullus potentrquia bltea eumnó

the down stroke, as will be seen in the facsimile; 398 leaves, 39, 40, 41,43 or 44 lines to a page, leat (without numerals) of 43, the most uswal mamber of lines, measures $206 \times 115 \mathrm{~mm}$.; lowerease roman mumerals, no catchaords nor signatures, the "register" extremely uneren. Most of the initials are printed from wooden blocks, the larger of contentional floral design, the smaller ones in outline. For some few of the smaller initials spaces are left, no initial directors. 164 reoodcuts, some repetitions. The watermarks are (1) bull's head, long horns, short stylus capped with flower ; (2) bull's head, long horns, crown impaled on long stylus capped with flower; (3) bull's head, long horns, stylus and flower; (4) bull's head, long curled horns, double stylus and star; (5) bull's head, long horns, short stylus impaling crescent, flower at the top ; (6) large bull's head, long horns, double stylus and trefoil ; (7) bull's head, curled horns, short double stylus and trefoil ; (8) small bull's head, short stylus capped by tulip ; (9) small bull's head, long stylus and trefoil ; (ro) small bull's head, very short stylus and flower; (in) small bull's head capped with short stylus, on which is a gothic $\mathfrak{p}$; (12) keys in saltire; (13) crown, with very tall arch surmounted by ball and cross. Not in Hain or Proctor.

An extremely rare book, almost unknown to bibliographers, and not in the British Museum or Bodleian.

This is perhaps the third edition of the Legenda Sanctorum. It is certainly preceded by that of Mickuel Wenssler [Proctor, 7460], and probably by that of Ulrich Gering, Sept., 1475 [Proctor, 7843].

A large copy, with many rough edges, measuring $284 \times 200 \mathrm{~mm}$., very clean, some slight water stains ; first leaf repaired and last mounted ; rubricated up to and including Fol. lvija; cuts uncoloured, except that the rubricator has, as often happens, contributed of his minium to an initial or the nimbus of a Saint ; a few MS. notes. (The Quatremère copy.)

The first dated volume which proceeded from the press of Gunther Zainer of Rcutingen, the prototypographer of Augsburg, was the Bonazentura Meditationes de vita Christi, dated March 12, 1468, printed in type identical with that of Schiussler, who employed this type and no other in his books, and with which that of Johann Zainer of Ulm has great affinity. It is conjectured that Gunther may have printed some undated books before the Meditationes. It is to him that we owe the introduction of the beautiful Roman letter first used in 1472 in the Etymologice S. Tridori [Hain, *9273, Proctor, 1532]. In 1475 or 1476 he first used the peculiar capital letters alluded to above as occurring in this edition of the Vorasine. At least seventy books issued from his press during the ten years in which it was at work. He died on April $\mathbf{I}_{3}$, 1478. He was another of the early printers who endeavoured to build up a large busincss by making the public well acquainted with his productions; his catalogues resemble in essentials those of Mentelin but are more extensive and more elaborate.

The Legenda Sanctorum, Historia Lombardica, or Legenda Aurea (the Golden Legend), as it is variously called, was the compilation of Jacobus de Voragine or I'tragine, a Dominican, born about the year 1230 near Genoa. He was Archbishop of Genoa in 1292, and died in 1298. An odd but improbable story is told of him by Philip de Bergamo to the effect that when he presented himself on the first day of Lent before Boniface VIII., in order to participate in the distribution of ashes, the Pope, who suspected him of favouring the imperial faction, cast the ashcs into his eyes, saying Memento quia gibellinus es et cum gibellinis tuis in pulverem reverteris. His work attained the highest pinnacle of popularity in spite of the adverse criticisms of some men of sense. Ludovicus Vives says of it :-" Golden Legends forsooth, zeritten by a man of iron mouth and leaden heart. What can be more foul than this book, in aehich the acts of our noblest saints are so untruthfully and inaccurately related?" It was translated into almost all European languages but shared after a time the fate of all similar demands on the credulity of the masses. Yet never can it lose for us the charm of naiveté and laughter-moving absurdity.

The great rarity of this volume, and the singularity of the woodcuts which it contains, seem to warrant the reproduction of a certain number of these. And here, as in one or two other cases, I have not scrupled to substitute for a modern translation of the legends which they illustrate the quaint and vigorous language of the earliest English version. Caxton's text of 1483 will be found to form a strictly appropriate framing for the practically contemporaneous illustrations of Zaincr's edition.

 Ren fiuerfum tempus pfentis vite in g̈tuce oiftinguitur .Scs in tempus deuiations. renouationis fue reuocationis-reconcl/ liationis 2 pegrinationis. Fempus deui atiōis fuit ab adam poftäm friliç a deo
 iftuo tempus repñtat ecclefia a feptual gefima vfq; ad pafca. Vnəe $\mathfrak{\varepsilon z}$ tunc legit renouationis senefis lib. fcz in que ponitur seniato pomouu patentum.


Denomine
Imphorianus a fimphonia fuit, enim tan $\Phi$ vas muficum emittens armoniá virtutum in quo tanö in vafe mufico tria fuerunt Nam vt oicit auerrois Sol natinum Debet effe surum ad tefiftenl oum leue ad continuanoum latum ad implenoum Sic et fimphorianus tanöz vas muficum fuir Durus fibi per aufte ritatem leuis alius per manfuetuסinem latus omnibus per ca/ ritatis a mplitudinem
SPECIMEN OF TYPE OF LEGENDA SANCTORUM, NOT RUBRICATED, SHOWING THE PECULIAR FORMS OF THE "N" AND "Y." (GUNTHER ZA/NER, 1475-6.)

## Of Seqnt Stephen the (pope.

WHan feynt ftephen the pope had conuerted many of the paynems vinto the criften fayth both by word and by example / and had alfo Buryed many bodyes of the marters in the yere of our lord two hondred $\& 1 x$ he was fought by grete studye of valeryen and of galyen thennc empereurs. For by caufe that he and his clerkes fhold doo facrefyfe unto theyr ydolles or ellis to be flayne by dyuers tormentis / and the fayd emperours made ordynaunce / that who fomeuer broughte them / he fhold haue all theyr fubftaūce and for that caufe and of his clerkes were taken / and brought forth / and anon wythout audjence were byheded. And the day folowyng feynt Stephen the pope was taken and brought to the temple of mars theyr god / to thende that he mold adoure and doo honour to thydolle / or ellys he fhold have fentence to be byheded. / But whan he was entred in to the temple he prayed to our lord Ihū cryft that he wold deftroy the temple / and anon a grete party of the temple fylle. And alle they that were there / ffledde for drede that they had / and thenne he went to the Tyme toyre of feynt luke / and whan valerien herd that / he fent to hym mo Knyghtes than he dyd tofore /

st. Mary magdalene.

and whan they cam they fond hym fyngyng maffe. / And anon he fynyffhed deuoutly that which he had begoune. / And that doon they byheded hym in his feete. /

## OF Sernt Magdalene.

In this mene whyle the bleffed marie magdalene defyrous of fouerayn contemplacion / fought a ryght flarp deferte / and toke a place whiche was ordeyned by thangele of god / and abode there by the fpace of xxx yere without knowleche of ony body. / In whiche place the had no comfort of rennyng water / no folace of trees ne of herbes. / And that was hycaufe our redemer dyd do fhewe it openly. / That he had ordeyned for her refection celeftial / and no bodily metes / And cuery day at euery hour canonycal the was lift $v p$ in thayer of thangellis / And herd the gloryous fonge of the heuenly companyes with her bodily eeres / Of whiche fhe was fedde and fylled with right fwete metes / and thenue was brought agayn by thangellis vnto her propre place / in fuche wyfe as fhe had no nede of corporal norifflyyng.

## UBe decolpacion of Sernt Zoban daptizt.

And Herode and Herodyan couetyng occafyon ageynfte faynt Johan / how they myght make hym to dye / ordeyned bytwene them fecretcly / That whenne Herode fholde make the Fefte of his Natyuyte / the doughter of Herodyane fold demaunde a yefte of Herode for daunfyng and fpryngyng at the fefte to fore the pryncypal prynces of his royamme / And he fhold fwere to her by his othe that he thalle graunte hit her / And the fhold axe the hede of faynt Johan / and he wold yeue it to her for kepyng of his othe / but he fhold fayne as he were angry by caufe of makynge of the othe. /

## Of sexnt Jontapn.

Forfayn was a Biffhop. And Bede wrytheth thiftorye of hym / And lyke as he thone in al boñte / \& vertue / fo at his laft ende he yelded vp his fpyryte / and whaune be paffyd / he fawe two angels comyng to hym / whiche bare his fowle vp to heuen / and the thyrd angel cam with a whyte fheld fhynynge / and he wente before and after that he fawe deuyls cryenge and herd how they fayd / late vs go


FORSEUS [OR FORSAYN゙〕 IS GRIEVOUSLY SMITTEN WHLST IN A TRANCE BY A DEVIL.

decollation of John the baptist.
to fore and make a bataylle to fore hym / and whan they were gone to fore hym thei retorned ageynft hym / and threwe to hym brennynge dartes / But the angel that wente before receyued them with his fhelde / and thenne the deuyll fette them ageynft the aungels/ and fayd /that he hadde alweye fayd ydle wordes / And therfore he ought not withoute payne vife the bleffyd lyt / and the Aungel feyd to them / yf ye purpofe not ageynft hym the pryncipal vyces / he fhal not perffiye for the fmale / And thenne the deuylle fayd / yf god be rightwys / this man fhalle not be faued / For it is wreton yf ye be not conuerted / and made lyke as one of my lytel children / ye thal not entre into kyngdom of heuen / To whome thangel excufyng hym / fayd / he had indulgence in his herte / but he obteyned the cuftom \& vage/\& the deuil faid lyke as he hath taken euylle cuftomme / foo late hym receyue vengeaunce by the foouerayne Juge / and the holy Angel fayd / we fhalle be juged to fore god / Thenne the deuylle was ftylle / yet he aroos ageyne / and fayd / vnto now we trowed / that god had be trewe / for al tho fynnes that ben not purged in erthe / be promysed that they fhold euerlaftyngly be punyffhed / This men receyued a vefture of an vfurer / and was not therof punyiffed /
where is thenne the rightwyines of god / To whome theañgel fayd / holde youre peas. For ye knowe not the fecrete Jugementis of god / as longe as a man hopeth to doo his penaunce / foo longe the mercy of god is redy to the man / The deuyl anfwerd / here is no place of penaunce / To whome thaungel fayd / ye knowe not the profoundneffe of the Jugementes of god / Thenne the deuylle fmote hym foo greuoully / that after when he was reftablyffhed to lyf the token and trace of the ftroke abode euer after. / Thenne the deuyls tooke one / that was tormented in the fyre / \& threwe hym on forfyn / fo that he brent his fholdre / and thenne Forfyn faw wel / that it was the vfurer of whome he hadde receyued the veftyment / and thaungel fayd to hym / by caufe thow receyuedeft it / he hath brent the / yf thou haddeft not receyued the yefte of hym that is deed in fynnes / this payn fholde not haue brente the / and thou haft this payne of brennynge by caufe thou receyuedeft the veftyment of hym. And at the laft the fowle was broguht ageyne to his propre body / And his neyghbours wepte / whyche had fuppofed that he hadde ben dede / And after this he lyued a certeyne tyme / and fynyffhed his lyf laudably in good werkes.


ST. MARTIN AND THE BEGGAR,

EARLIEST FORM OF GUILLOTI.


MARTYRDOM OF ST. QUINTINUS.

## OF ミernt Qupritpn.

Ouyntyn was of noble lygnage of the Cyte of Rome / and cam in to the Cyte of Amyens / fhewyng many myracles / And was taken there of the prouoft of the Cyte by commaundement of Maxymyen / \& was beten vntyl they that bete hym were wery / and after was put in pryfon / but he was vnbound of an Aungell / and he wente in to the cyte and there prechyd to the peple / Thenne he was taken ageyne / and was ftrayned on the Geulee an Initrumente to tormente fayntes on / vnto the brekyng of his vaynes / and beten wyth rawe fynewes ryght longe / And afterward he was boylled in brennynge oylle / and pytche / And yet for atle that he mocqued the Juge / Thenne the Juge dyde doo put in to his mouthe quyck lyme / vyneagre / and muftard / and yet alleway he abode conftaunt and vnmenable / and thenne he was brought into Vermandoys / and fixed in hym ij nayles fro his hede into his knees / and ten nayles bytwene his nayles / and the flefh of his nayllys and the fleffe on his handes / and at the lafte the prouoft made hym to be byheded / and threwe the body in to the water. $/$

## Of Seput Martyn.

MArtyne was borne in the caftelle of Sabarye in the towne of pauonyc / but he was nouryffled in ytaly at pauye wyth his fader / whyche was mayfter and trybune of the knyghtes vnder conftancien and Julyaue Cezar / And Martyn rode with hym / but not with his wylle / For fro hys yong Infancye he was enfpyred deuynely of god / And whanne he was twelue yere old he fled to the Chirche ayenfte the wylle of alle his kynne / And requyred to be made neue in the faythe / And fro thens he wold haue entryd in to deferte / yf Infyrmyte of maladye had not lette hym / And as themperours hadde ordeyned that the fones of Auncyent Knyghtes flold ryde in ftede of their faders / And Martyrn whiche was fyften yere old was commanded to doo the fame / and was maad Knyght / and was contente with one feruaunt / And get oftymes Martyn wold ferue hym / and drawe of his botes / In a wynter tyme as Martyn paffed by the yate of Amyens he met a poure man al naked / to whome no man gaf ony almeffe / Thenne Martin drewe oute his fwerd / And carf hys mantell therwith in two pyeces in the myddel / And gaf that one half to the poure man / For he hadde nothynge els to gyue to hym / And he clad hym self with that other half / The next nyght folowyng / he fawe oure lord Ihefu crift in heuen clothed with that parte / that he hadde gyuen to the poure man And fayde to the Aungels that were aboute hym martyn yet newe in the fayth hath couerd me with this vesture / Of whiche thynge this holy man was not enhaunced in vayne glorye / But he knewe there by the bounte of god / and whaune he was eyghten yere of age / he dyde do baptyfe hym felf. /

## Of Zoban and (Paule.

Thenne fhewed Julyan the emperour the couetyfe of hys herte, And he confermed by wytues of the gofpel feyeng / our Lord Ihefus fayde who that renounceth not alle that he hath / may not be my dyfeyple / And therfor when he herd that the bleffyd fayntes / John and poul had the richeffes that cuftance theyr lady had lefte to them / and they fufteyned the pore criften peple of our lord Jefu crift / he demaunded them that lyke as they had ben wyth conftantyn fo wold be that they fhold be with hym thenne they fayd to hym whan the glorious Conftantyn / and conftant his fone gloryfyed them to be cryften / we wold wel ferue them / but fyth that thou haft forfaken thy relygyon ful of vertues / we ben departed fro the / ne we wyl nomore obeye to the / Julyen thenne fayd to them / I had the eftate of a clerke in the chirche / and yf I wold haue abyden / I had had the mofte wormipful / But byeaufe it is vanyte and folye to ferue pariffhis / \& to be / y dle I haue fette my herte in cheuallrye / And therfor I have made facrefife to the goddes / And they haue gyuen to me the empyre / And thus ye that haue be brought forth and noriffled in paleys ought to be by my fyde / \& yf ye haue me in defpyte / I fhal do fo moche that I fhal not be defpyfed / thene anfuerd they / we loue better god than the / \& we doubte nothyng thy menaces by caufe that we wyl not angre our god thenne fayd Julyan / yf ye do not my wyille within ten dayes with your agrement / ye fhal do it after agenft youre wylle / The fayntes faid to hym / thynke ye as though now the ten dayes were goon / And do thys day that whiche thou purpofeft to doo thenne / to whome Julyan wene ye that cryften men fhall make you marters / but yf ye
confent to me I fhalle punyffhe you / not as martirs / but as comen enemyes / thene John and poule duryng thyfe 10 dayes entended to prayer and to almeffe / And after on the tenth day terencyen was fent to them whiche fayd to them Our lord Julyan hath fent me to you That ye fholde honour the ymage of Jouys whiche we bryng to you / or ellys ye muft deye / Thenne they fayd to hym / yf Julyan be thy lord Haue thou peas wyth hym / we haue none other lord but Jhefu cryft / whan Julyen herde thyfe wordes / he made theyr hedes to be fmyten offe fecretlye and to be buryed in theyr hows / and after made to be faid that thei were fent in to exyle. /

1476 Feb. i6. QUINTUS HORATIUS FLACCUS. OPERA.

## [mILAN, PHILIPPUS OF LAVAGNA.]

Fol. Ia: QVINTI HORATII FLACCI \| VENVSINI CARMINVM Liber \| PRIMVS AD MECOENATEM. ( )Ecœnas Atauis edite regibus.... Fol. 50b, line 17: QVINTI HORATII FLACCI \| EPODOS. \| Ad Mecoenatem. (i)BIS Liburnis. ... Fol. 6ib, line 30: FINIS. Fol. 62a: QuINTI HORATII FLACCI DE AR if TE POETICA AD PISONES LIBER. \| (h)Vmano capiti.... Fol. 69a, line 7: QVINTI HORATI FLACCI || SERMONVM LIBER PRIMVS || AD MECOENATEM. Satyra prima. Il (q)Vi fit Mecœnas.... Fol. iola: QVINTI HORATII FLACCI EPI || STOLARVM LIBER PRIMVS. || Quintus. Horatius Flaccus Mecoenati. s. || (p)Rima dicte. ... Fol. 124a, line 20 : FINIS. || Hoc opus Horatii emendatiffimum impreffum eft || opa \& impenfis Philippi de Lauagnia Ciuis medio || lanenfis. Anno a Natali Chriftiano. Mcccclxxvi. die. xvi. Februarii. Amen. || Fol. $124 b$ blank.

4to, printed in roman type, 124 leaves, 34 lines to a page, text measures $181 \times 91 \mathrm{~mm}$; without numerals, catchwords or signatures; spaces left in almost all cases for initials, in some of which there are initial directors, in others not. In one case at least a large initial letter is printed. The capital letters at the beginning of each line stand away from the lower-case letters at varying distances, as will be seen in the facsimiles. The watermark is a flower of eight petals. Hain, 8870, Proctor, 5847.

The fifth edition of Horace, the earliest dated edition being that of Zarotus, March 16, 1474. There was no earlier edition either in the special collections of editions of Horace of Dr. Douglas, or in that of the Marquis de Moranti, dispersed at Paris in 1872, in which were fifty-five editions of the poet.

The first printer at Milan was Antonius Zarotus (1471). The name of Philippus of Lavagna, printer and publisher or publisher only, is found in books dating from 1472 to 1489 , the Esopi Fabula of 1480 bearing the colophon Ad impensas Philippi Lauagnie ciuis Mediolanensis MCCCCLXXX. die IV. Mensis Septembris. There is evidence to show that he had books printed for him by at least two or three Milanese printers, and Valdarfer's types are employed in several of the books issued by Philippus. An agreement dated October 8, 1473, of great interest to the modern printer and publisher, the text of which is given by M. Bernard, II. 228, was entered into between Philippus Lavagnia and Cola Montanus of the one part and Christopher Valdofer (Valdarfer) Theutonicus Ratisponensis of the other part by which the latter agreed to print with two presses (imprimere et stampare cum duobus torcularibus) the works which the said Philip and Cola should desire, and not otherwise. The financial arrangements are then set forth in great detail. The association (societas) was to last for six months, during which time Christopher was not to print de literis antiquis in conjunction with any other citizen of Milan. It is not known, says M. Bernard, what books were the fruit of this association. This 1476 edition of Horace (Proctor, I. 389) is printed with Valdarfer type ( 20 lines $=104.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.)

## Q VINTI HORATII FLACCI VENVSINI CARMINVMLIBER PRIMVS AD MECOENATEM.

Ecœnas Atauis edite regibus: O \& prxfidiunı \& dulce decus meum . Sūt ques curriculo pulterē olympicum Collegiffe iunat:mœtaq; feruidis
E uitata rotis palmaque nobilis
$T$ errarum dominos euehit ad deos.
Hunc fi nobilium turba Quiritium
C ertet ter geminis tollere honoribus:
BEGINNING OF HORACE. (LAV"AGNA, $\Psi_{4} 7^{7 .}$ )
$\mathbf{N}$ atales grate numeras.ignofcis amicis.
$L$ enior 8 melior fis accedente fenecta.
$Q$ uid te exemptaiuuat fpinis de pluribus uua:
V iuerefirecte nefcis:decede peritis.
L ulifti latis .edifti fatis .atg bibifti.
T empus abiretibi eft :ne potum largius aequo
$R$ ideat: \& pulfet lalciua decentius aetas.

$$
F 1 \mathrm{~N} \| \mathrm{S}
$$

Hocopus Horatii enendatifinum impreflum eft opa \& impenfis Philippi de Lauagnia Ciuis medio Lanenfis. Annoa Natali Chriftiano . Maccelxxvi. die.xvi. Februaxii. Amen.

A large copy, measuring $259 \times 164 \mathrm{~mm}$. ; some leaves have been mended, and some notes washed out. The 1 i8th leaf is in facsimile executed for me some forty years ago by Harris; this is so admirably done that it is most difficult to detect any variation from the original text, and is a striking specimen of his wonderful pre-photographic art. On the verso of the last leaf is written in old and faded ink, Zanner Amerigoti.

The concluding words of the Epistle to Florus which end the volume, and are here reproduced in facsimile, furnish a very apposite reminder to those whose sands, like my own, are rapidly filling the lower half of life's irreversible hour-glass, though the philosophy which inspires them be not of the Christian but of the "Ethnic" school. A note of interrogation at the end of each of the first three lines is of course found in modern editions.
1476. ARISTOTELES. DE ANimalibus [INTERPRETE THEODORO GAZA.]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[V E M C E, \text { JOHANV OF COLOGNE AND JOHANV MANTHEN OF }} \\
& \text { GHERRETZEN.] }
\end{aligned}
$$

Fol. 1 a blank. Fol. $2 a$, with sig. 22 : THEODORI: GRAECI : THESSALONICEN || SIS: PRAEFATIO: IN LIBROS: DE ANIMA \| LIBVS: ARISTOTELIS: PHILOSOPHI: AD \| XYSTVM: QVARTVM : MAXIMVM. || (l)Ycurgum lacedemonium, etc. Fol. $7 b:$ ARISTOTELIS : DE HISTORIA: ANIMALIVM : \| LIBER PRIMVS INTERPRETE THEODORO \| (a)NIMALIVM PARTES: AVT IN \| cōpofite, etc. Fol. 250b, line 14: Finiunt libri de animalibus Ariftotelis interprete Theodoro II Gaze. V. clariffimo: quos Ludouicus podocatharus Cypri- \|f us ex Archetypo ipfius Theodori fideliter \& diligēter aufcul || tauit: \& formulis imprimi curauit Venetiis per Iohannem || de Colonia fociūq, eius Iohannē māthen de Gherretzē. Anno || domini M.CCCC.LXXVI. || Fol. $251 a$ : Tabula cartarum, etc. Fol. 25 Ib blank.

Folio, printed in roman type, 251 leaies, 35 lines to a page; without mumerals or catchanords; printed signatures $\mathbf{a}-\mathrm{x}$, and $\mathrm{aa-bb} 5$; spaces left for initial letters, initial directors. The watermarks are (1) pair of scales in circle ; (2) floriated double stylus rising from dreiberg; (3) bull's head, stylus and star. Hain, "'1699, Proctor, 4312.

This is the first edition of Aristoteles de Animalibus, and a beautifully-printed book; type, paper and composition being alike satisfactory. The press of the two Johanns is practically a continuation of the first of Wendelin of Spire, which ceased in 1473, and the new printers were evidently imbued with the artistic spirit of the founder of the business. The type of Wendelin was used for some of the earlier books of this press, but not for this edition of Aristotle. The press was active from 1474 till I 480 .

A very fine copy, large, with some rough leaves, measuring $295 \times 157 \mathrm{~mm}$., and perfectly clean, but the last two leaves wormed.

> Liniunt libri de animalibus Ariftotelis interprete Tbeodoro Gaze. V. clanflimo:quos Ludouicus podocatharus Cypri, us cx Archetypo ipfus Tbcodorı fideliter \& diligēter aufcul tauit: \& formulis imprimi curauit Venetiis per Iobannem de Colonia locıūq̧ cius Iobannē mätben de Gberrétzè. Anno domini.M.CCCC.LXXVI.


Fol. $1 a$ : (g)Regorius. || ễs. Quoniam oīs || rō fupernc creatur̃, etc. Fol. 153a, col. 1, line 21, text ends, ₹ hec fufficiant. || Col. 1, line 22: Dñi abbatis ficuli ps prima fup $\tilde{p}$ mo decreta $|\mid$ lium diligētiffime emēdata feliciter explicit: per $\|$ Nicolaū Ienfon gallicum Venctiis impreffa || M ${ }^{\circ}$.cccc.lxxvij. die. xxij. nouembris. II On the second cohmm is the register of quires. Fol. $153 b$ blank. Fol. 154a, with signature A: De officio ₹ ptāte iudicis delegati. Bica. Il Supra uifū ē.i. p̃cedētibg Ricis, etc. Fol. 284a, col. 2, line 50 (Hain says 286a), the text ends, cum tribus fe \| quentibus. || Line 52 : Domini abbatis ficuli fecunda pars fuper pri $\|$ mo decretalium diligentiffíme emendata felici || ter explicit: per Nicolaum Jenfon gallicus || .M.cccclxxvii. die. x. decembris. II Fol. 284b, the register of quires. Fol. 285 blank.

Folio, printed in gothic type, 284 leaves, donble columns, 60 limes to a column, text measures $280 \times 166$ $(=70+26+70) \mathrm{mm} . ;$ without numerals or catchwords, signatures $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{t}$ and $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{Q}$; spaces left for initials, some with and some without initial directors. The watermarks are (1) gothic R in circle ; (2) ditto with short stylus and cross ; (3) gothic F; (4) imperial crown in circle; (5) shears; (6) two darts in saltire ; (7) crossbow in circle ; (8) pair of scales in circle; (9) ditto, q2bé pfecta virtus et lapiētia. xxvi..oi. S.bine étoio ppliuspoftulato ṕfidio qui dixit ad moy Sen Exodi.qro. 2Apios tuí doccbo te quid loquaris.

A magnificent copy, practically in the same spotless condition as when issued from the press, measuring $434 \times 283 \mathrm{~mm}$. It has rough leaves at the lower edge, but the original width must have been at least 287 mm . The appearance of a page of this book cannot fail to impress even those accustomed to the ways of the best early printers. Three inches of margin at the side and $4 \frac{1}{2}$ inches below the text are sufficiently unusual dimensions, and the beauty of paper, type, composition, and above all the perfection of the "register," give an indescribable charm to the volume. But the thousand columns, each the apparent counterpart of the other, satiate the ege with their physical similarity, and when looked into yield nothing but dry disquisitions on ecclesiastical law-a fair woman without understanding. The rubrication is the most refined and elegant that I have seen. An initial illuminated in red and blue, as seen in facsimile, adorns the first page of this grandly monotonous volume.

Fol. 1 a blank. Fol. 2a: AVLI GELII NOCTIVMATTICARVM COMMENTARII \| LIBER PRIMVS. ( )Lutarchus in libro quem òmő AVLI GELII NOCTIVM ATTICARVM COMMENTARII \| FINIS: IMPRESSI VENETIISPER ANDREAM IACOBICA \| THARENSEM. M.CCCC.LXXVII. ANDREA VENDRA \| MENO DVCE VENETIARVM INCLYTO. Fol. i8ıb blank. Fol. $182 a$ blank. Fol. i82b: AVLI GELIINOCTIVM ATTICARVM COMMENTARII \| CAPITVLA PRIMI LIBRI. \| Table, 14 leaves. Fol. 195r, wihich completes the Table, concludes with the word FINIS.

Folio, roman type, 197 leaves of 36 lines, text measures $200 \times 125$ min.; without numerals or catchzoords; sig. a-y in eights, $\mathbf{z}$ six leaies, A-B in eights; blanks left for initial letters, wo initial directors. The watermark is a bull's head, between the horns a stylus, serpent entwined, and surmounted by a trefoil. Hain, ${ }^{*} 7520$, Proctor, 4423.

The first press of Andreas Jacobi or Andreas de Paltasichis or Paltaschichis, the son of Jacobus of Cattaro, at which this volume was printed, existed from 1476 to 1478 , and yielded but little fruit. No books attributable to him are found between the years 1478 -9 and 1482 , after which date he printed for ten years with more or less regularity. Little is known of Aulus Gellius, whom Vossius prefers to call Agellius, except that he flourished in a quiet way in the second century, dying about a.D. 180, that he studied grammar and rhetoric at Rome and philosophy at Athens, returning thence to his native city. His Noctes Attica, the fruit, as their author implies, of his nocturnal studies, or perhaps symposia, at Athens, is a literary olla podrida, merely, it would appear, a transcript of his commonplace book, compiled with but small regard to classification of the almost innumerable subjects on which facts are given or opinions cited. The chapter L. 1. xvij, Quantá cum animi cequitate toleraverit Socrates uxoris ingeniun intractabile, \&c., is immediately followed by that headed Quod M. Varro in libro primo de ratione
 reprehendit, \&c. L. 3, cap. xvi., Temporis varietas in puerperiis mulienm quenam sit a medicis ot philosophis tradita, a long, erudite, and interesting chapter, is immediately preceded by one on death from sudden joy.

A large, perfect, and clean copy, measuring $290 \times 192 \mathrm{~mm}$., of this beautifully-printed edition, the blank leaf before text missing, as it must have been in the copy seen by Hain. The smaller initials are filled in by the rubricator in blue and red alternately. The large initials at beginnings of chapters are illuminated in gold and colours. The initial on Fol. I is gilt and beautifully decorated in pure Italian taste, with tracery on a dotted ground carried down the side of the page. The arms of a former possessor occupy the space below the text ; these are omitted in the facsimile for want of room on the page.

## AVLI GELIINOCTIVMATTICARVM COMMENTARII LIBER PRIMVS.


 d' $\varphi$ opa: id eft quantum inter homines animi cor ${ }^{\prime}$ porifq; ingenio atq; uirtutibus îterfit :côfcripfit: frite fubriliterq; ratiocinatum Pythagoram philo fophum dicit:in reperienda:modulandaq; ftatus longitudinis cius praftantia. Nam quum fere conftaret curriculum fladii:quod eft pifis apud Iouem olympiū: Herculem pedibus fuis metatum :id $q_{3}$ feciffe longum pedes ducentos:crxtera quoq; 3 tadia $\bar{i}$ terris gracix ab aliis poftea iftituta:pedum quidem effe numero du centorum: fed tamen effe aliquantulū breuiora:facile intellexit mo, dum: Ppatiumq; plante Herculis ratione proportionis habita:tanto fuiffe:qaliosp procerius:quanto olympicum ftadium longius effet: q̄ cxtera.Comprehenfa autem menfura herculani pedis fecundum naturalem membrorum omnium inter fe competentiā modificatus eft.Atq; ita id collegit:quod erat confequens:tanto fuiffe Herculem. corpore excelfiorem:q̆alios:quáto olympicum fladium cxteris pari numero factis anteiret.

Ab Herode attico cöfulari uiro tempeftiue deprompta in quëda a iactatū \& gloriofum adolefcêtem: 「pecie tantum philofophix fecta torēuerba Epicteti ftoici:quibus feftruiter a uero floico feiunxit uul gus loquacıü nebulonü:qui fe fooicos nücuparent. Caput,.ii. ©苗 Erodes atticus uir \& graca facundia: $\&$ confulari honore pro ditus:accerfebat \{xpenos:quum apud magiftros athenis effe mus:In uillas eius urbi proximas:me \& clariffimum uiky Seruilianū complurifq; alios noftrates:qui Roma in graciam:ad capiendū in' genii cultum concefferant. Atq; ibi tunc quū effemus apud eum in ulla:cui nomen eft cephyfia: $<x$ ftu anni: $\&$ fidere autumnif flagran tiffimo propulfabamus caloris incömoda lucorum umbra ingentiū longis ambulacris: $\&$ mollibus $x$ dium pofticum refrigerantibus la uacris nitidis : \&abundis:\& collucentibus:totiufq; uillx uenuftate aquis undiq; canoris:atq̧a auibus perfonâte. Eratibidem nobifcūu fimul adolefcens: philofophix fectator: difaplinx: ut ipfe dicebat

# AVLIGELII NOCTIVM ATTICARVM COMMENTARII FINIS:IMPRESSI VENETIISPER ANDREAM IACOBICA THARENSEM. M. CCCC. LXXVII. ANDREA VENDRA MENO DVCE VENETIARVM INCLYTO. 

## P.Candidide ciulibus Romanorum bellis ex Appiano Ale xandrino in latinū traductis liber primus inctpit.lege feliciter.



Enatus populufig romanus mutuis Tepenumeto contentionibus de legū lacione:uel fi quando debicorum ab. rogationes: agrorum ue partitiones fierent: uel in comicijs una adeffent difidebant.nó tamen armare manus opus erat ciunle bellum: uez difcida acturbationes duntaxat ex legeino detate quieteg; inter cos agitabant.


Bernard Pictor, and continued to print alone till 1485, discarding for the most part his beautiful roman for a gothic character. Peter Löslein printed in 1483 a couple of volumes on his own account. Bernharat Pictor was probably the artist to whom the high character of the earliest work is attributable, whilst we know that Löslein was the corrector of the press.

A beautiful, perfectly clean and large copy, measuring $288 \times 208 \mathrm{~mm}$., with some rough edges, in its original fifteenth century binding (which has not been rebacked nor repaired) of thick boards covered with brown leather stamped with diamond-shaped (acorn, double-headed eagle) round (rose) and scroll-shaped ornaments in compartments formed by square aud diagonally-crossing lines. Five flat brass bosses on each cover, the clasp attachments and remaining clasp stamped with the word maxia.

## [Circa 1477.] CORDIALE. SIVE QUATUOR NOVISSIMORUM LIBER. <br> [PARIS, PETER WAGENER (CALLED CAESARIS) AND JOHANV STOL.]

Fol. 1 a blank. Fol. 1 b: Quatuor nouiffimorũ liber/ de morte vi- || delicet penis inferni/ iudicio et celefti glo- || ria. quẽ pleriq, cordiale compellant, etc. Fol. 7ib, line 14 : Explicit liber quatuor nouiffimorum. || Fol. $72 a$ : Incipiunt tytuli quatuor nouiffimorū. Et primo \|I ponit porhemiũ (sic). Line 20 : Finis tytulorum.

4 to, printed in roman type, with symptoms of gothic affinities, 72 leaves, 23 or 24 lines to the page; text measures $132 \times 85$ mm., but line endings very irvegular; no numerals, eatchzoods, or signatures; spaces left for initial letters, no initial direetors. The watermark is a shell.
Hain, 5694, Proctor, 7896.
Among the pupils and employés of Ulrich Gering and his associates in the printing office at the Sorbonne ("In Parisiorum Sorbona ")-the first press established in France-were Peter IVagener (called Casaris) of Schwiebus in Silesia and Joham Stol, also a German, who had both been students at the University of Paris. After some experience there these two friends resolved to be their own masters and set up in 1473 a press in the Rue Saint-Jacques at the sign of Le Cheralier-au-Cygne. At about the same time Gering and his associates Crantz and Friburger left, as we shall see (sub anno 1478) their first lodgment at the Sorbonne, and set up their press at the Soleil d'Or, opposite the Rue Fromentel and only next door but one to Casaris and Stol, and from that time forward a keen rivalry existed between the two presses, each in turn printing the books which the other had edited. It is rather uncertain at what date the partnership of Casaris and Stol terminated, but Cesaris was still printing in 1478 . Several misapprehensions which have always existed in regard to this press and its founders have been recently corrected by the indefatigable bibliophile, M. A. Claudin. He has shown (Le bibliographe moderne, 1900) (1) that the name of the partner of Johann Stol was not Fieter de Kevsere and that he was not a Fleming, but a German named Wagener with the sobriquet of Casaris as above mentioned, and (2) that the press of Cæsaris and Stol as had always been supposed was not at the IViridis follis (Green Bellowes or Green Ball or Green Rod as various writers have translated the word) but at the Cheralicr-au-Cygne, six doors off. The relative positions of these three presses all on the same side of the Rue Saint-Jacques is clearly indicated in Renouard's Imprimeurs Parisiens (Paris, Claudin, 1898). The printers at the Viridis follis (1475-1484) were $L$. Symonel, R. Blandel and J. Simon, whose types, though considered by Van Praet to be identical with those of Cæsaris and Stol, only present a striking resemblance to the latter, of which they are perhaps an imitation. The characters of all three presses are far less pleasing than those of the Sorbonne, as may be seen by a comparison of the facsimile of the beautiful first page of the first Paris book (Gordon Duff, p. 83) with those on Pp. 127 and 128 of the present volume.

A large copy, $209 \times 139 \mathrm{~mm}$., rúbricated throughout ; some water stains, MS. signatures, many of which are cut off. On Fol, ia a title in contemporary MS.:-Liber quatuor nouiffimo 27. II In quo tractatur || de || morte prima || Iudicio || Inferno || paradifi gloria. ||

The name of the author of this well-meant production is not known. The book was already a great favourite at the time of its first appearance in a printed form. It passed through more than twenty editions
before 1500 and is the original of The boke named Cordyale by Caxton (Westminster, 1480). The "four last things" quatuor novissima, Death, Hell, the Judgment and the Celestial Glory, then formed a frequent theme for meditation, and as is usual in such treatises, a child-like credence is expected for awful examples of retribution for sin. As a warning against gluttony we are told of a certain monastery called Congalensis, "in the parts of Yconia," wherein dwelt a monk, in the sight of men a saint, but not so found in the sight of God, seeing that when his brethren believed him to be fasting he was in truth feasting in secret. Feeling the pangs of death overtaking him he caused the whole fraternity to be called into his presence and thus addressed them :-"Behold I am given up to a dragon to be devoured of him; he has with his tail firmly bound my knees and feet, and placing his head in my mouth hath drunk in my spirit,"-and thereupon the terror-stricken monk gave up the ghost. Into a discussion on the vanity of all things is introduced the following laconic passage from Johannes de Garlandia:--Quod fuit est et erit perit articulo brevis hora, Ergo quid prodest esse, fuisse, fore? Esse fuisse fore tria florida sunt sine flore, Nam simul omne perit quod fuit est et erit.

## 1477-9. S. AUreliUS AUGUSTINUS. DE VAnitatibus SECUli, Etc. [ESSLINGEN, CONRAD FYVER.]

Fol. $1 a: \mathbb{C}$ Incipit liber Auguftini de vanitatibus feculi. || IN hac vita pofiti frēs itaq, II agite. vt cum hinc migraue \|| ritis. ... Fol. 5b, line 18: qui volt pacē ferui eius Amen. \|l Explicit liber fancti auguftini $\|$ de vanitatibus feculi feliciter. || Fol. $6 a$ : Prologus libri beati Auguftini || de vita xpiana incipit feliciter. || EGo primus peccator z viti || mus infipienciorq ceteris \| et ípericior vniuerfis, etc. Fol. 7 a, line 5: Explicit prologus. II Incipit liber beati Auguftini de vita xpiana. || Criftum vnctī̀ īterp̃tari. fapiētum ź fi- I| deliū nullo ignorat, etc. Fol. 23b, line 8 : Explicit liber fancti || Auguftini de vita || criftiana feliciter. ||

4to, printed in gothic type, 23 leaves, 25 lines to a page, text measures $150 \times 103 \mathrm{~mm}$. ; without humerals, catchwords or signatures; no spaces left, but two woodcut initials in outline. The watermark is keys in saltire. Hain, "2106, Proctor, 2478 .

The only separate edition of this work printed in the fifteenth century.
Conrad Fyner of Gerfuszen established himself in 1472 or earlier at Esslingen in Wirtemberg. He printed there without competition till 1478 or later, removing in 1481 to Urach, a small town in the same Duchy, whence he returned in 1483 to Esslingen. From this last press but few volumes issued, the date assigned to the last being 1488. His publications are, with a few exceptions, of a theological character.

1478 Jan. 23. EXEMPLA SACR厄 SCRIPTURÆ.
[PARIS, ULRICH GERING.]
Fol. $1 a$ blank. Fol. $2 a$ (with signature a.ii.): Incipiunt exempla facre foripture ex vtron, teftamēto fe \| cundū ordinē litterar(um) collecta. et primo de Abftinentia. \| ( )Recepit dñs ade dicens: ex omni ligno para- $\|$ difi comedes. Genefis. ii. Fol. Sob: Exempla facre fcripture ex veteri et nouo teftamento $\|\|$ collecta: fecundum ordinem litterarū : finiunt feliciter II Impreffag, parifus $\overline{1}$ fole aureo. Anno a natiuitate do- $\|$ mini noftri iefu chrifti. M.cccc.lxxviii. xxiii. Ianuarii || Per magiftrū vlricum Cognomento Gering. || Fol. Si $a$ : Rubrice huius libelli/ videlicet exemplorī Biblie/ et quo \| to vnaqueq, continetur folio : hic annotanti. \| Abftinentia. folio. ii. ctc. The table is in two columns. Fol. S2a, col. 2, line 16: Finit breuis tabula fe- || cundum ordinē alpha- || beti feliciter. ||

Quatuor nouiflimorüliber/de morte vi. delicet penis inferni/iudicio et celeftrglo. rıaqué plerng cordsale compellant cuiĝ̣ $\bar{p}$ dicants perutulis atof fummopere necefla. rius!autorstatıbus โacrarü hifterarū/exemplis et foctarū carmibus pallim refulgens Elsater incipit.


Emorare nouiffina fualet inetcynum non peccabis.eclefakfici.vii. frout dreit btūs augult in libro fua rum medrationum. plus vitanda elt fola pcti feঠstas! $\hat{q}$ quelibz̧im manitas formentorũ. CCü grit nouillimorum no tıcia et illorü frequens memoria a patis nos re voret/virtutil us copulet et incmas bor:o opere nos retineat et coffrmet.

## 


$\Omega$ bac vita politif fres itags agite.vt cum bime migraue ritis, Z cum cazo a permibs серit đuorari mfepulchzis amima oznata tomes operibs cum fanctis ommbs letet in celis.tetrabat vos a malis opibs op percatis iteri tus eozum quos pemififts

4to, printed in roman type, S2 leaves (Hain incorrectly gives 72 leaves), 35 or 36 lines to a page, text measures 138 (for 35 ) $\times 87 \mathrm{~mm}$; without numerals or catchwords; signatures a ii. to k Io, in eights except last quire, which has ten leaves; a space left for first initial, but no initial directors. The watermarks are (1) gothic ploriated and cleft ; (2) double-fluked anchor, ball and cross; (3) pot with handle; (4) shield impaling fleur-de-lys and dolphin. (All these types are to be found in Midoux et Matton, Etude sur les Filigranes des Papiers employés en France, Paris 1868.)

Hain, *6765, Proctor, 7860.
The fourth French edition of this work, which in all respects but its title appears to correspond with the Auctoritates Utriusque Testamenti, an edition of which has already been noticed under the year 1470 . A rare book, not in the Bodleian.
Ulrich Gering of Constance was one of three who responded to the requisition for German printers made in 1469 by the University of Paris at the urgent request of two of its members, Guillaume Fichet and


#### Abstract

(Emulator erat paulus paternary traditionum:trahensvi pos et mulicres chiftranos vinctos in ierufalem. Actuum vin.et.ix. ( Videntes iuder $\varphi$ penc vmuerfa jerufalem cöueniret ad audıeıdumverbum deı quod paulus et barnabas pdicabát repletıfunt zelo:et cötradıcebant his q̣a paulo dıccbantur Actuum. xill.


Exempla facre feripture ex veteri et nouo teftamento collecta: fecundum ordinem litterarni:finsunt feliciter Impreflaq parifius i fole aureo. Anmo a natmitate do mini noftrs iefu chrift. M.cecc. Ixxvili. xxin. Ianuarn Per magiftuivlnicum Coziomento Gering.

COLOPHON OF EXEMPLA SACRE SCRIPTURAE. (ULRICH GERING, 1478.)

Jean Heynlin (Johannes von Stein). The others were Mifchael Friburger of Colmar and Martin Crantz or Krantz. On their arrival at Paris accommodation for their press was accorded to them in the building of the Sorbonne, where they began to print early in 1470 . In 1470-1 they printed thirteen books, and in the following year seventeen. Stimulated by the fact that other printers, Casaris and Stol, once in their employ, had established themselves as printers in the Rue Saint-Jacques, the three printers determined, towards the end of 1472 , to leave the Sorbonne, which their protectors had already left or were on the point of ieaving, and to set up a press of their own. Their partnership lasted for only about five years, and in 1478-9 Gering printed a few books alone, among which is our Exempla Sacra Scriptura, at The Golden Sun (see the colophon). In 1480 Gering was allied for a short time with Georgius Maynyal, and in 1483 he was again printing alone.

A clean, large copy, measuring $209 \times 145 \mathrm{~mm}$., rubricated throughout in alternate red and blue. Folios numbered in MS. beginning on Fol. 2. On Fol. 2, above the text, is this manuscript record by a former owner of the volume:-Le penultiefme Jour du moys Apurill / $\mathbf{1 5 6 2} /$ fuft prinze la cite de Lyou par lez huguenautz hevetiques, et firent mille maux aux Eglizes et Pais dycelle choze meruielluize a raconter.
1478. CORNELIUS CELSUS. DE MEDICINA.
[florence, NICOLAUS LAURENTII.]
Fol. $1 a:$ PRIMO LIBRO CORNELII CELSI \| DE MEDICINA HAEC CONTINENTVR: \| (m)Edicinæ inuentio \& diuifio: uariacq, de ca illuftrium medicorum opiniones. .CHARTA. .I. \| Fol. 7b, line 37: .FINIT TABVLA, Fol. 8 blank. Fol. ga blank. Fol. 9b: BARTHOLOMEVS FONTIVS SAXETTO SVO. S. \| The

# letter occupies 26 lines, ending with Vale. Fol. 10a: CORNELII CELSI DE MEDICINA LIBER INCIPIT. \|Fol. 196b: CORNELII CELSI DE MEDICI \| NA LIBER FINIT FLOREN \| TIAEA NICOLAO IM \| PRESSVS ANNO || SALVTIS M || CCCC L || XXV || III || 

Folio, $\left({ }^{( }\right)$printed in roman letter in two sizes of type; 196 eeazes, text 34 lines to a page, preliminary pages in the smaller type, only 40 lines, the type being "leaded"; text measures iSo $\times$ ins mm.; no numerals or catchzords; signatures, A, 8 leaves, 6 leaves without signatures, .a. 4 leaves: .b.-.i.: .l.-z.: .\&: .aa.-.gg. all in sixes, .hh-.hh 4 ; spaces left for large and small initial letters, initial directors for the mbricator's guidance. The printing of the headlines is very erratic ; $c_{i} .$, on consecutive pages, LIBER \| SEPTIMVS \| SEPTIMVS \| SEPTIMVS | SEPTIMVS \| LIBER \| SEPTIMVS | LIBER | LIBER | LIBER | LIBER | LIBER | SEPTIMVS. The watermarks are very numerous, but faint. Among them are (i) shears ; (2) star of four points on stem in circle; (3) dreiberg; (4) cardinal's hat, two varieties; (5) gothic R ; (6) flower of five petals; (7) a bull ; (8) a floriated cross in circle. Very incorrectly described by Hain, *4835, who puts the colophon on the tenth leaf, Proctor, 6ir6.

This is the first edition of Celsus. It was followed by those of Leonard Pachel and Ulrich Scinzenseler (Milan, 1481), of Johannes Rubeus (Venice, 1493), and of Philippus Pincius (Venice, 1497). Though it be called by some of the old bibliographers rare, infnitely rare, there is a copy in the Bodleian, and there are three in the B.M. It is one of those books which have been tampered with in order to substantiate an incorrect date, the isolation of the three figures in the last line of the colophon facilitating a fraudulent erasure. The Celsus was among the earliest productions of its printer, whose press was the fourth established at Florence, and who began to print in 1477.

The work of Celsus will be more particularly noticed hereafter, should my little collection of early treatises on Medicine be touched on in a future volume. Although this writer-who has been termed the Cicero of physicians and the Latin Hippocrates-was probably neither physician nor surgeon, his treatise will always be regarded with interest if only for the short sketch of medical history to be found in the epilogue, in which are mentioned some seventy Greek physicians of whose names no other record exists, and for the information contained in the last two books, which afford some idea of the progress of surgery between the time of Hippocrates, who flourished in the golden age of Greece, and his own time, the Augustan age of Rome. I must quote the opening sentence of the work. "The healthy man who feels zell and can do as he pleases ought not to bind himself by strict rules, nor should he need the ministrations of a doctor or of a masseur (alipta). He should vary the conditions of his life, staying sometimes in the country and sometimes in tozen, and oftener still on the land, he should yacht (navigare), hunt, and take a rest nowe and then, but give the preference to exercise, inasmuch as indolence renders langzid whilst labour strengthens the body, the former inducing an early senility, the latter imparting a long-continued adolescence."

A good and perfect copy, measuring $276 \times 199 \mathrm{~mm}$., rubricated throughout in red and blue and ruled with faint red lines; numerals in MS., gothic letters; many neatly-written marginal notes of several dates; some water-stains. Heinrich of Breda, a citizen of Brabant, has signed his name at the end of the colophon (as will be seen by the facsimile on page 101), not only as the rubricator but also as the binder of the volume, but alas! the covering which he furnished was replaced early in the eighteenth century by one of red morocco. We are told by Brunet that the seven leaves of table and one of the dedicatory letter (he does not mention the blank leaf in his enumeration) are sometimes placed at the end of the volume, and that the dedication itself is sometimes wanting.
${ }^{(5)}$ This book is called a quarto by Maittaire and by Hain, a folio by Brunet, and it is classed as a folio in the B.M. catalogue ; the wise lines of the paper (fentusearex) running sometimes horizontally and sometimes vertically.

I 8
[1478-9.] S. HIERONYMUS. VITAS PATRUM. GERMANICE.
[PLACE (STRASBURG?) AND PRINTER UNKNOIVN.]
Fol. 1a: Hie vahet an das hochwürdig lebē der vfferweltē freünd gottes II der heiligen altuetter / Dorumb thü ein yegklicher beide orn vff \|f die jnnern vad die vffern. v̄̄n merck iren groffen ftryt vī fyg / vnd || die ewigen freüd die fy domit gewunnē hant. vñ volgēt in nohe \| SAnctus Gregorius der fpricht, etc. Fol. 1b, line 32: Hye fchrybt vns der hochwürdig lerer Sant Ieronimus $\mathrm{dz} \| \mid$ leben von dem heiligen altuater Sant Paul dem erften einfidel || Fol. $2 a$ : Sanctus iheronimus fchrybt vns von dē\|heiligen vater paulo / etc. Fol. 228a, line 24: Hic endet fich das būch der heiligen || altuetter mit fynen byfpilen. Das $z \bar{u} \| \mid$ latyn genant ift vitafpatrum. \|

Folio, printed in gothic type, 228 leaves, 34 lines to a page; text measures $196 \times 135 \mathrm{~mm}$.; without numerals (Hain records their cxistence in the copy he inspected), catchwords, or signatures; woodcut initials; 148 half-page woodcut illustrations. The watermarks are (I) two-handled pot standing on three feet, short double stylus, and fleur-de-lys; (2) large gothic $\mathfrak{p}$, stylus, and quatrefoil; (3) rose with six petals; (4) bull's head, short stylus, and tau; (5) a hand. Hain, *8603? Proctor, 325 r.

I have not enumerated four pages of table which Hain says are wanting in his copy. There seems reason to believe that he is mistaken in believing in their existence.

The first edition of this extraordinary book mentioned by Hain (8590) is that printed by the Brothers of the Common Life at Brussels, which he incorrectly assigns to the year 147I or thereabouts. Other editions of the Latin text are an undated one by Joham Zainer, one by Anton Koberger in 1478, and others from 1483 to 1500 . The present edition, a very rare one, of which there is only an imperfect copy in B.M., was probably printed not long after $\mathbf{~} \mathbf{4 7 0}$, but little can be at present ascertained about the press. The work was translated into many languages, German, French, English, and Italian, and more than fifty editions are mentioned by Hain. Hynkyn de Worde's edition is dated 1495 .

The holy fathers, of whom are told the astounding legends which fill this book, are the Monks of the Thebaid, the founder of whose community, if such it may be called, was Saint Anthony, who at a very early age had isolated himself in the desert near the Egyptian Thebes, and devoted himself to a life of extreme austerity. His reputation for sanctity attracted to him at first a few, and eventually a great number of followers, whom he housed in the monastery of Phanium; as their numbers increased, the desert of the Theboid was dotted over with the habitations of these ascetics. Some of the converts resided in monasteries built for their reception, but large numbers mortified the llesh in the caverns which had been formed by the quarrying of stone for the pyramids. At the time of St. Anthony's death, no less than fifteen thousand persons were living in the Thebaid this life of penance and isolation from the world. It is of the adventures, and especially of the temptations experienced by some of these enthusiasts, that the Vitas Patrum treats; in it the "wiles of the devil," always, of course, unsuccessfully exerted, are set forth with an exuberance of invention.

The fantastic imagination of its author, himself for long years a dweller in the wilderness, has found full play in this work, and its absurd narrations seem to have found no less favour with the credulous readers of the fifteenth century than with the early converts for whom it was written. The English version of 1495 was translated from a 1 atin text differing from the original of this German version, and I have consequently been obliged to give instead of the nervous English of Ca.vtor a short resumé of my own of the legend by which each woodcut is accompanied in the German text. Those stories have been selected which have some of the quaintest illustrations, where all are alike bizare in the extreme.

## of SAINT ANTHONY AND THE BEAUTIFUL QUEEN.

AS the holy Anthony was wandering on a day by the side of a stream of running water, he was aware on a sudden of a very beautiful woman, whom he deemed to be of great worth and dignity, though forsooth she was entircly unclothed, as if she had just come out of the water. Then the holy man turned away his face and fled. But she called out loudly after him, saying, "Oh! hermit, who for the glory of God dwellest in this wild place, fly not from me. Long have I sought thec that thou mayest teach me, as is His will, the way of everlasting salvation." When Saint Anthony heard these words he turned back to listen to her supplications. " Many things," said she, "have I heard concerning thee, and how thou hast vanquished the ever ready Devil; I earnestly entreat thee, therefore, to rescue my soul before it falleth into destruction." Whilst she was speaking, all her hand-maidens stood naked in the water listening to her words. But she told them they should be shamed to abide thus; then the crafty ladies began to cover themselves with rich raiment, and the holy father said, "Why dost thou not likewise clothe thyself?" "Forgive me," she said, "for I did not know that thou wouldst mark that I was naked ; yet for the sake of thy sanctity I will do as thou sayest." So costly garments were brought by the maidens, and anon the lady was delicately apparelled. . . . The legend is too long to be given in full.


THE TEMPTATION OF MUCIUS,

## THE TEMPTATION OF MUCIUS.

WHEN this saint first turned to a holy life, he gave himself so much to fasting that he was like to faint. Then came to him the devil in the form of an angel, bearing in his hands bread of the whitest and fish and meat of the costliest, ordered, he said, by the command of God. But Mucius was of the mind that the Creator does not feed those whom He loves with such earthly nourishment, and would have none of the Devil's providing. And thereupon all that magical food vanished away:

OF THE DEVIL WHO CAME IN THE GUISE OF A MONK AND KNOCKED AT THE DOOR OF MACHARIUS HIS CELL.

THE holy man was at rest or at prayer in his cell when the devil apparelled as a monk knocked at the door and said, "Macharius, let us go to the brotherhood, for even now they are assembling." But the saint had knowledge of the voice that it was a devil's, and thus answered: "Oh, thou liar and enemy of all truth, what doest thou


THE DEVIL AND SAINT MACHARIUS. with the assembly of the brotherhood?" And the devil answered and said, "Dost thou not then know that there is no secret meeting where we also are not in the midst? Go thither and I will show thee my work." So Macharius prayed to God to let him see whether the devil had indeed told him the truth in this matter. And with staff in hand he went to the church where the brothers of the desert were wont to meet. Now the brothers were reading the psalms, and he saw many little black devils fly from one brother to another. On the lids of some they placed their fingers, and these brothers began straightway to nod. Before the eyes of others they appeared as beautiful girls, and at the fect of divers laid costly golden robes, or tempted them with toothsome courses of meat and drink, laughing, scoffing and grimacing the while, that they might ruin the soul of each. But some of the brethren were staunch against any such evil imaginings, and near them the imps could not come. Whereat Macharius wept, and said in his heart to God: "Oh, Lord, arise for our help " ; and thereat the devils straightway vanished. And when he had finished his orison, he called one after another of the brethren and asked them if such temptations had not happened to them when they were at prayer; and they said it was even so ; and they feared greatly when they perceived the power which God had given Macharius to look into the secrets of their hearts, and marvelled at the holiness of the man to whom God had shown such grace as to discern these things.


SAINT MACIIARIUS AND THE MAGICIANS' PARADISE.

## of Saint macharius in the magicians paradise.

ON a time this holy man would go spy out the orchard which Jannes and Mambres, the magicians of lharaoh, had planted for their own delight. It was indecd a paradise: therein was a moated castle full of gold and silver treasure, and round a beautiful fountain were trees bearing all manner of delectable fruit. The devil delighted in this pleasaunce where he so often sojourned that for many years no man durst adventure himself therein. But Macharius must needs have a sight of this fair place, and, as it was a nine days' travel thither, and the way was only a tangled track of wild beasts, he carried with him a bundle of straws, and dropped one of them from time to time so that he might the better retrace his steps. When he was now but a mile from the orchard he was aweary and fell asleep on the ground, and anon the devil, who had been watching him, picked up all the straws and taid them by his head, so that waking he saw them close beside him. "This is devil's work," he said, and went forward yet more warily. Now as he came near the orchard, the devils came out to meet him with noises and strange cries, threatening him with drawn swords, and ordering him to return. But the holy man said: "You indeed attack me with the sword, but I will resist you in the name of the Lord "; and thereupon they could no longer stay him. And so coming into the garden he beheld the goodly fountain and the trees laden with figs, pomegranates, and all manner of sweet fruit. There, too, were great golden idols and treasures piled up in heaps. But when Macharius had seen all these delights he went his way again, and left the silver and gold behind. And when he had departed the devils derided him sore.

## OF THE INFAMOUS MAN WHO REPENTED AND MORTIFIED HIS BODY.

THERE was a certain man who had lived so lewdly that his very name was a byword to his neighbours, and there was in the town no sinner so great as he. But as old age came on apace, the grace of God touched his heart and he began to repent, and left behind him his house and all his possessions, and fled from the presence of men and shut himself up in a stone sepulchre, where he lay both day and night weeping bitterly and repenting full sore, and forgetting to take meat or drink. Neither did he dare to lift his eyes to God nor to pronounce His name. So lay he for seven days. Then devils came to him and made sport of him, and said to him: "Of a truth thou hast not left thy evil ways, but hast seemed to become a Christian because thou canst no longer in thy old age enjoy thy sinful pleasures. Thou art but one of us after all. Do not spend in this misery the rest of thy short life. Come with us; thou shalt have greater joys than in the old days, and a body renewed in all its youthful lustiness." But none of their enticements moved him : he lay in his bed of stone and despised the tempters. Whereupon they plucked him out and beat him sore, so that he lay as one dead. Then his friends sought for him and entreated him to return to his home, but he would not. So giving him some food they departed and he lay down again, and once more, and still once again, did the accursed demons drag him from his sepulchral bed and cudgel him as aforetime. And as at the last he felt that he was about to give up the ghost, he said in his heart, "It is better so to die than to obey the devils." Then God raised him up, and gave him not only life and bodily strength, but also a great measure of Divine power and grace, so that all men who saw him said: "This is the working of the right hand of God." And so in holiness and peace were passed the last days of this notable penitent.


THE PENITENT WHO LIVED IN A TOMB.

## OF THE HOLY FATHER ABRAHAM.

ON a night as this holy father was praying in his cell, the accursed devils came thereby and essayed to break it down with strong axes, and with each stroke cried with a loud voice, "Give us thy soul"! Then the holy man said: "The ungodly surrounded me on every side, but in the name of the Lord will I destroy them." And the devils escaped out of his sight. Again, in the evening time, Abraham stood on his


THE ATTACK OF THE DEVILS ON ABRAHAM'S CELL. little mat and prayed, and the devil set the mat on fire. But the holy man trod out the flame without fear, and said: "Thou shalt tread upon the lion and the adder, the young lion and the dragon thou shalt trample under foot." And the devil departed, saying "I will yet slay thee who hast put me to shame." . . . And after all these assaults the devil was at last wholly overcome, and Abraham lived long in the wilderness. He prayed God day and night, but from that day when he turned to God he never washed body, face, or feet, and never for fifty years did his hair shirt leave his holy body, yet was his face well-favoured as that of some ripe fruit, and the purity of his life and heart was made manifest in his outward form.

## OF THE HOLY PRIEST APPELLES AND THE TONGS.

SAINT APPELLES was a smith in the wilderness, and they who passed by his forge perceived that it was not his manner to use tongs like other smiths, but that he grasped the hot iron in his naked hands, and, laying it on the anvil, forged it so ; and when they wondered at this marvel he told them how he had received grace from God to do it. On a morning he rose early and began to forge, and having a glowing piece of iron in the hearth the devil came to him in the form of a beautiful woman, and brought him in both hands something which she would have him forge, and asked him to turn his hand to it. But he sceing through her false guise, and being very wroth, forgot in his anger to use the tongs, and clutching the glowing bar in his naked hand thrust it in the demon's face. Then the devil cried out amain, so that all the brethren in the descrt near and far heard him and were dismayed, and so God gave Appelles the gift to grasp thereafter the hottest brand without being hurt or harmed.


1479 Mar: 25. S. AURELIUS AUGUSTINUS. DE CIVITATE DEI.

## [BASLE, MICHAEL WENSSLER.]

Fol. 1a, col. I: (in red) Sentētia beati auguftini epifcopi ex libro|| retractatōnum ipius de libris d ciuitate dei. || ( )Nterea cum roma, etc. At the end of the Sententia, col. I, line 53, in red : Incipiunt capitula libri pmi de ciuitate dei || beati auguftini epifcopi. || The capitula finish in the first column of Fol. 1b. Fol. $2 a$ (in red) : Aurelii auguftini ipponenfis epi doctoris exi $\|$ mii de ciuitate dei. 9tra paganos liber $\tilde{p} m u s \|$ incipit Ca. $\mathbb{p} m u m$. $|\mid$ Fol. 189 (in red): Textú fancti Auguftini de ciuitate dei. Ba || filee impreffus Explicit feliciter. Anno .lxxix Printer's mark (two shields) in red. Fol. 1896blank. Fol. 190a: Sacre pagine pfeffort ordinis $\tilde{p} d i c a t o r u m ~ \| T h o m e ~ v a l o i s ~ e t ~ n i c o l a i ~ t r i u e t h ~ \widehat{I}$ libros be- $\|$ ati auguftini de ciuitate dei Comentaria fe- || liciter inchoant. || ... Fol. 244a, col. I, line 45 : ( )ncipit tabula compofita per fratrem nicolau5\| etc. Fol. 2476 (in red) : Igit aurelii auguitini, etc. A facsimile of the colophon will be found on another page.

Folio, printed in a large gothic type, in which roman capitals are occasionally used, 247 leaves, text 56 lines in colunn, commentury 73 lines; Fol. 190 to end of table in smaller gothic type, all in double columns; text measures $339 \times 212(=95+22+95)$ mme, the commentary $334 \times 207(=93+21+93)$ mm.; without mumerals, catchwords, or signatures; spaces left for initials, no initial divectors. The watermarks are (1) large flower of nine petals; (2) smaller one of eight petals ; (3) large bull's head, long stylus, crown-capped ; (4) inverted crescent, short stylus and star ; (5) scales in circle, and one or two others. Hain, *2058, Proctor, 7489.

The fourteenth edition of this work, so popular in the fifteenth century, the first being that printed on Sept. 30, 1465, at Subiaco by Siveynheym and Panuartz.

Michael Wenssler's was the second press of Basle (the first being that of Berthold Ruppel). His first dated book is Calderinus Repertorium Juris, Dee. 12, 1474, and the present may be about his thirtieth volume in order of date. He printed many other books up till r 489 , when he was sold up; he was afterwards in 149 I called to Chany by the Abbot of the Monastery, Jacques d'Amboise, and printed there a Missal (Hain, 11281) with the following colophon:-Quod tandem induftriosus ingeniofusque vir Michael Wenszler civis Bufilien. plus affectu devotionis quam lucranli caufu impreffit in Chuniaco, anno domini millefimo quadringentefimo (nonagefimo) tertio, die nona menfis Iunii. His next press was at Micon, where he printed a book on March 10, 1493-4, his fourth at Lyons, whence he issued books with the dates of 1495 and I 496 .

A very fine copy, with rough leaves, measuring $471 \times 329 \mathrm{~mm}$., perfectly clean, rubricated throughout.

On the first fly-leaf: Emptg ē liber ille pfrē弓 Iohej fafnacht tpe fui poratg r.4.8.2. On Fol. Iaz: Friñ. Erem. S. Augñi memingæ. In the original fifteenth century binding of thick oaken boards covered with brown leather, with panel stamp, floral rolls and impressed devices, square (rose), round (stag) and scroll, $\pm$ maría. bilf $\pm$, rebacked with calf. The clasps are wanting. Inside the front cover are pasted one over another portions of a book (bookbinder's zevaste) illustrated with woodeuts apparently from the press of Gunther Zainer.
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## 1480 Jun 3. DIALOGUS CREATURARUM MORALIZATUS.

[GOUDA, GERARD LEEU, FIRST PRESS.]
Fol. ıa blank. Fol. 2a: ( )refacio ì librū qui dicit dyaloge creaturarū moralizat9 || omni materie morali iocūdo et edificatiuo modo appli- || cabilis Incipit feliciter. || Fol. 2b, end of page: Prima tabula infinuans naturas et efficacias fingula \| rum creaturarū fcdm modū perfuafuū Incipit felciter (sic). II Fol. 3a: ( )E fole et luna, etc. Fol. iob, line 15: Explicit fccunda tabula que valde moralis eft et bona. \| Fol. II blank. Fol. ira (in woodcut border): Dyalogus creaturarū optime moralizatus.omni materie morali io \| cūdo mō applicabit: ad laudē dei $\neq$ edificacionē hoin̄ Incipit feliciter $\|$ (A large woodcut of sun and moon.) De fole $\notin$ luna Dyalogus primus. $\|$ SOL eft fecundum philofophum ocul9 $|\mid$ mundi, etc. Fol. io4a, line 3: Quod || nobis preftare dignetur ille qui fine fine viuit et regnat pom-|| nia fecula feculorum. A M E N. Then the printer's mark, a large zooodout, under which the colophon. Fol. $104 b$ blank.

Folio, printed in gothic type, 104 leaves, 34 lines to a page, text measures $184 \times 1 \mathrm{I} 5 \mathrm{~mm}$; without mumeration or catchwords; wo signatures to first ten leaves, then follow signatures a-1 in eights, m sir leaves; spaces left for initials, mostly with initial directors; 124 outline wooduts. The watermarks are (I) gothic $\mathfrak{j}$ with forked tail ; (2) smaller variety of the $\mathfrak{p}$; (3) dog with star on his back; (4) crown and shield. Hain, *6124, Proctor, 8920, Holtrop, I. 4I3 (the copy described ty him zeanted Fol. I I). A large and perfect copy.

There are two copies in the B.M. The Bodleian copy is imperfect.
The first edition with a date, and from the first press, 1477-1482, of the first printer at Gouda. Leent printed a second in 148r, Conrad de Homborch another in the same year, Leew a fourth in 1482, and there were some thirteen or fourteen editions in various languages in the fifteenth century-only one of these being in English. The first illustrated book printed at the first press of Gerard Leeu, the first printer at Goudd, and the most important of all the Low Country printers of the fifteenth century. The first town in Holland in which we know the printing press to have been established was Utrecht, and the first printer the unknown producer of the Speculum Humance Salvationis (not later than 1471-4); the second town was Delft, whose prototypographers were Jacob Jacobszoen van der Meer and Mauricius Jemantszoen of Midtellurg, whose first book, the Bible in Dutch of Jan. 10, 1477, preceded by only a few months Gerard Leen's Epistelen ende Evangelien of May 24 of the same year. Gerard Leen, a man thought by Erasmus to be worthy of his friendship, was possessed of astonishing industry, and printed during the seventeen years in which he exercised his art no less than two hundred books. Within three months after leaving Gouda, in June, 1484, he was at work again at Antavert, and in ten days after the issue of his first book from the new press he had completed another. During the first six months of 1485 he published a volume every month. In 443 he was printing the Chronicle of England for Caxton, when he was killed by misadventure by one of his workmen. He had already issued seven English books between 1486 and 1493. An edition of the English version of this work printed at Antwerp about 1540 is entitled :- The dialoges of Creatures Moralysed applyably and edificatyfly to cuery mery and iocounde mater, of late trālated out of latyn into our englyffe tonge, right profitable to the gouemaunce of men. And they be to feli vpo Powlys churche arde. This edition was reprinted in 18 r 6 by Joseph Hasleziood, who reproduced for its embellishment the whole of the woodcuts of the Gouda edition of 1480 which I am describing. Only one hundred copies of the reprint were issued, almost privately, and of that number all but forty-two were destroyed, so that it is now a very scarce book. I am fortunate enough to possess Joseph Haslewood's own copy with his ex. libris. It passed at his death into the hands of the late 1)r. Diamond, who presented it on March 26, $18 \boldsymbol{q}^{6}$, to the late John Gough Nichols.

The work is in itself a collection of fables. The dialogues supposed to take place between inanimate objects, between well-known animals, and lastly between monsters not recognized by zoologists, are in each case accompanied by a short proverb made to order, by an admirable moralization, and by a woodeut, of
the quaintness of which the reader may judge by the following reproductions (of about three-quarters of the size of the originals). These would be of little interest without an accompanying text, and I have followed Haslewood's lead in using the only English version instead of translating from the Latin.

This is a large and perfect copy, measuring $280 \times 210 \mathrm{~mm}$., and contains the blank leaves which were wanting in the Sunderland copy. The woodcuts have been anciently and uniformly coloured, and the first initial letter is beautifully illuminated, as will be seen in the reproduction. The rubricator or printer considered the blank leaf, Fol. in, to be part of the work, as his MS. sign on the title, Fol. 12, is A2. Most of the other MS. signatures have been cut off in the binding. On Fol. $12 a$ is the MS. inscription Societ Jefu Antwert. D.P.

## De lucio ct trincla dyalogus quadragesimus quartus.



OF A LUCE AND A TENCHE.( ${ }^{1}$ )

UPpon a tyme ther was a Fiffher that fiffied and hydde his hookis fotellye / and flewid vito the fiffh the delycyows bayte. / A Luce and a Tenche beholdynge the plefaunte bayte / defyrid it greatlye. But the Luce was wytty and fayd to the Tenche. This mete femyth very good and delicate / but neuertheleffe I trowe that it be putte here to difceyue Fiffhes. Therfor let vs forfake it / that we be not lofte by the fowle apetyte of glotonye. Trincha than fpake and fayde. It is but folye to forfake foo goode a morfell and fo delycyous / for a lytle vayne dred. For rather I my felf fhal attafte of it firft / z dyne with it with great plefure and fwetteneffe. And Tarye thou and beholde my chaunce. And whyle that fhe fwalowyd in the mete / fhe felte the hokys that were hydde. And fhe wolde fayne haue retournyd bakwarde. But the Fiffher pluckyd her up to him, and the Luce fledde fwyftlye, and fayde thus.

- Of othir mennys forowe corected mote we be. Euyr that fro parell we mowe efcape free.


## De aguila et anibus et leone et alijs bestios dualogus quadagesimus nomus.

UPON a tyme the Egle was accompanyed and ftrenghthid withe al maner of byrdes, and toke ther ftondingis pight ther fyldes and faugt agayn the Lyon / and al maner of beaftis / And foo dayly encrefid the nombre of both partyes / and went fierflye to batell / euery kynde agayne othir. / And whyle there batellys thus had continuyd the Foxe callyd the Swalowe vnto him and fayde / nowe is the tyme prefent / that we maye redeme owre felf owte of captiuite and daunger / For we maye fee vengeaunce of owre princes that haue dominacyon and rule ouir vs / let vs doo wyfely / and we may be polycye ordayne that they togider hall fighte themfelf / and euery of them fhall fle othir. And thus to doo the Swalowe


OF THE EAGLE AND THE LION.( $\left.{ }^{( }\right)$
( ${ }^{2}$ ) This is the first printed representation of fishing with a float. here and on next page.
$\left.{ }^{( }{ }^{2}\right)$ Notice the collocation of the Lion and Unicom
confentyd and flewe ftrayght to the Egle / and magnified her greatlye and fayde / Thou arte queene of all byrdes and empereffe and if thow wylt take hede to my counfell thowe fhalt be princeffe / and lady of all beaftis / the Egle confentyd, and promyfyd to kepe fecrete this matere / The Swalowe retournyd agayne to the Foxe and made fuch ordinaunce with her $\boldsymbol{\chi}$ withe othir / that the Egle fhulde fighte withe the Lyon / and no mo / but oonly they twayne. And which of them that myght optayne / and be victoriows / fhuld be prince and ruler both of byrdes and beaftis / And whan they were ftryuynge in ther batell / the Egle fpake and fayde / O nobleffe Leonyne, yf thow take hede / we be difceyuyd be falfe confellowrs. For they hope to lee delyueryd of our dominacyon and lordfhippe and for that cawfe they meue and ftere vs to batell / But certeynly hit is bettyr that eche of vs haue dominacyon and rule ouyr his own kynde / then that we fhulde fhamefully fle euerych othir be ther frawdes and fotylteys / The Lyon beleuyd the faynges of the Egle for he underftoode veryly that it was trew / and fo were they acordyd togider as frendis for eugre / and fayde /

> I Wycked feductours in dede they be /
> That falfe counfell geue iuyll mote they the /

## De carflancbo gui voinit $\mathfrak{s e}$ regulari. Dyalogus guinguagesimusoctame.

CArflanchus is a byrde lyke to a fawleon ftronge and myghtye. This byrde in his yowth was difpofyd to go to Relygyon and to lyue in perfeccyon. But for drede of fharpneffe of the Rule / he differde it and fayde. I trowe verylye and I beleue / that I may not fafte. Nor ryfe to Mateynes. Nor kepe chaftyte. Nor forlake myn owne wyll. And for this cawfe that he wolde begyn no goode dede for drede of penaunce / he came neuir to the myddes / but rather endid his lyfe full lewdely faynge in this wyfe.

- He that for drede no vertewe wyll ufe
Shall peryffhe dowghtleffe / \& grace him refufe.


OF A BIRD CALLYD CARFLANCHUS THAT WOLD GO TO RELYGION.

## De $\mathbb{E}$ Eppbante qui gemma non flectit. Dualogus octuagesimus nonus.



OF THE OLEFAWNTF THAT BOWYTH NOT THE KNEYS.
—Lephas as Brito faith comith of 1. Elephiogrece / which is an hyll in Lateyne / and he is fo callyd for the gretneffe of his bodye. And thefe beaftis be very apte to batell. . . . This beafte is very famous and greately renomyd / amonge all other beaftis / and notwithstondinge he may not knele / for he hath no kneys. Upona tyme the I.yon walkyd by the wode amonge all other beaftis and lokyd on them / and all made greate curterye / and knelyd doun vinto him as to ther fouerayne lorde and kynge. But the Elefawnt knelyd not / for he might not. Wherfor the other wilde beaftis were inuidious 7 went togider to ye Lyon / $\ddagger$ diffamyd ye lilefant. ye Lyon cam to him $\%$ fayd: Why art thou fo proud \& fo hard hartyd ye thou bowift not thi kneys befor me as other beaftis do. He anfwerde: My lorde, I referre to jou honour z worfhip as my dutie is.

I may not knele for I haue no kneys. Ye Lyon fayd: If thou refufe not to worfhip me in thy harte / thy falutacyon is acceptable, for he worlhippeth his lorde fufficiently yt labourith therto with al his poffibilite. Wherefor ye Lyon condemnyd the aducrfaries yt accufyd yc Elephant, $\boldsymbol{Z}$ promotyd him to greate worthippe and fayd:

II No man wrongfully owith puniffiment to haue, But his fawt be prouid / for the iuge may him faue.

De onocentamro quí fecit palacimu. Dyalogns nonagesimus tercins.


OF A BEASTE CALLYD ONOCENTAURUS THAT BYLDED A PALACE.

DYuers moniters ther be / and amonge al other Onocentaurus is oon / which ys an affe mixte with man / fo namyd for he berith the likeneffe of man in half his hodye / and in the other half he hath the fhappe of an affe as fayth Hugucyo. This beafte for his own pleafure cawfid a royall palays to be made / but truftinge in his owne wytte he wolde begyn it. Ordeyne it / and ende it / without counfell of the cheefworkmaftir. And alfo oftyntymes whan his connynge workman gaue him profitable counfell for the greate wele of the worke as he vnderftoode by his conynge. This prowde beafte toke no refpecte to him but fayde. I am ingeniows and witty I nowgh / it becomith not yowe to teche me. But I wyll haue all thinge perfourmyd aftyr myn owne mynde. And whan the palace was fynythed and complete / for lacke of gode fundacyon and wyfdome / it was ruynows and fell thortely to the grownde. And therfore Onocentaurus was vtterly confufyd and fpoylyd of all his goodes / ₹ fayde with great heuyneffe.

- That man him felf fone deftroyeth Which to no doctrine his mynde applyeth.


## De sumia qui scribebat libros. Dyalogns honagesimus septimus.

AN Ape ther was dwellinge in a good cite that wrote veray Fayre / z made dyuerfe bokes. But he gaue neuir his mynd / to fuche thinges / as he wrote / but talkyd with other folkes or harkenyd what was fayde of them. For the whiche cawfe he falfified his bookys oftyntymes. Wrytinge in them the wordes that he fpake him felf. Or that he harde fpokyn of other men. And fo he continuyd and wold neugr amende nor correct his fawt. wherfor noman wold fet him to worke / z fo he had no getynge z cam to grete pouerte, z fayd.

T The wryter that wryteth all the longe daye
Deferuith no rewarde / yf his mynde be awaye.


OF THE APE THAT WROTE BOORES.

## De tragelapho atcbitectore fallace dualogns centesimus primus.

TRagelaphus that is a beafte of a gote and a harte as faith brito / and it is a nown compounde of tragos that is a goote / and laphos, that is an harte / which beafte thoughe he be of the fimilitude of an harte in fom parte. Neuertheleffe he hath rowgh herys lyke a goote behynde / and a barbydde chynne / and hornis he hath alfo lyke an harte and full of braunchis. This beafte was a principall workman, and a greate deuifar in byldinges. But he was a falfe difceyuar / and begilyd many folkes. For whan he fhudde gyue cownfell for dyuers edificacions to be made. He wolde ordeyne fuche fundacyon that ye byldinge thulde fone fall / and to himfelf he wolde faye: Hit forfith not to me though it fall. For I fhall haue the more auauntage in Reedificacion of fuche workys and thus


OF A BEASTE CALLYD TRAGELAPHUS THAT WAS A FALSE BYLDAR. his badde cownfell was robbery to euery man that medlyd with him amonge all other in that countrey ther was a myghty tyraunte wyllynge to bylde a meruelows paleys / for himfelf. And for to haue it perfourmyd / he fent for this hedemaftir / and delyueryd to hym money innumerable / for the conftruccyon of the Palace. This cheef workmaftir layde a badde fundacion lyke as he had vfid before tyme / in fomoche that whan the worke was finifhed / the wallys departyd / and Claue a fonder in the myddes / and all the hoole worke began to finke. The Tiraunt that feynge was fore agreuyd and cited the archemaftir and fayde vnto hym. Why hafte thou deceyuyd me thow curfy wretche. To whom he anfwerde and fayde: I was diffeyuid whan


OF THE WOLF AND THE ASSE.

I layde the fundacion but nowe it behouith to bete downe this worke and make a bettyr fundacion. This tyraunte was wode that foo greate a cofte was vitterlye lofte / and cawghte him and threwe hym downe from the toppe of the palace / and deftroyde him.
De lupo ct ajino dualogus centesimusseptimus. $T$ He wolf on a tyme fawyd with the affe / but the affe labowrid full truly aboue. the wolf was malycyous and drewe the fawe vndernethe, fekynge an Occafyon to deuowre the affe. wherfore he made quarell agayne him and fayde: Why doyfte thow throwe the dufte in to myn Ien. the affe anfwerde / and fayde: I doo not fo to the/ but I gouern the fawe perfightly aftyr my wytte and connynge. If thou wilt fawe aboue I am pleafyd / and I flal labowre beneth trulye. To whom the wolf fayde I cannot. But and yf thow throwe any more dufte in to myn Ien / I fhal put owte both thyn Icn. and they thus fawynge the wolf blewe with all his power wyllynge yt the dufte fhulde flye in to the Ien of his felowe / but ye tymber ftoppid it / and cawfid the duft to fall in to the Ien of the wolf / and he was greuoufly paynid and fware that he wolde ouirthrowe the portars and berars. But the tymber fell fodeynly by the rightfull iugement of God, and oppreffed the wolf and kylled hym. The affe lept and fauyd him felf and fayde:

[^21]

1482 Oct. i4. JULIUS HYGINUS. POETICON ASTRONOMICON LIBER.

[IENTCE, ERHARD RATDOLT.]

Fol. I blank. Fol. 2a, with sig. az (in red): Clariffimi Viri Iginij Poeticon Aftronomicon || opus vtiliffimū foeliciter Incipit. || De Mundi $\neq$ fpherae ac vtriufq, partiū declaratiōe Liber. Primus. || Prohoemium || Line 6 (in black): Iginius. M. Fabio Plurimam Salutem \| Etsi Te Studio grammatice artis \|inductū.... The letter to Fabius cnds on Fol. 3a, linc if. Line 15: De Mundo z Sphera. \| Mundus appellatur: is qui conftat ex Sole z Lu- || ma: ₹ terra ₹ omnibus ftellis. || ... Fol. 58a, line 18 : Hoc Auguftenfis ratdolt germanus Erhardus. || Difpofitis fignis vndiq, preffit opus. \| In quo fi quid erit: quod non tibi parte placebit. || Ex omni: vitio non mihi quefo dabis: \|| Ni prius Iginij cernes monumenta virorum. \|\| Scripta manu: vel que preffa fuere prius: \|Que li forte voles trutina penfare modefta \| Te reus in nulla iudice parte ferar: \|Immo mihi z fido grates perfepe Iacobo \| Tu referens dices viuat vterq, diu. Anno falutis. 1482. Pridie Idus. Octobris. Venetijs. ||

4 to, printed in gothic type, text masures $141 \times 95 \mathrm{~mm} ., 58$ leaves, 31 lines to a page; without numerals or catchwords; signaturcs a-f in cights, $\mathbf{g}$ in tens; 39 large woodcuts in outline, lerge and small floviated zooodout initials. The watermarks are (1) scales in circle, above a short stylus, ball, and star; (2) bull's head, long stylus capped by trefoil, round which is coiled a serpent. Hain, "9062, Proctor, 4387.

This edition was long supposed to be the first. It is preceded, however, by that of Augustinus Carncrius of Ferrara, 1475, a rare edition less desirable than this, as in lieu of woodcut illustrations it has merely spaces in which the diagrams had to be filled in by hand. Hain must have seen a copy of this edition, varying from mine as regards the title in red on Fol. $2 a$, as he describes an error which does not exist in the present copy (vtiliffimmu) for (vtilliffimũ), and prints (Foeliciter) where we have (foeliciter).

A fine and perfect copy, possessing the blank leaf Fol. r, and measuring $210 \times 148 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Ifyginus, born either at Alexandria or in Spain, was a slave of Julius Cæsar, who brought him when still a child to Rome, giving the boy so liberal an education that Augustus, after giving him his freedom, appointed him custodian of the Palatine library. His great work, the Astronomicon, is absolutely indispensable in a study of ancient mythology.

As the illustrations give to this edition its principal charm I have selected three for reproduction here. Genini and Aquarius speak for themselves, but Phyllivides calls for a few explanatory remarks from Hyginus. The constellation depicted is that of the Centaur. The author would have his readers to know that this is no ordinary centaur, but Chiron himself son of Saturn and Phylire, whence his distinguishing name. That Chiron died by a wound from an arrow we all know, but two differing narratives of the casualty are here given. In any case Jupiter was wroth with him, and put him away among the stars, where he is still to be seen carrying an immolated victim in his right hand; from his wrist depends a pilgrim-bottle (for a libation ?), his left supporting a spear on which hangs a rabbit, for which, however, no warrant is to be found in the firmament, to supplement the kid if that should prove unacceptable to Jove.

[^22]

[VEVICE, ERHARD RATDOLT.]

Fol. $1 a$ blunk. Fol. 1 b: Nicolao Mocenico Magnifici. D. francifci patricio veneto Erardhus ratdolt. Salutē. \|| Cogitaui fepius Nicolac magnifice: ... Fol. 2a: C Tabula cōmodiffima fuper libro \|| fcquēti qui fafciculus dicit temporī || In $\tilde{\text { İ }}$ qdē vbicūq, pūcto ante
 Abacuc pptia minor .15 Abacuc martyr 32.|| Fol. 9a: Generatio z generatio i| laudabit opera tua: z || etc. Fol. 73a: Erhardus Ratdolt Auguftenfis impreffioni parauit. || Anno falutis M.cccc.lxxxiiij. v. calen. Iunii. Venetiis || Inclyto principe Iohanne Mocenico. On Folios 736 and $74 a$ are genealogies of Our Lord and of John the Buptist. Fol. $74 b$ blank.

Folio, printed in gothic type, 74 leazes, taio columns on a page, mumber of lines in a pare greatly ararics; tert of 57 lines measures $218 \times 147(=70+19+70)$ mm.; numerals 1-66, first eight leaves not mumberd; neither catchwords nor signatures; many' wooduts in outline, some of whick are childishly absurd, crade and zague, one or tano of Ratdolt's good initinl letters. The watermarks are ( $1-3$ ) pair of scales, three varietics ; (4) bull's head, long horns, long stylus and flower; (5) cardinal's hat. Hain, *6935, Proctor, 4404.

The fourth edition printed by Ratdolt of this extremely popular chronicle, of which some thirty-four editions were produced in the fifteenth century. The method of guiding the reader of the index to the right page as well as the right leaf of the book mentioned on Fol. 2 would serve well enough till books were pagert instead of being leazed. It is rather strange that Ratdolt, who knew so well how to produce an attractive volume, should have allowed such miserable woodcuts to appear in this.

## Britānia que poftea dias eftanglis.


(') That is to say, "This is a very handy table (index) to the following book called the Little Bundle of Occurvences. Wherein when you see a point bifore the number, you will find she occurrences on the recto, but when the foint follows the number they zill be found on the verso."

Space can be afforded for only a very short extract from the text. I must just let the author say what he thinks about England, and allow the artist to give his idea of the appearance of our country (Fol. 45b, sub anno 45\%). "England zeas once a flourishing state; that was in the time of Arthur who had szeay for a brief period over ten kingdoms. But here take note of one remarkable result of military supremacy. When through it an eager taste for war is acyuired, and occasions for embarking in it are sought for, a miserable end is uswally approaching, according to the words of the prophet, 'Scatter thou the people that delight in war.' Oh! if in those days the Britons since called Englishmen had ruled zeith true prudence they would by this time have been lords of the zohole of Europe. But as feeling strong in themselves they must needs desire to make zuar on nations zeho zvere also strong, their forces were zeeakened in the same proportions as those of the pozers they attacked and so they gained only that miserable kind of victory which leaves the victor zeith ferver oro troops at his disposal. Audacity when not controlled by prudence is baneful rather than beneficial. And this was Arthur's fote."

A fine, elean, and perfect copy, measuring $298 \times 205 \mathrm{~mm}$.

# 1484. JOHANNES VON MONTEVILLA RITTER. [GERMAN VERSION.] 

$$
[S T R A S B U R G, \text { JOHANV FRÜSS. }]
$$

Fol. 1a: Title (oylographic) Johannes Von Mon- || truilla. Ritter. II Fol. ib blamk. Fol. 2a, with signature aij: Das erfte büch |I ; underneath a wood engraving of the Fnight setting forth on his tranels, of whick a reduced reproduction will be found clscabere; the original measures 136 mim. across pagc. The text begins: Do ich Iohan von Monteuilla Ritter Geborn vf En $\mid$ geland/ von einer ftat genant Sant alban von erften || vizfüre/, etc. On Fol. S2b the text ends thus: Vnd dafelbft bit auch ich Johannes von mō || teuilla/ doctor in der artzny vnd Ritter obgenanter/Geboren vffz Engel land/ von einer ftat die heiffet Santalban/ der des erften difes buich dem \|falmechtigen got z $\bar{u}$ lob vnd $z \bar{u}$ eren gemacht habe. Das wir mit Ihefu cri \|fto ewigclichen rychfanen Amen || On Fol. $83 a$ is a note by the translator( 1 ): Ich Otto von demcringen Thuimherre zū Metz in Lothringen, || ctc. On Fol. S7a, line 23: Hie endet das Regifter. || Fol. 8je: Getruckt z̄̄̄ Strafzburg |i Johanncs Prūffz. Anno || Domini M.cccc.1.xxiiij.

Folio, printed in sothic type, 87 leares, 4I lines, text measures $203 \times 135 \mathrm{~mm}$.; without mumerals or catchwords; signathres a-b in eights, d six leaves, $\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{g}$ in cights, h six leaves, $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{k}$, I in eights, m seivn leoves; many aroodcut initials, 159 woodcut illustrations. The watermarks are ( $\mathrm{I}-2$ ) large gothic $\boldsymbol{\sharp}$, split tail, two types ; (3) smaller $\mathfrak{y}$, short stylus and quatrefoil ; (4) gothic $\mathbb{D}$ and stylus ; (5) crown in circle ; (6) small bull's head short stylus and star ; (7) bull's head, long horns and stylus. Hain, 10649 (very vaguely described), Proctor, 512.

The fourth German edition. There were also Latin, French, and Italian editions in the fifteenth century.

[^23]The press of Joham Priiss ranks fourteenth in the list of Strasburg printers, and this Mandeville is perhaps the first book be printed. Mr. Proctor notes twelve dated books in the B.M., from 1484 to 1487 , as being certainly his, but also gives two short appendices of works probably but not certainly to be assigned to him. We shall find one of the twelve, Rolizeinck, Fascioulus Temporem, in this collection, under the date 1487.

A fine and perfect copy measuring ( $271 \times 197 \mathrm{~mm}$.) of this extremely rare edition, of which there is no copy in the Bodleian. The woodcuts have been so brilliantly and uniformly coloured at the time of issue as to bring them almost into the category of illuminations, and make the copy much more desirable than an absolutely uncoloured one. There are wormholes in the last few leaves. An early possessor was Brother Iohan Petyt, who has recorded its purchase and appended his monogram.

The texts of this book present variations so important that it has not been very easy in all cases to find fitting ancient English wording for the enlightenment of the reader on the meaning of the few illustrations which I have selected for reproduction. The text has for the most part been derived from MIS. Egerton, 1982, The Buke of John Maundeuill Knight, edited by G. F. Warner, Esq, M.A. Some of the descriptions, however, emanate from MS. Cotton, Titus C. XVI., in the National collection, reprinted in $\mathbf{1 7 2 5}$, and edited by Halliwell in 1866, also from my copy of the printed text of $1684 . \mathrm{My}^{2}$ selection of illustrations is not perhaps the best that could have been made. I have been obliged to omit the charming and well-known stories of the W'atching of the Falcon and The Lady of the Land, both of which are to be found in Mandeville, because the woodcuts which illustrate them in the present edition are not sufficiently attractive. It were well if the text of either of the MSS. above referred to were reprinted, with facsimiles of the beautiful drawings which they contain.

John Bale gives a short biography of John Mandevil, knight, born at St. Albans, and describes him as despising his rank in life unless it were made more honourable by

## Odsultr büth



MANDEVIIILE SETTETH FORTI. MONTEVILLA (JOH. PRÜSS, 1484). study, and as possessing an insatiable desire for travel; he represents him as leaving England in 1322, and as travelling during thirtyfour years in Scythia, Armenia, Egypt, Libya, Arabia, Syria, Media, Mesopotamia, Persia, Chalden, Greece, Illyrium, and other countries, and as writing the whole of his adventures in English, French, and Latin, dying in 1371 . Yet it is now no longer believed that this most entertaining compilation was written by a Iohn Mandevil, nor that it contains a faithful record of the journeyings of any individual. It has been shown that the writer, whoever he may have been, has borrowed largely from the narrative of Friar Odoric written in 1330, but has not scrupled to add zest to these extracts by romantic extravagances. And it is just because the marvels related are so absurdty incredible, yet withal so gravely and simply recounted, that the work, especially in the English versions, must ever enlist the sympathy and affection of the reader. It has been found convenient to make reductions varying in proportions of those illustrations which are here presented.

## Fanmpon and the Gates of Gaja.

AIfo fra Acon before faid gafe three day journey to the citee of Paleftyne, that now es called Gaza, and it es a full faire citee and full of ricches and of folk. Fra this citee til a hill withoute bare Sampfon the forte the gates of the citee the whilk ware made of braffe. And in that citee he sloghe the Kyng in his palays and many other alfo aboute iij $^{m}$ and himfelfe with tham. For thai had taken him and putte oute bathe his eghen, and culled off his hare, and putte him in prifoun. And at thaire feftes thai brought him furth before tham and made dance before tham and make iapes. So on a hie fefte day, when he was wery for [that he] danced before them, he bad him that ledd him that he fuld lede him to the poft that bare up all the hous: and he tuke the poft in his armes and fchoke doune all the hous apon tham, and fo he floghe himfelf and all that ware therein, as it telles in the bible in xxi. chapetre of Judicum. Fra this citee men may go to the citee of Gerare, and fo to the caftell of Pilgrimes and fo to Afcalon and than to Jaff, and fo to Jerufalem. (MS. Eserton, 1982.)


SAMPSON TUKE THE POST $1 N$ HIS ARMES AND SCHOKF: DOWNE ALL THE HOUS. MONTEVIILA (/OH. I'RÜSS, 2484).

## The libermit and the hborned Sinonster.

In Egypt there ben 5 Provynces; that on highte Sahythe, that other Demefeer, another Refythe, that is an Ile in Nyle, another Alifandre, and another the Lond of Damiete. That Cytee was wont to be righte ftrong: but it was twyes wonnen of the Criftene Men: and therfore after that the Sarazines beten down the Walles. And with the Walles and the Tour thereof, the Sarazines maden another Cytee more fer from the See, and clepeden it the new Damyette. So that now no Man duellethe at the rathere Toun of Damyete and that Cytee of Damyete is on the Havenes of Egypt and at Alifandre is that other that is a fulle ftrong Cytee. At the defertes of Egypte was a worthi man that was an holy Heremyte ; and there mette with hym a Monftre (that is to feyne, a Monftre is a thyng difformed azen kynde both of Man or of Beft or of ony thing elles: and that is cleped a Monftre). And this Monftre, that mette with this holy Heremyte, was as it hadde ben a Man, that hadde 2 Hornes trenchant on his Forehede; and he hadde a Body lyk a Man, unto the Navele ; and benethe he hadde the Body lyche a Goot. And the Heremyte afked him, what he was. And the Monftre anfwerde him, and feyde, he was a dedly creature, fuche as God hadde formed and duelled in the Defertes in purchafynge his Suftynance; and befoughte the Heremyte that he wold preye God for him, the whiche that cam from Hevene for to faven alle Mankynde, and was born of a Mayden, and fuffred paffioun and Dethe (as we well knowen) be whom we lyven and ben. And zit is the Hede with the 2 Hornes of that Monftre at Alifandre for a Marveyle. (IIS. Titus C. XVI., frinted London, 1725 .)


THE HOLY HEREMYTE AND THE MONSTRE. MONTEIILLA (JOH. PRÖSS, :484).


MARTVRDOM OF ST. CATHERINE. ANGEL BREAKS THE WHEEL OF TORTURE.
MONTEVILLA (YOF゙. PRÜSS, 1484).


THE FOULES OF THE CONTREE BRING PLENTEE of olyves.
MONTEVILLA (JOH. PRÜSS, 14\&).

## Tbe Cburcb of $\mathfrak{i t}$. Catberine and ber Dearle jestival.

Tere (in the Mount of Synay) is the Chirche of Seynte Kateryne, in the whiche ben manye Lampes brennynge. For thei han of Oyle of Olyves $y$ now, bothe for to brenne in here Lampes and to ete allo: and that plentee have thei be the Myracle of God. For the Ravenes and the Crowes and the Choughes, and other Foules of the Contree affemblen heur then every Zeer ones, and fleen thider as in pilgrymage: and everyche of hem bringethe a Braunche of the Bayes or of Olyve, in here Bekes, in ftede of Offring, and leven hem there, of the whiche the Monkes maken gret Plentee of Oyle ; and this is a great Marvaylle. And filke that Foules, that have no kyndely Wytt, ne Refoun, gon thidre to feche that glorioufe Virgyne; wel more oughten Men than to feche hire and to worfcipen hire. (MS. Cotton, Titus C. I'I'I.)

## Tbe Vald of Gabricl.

And thare es the well of Gabriell, whare oure Lord was wont to bathe him, when he was zung; and at that well was he wount to fecche his moder water; and there was fehe wount to wafch his clathez. (1TS. Egerton, 1982.)


OURE I.ORD AND $111 S$ MODER. MONTEVILLA (JOH. PRÖSS, 1484).

## The Graves of the pattiates.

IN the citee of Ebron er the grauez of the patriarches Adam, Abraham, Ysaach and Jacoh, and of thaire wyfes Eue, Sara and Reljecca, and thai er in the hingand of the hill. And ower thaim es a rizt faire Kirke welc bretist aboute, as it ware a caftell, the whilk Sarzenes kepez rizt wele. And thai hafe that place in grete wirfchippe for the holy patriarkes that lies thare. And thai fuffer na Criften men ne Jews com in thare, bot if thai hafe fecial lefe of the Sowdan; for thai hald Criften men and Jews bot as hundes, that fchuid comme in na haly place. That place es called Spelunca Duplex, or double caue, or double grauc, for aue lyes on another. And ye Sarzenes callez it in thaire langage Cariatharbe, that es to fay the place of patriarches. And the Jews calles it Arboth. In that fame place was Abraham hous that tyme that he fawe, fitaen in his dore, the three men and wirfcheped ane, as haly writte witneffez, fayand Tres vidit et vnum adorauit, that is to fay "He fawe three, and he wirfcheped ane." And thare


ADAM AND EUE IN THE LORDSCIPPE OF JAMASC. MONTEVILLA JOH. PKÜSS, 1484). tuke Abraham aungelles in to his hous infteed of geftez. And thare a lytill befide es a caue in a roche, whare Adam and Eue ware dwelland when thai ware dryfen oute of Paradys; and thare gat thai thaire childer. And, as fum faife, in that fame place was Adam made; for men called that place fum tyme the felde of Damafc, for it was in the lordfchippe of Damafe. And fra theine he was tranflated in to Paradys, as thai fai ; and afterward he was dryfen oute of Paradys and putt thare agayne. For the fame day that he was putte in to Paradys the fame day he was dryfen oute for als foue as he had fynned, he was putte oute of that joyfull place. (MS. Egerton, ig82.)

## The Tamo of prester Fobm.

I"$n$ the land of Preftre John er many meruailes. Bot amanges other thare es a grete fee all of grauell and fande, and na drope of water therin. And it ebbez and flowes as the grete fee dufe in other cuntreez with grete warves and neuermare standez ftill withouten mouyng. That lee may na man paffe, nowther by fchippe ne other wyfe; and therfore it es vnknawen till any man whatkyn land or cuntrec es on the tother fyde of that fee. And thof ther be na water in that fee, neuertheles thare es grete plentee of gude fifchez taken by the fee bankes; and thai er rizt sauoury in the mouth, bot thai er of other fchappe than fifchez er of other waters. I John Maundeuill ( ${ }^{\text { }}$ ) ete of tham, and tharfore trowez it, for fikerly it es foth. (MS. Egerton, 1982.)


JOHN MAUNDEUILL EATETH RIZT SAUORY FISHES. MONTEVILLA (JOH. PRÜSS, 1484).
$\left.{ }^{(3}\right)$ John Maundeuill is identified in the cut by his pilgrim's hat and cockle-shell.


A CASTELLE BRACED ON AN OLYFAUNTE, OR WARKE. MONTEVILLA (JOH. PRU゙SS, 1\&84).

## Elepbants for Vulatlike Unses.

FRa this ile men wendez till another ile by fee, the whilk men callez Calanok; and it es a grete land and plentynoufe of gudes. The kyng of that land hafe als many wyfes as he will. For he gers feke all the faire wymmen of the land and bring tham before him. And therefore hafe he a grete nowmer of fonnes and doghters; for fum kynges hafe a c. childer, fum cc., fum ma. The kyng alfo has xiiii ${ }^{\text {ra }}$ of tame olyfauntes, whilk he gers kepe at ilk a toune in his rewme. And, when he hafe were with any lord aboute him, he gers make caftelles and brace tham on the olyfauntes; in the whilk caftelles he puttes men of armes for to feight agaynes thaire enmys. And on the fame wyfe dufe thaire enmys; for that es the maner of feighting amanges lordes in Batailes in thafe landes. And thafe olyfauntes thai call Warkes in thair langage. (MIS. Egerton, 1982.)

## むbe $\boxplus$ utiful $\mathbb{C}$ amibals.

FRom that Yle, in goynge be See toward the Southe, is another gret Yle, that is clept Dondun. In that I'le ben folk of dyverfe kyndes; fo that the Fadre etethe the Sone, the Sone the Fadre, the Hufbonde the Wif, and the Wif the Hufbonde. And zif it fo befalle, that the Fadre or Modre or ony of here Frendes ben feke, anon the Son gothe to the Preft of here Law, and preyethe him to afke the Ydole, zif his Fadre or Modre or Frend fchalle dye on that evylle or non. And than the Preft and the Sone gone to gydere before the Ydole, and knelen fulle devoutly, and afken of the I'dole here demande. And zif the Devylle, that is with inne, anfwere, that he fchalle lyve, thei kepen him wel, and zif he feye that he fchalle dye, than the Preft gothe with the Sone, with the Wif of him that is feeke, and thei putten here hondes upon his mouthe, and ftoppen his Brethe, and fo thei fleen him. And aftre that, thei choppen alle the Body in fmale peces, and preyen alle his Frendes to comen and eten of him, that is ded: and thei fenden for alle the Mynftratle of the Contree, and maken a folempne Fefte. And whan thei han eten the Fleffche, thei taken the Bones, and buryen hem, and fyngen and maken gret melodye. And alle tho that ben of his kyn or pretenden hem to hen his Frendes, and thei come not to that liefte, thei ben repreved for evere and fehamed, and maken gret doel; for nevere


A SOLEMPNE FESTE OF THE FADRE'S BODY FOR TO DELYVEREN HBM OUT OF PEYNE. MONTEVII,AA ( /OH. PRÜSS, 1434).
aftre fehulle thei ben holden as Frendes. And thei feyn alfo, that men eten here Flefehe for to delyveren hem out of peyne. For zif the Wormes of the Erthe eten hem, the Soule fcholde suffe gret peyne, as thei feyn, and namely, whan the Flefche is tendre and megre, thanne feyn here Frendes, that thei don gret Symne, to leten hem have fo long langure, to fuffre fo moche peyne, with oute refoun. And whan thei fynde the Fleafche fatte, than thei feyn, that it is wel don, to fenden him fone to Paradys, and that thei have not fuffred him to longe to endure in peyne. (MSS. Cotton, Titus C. XVI.)

In the English edition of 168 , London, 4to, which is to all intents and purposes a Chap-Book, the story of the filial cannibals is immediately followed by the following text, which will suitably illustrate the next woodeut.

## The libeadess ffolk.

ANd the King of this Ille is a great L.ord and mighty, and he hath in many Ifles other kings under him, and in one of thefe Ifles are men that have but one eye, and that is in the middeft of their front, and they eat their Flefh Fiif all raw. And in another Ifle are men that have no heads, and their eyes are in their choulders, and their mouth is in their breaft. In another Inle are men that han no head nor eyes, and their mouth is in their floulders. (Edition of 1684, London, 4to.)


MEN THAT HAVE NO HEADS, THEIR EYES IN THEIR SHOULDERS, THEIR MOUTH IN THEIR BREAST. MONTEVILLA (JOH. PRÖSS, 1434).


THE FIRST MANIFESTATION OF ANTICHRIST. THE QUEEN OF THE AMAZONS LISTENS TO HIS PREACHING AND DELIEVES IN HMM.( $\left.{ }^{( }\right)$ MONTEVILLA (JOH. PRU'SS, $1+34$ ).

[^24]
## Foolations Dog=beaded Camibals.

FRa that ile men gafe by fee to another ile, whilk men callez Natumeran, and it es a grete ile and a faire; and the vmgang theroff es nere a thoufand myle. Men and wymmen of that ile hafe heuedes lyke hundes; and thai are called Cynocephales. This folk thof all thai be of fwilk fchappe, zit thai er full reafonable and futill of witte. And thai wirfchepe ane ox for thaire godd, and ilkaue of tham beres ane ox made of gold or of filuer in his front, in taken that thai lufe thaire godd wele. Thai er large of ftature and gude werrayours; and thai bere a grete target, with whilk thai coner all thaire body, and a lang spere in thaire hand, and on this maner arayd thai go baldely agayne thaire enmys. And if thai take any man in bataile, thai ete him. The King of that land es a grete lord and a myghty and a riche and full deuote after his lawe; and he hafe aboute his nekke a corde of filke, on whilke er ccc. precious ftanes, grete and orient, in maner of bedes of laumbre. And rigt as we fay oure Pater Nofter, and oure Auez apon oure bedes, rigt fo the Kyng fayfe ilk day apon his bedes ccc. praieres to his godd before he ete. And


A FULL REASONABLE CYNOCEPHALES EATETH AN ENMY TAKEN IN BATAILE. MONTEVILLA (JOK. FRÜSS, 1484)


A CYNOCEPHALES SUTILL OF WIT GAES
BALDELY WITH A LANG SPERE AGAYNE
HIS ENMY. MONTEVILLA (JOH. PRÜSS, $\ddagger 84$ ).
he beres alfo aboute his nekk a ruby, fyne and gude and orient, the whilke es nere a fote lang and $v$. fyngers on brede. This ruby giffe thai him, when thai make him Kyng, to bere it in his hand, and fo he rydes aboute the citee and thai er all obeyand vntil him. And fra theine forward he beres it aboute his nekk all way, for if he lare it nogt, he fchuld na langer be halden Kyng. The grete Caan of Cathay hafe mykill coueitid that ruby, bot he myzt neuer get it by were, ne by bying, ne by nanother maner. This King es full rigtwys man and a trewe in his lawe, for he punyfchez all thafe that dufe any wrang till any man within his rewme, and therfore men may ga fauely and fikerly thurgh his land and na man be fo hardy to difturbe tham, ne robbe tham, ne take fra tham any maner of gude. (MS. Eserton, 1982.)

## Jfout=footed Inch and Colonten.



FOLK WH1LKE GASE ON THAIRE HEND AND FETE. MONTEVILLA (JOH. PRÜSS, 1484).

ANd in thafe iles er many maner of folk of diverfe condiciouns. In ane of tham es a maner of folk of grete ftature, as thai ware geauntz, horribill and foule to the fight, and thai hafe loot ane egh, and that es in myddes the forheued. They ete rawe flefch and rawe fifch. In another ilc er foule men of figure withouten heudes, and thai bafe eghen in ayther fchulder aue; and thaire mouthes er round fchapen, lyke a hors fcho, ymiddes thaire breftez. In another ile es a maner of folk that hafe a platte face, withouten nefe or eghen, bot thai hafe twa finale holes in fteed of eghen, and thai hafe a platte mouth, lipplefs. In another ile er foule mene that hafe the ouer lippe fo grete that when thai flepe in the fonne, thai couer all the vifage with that lippe. In another ile er folk whilke gafe on thaire hend and on thaire fete, as thai ware foure foted beftez; and thai er rough and will clymbe in to treeffc als lightly as thai ware apes. In another ile er folk that hafe fete lyke hors, and on thaun thai will rynne fo fwythe that they will owertake wylde beftes and fla tham to thaire mete thurgh fwyftnes of fote. (MS. Egerton, 1982.)

## El tbanquet of winkes.

THe fiff citee of this land, and the next the fee, es called Latoryn; and it es mare than Pairfch. ${ }^{( }$) And thurgh this citee rynnez a grote water, hable for to bere fchippes; and it rynnez into the fee a lytill fra the citee, that es to fay a day journee. There es na citee in the werld better ordayned, ne ma fchippes langand to, ne better ne larger and ftranger hauen hafe than this citee. All the folk of that citee and of the cuntree aboute wirfchepez mawmetes. Thare er all maner of fewles gretter by the half than thai er in this cuntree. Thare er geeffe all whyte, als grete as fwannez in this cuntree; and thai hafe on thaire heuedes a reed fpotte euen round. Thare es grete plentee and grete cheep of all maner of vitaile, and alfo grete plentee of nedders, $\left(^{( }\right)$of whilke thai make grete feftes and delicious metes. For if a man make a grete fefte and had giffen all the beft metes that myght be geten owerwhare and he gyffe tham na nedders, he has na thank of all his fefte. (MS. Egerton, 1982.)


A GRETE FESTE OF NEDDERS. MONTEVILLA (JOH. FRÜSS, 14E4).

## Wuccour given to Trammigrated $\mathfrak{F o n l s}$.

FRom that Cytee, men gon le Watre, folacynge and difportynge hem, tille thei come to an Abbey of Monkes, that is fafte bye, that ben gode religious men, after here Feythe and Lawe. In that Abbeye is a gret Gardyn and a fair, where ben many Trees of dyverfe manere of Frutes: and in this Gardyn is a lytille Hille, fulle of delectable Trees. In that Hille and in that Gardyn, ben many dyverfe Beftes, as of Apes, Marmozettes, Babewynes, and many other dyverfe Beftes. ${ }^{5}$ ) And every day, whan the Covent of this Abbeye hathe eten, the Awmener let bere the releef to the Gardyn, and he fmytethe on the Gardyn Zate with a Clyket of Sylver, that he holdethe in his hond, and anon alle the Beftes of the Hille and of dyverfe places of the Gardyn, comen out, a 3000 or a 4000 : and thei comen in gyle of poor men and men zeven hem the releef, in faire Veffelles of Sylver, clene over gylt. And whan thei han eten, the Monk fmytethe eft fones on the Gardyn Zate with the Clyket; and than anon alle the Beftes retornen azen to here places, that thei come fro. And thei feyn, that theife Beftes ben foules of

dyverse bestes [The soules of worthl Men] COME TO BE FED AT THE SMYTINGE OF A CLYKET OF SYLYER. MONTEVILLA (JOH. PRÜSS, 1484).
worthi men, that refemblen in lykeneffe of the Beftes, that ben faire: and therefore thei zeve hem mete, for the love of God. And the other Beftes that ben foule, they feyn, ben foules of pore men, and of rude Comouns. And thus thei beleeven, and no man may putte hem out of this opynyoun. Theife Beftes abovefeyd, thei let taken, whan thei ben zonge, and noriffchen hem fo with Almeffe, als manye as thei may fynde. And I asked hem, zif it had not ben better to haue zoven that releef to pore men, rathere than to the Beftes. And thei anfwerde me and feyde that thei hadde no pore men amonges hem, in that Contree: and thoughe it had ben fo, that pore men had ben among hem, zit were it gretter Almeffe, to zeven it to tho foules, that don there here Penance. (IIS. Cotton, Titus C. XI'.)

There appears to be no text descriptive of these gentlemen. I would suggest that they may be Magistrates in conference.
( ${ }^{1}$ ) In the German text the Unicorn, not noticed in the English version, is expressly mentioned.


AN ORAFLE OR GERFAUNT (GIRAFFE) AND A COCODRILLE.
MONTEVILLA (JOH. PRÜSS, I484).

## Crocodiles and Griffins.

IN that Contre and be alle Y'nde, ben gret plentee of Cokodrilles, that is a maner of a long Serpent, as I have feyd before. And in the nyght, thei dwellen in the Watir, and on the day, upon the Lond, in Rorbes and Caves. And thei ete no mete in alle the Uynter: but thei lyzn as in a Drem, as don the Serpentes. Theife Serpentes 1len men, and thei eten hem wepynge: and whan thei eten, thei meven the over Jowe, and noughte the nether Jowe; and thei have no Tonge.

There alfo ben many Beftes, that ben clept Orafles. In Arabye, thei ben clept Gerfauntz; that is a Beft pomelec or fpotted; that is but a litylle more highe, than is a Stede : but he hathe the Necke a 20 Culbytes long: and his Croup and his Tayl is as of an Hert : and he may loken over a gret highe Hous. (IMS. Cotton, Tït. C. $X V I$.)

## KiDabouct and the ffaling wickicss.

ANd ye fhal underftond that Machomete was borne in Araby and he was firft a pore knave and kept horfe and went after marchaundyfe. And fo he cam ones into Egypt with marchaundife and Egypt was that tyme cryften, and there was a Chapelle befyde Araby and there was an Eremyte, and whan he come into the chapell that was but a lytell houfe, and a lowe affone the entre began to be as greate as it were of a paleys gate and that was the fyrfte myracle that the farrafyns fay that he dyd in his youthe. After began Machomete to be wyfe and ryche, and he was a great Aftronomer and fythen was be keeper of the londe of the prynce Corodan $z$ gouerned it full well in the whych maner that whan the prynce was dede he weddyd the lady that men called Duadryge. And Nachomete fell oft in the fallynge euyll wherfore the lady was wrothe that the had taken hym to hir bufbonde and he made hir to vnderftonde that euery tyme that he fell fo he fayde that Gabryell the Aungell fpake to hym, and for the great Brightnes of the aungell he fell downe. This Machomete regned in Araby the yere of our lorde fix hundred $x x$ and he was of the kynde of Dyfmael that was abrahams fon that he gate of Agar and other ar properly called Sarrafyns of Sarra, but fome are called Moabites and fom amorites after two fonnes of Loth. (MS. Eserton, 1982.)


MACHOMETE, THE FALIJNGE EUYII., AND DUADRIGE. MONTEVILLA (JOH. FN('S.; 1484).

Interspersed among narratives whose charm is largely due to their absurdity and inexactitude are to be found some grains of reason and good sense, with a specimen of which this note on the ever delectable Voiage and Travaile of Mandeville may well conclude. He has been speaking of the Yle of Lamary, and remarks: "In that Lond, ne in many othere bezonde that, no man may fee the Sterre Tranfmontane, that is clept the Sterre of the See, that is unmevable, and that is toward the Northe, that we clepen the Lode Sterre. But men seen another Sterre, the contrarie to him, that is toward the Southe, that is clept Antartyk. And right as the Schip men take her Avys here, and governe hem be the Lode Sterre, right fo don Schip men bezonde the parties, be the Sterre of the Southe, the whiche Sterre apperethe not to us. And this Sterre that is toward the Northe that we clepen the Lode Sterre, ne apperethe not to hem. For whiche caufe men may wel perceyve, that the Lond and the See ben of rownde fchapp and forme. For the partie of the Firmament fchewethe in o Contree that fchewethe not in another Contree. And men may well preven be experience and fotyle compaffement of Wytt that zif a man fond paffages be Schippes, that wolde go to ferchen the World, men myght go be Schippe alle aboute the World and aboven and benethen." And so on for four or five pages.

1484-5. JOHANNES DE CAPUA. DIRECTORIUM HUMANE VITE ALIAS PARABOLE ANTIQUORVM SAPIENTUM. [BIDPAY, KALILA ET DIMNA.] [JOHANV PRÜSS, STRASBURG.]

Fol. 1 r.

> 5 Directarium Inma ue uite aliaguarabo leantiquazulapientii.
> TITLE-PAGE OF DIRECTORIUM. (Original measures ros mm. across page.)

Fol. Ib, full-page woodut of the prescntation of the volume by the translator, John of Capua, to his patron. Fol. $2 a$ : Prologus || (v)Erbum Johannis de capua poft tenebrarum olim palpati- || onem ritus iudaici : diuina fola infpiratione ad firmū z verum || ftatum orthodoxe fidei reuocati. || etc. Fol. 4b, line 29: Explicit prologus. Incipit liber. || ( )Icitur q, in temporibus regum Edom. habuit rex anaftres il tafri virū nomine beroziam, etc. Fol. 82a, last line: Explicit liber parabolarū antiquo $\%$ fapientum.

Folio, printed in gothie type, 82 leaves, 50 lines to a page, tert measures $200 \times 127 \mathrm{~mm}$, $n 0$ mumerals or catchwords; Fol. I zoithout signature, then a, 5 leaves, making a quire of 6 , $\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{n} 6$ in sixes, the last quire is of 4 leazes zuithont signatures; 119 woodcuts, ncarly half the size of the page; spaces left for large initials, some initial dircctors. The watermarks are (1) small gothic $\mathfrak{p}$, short stylus and Latin cross ; ( 2 ) small gothic $\mathfrak{p}$ with short split tail, and Greek cross ; (3) gothic $\boldsymbol{p}$ surmounted by trefoil, tail split, and its ends rounded; (4) small gothic $\mathfrak{y}$, tail irregularly split, and Greek cross; (5) crescent forming part of circle, long stylus and Latin cross. *Hain, 441 I , Proctor, 558.

This may be called the only edition of the fiftecnth century. There are, however, two impressions by the same printer, with minute variations which are here described. In the copy inspected by Hain, *441r, the colophon runs, Explicit liber parabola'f antiquo? sapientum (parabola? when we have parabolarū), as minute a difference as can loc conceived, but enough to mark a separate
issue. But in addition to this divergence it must be noted that in the copy noted by Hain the headlines are printed Capitulum II., III., etc., whilst in ours they are Capitulum secundum, Capitutum tertium, etc. Brunet, who mentions these differences, received from M. Xemeniz particulars of still another wariety in which the colophon runs Explicit liber parabolay sapientum, but of this I have no other knowledge. Brunet incorrectly described our copy (that formerly in the La Valliere Library) as belonging to the former, the parabola 4 class.

A beautifully clean, large, and perfect copy, measuring $264 \times 186 \mathrm{~mm}$., in the La Vallière binding of calf. Carefully rubricated in red and yellow, cuts uncoloured; on two fly-leaves is the MS. inscription, fib: de la Valliere.

This extraordinary and cefebrated work is primarily of Indian origin. A Pehlevi version existed in the sixth century ; from this a Syriac and an Arabic version was derived; from the Syriac it was translated into Hebrew, and from the Hebrew John of Capua made in the thirteenth century the Latin translation here for the first time printed.

The fables are extremely entertaining and have been translated into most of the Western languages. Many of them contain the germs of stories very familiar to English readers, as will at once lee seen in two of those which I have paraphrased. The woodcuts, cleverly designed and boldly executed, possess perhaps a still greater charm, and I have not scrupled, in view of the rarity and inaccessibility of the originals, to reproduce four of the most presentable. Many of the fables and some of the woodcuts hardly come into this category, and the quaintest are not usually those most tolerable by modern ideas of decorum. The tale of the Lady, the Lover, the Husband and the Parrot (here the Magpie) is one of the best known.


The Lady, the Lover, the Husband and the Magpie. goes on in his absence. The bird tells him on his return from business stories all too true concerning
his wife's lover. He gives the flighty lady a good drubbing (zerberabat illam fortiter). She in turn falls foul of the servants, who convince her that the bird, and not any of them was the talebearer. She dare not kill the poor creature, as that would argue guilt. So on the next occasion of the husband's absence, whilst she is entertaining her adorer, she stations the handmaids round the magpie's cage, when by her orders he is treated during the whole night to artificial lightning, thunder and rain by means of a drum, a mirror, a water-sprinkler and a pea in a pan. On his return the husband again consults the pica, and is answered by the honest bird, "How can I give you any news? I spent last night in the greatest torments by reason of the deluge of rain, the thunder, the lightning and the earthquake. I verily thought that the world was coming to an end." Inasmuch as the night has been fine and serene, the foolish man jumps to the conclusion that the bird has been hoaxing him all along, wrings his neck and hangs him up on a beam to dry.

## A very similar reproof of precipitate action is afforded by the fable of



The Hermit, his Wife, their Infant, the Watch-Dog and the Serpent.

Ahermit receives from a king a daily provision of certain victuals and a pot of honey. He eats the food, but he puts the honey by, storing it in a jar which hangs over his head in hed. Now honey was very dear in those days, and on a day as the hermit lies on his bed and looks up at the jar he makes up his mind to sell it. "It will bring me a talent of gold at the least," he says. "With that I will buy ten sheep, which in four years will have so multiplied as to number four hundred; with four of them I will purchase a bull and a cow. These again will so rapidly increase their kind that at the cnd of five year: I shall be a wealthy man of much consideration, and all men will how down hefore me. And I will build great and splendid structures excelling those of all my friends and relations, so that all shall speak of my riches. Then I will take to me a wife of high degree who will bear me a son, who, with good luck and (iod's blessing, will grow in knowledge and virtue, so that by his means I shall leave hehind me after my
death a worthy memory. And he shall be in all respects obedient to me, and if he rebel I will smite him with this staff." And suiting the action to the word he brandishes his stick, strikes the pot, the source of all his potential wealth, and down comes all the precious honey on his pate. Here of course we have the germ, one of the earliest, of the story of the girl who carried the basket of eggs on her head. The hermit does really marry, however, and the long wished for son arrives. The mother goes to the bath, confiding the cherished babe to the father's care; he is, however, presently called by a messenger to the King's presence and leaves the infant in the charge of his dog. The faithful animal, watching by the cradle, espies a snake gliding out from his hole towards the sleeping child, makes short work of the reptile and as soon as the door is opened bounds joyfully out to meet his master. But the sight of his bloodstained muzzle infuriates the hermit; he reasons not, but strikes the dog even to the death. Entering the house he finds the dead serpent and unharmed babe, and is stricken with deep repentance and bitter grief, saying, "Would the child had never been born, then had I not so cruelly requited so much good with evil." The last pathetic episode is of course an early form of the tale of Gelert the Mound.


The Old Merchant, his Bride and the Housebreaker.

An old merchant takes to himself a young and lovely wife, who alas! does not return his affection, but keeps her distance at bed and board. On a night comes a thief into their dwelling and is heard by the light-sleeping bride. Moved by overwhelming terror she clings closely to her lord for protection, who in astonishment asks her, "What means this new-born affection of thinc?" No answer comes, but his listening ear catches at last the noise which the marauder is making, and rising he goes boldly to him, and thus addresses him: "Thou hast done me a service so great that I am for life thy debtor, seeing that my wife by thy means doth embrace me at the last. Take, I pray thee, as thy reward whatsoever my house may afford-(omnirr tibi de donoo meca licita sunt)."


The Hunter, the Stag, the Boar, the Wolf and the Bowstring.

ONCE upon a time there was a hunter who went out on a day into the woods with his bow and arrows in search of game. He had not left home long when a stag ran by, which he promptly shot, and was on his way home with it when he was attacked by "a certain" wild boar (aper quidam). The hunter drops the deer from his shoulder and shoots the boar through the heart: notwithstanding his wound, the beast enraged by the pain rushes on his foe and tears him open with his tusks, mortally lacerating him. And so boar and hunter die side by side, and near them lies the stricken deer. A wolf chancing to pass that way, and seeing the three corpses, rejoices greatly and says to himself, "I must keep this booty for another time when I am hungry, for I cannot make much of a meal to-day; a taste of the bowstring will be enough for the present." So he begins to gnaw the cord, which breaks and smites him so that he too dies with the rest. This parable is intended to teach us that it is unwise to heap up riches and not make use of them. The illustration affords an amusing problem in perspective, made more puzzling still in the original by the circumstance that it is therein printed upside dozen! It is here frinted for the first time in the position the artist evidently intended it to ocupy.

## 1485 Feb. io. BARTHOLOMÆUS PLATINA. VIT\& PONTIFICUM.

 [TREVISO, JOHANNES RUBEUS, FIRST PRESS.]Fol. ia blank. Fol. ib: PROEMIVM PLATYNAE IN VITAS PONTIFICVM AD SIXTVM. IIII. PON \| TIFICEM MAXIMVM. \| ( )VLTA QVIDEM IN VITA VTILIA ESSE, ctc. Fol. $2 a:$ PLATINAE HISTORICI LIBER DE VITA

CHRISTI: AC PONTIFICVM \| OMNIVM: QVI HACTENVS DVCENTI ET VIGINTI DVO FVERE. ( )OBILITATIS MAXIMAM PARTEM, ctc. Fol. 135b, the text ends FINIS. \|| Exccllētiffimi hiftorici Platinæ ī uitas fumo $\frac{7}{}$ pōtificū ad Sixtū iiii. pōtificē maximū || procla $\frac{7}{}$ opus foeliciter explicit: accurate caftigatū ac īpenfa magiftri Ioānis ucreclen- || fis. .M.cccc.lxxxv. dic. x. fcbruarii.

Folio, printed in roman type, 135 leaves, 52 lines to a page, text measures $212 \times 133 \mathrm{~mm}$; no numerals or eatchwords; signatures. Hain, "13048, Proctor, *6498. The watermark is a crescent.

The first edition of Platina's work is that printed at Venice in 1479 by Johann of Cologne and Johann Manthen. Ours is the third or fourth.

Very fine copy, full of rough leaves and almost uncut, measuring $309 \times 204 \mathrm{~mm}$. Many coeval and later marginal notes. Initials filled in by hand in red. An index in MS., in a hand of the seventeenth or early eighteenth century, has been annexed to the volume.

We have already had before us a work by Platina (Barthelemi de Sacchi), printed in 1475, to which the reader is referred for some particulars of his life. This history of the Lives of the Popes is the most celebrated of his productions. It is said of the author that, although he has spoken more frcely of the popes than have other catholic historians, he has evidently kept back truths which he had not the courage to announce. The last Life is that of Pope Paul II., and I have included in the reproduction the few lines with which it concludes in order to give, in so far as so minute an instalment can do, an idea of Platina's style and of the minuteness with which he described the habits and characters of the various Pontiffs.

## Varia cibose geneta fibí apponi

 uolebar: \& peiora quxq̧ çp deguftabar: Clamabat iterdú mif qux expetebat ex ienté, tha eı appofita funfêer. Bibacılimus quidē erat:fed uina admodū pua \& dilura bıbebar. Peponú efu cácrose paftılloge:pıfcuu: fuccidiæ admodü delectabat : qbus ex rebus ortă credıderom apoplexáa illáqua e uita fublatus é. Natura duos fepones $\&$ gdé prægrâdes comederat eo die:quo fequëti nocte mortuus é.luftus tamé êt habıtus \& clemés:Plæsofq, aúr larrones pœena carcens ad fanitaté redigere conarus ć fures:parricrdas pfidos: perıuros. Hūanıtans aür ftudia 1 ra oderar $\mathcal{Q}$ côtenebat:ur eius fudiolos uno noíe hx, reticos appellaret.Hác ob ré rōanos adhorrabaf:ne filios diutiusi iftudis litterase uer, fari paterét. Satis effe fil legere $\&$ fcribere didiciffér. Durusiterdū $\&$ iexorabilıs 1 iqd ab eo peteres habebatineçs hoc cōtétus cōuicia \&pbra i te cōiiciebat:plura ramé praftabar:̣̆ uulcu factuse prx fè ferrer. Vno tamé poftremo laudan pôt: $\Phi$ domi môftra non aluerı:qís domefticos fuos \& familiares iofficio côrinuerit:ne ob fâtü \& infolêtá pa pulo rormano \& aulicis ftomachú facerér.
## FINIS

Excellérifìmi hiftorici Platinæ í uitas fúmose pôrificỉ ad Sixtū,inii. pôtificẻ maximū preclary opus foeliciet explicitraccurate caftıganuac ipenfa magiftrı loänis uercelene fis .M.cccc, 1 xxxv,die,x.februarii.

Brunet incorrectly states that the edition of 1504 (Venice, Pr. Pincius) is the first in which the title of John VIII. is given to Pope Joan. But in the present edition, on Fol. $53^{a}$ we have the whole unabridged and unexpurgated story of the female pope, her succession to Leo IIII., her misfortune, death, and unhonoured burial under the heading IOANNES VIlI., with the following rather casuistic disclaimer on the part of the author: Hace que dixi zulgo feruntur: in certis tamen et obscuris auctoribus: qua ideo fonere breviter et nude institui: ne obstinate nimium et pertinaciter omisisse vidcar quod fere omnes affirmant: crremus ctiam nos hac in re cum vulgo quanguam apparcat ea que dixi cx his esse qua ficri fosse credunt.

# BARTHOLOMÆUS [ANGLICUS]. DE PROPRIETATIBUS RERUM. 

[HAARLEM, JACOP BELLAERT.]

Fol. 1 a blank. Fol. $2 a$ (with signature aai): Hier beghimnen de titelen d $\mathbf{j}$ fijn de \| namen der boeken daer men af fprekē || fal eñ oec die capittelen der cerwaer di- || ghen mans bartolome9 engelfman en $\|$ cen gheoerdent broeder van finte fran- \| cifcus oerde. Fol. iob blank. Fol. 1 ia blank. Fol. 11b, full-page woodcut. Fol. 12a: ( ) Ant dye eyghent II fcappen der din- || ghen volgè mœe || ten, etc. Fol. 17b, second column blank. Fol. i 8 a blank. Fol. 18b, full-page woodcut. Fol. $30 a$ blunk. Fol. 30b, full-page woodcut of the Creation. Fol. 105 blank. Fol. 105b, full-page woodeut of the Seven Ages of Man. Fol. 161a, second column blank. Fol. 161b, full-page woodcut of the Spheres. Fol. I88a blank. Fol. 188b, a woodcut of the Seven Agres of Man. Fol. $217 a$ blank. Fol. 217b, full-page woodcut of strange wild fozvls. Fol. 246a blank. Fol. 246b, full-page zvoodcut of the cities of the earth. Fol. 367a, ten lines of first column, rest of page blank. Fol. 367b, full-page woodcut of the beasts in general (beeften int ghemeyn), all animals in harmony except the Lion and Unicorn, whose battle is just beginning. Fol. 463b, line 7: Explicit Amen. It After this the colophon here reproduced. On Fol. $464 a$ is the full-page printer's mark(r) of Bellaert
(1) Wierepnoet Dat boeck melchgheo bietenla bartbolomeus vanden poo. priteften der bingheninderiaerone berenficcec. eflerra. opte bepli ghenkerfauent. enios ig ghepainten ofoctamede valepnot te baeriem in bollant ter exen godesende onilerim ghe der mencthen van mi micler 3ix (10) Eixjer.

FACSIMILE OF FOL. 463 b, LINES 8.17. (containing the arms, says Van der Linde, of Van Ruyven and Jakob van Cats). Fol. $464 b$ blank.

Folio, printed in large text type, $4655^{(2)}$ leaves, double columns, 40 lines in a column, text measures $138 \times 140(=66+8+66) \mathrm{mm}$. ; no mumerals nor catchwords; signatures, aa five leazes, bb three leaves, a-r, then another form of $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \boldsymbol{5}, \mathrm{z}$, 9, è, A-Y, AA-E 7 all in eights; spaces left for initials, with and without initial directors; large woodcuts. The watermarks are (1) a shield, arms of the Bastard of Bourbon (Midoux et MIatton, No. 287) ; (2) gothic $\boldsymbol{j 1}$; (3) smaller ditto ; (4) open hand and sleeve ; (5) a jug. Hain, 2522, not seen by him, Proctor, 9173 , Holtrop, I. I 8.
The second Dutch version of Bartholomens, printed in the fifteenth century. It is undoubtedly a rare boak. Not much is known of Jucob Bellaert. He was (pace the Costerians) the prototypographer of Haarlem, and five books from his press are to be found in the B.M., printed in 1484 and 1485 , of which this volume is the latest. His types and woodcuts had been procured from Gerard Leeu of Gouda, the printer of the Dialogus Creaturarum and of the Assop of 1486 described in the present volume. This is the only one of his books in which he has allowed his name as printer to appear.

This is said to be one of the many fifteenth century books which have been sophisticated in order to bolster up the precedence of one press over another. A story is told in an early history of printing, of no particular merit,( ${ }^{3}$ ) about a copy of this first Dutch edition said to be preserved in the Town House at Haarlem, to the effect that its custodians were in the habit of displaying the volume to visitors, in confirmation of the claim of that city to be the cradle of the art of printing, appealing to the colophon, which exhibited the date M.CCCC.XXXV., the intervening $L$ occurring after the word eñ having been ingeniously erased ; but that Mr. Bagford discovered the cheat.

[^25]This is a most interesting copy, clean and perfect, with the blank leaf Fol. , , and measuring $263 \times 193 \mathrm{~mm}$.; rubricated throughout, the large initials beautifully illuminated, the woodcuts anciently coloured. It has been enriched with marginal annotations and some delicate writing in gold letters and drawings by that very eccentric scholar, Pauzels Kempeneere of Leyden, Secretary Extraordinary to the Council of Brabant, who has written on Fol. 2, behoire Toe P. Kempencere en fyn Vrienden, 1600.


PORTION OF TEXT OF BARTHOLOMEUS. (BELLAERT, $\left.44^{8} 5.\right)$

I have hastily described in the Report of the Hist. MSS. Commission on my collection, page 6 , a marvellous MS. volume - the Common-place book of P. Kempeneere, called by the late Mr. C. W King, Fellow Trin. Coll., Camb., "the greatest curiosity in the way of a MS. in existence." "The identity of the author was not disclosed by any signature in that volume, and many scholars had puzzled over it since it came into my hands, when one day my friend, the late Mr. J. H. Bohn, who had seen it, noticed whilst inspecting books at Sotheby's the present copy of Bartholomeus, which contains sketches and notes of a similar character to those in the MS. ; and then, as Kempeneere's name is writ large herein, the mystery was solved and the investigation of many questions simplified. A portion of a page of the De Proprietatibus is reproduced here, exhibiting both the text and a characteristic note by P. K. It will serve to give a slight idea of the line of thought of that mystic, some of whose beautiful microscopic writing and drawing I hope to reproduce in a future volume of these Notes. The original Latin text of Cap. xxij. of the De Proprietatious speaks of the Hyperborean mountains as containing the finest Smaragdi and the finest Crystal. The Smaragdus is a dark-coloured stone (probably the Green Ruby, the Snaragdus Scythicus of Pliny). This accidental collocation of the dark and bright, the Smaragdus and Crystal, suddenly suggests to the spiritual sense of Kempeneere the contrasts of human life, and he makes in the volume the marginal note Vita Tubula criftallo et fmarardo mirifima fiue preciofifime co( $n$ )cavata, and presents us with a little angel-framed picture, half in the bright sunshine of Christ's presence, half in the shade of the crosses of Time. The figure of the Carmelite friar was of course suggested by the description of Mount Carmel (cen berch in iudeen); and by some nexus of ideas came the reference to Canticles ii. 5, "Stay me with flagons," and the words Vini Virtus. The note is dated 14.9.1589.


PRINTER'S MARK ON LAST PRINTED PAGE OF BARTHOLONEEUS. (BELLAERT, 1435.)

# 1485. JOHANNES DE SACRO BOSCO. SPhIERA MUNDI. GEORGIUS PURBACH. DE MOTU PLANETARUM. 

[VENICE, ERHARD RATDOLT.]

Fol. $1 a$ blank. Fol. Ib, full-page woodcut of astrolabe, held by hand, proceeding from clouds. Fol. $2 a$ : NOVICIIS ADOLFSCENTIBVS (sic): AD ASTRONOMI $\|$ cam rempu. capeffendā || aditū ípetrātibs: etc. Fol. 58b: Impreffum eft hoc opufculum mira arte \& diligentia Erhardi || Ratdolt Auguftenfis. Anno falutifere incarnationis. 1485.

4to, printed in roman type, 58 leaves, 32 lines to a page, text measures $146 \times 12 \mathrm{~mm}$; without mumerals or catchwords; signatures ( $1-3$ on third leaf) I- 6 in eights, sig. 7 has ten leaves; no spaces left for initials, some large and small floriated woodout initials; many woodcuts of astronomical diagrams. The watermarks are (i) bull's head, long stylus and cinquefoil between horns, and a star on short stylus issuing from his mouth; (2) scales in circle. Hain, *14111, Proctor, 4402.

The fourth edition of this work, to which nine or ten others succeeded in the fifteenth century.
The woodcuts which are here reproduced have a certain interest for the general reader as showing how fully the fact of the earth's rotundity was grasped in the fifteenth century, recognized also, it is true, by very early astronomers, though stumbled at by monomaniacs in the last and even in the present century. The author says:-"That the earth is round appears from the following considerations. The constellations and stars do not rise and set uniformly for all men, wherever they may live, but rise and set earlier for those who are in the more eastern parts. Their earlier or later rising and setting is due to the swelling (rotundity) of the earth, as is made very manifest by what happens in the firmament. For the very same eclipse of the moon which is visible to us in the first hour of the night appears to the eastern nations about the third hour, whence it is evident that their night began before ours, the cause of this being the great protuberance of the earth. That the water also has a round surface may be demonstrated in the

## QVOD TERRA SITROTVNDA.

Qó etiä terra lit rotũda fic patet. Signa \&\& felle nó ęqualiter oriunt \&<occidunt omnibus bominibyubiqe exiflentibus: fed prius oriunt \&occidût illis g für uel uerfus oriente: $\delta \& \varphi$ citius $\&<$ tardius oriunt \& occidưt gbuf/ dam:caufa ē tumor terrę: q̌ bă
 cni ¿r cadéeclyplis lunę nume, ro quę apparet nobifi prima bo ra noctis:apparet orientalib? ${ }^{\text {cir }}$ ca borä noctis tertiä. Vnde con flat $q$ prius fuit illis nox. \&e fol
 prius cis occidit ${ }_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathbf{j}} \mathrm{nobis}$. Cuius rei caufátantû tumor terrę.

[^26]following manner. Let a mark (signum) be placed on the sca-shore; then let a vessel sail out of harbour to such a distance that the mark is no longer visibic to the eye of a man standing near the foot of the mast. Yet the ship remaining in exactly the same position, the same eye when looking from the top of the mast will have a clear view of the mark on shore. But the eye of the man at the foot of the mast ought to have a better view of the mark than the man at the masthead, as is shown by the lines drawn from each eye
to the mark. The cause of all this is nothing but the rotundity of the water. And as water is a homogeneous body, its parts, such as drops or the dew on the grass, naturally assume a spherical form."

George Purbach, born at Buerbach, in Austria, in 1423, obtained great celebrity as a professor of astronomy, and made some contributions to the science.


ILLUSTRATION OF THE ROTUNDITY OF THE SEA. (SACRO BOSCO, RATDOLT, 1485. )
(Circa 1485.) MICHAEL SCOTUS. PHYSIOGNOMIA.
[IENTCE, JOHANNES RUBEUS.]
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4 to, printed in roman type, 47 leazes (not 45 as Hain), 32 lines on a page, text measures $132 \times 93$ mne.; without mumerals or catcharords; signatures aii-avii, b-e in cights, iseven leaves. There is no appearance in my copy of a missing leaf before a-ii, but the B.M. copy possesses it. The watermark is a castle. Hain, *14546, Proctor, 5128.

A treatise in which matters physiological are discussed with greater freedom than was usual even at the day in which it appeared, fully justifying the dictum of the author that the information it contains should be for the most part kept secret.

1485 or after.
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GERMANIAE LIBEL || LVS AVREVS. || ( )Ermania oīs a Gallis rhxtiifq, \& pảnoniis: rhe $\|$ no \& Danubio fluminibus: a farmatis dacifq, $\|$ mutuo metu aut montibus feparatur, etc. Fol. $157 b$, line 17 : FINIS. Fol. 158 blank. Fol. $159 a$ (sig. z): CORNELII TACITI AEQVITIS ROMANI DIALOGVS \| AN SVI SAECVLI ORATORES ANTIQVIORIBVS || ET QVARE CONCEDANT.\| ( )Aepe ex me requiris Iufte fabi cur cum priora || fæcula, etc. Fol. 173 a, line 16: FINIS. Fol. $173 b$ blank. Fol. 174 blank. Fol. 175a: IVLII AGRICOLAE VITA PER CORNELIVM TACITū || EIVS GENERVM CASTISSIME COMPOSITA. \| ( )Larorum uirorum facta morefq, pofteris tra \| dere antiquitis ufitatum ; ctc. Fol. $185 a$, line 36 : FINIS.

## CORNELII TACITI HISTORIAE AVGVSTAE. LI. XI. ACTIONVM DIVRNALIVM.

> Am Valerium Afiaticum bis confulem fuife quödam adultegy eius credidit:pariterq; hortis inhians quos ille a Lucullo ceptos infigni ma gnificétia excolebat.Suilliū accufandis urrifos immittit.Adiungitur Sofibius Britannici edu cator : qui per fpeciem beniuolentix moneres Claudium:caueri uim atq; opes principibus infenfas,Præcipuū auttorem Afiaticum interficiendi Cofaris non extimuiffe in cö cione populi romani fateri:gloriamq; facinoris ultro petere: cla rum ex eo in urbe dedita per prouincias fama parare iter ad ger manicos exercitus: quando genitus uiennx multifq; \& ualidis propinquitatibus fubnixus: curbare gentiles nationes promptū haberet.
beginning of text of ticitus (zarotits, circa 1435.$)$

Folio, printed in roman type, 185 leaves, 36 or 37 lines to a page, full text measures $207 \times 123 \mathrm{~mm}$.; withont numerals or eatchwords; signatures a-d in eights, e-i in sixes, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{n}$ in eights, $\mathbf{0}$ six leaves, $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{s}$ in eights, $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{x}$ in sires, $\mathrm{y}-\&$ in eights, A six leaves, $\mathbf{B}$ five leaves. The watermark, so faint as almost to elude detection, is a small bull's head, short horns, no stylus. Hain, 15219 , Proctor, 5838.
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# 1486 Junc 25. JACOBUS DE VORAGINE. LEGENDA SANCTORUM. 

[B-ASLE: MCOLAUS RESLER.]

Fol. 1a: Legenda fanctorum ats | Lombardica hiftoria. Il Fol. ib blenk. Fol. 2a: Prologus I Incipit tabula fup legendas fanctoru- || etc. Fol. 11a, first column, line 44 : Finit tabula fcliciter. Col.2: Incipit prologus || fuper legendas fanctoru ${ }^{\text {G }}$ quas collegit in || vnum frater iacobus natione ianuenf. or- | dinis fratrum predicatorum. || Fol. ilib, col. 1 : Explicit prologus. Line 38, col. 2 : Incipiūt capitula De feftinitatibus que occurrūt infra t p̃s renouatiōis. The tuble ends on Fol. 12b, col. 2, line 39. Fol. I3a, col. I : Incipit legenda fancto't que lombardi|| ca noīatur hiftoria. ctc. Fol. 222b, line 27: Explicit legēda lombardica Iacobi de || voragine ordinis ppdicato'4 ep̃i ianuēfis. || alias legenda fanctorum. || Fol. 223a: Sequunt quedā "legende a quibufdā aliis fuperaddite. Et primo de decem milibus (sic) martyrū. Fol. 255b, cnd of col. 2, the following colophon:-

Folio, printed in gothic type, 255 leaves, in chouble columns, 53 lines to the column, text measures $220 \times 140(=67+6+67)$ mm.; no numerals nor catchaords; 112 lenves awithout signatures, to $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{z}$ in alternate eights and sirces, except that both g and h are in eights, then $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{N}$ in alternate siocs and eights, O five leaves; mumbers of chapters printed as headlines in roman numerals, breaks in chapters marked by letters of alphabet in margins; spaces left for initiols, no initial directors. The watermarks are (1) gothic $\mathfrak{p}$, split tail with stroke across, and quatrefoil; (2) large and clumsy gothic $\mathfrak{p}$, very long tail,

Regendafanctowals Lombardicaby
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COLOPHON. (LEGENDA SANCTORU.M, KESLERR, 1486.$)$ short stylus and trefoil ; (3) large thin gothic $\mathfrak{y}$, split tail, short stylus and trefoil ; (4) very small gothic $\not \boldsymbol{\neq}$, split tail ; (5) large bull's head, long horns, long stylus and latin cross; (6) small bull's head, short stylus and star; (7) flagon, the lid surmounted by a cross. Not in Hain, Proctor, 7655.


#### Abstract

"There are said to be three books with Kester's name and the name of Antaverp given as the town ; and though his press at Basle was at work without a break from 1486 onward, still in 1488 his name appears amongst the list of members of the St. Lucas-gilde at Antwerp. It is very probable, as Campbell suggests, that Kesler was entered as a member to enable him to sell his books in Antwerp." (E. Gordon I)uff, Eurly, Printed Books, 1893.)


Vicoluus Kesler's was the eighth press established in Basle, and gave birth to more than fifty books before the end of the century.

A good copy, measuring $290 \times 205 \mathrm{~mm}$., with slight water-stains. Some marginal notes, made apparently in $15+9$ by a critical and somewhat sceptical possessor. For example, on Fol. 5a, which forms part of the talle or index, occur in the text the words Indulgentic valent defunctis. The commentator has writen in the margin Afinis, fibi it tui fimilib.(us). Lower down is the line Infernus octo pedes $\mathrm{h} \leftrightarrows$ (habet) ix. E. Po (post) mediū. IIn the last portion of the line, ix. indicates the number of the legend, E the section of the chapter. P9 mediñ after the middle! of the section.] Octo pedes is a printer's error for octo penas ( $=$ poenas). These eight torments of the infernal regions are thus summarized. Vermes * tenebre flagellum frigus $\boldsymbol{z}$ ignis. Demonis afpectus feelenī confufio luctus. The sceptic has underscored the word Oito, and written in the margin quod fi roo vel 1000 ?

Fol. $1 a:$ Fabule $z$ vita efopi: cum fabulis Auiani : Alfonfij: Pogij florentini : z alio !| rum : cum optimo comento: bene diligenterq, correcte $z$ emendate. Il The rest of the pare is occutied by a woodiut portrait of Essop, headed ESOPVS, of which a focsimile will be fount. Fol. ib blank. Fol. $2 a$ : Vita Efopi fabulatoris clariffimi e greco latina: per Rimiciū facta:
 Explicit vita efopi. \| Sequitur regiftru, etc. Fol. isb, after a woodcut: Prologus metricus in elopum. Fol. 19a: Liber Primus. I Incipit fabularū liber primus. |I. Fol 104a: Expliciunt fabule z vita Efopi: cum fabulis Auiani. Alfonfij. Pogij || florentini : et alio't cum optimo cōmento : bene diligenter $\mathcal{C}_{3}$, correcte $z \|$ emendate: Impreffe Antwerpie per ine Gerardum lceu Amo donimi || Millefmo quadringentefimo octuagefimo fexto Menfe Septembri : die vero vicefima fextall Fol. IO4b blenk.

Folio, printed in two sizes of gothic type, 104 leaves, 46 or 47 lines of the smaller type to a page, page of 46 lines measures $188 \times 132 \mathrm{~mm}$.; without mumerals or catchzords; signatures a-h and A-H in sites; spaces left for initials, usually with initial divectors; 191 zoodcuts. The watermarks are (1), (2), (3) gothic $\mathfrak{\jmath}$; (4) small flagon; (5) small bull's head, very large horns, short stylus and star; (6) crowned coat-of-arms; (7) hand and gauntlet; (8) a shield, arms of the Bastard of Bourbon. Hain, 329, Proctor, 9361, Holtrop, I. if4.

Of Esop's Fables in one form or another, and in one language or another, Hain describes no less than ninety-nine editions before 1500 , the earliest of which bearing a date is that of the Latin version from the press of Antonuius Zarotus of Mitan in $\mathbf{1 7 4}$. The present is an extremely rare edition, of which there is no copy in the Bodleian.

A clean copy, with the woodcuts entirely uncoloured, and having the title, Fol. $1 a$, but wanting six leaves.

The frontispiece, reproduced of full size, precedes the Life of AEsop contained in the first seventeen leaves, and is of considerable interest as containing in small compass a number of illustrations to which the key is found in the succeeding chapters (reminding one of those in the memorable engraved title of Coryat's Crudities, 16 II , and their quaint explanation in the Certain Opening and Drazing Distiches which follow it). Most of the apocryphal adventures of this prototype of Tyl Ozelghass, some twenty-four in number, are herein commemorated in brief. It will be seen that one of these little illustrations, to the right of Esop's face, is that of a small building or sepulchre, on which are to be found the letters A.G.Q.F.I.T.A., These letters are in the history itself only indicated by points. This cryptic inscription was pointed out to the fabulist, then the slave of Xanthus, and an explanation requested by his master. The result proved that Esop had the flair which gives the flavour to some of the stories of Edgar Poe or Dr. Conan Doyle. "What zeilt thou give me," said Æsop, "if I tell thee, and a treasure be there found?" "Thy liberty and half the treasure." was the reply of Nanthus. Esop goes up four steps, and digging unearths a mass of gold, and when asked to indicate the clue which had proved so fortunate, thus translates the inscription:-A(scende) G (radus) Q (uatuor) F (odias) I(nvenies) T (hesaurum) A (uri).

The woodcuts in this edition are of extreme quaintness. They are in outline with very little shading, but graphic, vigorous and eminently illustrative of the text, and cannot fail to give amusement to the reader of whatever age. It is a matter of great difficulty to make a selection from them, the temptation to increase therewith by many pages the bulk of my volume being almost irresistible. The descriptive text here annexed to the illustrations is excerpted from the "Book of the fubtyl hiftorics and Fables of Efope whiche were tranflated out of Frenffhe in to Englyffhe by William Caxton at Weftmynftre In the yere of oure Lorde M.CCCC.LXXXIIJ." (B.M. copy.)


WOOICUT ON IITLEPAGE OF ASOPUS. (G. LEEU, 1486.)

## $\mathbb{C}$ せbis IFistorye maketh meneyon bow Ezope ended and depd.



AND as Efope was thus Syghtynge ageynit them (the Delphyns) (') / he fcaped out of theyr handes and fledde in to the Temple of Appollo / but al that prouffited hym nothynge For by force and ftrengthe they had drewe hym oute of the Temple / And thenne they ledde hym where as they wold haue hym for to be put to dethe / And Efope feynge hym fo vytupered fayd to them in this manere C My lords drede you not your god Appollo fhalle auenge me vpon you / but not withftondynge all that he coude faye he was broughte to the place where he nold deye / And feynge that he coude not fcape fro them / he beganne to reherce to them this fable . . . Asop rehearsed this, and yet another fable, which ends zerith the words: Semblably is of me / For I had leuer and rather I flold fuffre alle the perylle of the world of noble men / than to be put of you chorles fo vylaynfly to dethe But 1 rendre and yelde thankynges and mercy to the goddes prayeng to them that they punyfine yow of the euyll whiche ye haue and wylle doo to me / And thenne they cafted and threwe hym doune fro the top of the hylle vnto the foot of hit / And thus deyde Efope myferably.
(1) The Inhabitants of Delphi.

C Ebe fable of the Balled man and of the flye.


OF a lytel euylle may wel come a gretter / whereof Efope recyteth fuche a fable ${ }^{-}$/ Of a flye / whiche pryked a man vpon his bald hede / And whaune he wold hauc fmyte her / the flewgh awey / and thus he fmote hym felf / wherof the flye beganne to lawhe / And the bald man fagd to her / Ha a euylle beeft thou demaundeft wel thy dethe / yf I fmote my felf wherof thow lawheft and mocqueft me / but yf I had hytte the / thow haddeft be therof flayne / And therfore men fayen comynly that of the cuylle of other / men ought not to lawhe ne fcorne / But the Iniuryous mocquen and fcornen the world / and geteth many enemyes / For the whiche caufe oftyme it happeth that of a fewe wordes euyll fette / cometh a grete noyfe and daunger.

## © UBe fable of the Buebond and of Bit two mpuet.



NOO thynge is werfe to the man than the woman / As it appereth by this fable / of a man of a meane age / whiche tooke two wyues / that is to wete an old / \& one yong / whiche were both dwellyng in his hows / \& by caufe that the old defyred to haue his loue / the plucked the blak herys fro his hede and his berde / by caufe he fhold the more be lyke to her / And the yonge woman at the other fyde plucked end drewe oute alle the whyte herys / to the end / that he thold feme the yonger / more gay and fayrer in her fyghte / And thus the good man abode withoute ony here on his hede And therfore hit is grete folye to the auncyent to wedde them felf ageyne / For to them is better to be rnwedded / than to be euer in trouble with an euyl wyf / for the tyme in whiche they fhold refte them / they put it to payne and to grete labour.

## C Ube fable of the viator or palmer and of Eatyre.



MEN ought to beware \& kepe hym felf from hym whiche bereth both fyre \& water / as reherceth to vs this Fable of a pylgrym / which fomtyme walked in the wynter / and wente thurgh a grete foreft C And by caufe that the fnowe had couerd al the wayes / he wift ne knewe not whyther he wente / ageynfte the whiche came a wodewofe named Satyre by caufe he fawe hym a cold / whiche approched to the pylgrym and brought hym in to his pytte / And whan the pylgrym fawe hym / he hadde grete drede by caufe that a wodewofe is a monftre lyke to the man / as hit appiereth by his fygure / C And as the wodewofe or Satyre ledde the pylgrym in to his pytte / the pylgrym dyd blowe within his handes for to chauffe them / For he was fore acold / And thenne the wodewofe gaf to hym hote water to drynke / C And whan the pylgrym wold haue dronken hit / he beganne to blowe in hit / And the wodewofe demaunded of hym / why he dyd blowe hit / And the pylgrym fayd to hym / I blowe in hit / for to have it fomwhat more cold than hit is / The wodewofe thenne fayd to hym / Thy felaufhip is not good to me / by caufe that thou bereft bothe the fyre and the water in thy mouthe / therfore go hens fro my pyt and neuer retorne ageyne / For the felaufhip of the man whiche hath two tongues is nought / And the man whiche is wyfe ought to flee the felaumip of flaterers / For by flateryng \& adulacion many haue ben begyled and deceyued.

## $\mathbb{C}$ Ube fable of the lyon e of the pastour or Beroman.



THE myghty and puyffaunt oughte not to be flowfull of the benefetes done to them by the lytyl and fmalle And oughte not alfo to forgete them / but that they may be rewarded of them © And this fable approueth efope $\mathbb{\&}$ fheweth vinto vs / of a lyon whiche ranne after a beeft / and as he ranne / a thorne entred in to his foote / which hurted and greued hym gretely / wherfore he myght no ferther goo / but as wel as he cowde he came to a fhepeherd whiche kepte his fheep and beganne to flatere with his taylle fhewynge to hym hys foote / whiche was fore hurted and wounded / The fheepherd was in grete drede and cafted before the lyon one of his fheep But the lyon demaunded no mete of hym / For more he defyred to be medycyned and made hole of his foote / C And after whanne the fhepherd fawe the wounde / he with a nydle fubtylly drewe oute of his foote the thorne / and had oute of the wound alle the roten lleffhe / and enoynted hit with fwete oynements / C And anone the lyon was hole / And for to haue rendryd graces and thankys to the fheepherd or paftour the lyon kyffed his handes / And after he retorned ageyn in to the hyeft of the woode / And within a lytel whyle after it happed that this lyon was taken and conueyed to the Cyte of Rome and was put amonge the other beeftes for to deuour the myfdoers / Now it befelle that the fayd thepeherd commyted a crymynous dede / wherfore he was condempned to be deuoured by thefe beftes / And ryght foo as he was caft emong them the lyon knewe hym / and beganne to behold on hym / and made to hym chere and lykked hym with his tongue / And preferued and kepte hym from alle the other beftes / C Thenne knewe the fheepherd that it was the lyon which he maade hole / And that he wold thenne haue recompenfed hym of the good whiche he had done to hym / wherof alle the Romayns were all wonderley abaffhed / and wold knowe the caufe of hit And the mheepherd fayd to them as aboue is fayd / C And whanne they knewe the caufe / they gaf leue to the fheepherd / to goo home / and fente ageyne the lyon in to the foreft / And therfore this is notary and trewe that al maner of folke ought to rendre and gyue thankynges grace and mercye to theyre good doers / For flowfulnes is a fynne / whiche is moft difplayfaunt to god /


THE woman whiche lyueth in this world without reproche or blame is worthely to be gretely preyfed / Wherof Efope reherceth fuche a fable of a man and of a woman / whiche loued moche eche other / It happed thenne by the effors of Atropos or dethe / the whiche we al muft fuffre / that the fayd man deyde / And as men wold haue borne hym in to his graue / whiche was withoute the toune there to be buryed / his wyf made grete forowe and wepte pyteoufly / And whanne he was buryed / fhe wold abyde ftylle vpon the graue / and lete do make a lytyll lodge or hows therupon / and oute of this lodge fhe wold neuer departe for no prayer ne fayr word / neyther for any yeftes ne for menaces of her parentes Now it befell in the toun that a myfdoer was condampned to be hanged / (C And to thende that he fhold not be taken fro the galhows / hit was thenne commaunded that a knyght fhold kepe hym / And as the knyght kepte hym / grete thurfte took hym / And as he perceyued the lodge of the fayd woman he wente to her / and prayd her to gyue hym fomme drynke and fhe with good herte gaf hym to drynke / And the knyght dranke with grete appetyte / as he that had grete thurfte / \& whan he had dronke / he torned ageyne to the galhows ward / This knyght came another tyme to the woman for to comforte her / And thre tymes he dyd foo / And as he was thus goyng and comynge / doubtynge hym of no body / his hanged man was taken and had fro the gallowes / And whan ne the knyght was come ageyne to the galhows \& fawe that he had lofte his dede man / he was gretely abaffhed \& not withoute caufe For hit was charged to him vpon peyne to be hanged / yf he were take awey / This knyght thenne feynge his Iugement / tourned and wente ageyne to the fayd woman / \& caft hym at her feete / and laye before her as he had be dede / And fhe demaūded of hym / My frend / what wylt thou that I doo for the / Allas fayd be / I pray the that thow focoure and counceylle me now at my grete nede / For by caufe I have not kept wel my theef / which men haue rauyffied fro me / the kynge fhalle make me to be put to dethe / And the woman fayd / Haue no drede my frend / For well I fhalle fynde the manere wherby thow fhalt be delyuerd / For we fhall take my hufbond / and fhatle hange hym in ftede of thy theef / © Thenne beganne fhe to delue / and tooke out of the erthe her hufbond / and at nyzt the hanged hym at the gallows in ftede of the other / \& fayd to the knyght / my ryght dere frend I pray the that this be kept wel fecrete / For we doo hit theefly / And thus the dede men haue fomme / whiche make forowe for them / but that forowe is fone gone and paffyd / And they whiche ben on lyue haue fome whiche drede them / but theyr drede wantith and faylleth whan they ben dede.

## $\mathbb{C}$ Ebe Thistorye of Exantus excued from Bís promesae.

. . . One of the fcolyers / of Exantus fayd / feying that Exantus had dronke ynough / and was charged of ouer moche wyn / fayd to hym / My mayfter I afke of the / yf a man might drynke alle the fee / Wherefore not fayd Exantus / I myfelf fhalle drynke it wel / Thenne fayd ageyne the fcolyer / and yf thou dryuke it not / what wylt thou lefe / and Exantusfayd my hows / I am content fayd the fcoler and ageynft hit I fhalle leye / an honderd crownes / and the pactions and bargayne thus bytwene them made gaf for gaige or pledge eche of them two theyr fignets of gold / and thenne wente home / When Exantus had slept off the wine which had prompted so foolish a boast, and called to mind by the absence of his ring the absurd wager he had made on the previous evening, he begsed EEsop to help him out of his strait, who said to him, Thou fhalt not vaynquyffhe / hut parauenture I fhalle make / that thou fhalt wel breke the paction, and indicated to him the artifice by which he might escape the loss of his house. Exantus thenne knowynge / that the Counceylle of Efope was wel good / he was full gladde / © His Aduerfary thenne came before the Cytezeyns of the Cyte te telle and fignefye the pactyon and prayed the Juge that Exantus fhold do that / which he hadde promyfed to do / C And Exantus commaunded to alle his feruauntes that they fhold bere his bedde / his table / and alle other thynges that were neceffary to hym vpon the Ryuage of the fee / And thenne before alle the company he made a pyece to be waffhen and fylled it full of the water of the fee / the whiche he tooke in his liand / and fayd to his aduerfary / Expofe we now / and telle our paction and bargayn / © Exantus thenne torned hym towards the felaufhip / and fayd / My lordes of Samye / ye wote wel how many floodes and ryuers entre and come in to the fee / And yf myn Aduerfary wylle kepe and hold them ftylle / foo that they entre no more in to the fee / I fhal drynke al the water of the fee / And alle they that were there beganne thenne to faye / Exantus fayth wel / And thenne the Scoler to Exantus fayd / My mayfter thou haft vaynquyffhed me / wherfore I pray the that oure bargayne maye be broken / And Exantus fayd I am content. /

The reader will be reminded of the Scandinavian myth of Thor's journey from Asgard to Jötunheim, of his boastfulness in the matter of potations, and of the Utgard king's challenge to drain the "cup of penance" at a draught. The horn-cup seemed indeed to Thor to be rather long, but he made no doultt of emptying it. A first attempt and a second, however, left the liquor but slightly diminished in volume, and after a third, which made little more impression, he gave up the attempt altogether. When he has subsequently failed to lift from the ground the Cat (the Midgard Serpent which encircles the world), and to wrestle with the crone Elli (Old Age), the king explains to Thor that when he was taking these three mighty draughts from the cup he was in reality draining the ocean into which the faraway extremity of the horn reached, and that in ages to come the retreating tide would be called "the drought of Thor."


EXANTUS EVIDES HIS WAGER THAT HE WOULD DRINK UP THE OCFAN.

# Circa 1488? ULRICUS MOLITOR. DE LAMIS ET PHITONICIS MULIERIBUS. 

$$
[S T R A S B U R G, \quad J O H A V N \text { PRÜSS.] }
$$

Fol.1a: Titte, De laniis (sic) z phito \|| nicis mulieribus. || Fol. 1b, a full-page woodeut. Fol. 2a: Tractatg ad illuftriffimū prī || cipem dn̄m Sigifmundum archiducem auftrie. Stirte ca || rinthie zc. de laniis (sic) z phitonicis mulieribus per Ulricum || molitoris de conftantia. ftudii papienfis. decretorum doc-\| torem z curie conftantic $\bar{n}$. caufarum patronum. ad honorē \| eiufdē p̃ncipis ac fub fue celfitudinis emēdatōe fcriptis. I| Epiftola || Fol. 27b, line 27: Uale igitur felix eternū patrie decus. $\operatorname{deog}_{3} \|$ ac omni populo amabilis colendiffime princeps. Excon || ftan̂. anno dñi. m.cccc.lxxxix. die decima ianuarij. || Tue celfitudinis humilis cōfliarius z feruulus | vlricus molitoris de conftātia decreto'ł doctor.

4 to, printed in gothic type, 27 leazes, 32 to 34 lines to a page, 34 lines of text measure $136 \times 90 \mathrm{~mm}$. ; zwithout munerals or eatchwords; signatures a-b in eights, c six leaves, d five leaves; space for one initial, without initial directors; sezen full-page woodeuts. The watermarks are (i) small gothic ${ }_{2}$ and quatrefoil ; small flower of six petals. Hain, *if535, Proctor, 562.

There is apparently nothing to show whether this edition, the first in Hain's list, precedes or is later than his ${ }_{11} 536=$ Proctor, 720 , printed by Martin Flach not earlier than Jan., 1489. Two other editions in Latin and two in German are cited by Hain.

A perfectly clean and beautiful copy, measuring $180 \times 136 \mathrm{~mm}$., of a very rare book, which is not to be found in the British Museum.

The author of this treatise has contrived to condense a large mass of curious information on the subject of witchcraft and sorcery into a dialogus seut trilogus between the Archduke Sigismund to whom the tract is dedicated, one Conrad Schats (vir providus et prestans) and himself, and very searching are the questions on witchcraft propounded and replied to. In view of the great rarity and interesting character of the book it has been thought advisable to mention some of its leading features. In the second chapter, De nocumentis $z$ morbis hoībus et infantiby illatis. (of the injuries and diseases brought (by the Witches or l'ythonic women) upon men and infants), after describing on absurdly insufficient authority the ills which the innocent infant is made to suffer at the hands of witches, Sigismund asks, "What of the injuries to the old and middle-aged?" to which Conrad replies, "We have seen many old men lent and limping zuho asserted that these infirmities had happened to them by the sorceries of these curscd zemen." The artist has come well equipped with intelligence and imagination to the aid of the feeble text. It will be scen that the Lamia or hag is shooting at the peasant with an arrow whose point is in her hand, an inverse position which has had dire consequences. The attitude of the sufferer seems to indicate that the agony in the knce and foot are so excruciating that hose and shoe alike are torn or cast off in despair. Utrum poffit facies hominum in alias formas imutare. Sigismund is asked if he believes that ly witchcraft the face of man can be transformed into that of some other animal and replies in the negative, crincing his faith in ecclesiastical authority by a decretal in which the man who asserts the possibility of such a change is declared to be an infidel and worse than a heretic. Conrad of course is on the side of the (bad) angels, and quotes Virgil, Boetius, St. Clement, the history of Simon Magus, Vincentius, and William of Mahmesbury with so much ingenuity that Sigismund sums up the discussion by the remark that Conrad has by his authorities and histories compelled him to confess that on this subject he hardly knows what to believe, and suggests that the two should next procced to discuss the problem of riding the broomstick (baculum). The artist has evidently undertaken to combine in the woodcut


DISEASE PROCURED BY WITCHCRAFT.
(MOLITOR DE LAMHIS, FRÜSS, circa 1888.)


OFF TO THE "SABBATH" ON A BROOMSTICK. (MOLITOR DE LAMIIS, PRÜSS, circa 1488.)
an illustration of the debate on change of faces with a representation of the aërial flight, an attempt in which the reader will, I think, admit he has admirably succeeded. We have here graphically depicted the baculum, forked at the lower end,--with an evident reference to the divining rod, on which sits the leading witch, ass-headed and in petticoats, perhaps not astride after all, and steers the infernal conveyance. Her cavalier, clasping her waist, as of old the dame on the pillion was wont to clasp her squire, emits from his macaw's beak a torrent of abuse directed at the poor little belated witchling with the sad dog's face who is clutching his jerkin, and forbids her to mount ; he seems to imply that though there is plenty of room there is not enough of psychical force, or that two is company and three none. A query follows which the superstitious world has long answered in the affirmative, Utrū proficifcantur ad conuiuia fuper baculū vel lupū equitādo-whether zeritches can ride to their revets on a broomstick or on a wolf s back? Conrad, ever ready with an analogy, opines that if Abacuck, as he calls the prophet, could be transported through space by his hair, and Philip be snatched away to Azotus, the like effects could be produced by spiritual agency in his day. The draughtsman seems in this instance to have lost some of the verve which inspired him in the preceding illustration. A tamer witch-carrying wolf, or a less maleficentlooking witch, one could hardly devise. The discussion and woodcut which come next in order, though quite the quaintest in the volume, are hardly eligible for these pages. No early treatise on witchcraft


ON THE WOLF'S B.ACK.
(MOLITOR DE LAMIIS, PRÜSS, cira 1438.)


PRODUCTION BY WITCHES OF THUNDER AND HAIL.
(MOLITOR DE LAMMIS PRÜSS, circa 848.)
could in our days be reproduced in its entirety for the general public. I must conclude with the problem, Au poffint prouocare demones grandines $₹$ tonitrua. That demons may have the pozere of inducing storms of thunder and hail is considered probable by Ulric, who takes the affirmative position in this particular dialogue. It is not, he holds, in the power of the devil to originate the tempests, but when by his art magic he knows that they are approaching he stirs up the evil minds of witches to various absurd performances, inducing them at the same time to believe that they are themselves the real producers of the destructive phenomena which ensue. Some of them he instructs to take up fints and cast them over their heads westward, or to fling sand into the air ; others again to boil hog's hair in a cauldron, or lay beams of wood cross-wise on the banks of rivers, or to go through other alsurd ceremonies, fixing just such a time for the performance as will allow of the breaking of the storm shortly after their completion! The silly women are thus led to believe themselves guilty of creating these phenomena, albeit not a single drop of rain could be really produced by their spells. Here, again, the artist has displayed his independence of the author's text, and has given us the traditional cauldron, with the ingredients, cocks and vipers, of the infernal broth. It will be seen that the tempest has begun in good earnest. Incubi and Succubi are treated of in the concluding chapters of this queer little book, of which I regret to have been compelled to give so very short a sketch.

# Circa 1489. FRANCISCUS DE RETZA. DEFENSORIUM INVIOLATA PERPETUEQUE VIRGINITATIS MARIN. 

[BASLE, LEONARD EISENIIUT:]
 Inquo adducuntur .xlvi. naturalia et mirabilia exempla : \| claroru fcriptorī auctoritate roborata : et experiētia rerum || comprobata. Quibus apertiffime demonftratur: ipfam facra || tiffimam virginē concipere et parere potuiffe vnigenitū dei \|filiū Jefu Crift̄̄̄ Saluatorē noftrū abfque lefione integer || rime fue virginitatis. © Per que nimirū etiam excmpla, etc. Per que preterea deftruūtur et confutantur omnes incredu || lorū. paganorū. Judeorū peruerfiffimorn̄${ }_{q}$, hereticorī̀. per || fidiffimi damnatiffimip, errores, etc. Fol. Ib blank. Fol. 2a: Hanc plena gracia Salutare mente Serena. || Woodcut, on the right of which: Gaude ma || ria virgo. cūc||tas herefes fo || la interemifti. || que gabriclis || archāgeli dic || tis credidifti, etc. Fol. 2gh, line 12, ends: Nā fi ml'r fuerit a vi- \| ro fuo digreffa. in viri gram faciliter $p$ adamāt(is) vir \||tutem revocatur. valet hic lapis gtra incubos et || fantafmata. gtra vana fomni. atra hoftes et jurgia. || Fol. 30a, quoodcut of Virgin and Infunt Saviour. Fol. 30 blank.

4 to, printed in gothic type, 30 leaves, 32 lines to a page, text measures $144 \times 88 \mathrm{~mm}$.; without numerals, catchwords, or signatures; 53 woodouts. The watermarks are (1) heart (?) from which rise a double stylus and trefoil; (2) small bull's head, short stylus, and Tau; (3) small bull's head, between the horns a short stylus on which a gothic $\mathfrak{p}$. Hain, *6086, Proctor, $7717 . \quad$ The B.M. copy is imperfect.

There are at least two earlier type-printed editions of this most curious work (Hain, *6084 and *6085), both printed according to that bibliographer at Eichstitt by Michael Reyser, but assigned by Mr. Proctor to Joham and Conrad Hist of Spire. But still more interesting predecessors are to be found among the Block-Books (Proctor 2 and 4), both of which have been exhibited in the show-cases in the King's Library at the British Museum.

A fine copy, rubricated throughout, measuring $197 \times 143 \mathrm{~mm}$., engravings uncoloured, the first and last leaves in admirable facsimile.

Of the books with woodcuts on religious or theological matters printed in the fifteenth century, this is, I should think, one of the most bizarre. It yields the palm, of course, to the celebrated Novumbeate virginis Pfalterium of Nitzchezevitz, printed in the monastery of Tzenna, 4 to, $\mathbf{I} 49$ (of which I have alas ! but a fragment), which contains probably the quaintest "religious" woodcuts of the fifteenth century. Yet it is equally typical of the strange taste which inspired many well-intentioned but undisciplined writers of that day, and is moreover an evidence of the open-mouthed credulity with which the most astounding fables were then swallowed. The author's design is to prove the possibility and show the reasonableness of the doctrines of the Incarnation and of the perpetual virginity of the Mother of our Lord. This he endeavours to compass by the production of the records of a large number of occurrences of a nature, in his view, just as mysterious and apparently impossible. And though to us the "facts" which he cites are in most cases utterly apocryphal and his deductions woefully distorted, credit must be given to him for an honest attempt to make smoother to the unlearned man the path of belief in this section of Catholic dogma. For this line of argument he has high patristic authority in St. Augustine, who has set him the example, and has even suggested some of the very marvels which de Retza has enumerated, with the like intention of furnishing to those who doubt the miracles alleged by the theologian analogies drawn from the marvels of the visible world. "I will" (De Civitate Dei, lxxi., Cap. 4, et seqq.) "refer only to such wonders as are now in existence, and can be seen by anyone who will take the trouble to go to see them." Among these are the following: "Agrigentum furmishes a salt which liquefies by fire ; a fountain, apud Garamantas, is so cold in the day that it cannot be drunk, so hot at night that it cannot be touched ; Pirrites is a Persian stone which burns the hand if tightly grasped. In the same country is produced the stone Selenites, the internal light of which waxes and wanes with that of the Moon." This is one of the
prodigies which the author of the Defensorium has selected for illustration. Not a trace of irreverence, one feels convinced, was in de Retza's mind when he made this compilation, his sole object to compel belief, yet he has so worded the titles of the illustrations that I have thought fit to omit them from the reproductions. Taken separately from these short headings, which are in leonine Latin distichs and Gernan prose, the little work may be taken simply as an illustrated digest of the most fantastic wonders and paradoxes to be found in authors sacred or profane, and as such it is amusing reading. Many authors are laid under contribution in this little compilation, among others the writers of the book of Genesis, Job, Pliny, Solinus, Ovid, Valerius Maximuss, St. Augustine and St. Ambrose, but Isidorus and Albertus Magnus have the chief place.

I make no apology for reproducing a selection from the illustrations contained in an extremely rare little book of which most of my readers will never see a copy. The very first cut is not, as might be supposed, a representation of a medireval Boulter's Lock.

THE MRACULOUS POWERS OF THE MAGNET.


MAGNETIC ROCKS WHICH ATTRACT THE
FLESH OF MEN.
(DEFENSORIUM, EISENHIUT, circa 4889 .)


AN IRON STRUCTURE HANGING BETWEEN ROOF AND FLOOR.
(DEFENSURIUM, EASENHIUT, circa 1489.)

THE Magnet found in India among the Trogloditæ is called by the common folk ferrum vivum. But that which has its origin in Ethiopia has a totally different quality from the former and repels iron but attracts human flesh. (It will be seen from the illustration that the unlucky navigators have steered their little bark between two of thesc unnatural magnetic promontories.) For the next marvel the author draws at second-hand upon Pliny, who tells us (Book xxxiv. c. 14, Holland"'s version): "An here I cannot chufc but acquaint you with the fingular inuention of that great architect and mafter deuifer, of Alexandria in Egypt Dinocrates, who began to make the arched roofe of the temple of Arfince all of Magnet or this load-ftone, to the end, that within that temple the ftatue of the faid princeffe made of yron, might feeme to hang in the aire by nothing. But preuented he was by death before he could finifh his worke."


THE ATTRACTIVENESS OF MAIDENHOOD. (DEFENSORIUAH, EISENHUT, circa 148g.)

THE VIRGIN CLAUDIA DRAWS A FLEET TO SHORE BY THE VIRTUE OF HER ZONE.

ASHORT disquisition on the Goddess Vesta, from St. Augustine, Civ. Dei 1. iv., cap. 1o, which is absolutely foreign to the miracle to be related, and only introduced, it would seem, in order to fill the page, is followed by a story which appears to be taken from $V$ Valerius Maximus, but which I cannot find in that discursive author. A virgin called Claudia, strong in the might of her chastity, has only to touch with her girdle, apponcre cingulum, the prow of an overloaded vessel stranded by reason of overloading on the shore of the Tiber, to enable it to float off and continue its course, navigio mox non differt ambulare. It will be observed that the text does not harmonize with the title.

THE FEATHERS OF THE BIRD ISPIDA GROW AFTER HER DEATH.

MANY authorities are cited in support of this marvel, amongst others Isidorus, Pliny, Solinus and other writers who describe the secrets of birds. She is but a little creature, with a short and straight beak and for feet only curved nails. Her plumage is of brilliant beauty, and the common opinion is that if her skin and feathers are removed, si pellem cum plumis detraxeris (I am not clear whether any cruelty is suggested), and hung upon a wall, fresh feathers will grow every year as if the bird were alive. I confess that I have failed to discover any notice of this interesting creature in the authors referred to.


THE BIRD DIES BUT NOT HER PLUMAGE. (DEFENSORIUM, EISENHUT, circa 14 8g.)


A CAlf lifted into the Air. (DEFEVSONTL゙M, EISENHILTT, circa 148g.)

## A CALF IS CAUGHT UP IN A CLOUD BY

THE POWER OF THE HEAVENS.

ALBERTUS MAGNUS says that so powerful is the influence of the sun's rays in attracting vapours that it has actually lifted a calf into the air along with them. But when these are condensed, and their condition is thus changed, down falls the calf again to the earth.

I would call attention to the curious conventional representation of clouds in this cut and also in that of the Moon-stone which follows. It is almost always employed in early representations of the firmament, often assuming a decorative appearance resembling a ribbon, with sides of different colours, of which each side is alternately turned to the front. Another cxample will be found in the Defensorium on page 188, and a third in the cut of the Witches' Cauldron in Molitor de Lamiis (circa 1488), whilst its use in the year 1454 as an extremely decorative border is exemplified in Plates V. and VI.* of Ottley's Enquiry, edited by Berjeau, 1863.

THE LIGHT IN THE STONE SILENITES INCREASES AND DECREASES WITH THAT OF THE MOON

SILENITES is a stone green as the green grass, says the Liber Rerum, and similar in colour to the Jasper! It contains the image of the Moon and is potent in renewing love. St. Augustine says that its interior light waxes and wanes with that of the Moon. It is also called Sileniton. This is de Retza's account. 'That, however, which is to be found in one of his authorities on other matters, Bartholomens, lib. xvi., cap. xcij., gives a description which indicates perhaps that the stone really resembles in appearance our own Moon-stone. He calls it translucent, with a certain white spot which contains, as it were, in its brightness the image of the Moon and increases and decreases with her changes. It is believed, he notes, to afford relief to persons suffering from phthisis.


[^28]
## A HUMAN FACE IS PAINTED IN A STONE BY THE OPERATION OR

NATURE ALONE.

"AGREAT philosopher of the Indians, Tethel by name," is made responsible by our author for the avouchment in his treatise on sculptured stones of the following marvels, viz., that a certain Jasper is found in which is to be seen the likeness of a man having a shield on his neck or in his hand and under his feet a serpent.( ${ }^{( }$) In a chrysolite is found a woman having a bird in one hand and a fish in the other. In another stone is found a dove with an olive branch, in another a combat between an archer and a serpent; in another, again, a figure, half man and half fish, or a Basilisk and a Siren, or Bulls, Lions, or Eagles, or the figure of a foaming steed, "In all zwhich things that cleverest of artificers Nature endearours to imitate in the most faithful zeray in her pozerer the zeorks of the chief Master Workman, the Creator." The motif of this cut requires a little elucidation. Taken in connection with the text it is clear that the intention of the artist (most inartistically realized) was to represent a stone which after being cut asunder by a saze presented on each face an


NATURE-PAINTED PORTRAITS REVEALED BY THE CUTTING OF A STONE.
(DEEEVSORIVM, EISENHUT, circa 1439.) identical image. This exact result is, I need hardly say, beyond belief, but it contains a rough intimation of a well-known though extremely rare phenomenon, viz., that out of many millions of stones, the section or the fracture of one may, and nowe and then does, present an extremely close resemblance to some natural object. A notable example of this prodigy may be seen in the likeness of the head of Claducer on a broken Egyptian pebble in the Natural History Museum at South Kensington. The marvel was noticed and commented upon by Claudian and by later writers.
$\sqrt{2 \pi}$ This is in all probability the earliest engraved representation of one of these mineralogical Lusus Natura. It may have been noticed that in the Classification of the Collection, page xix., mention is made of a group of these very remarkable objects, of which at the end of the second volume will be found a short illustrated notice. I consider the woodcut on the present page to present a more interesting record of the existence of these lusus in mediæval times than any other which I have discorered. It is convincing by reason of its naïveté.

[^29]

A SHOWER OF STEEL INGOTS. (DEFENSORIUM, EISENHUT, circa 1499.$)$

A MASS OF STEEL IS ENGENDERED BY A CLOUD.

STEEL (chalybs), our author remarks, is so called from the river Calibes, in the water of which the metal can be most successfully tempered. The authorities cited for the remarkable phenomena here illustrated are Allertus Nagmus and Isidorus. The sort of iron or steel which thus presents itself gratuitously to men is the result of the influence of Mars, the lord of Iron. "For when the gross terrestrial vapour rises to a great height, it is solidified and indurated by the aspect of Mars, and falling upon the earth, has the appearance of iron or steel, but is of little service, not being malleable nor readily worked, for it is turned by the action of the fire into scoria or dross." The interest for us in this particular marvel is to be found in the proof which it seems to afford of the acquaintance of its narrators with the presence of iron in aerolites, doubtless in a condition too refractory for use.

TWIN BABES OPEN BOLTS AND BARS BY MERE TOUCH.

THESE twins were conceived and born under the influence of Mars and endued with a certain celestial wirtue which enabled them to loosen by fire all and several the iron fastenings upon which they laid their little fingers, nay, even to melt iron itself. Mars is favourable to operations connected with fire, and under that planet are born smiths, furnace-men and the like. Hij nēpe gemini z vterini id qd ignis erat naturalis actionis. celefti influencia equanimiter potuerunt exercere.

There is not a cut or comment among the scores in this extraordinary little book which is not well worth reproduction and translation.


TWINS BORN UNIDER THE INFI.UENCE OF MARS WHO HAD THE POWER OF MELTING BOLTS AND BARS. (DEFENSORIUM, FENENIIUT, CITCA 1489

## [Circa 1490?] MARCUS MANILIUS. ASTRONOMiCON Lhber.

$$
[\text { UENTCE, PRINTER UNKNNOWN. }]
$$

Fol. 1a: Marci Manilii aftronomicon liber primus incipit. II ( ) Armine diuinas artis \& confcia fati $\|$ Sydera diuerfos hominum uariantia cafus: |f ctc. Fol. $78 a$, line 26: Totus \& accenfo mundus flagraret olympo. || Marci Manilii aftronomicon liber quintg \& ultimg finit. |

Printed in roman letter, 78 leaves, 28 lines to a page, text measures $157 \times 102 \mathrm{~mm}$; without numerals or catchiwords; signatures $\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{i}$ in eights, $\mathbf{k}$ six leaves; spaces left for initial letters, no initial directors. The watermark is a small bird. Hain, 10702, Proctor, 5692.

This is probably one of the latest of the six editions of Manilius printed in the fifteenth century, the earliest with a date being that printed on March 20, 1474, by Vgo Rugerius.

A good copy, measuring $202 \times 154 \mathrm{~mm}$., slightly wormed.
Manilius flourished in the Augustan age. The translator of this author into English verse (London, 1697) devotes nearly sixty pages of his introductory matter to a discussion of his personality. These voluminous researches are finally summed up in these words: "This Manilius, of a Noble Family, born in Rome and living in the age of Augustus, had a liberal Education suitable to his Quality and the time in which he lived." His views on Astronomy were of a liberal and speculative order. "He asserts that the fixed Stars are not all in the same concave superficies of the Heavens and equally distant from the Centre of the world ; he maintains that they are all of the same Nature and Substance with the Sun, and that each of them hath a particular Vortex of his own, and lastly he affirms that the Witkie Way is only the undistinguished Lustre of a great many small Stars, which the Moderns now see to be such thro' the glass of Galileo. In short we do not give him too great a Character, when we say he is one of the most discerning Philosophers that Antiquity can shew."

A facsimile follows of that part of the text in which Manilius refers to the Antipodes. The English paraphrase of this passage accompanies it.

Altera pars orbis fub aquis iacet inuia nobis lgnotrop hominum gentesnec tranfita regna Commune ex uno lumenducentia fole Diuerfa qqu $_{3}$ umbras leuaq̧ cadentia figna Et deftros ortus calo fpectantia uerlo Nec minor eft illis mundustrac lumine peior Nec numerofa minus nafcuntur fydera in orbe Cxtera non cedunt uno uincuntur in aftro Augufto fydus noftro quod contigit orbi Cxlar nunc terris poft calo maximus auctor.
passage from manilius.
(UNKNOWR PRIVTER, Circa r490?)

The other Part lies hid, the vast abode
Of Unknown Nations, by our Feet untrod.
From the same Sun they take their common Light
But different shades: in an inverted Site
Their Signs o' th' left-hand set and rise ${ }^{\prime}$ th' night.
Their Skics as large, their Stars as splendid run,
Equal i' th' rest but are excelled by one.
By Cæsar's Star which doth o'er us preside,
Earth's present joy and Heaven's future pride.

## [Cirar 1490.] WERNER ROLEWINCK [DE LAER]. FASCICULUS

 TEMPORUM.[STRASBURG, JOHANN PRÜSS.]

Fol. 1a, Title in very large type: Fatciculus tepo 7 omnes anti || quorum cronicas complectēs. II Fol. ib, a full-page woodcut of the author presenting his book. Fol. 2a: Tabula breuis $\mathfrak{\notin v}$ vilis fup li- \| bro illo $\tilde{\mathrm{q}}$ dicit Fafcicl's tpm. \|| etc. $\therefore$ incipit feliciter. \| Fol. 7a, numbered Folium I., and with signature A, (g) Eneratio z generatio laudabit opera tua 11 etc. Fol. $96 b$, the text ends under the date $\operatorname{Mcccclxc}(s i c) \neq$ nō fine thurcorum etiam ali- || quali ftrage multo 7

Folio, printed in gothic type, 96 leaves, 50 lines to a full page, text measures $200 \times 140 \mathrm{~mm}$.; first six leaves without numerals or signatures, then mumbered $\mathbf{I}-\mathrm{XC}$, and signatures A eight leaves, $\mathbf{B}-\mathrm{O}$ in sixes, P four leazes; spaces for initials and initiol directors. The watermarks are (I) short stylus and cross, small bull's head ; (2) small bull's head, wide straight horns and arrow ; (3), (4), (5) gothic $\mathfrak{j}$ capped with trefoil, three varieties; (6) crescent in circle, long stylus and Latin cross ; (7) flower of six petals; (8) an cagle displayed. Hain, *6916, Proctor, 563.

The press of Johann Pruiss or Prys of Strasburg was the fourteenth in order of date in that city. Between $\mathrm{r}_{4} 84$ and 1500 he printed more than forty dated and many undated books. The illustrations in this edition of the Fascioulus are fewer than in Ratdolt's of 1484, and of about the same degree of merit.

On Fol. $95^{6}$, below the date 1457 , is an important paragraph which appeared in the Fasciculus for the first time in 1478 . It relates to the invention of Printing, and is one of the testimonies cited in all modern dissertations on the respective claims of Mentz and Haarlem. It begins: Libro7 impffiōis fcīa ( $=$ scientia) fubtiliffıma oībo fecul' inaudita circa hec tpa ( $=$ tempora) repitur in maguntina.

This is a fine, clean and perfect copy, measuring $279 \times 195 \mathrm{~mm}$., in its original fifteenth century binding of stout boards covered with brown leather, which has not been repaired; a long panel filled with interlacing ornament occupies the centre of each cover, and is surrounded on the front cover by a border of birds and flowers of excellent design, on the back cover by a pretty border representing the chase of the deer. All the work is produced by "rolls" except that on the back of the volume, which is impressed. On the front cover is pasted a thin strip of vellum on which the title written in text hand. On Fol, $1 a$ is written Wolfgangus ( ) me comparauit Anno 1556. monachii. On the inside of the front cover is pasted a label, Admodum Rain Christo Patri ac D. Dño Paulo celebervimi Monasterii Tegernfenenfis Abbati digniffino. Dro et amico fuo colendifituo.

A few marginal notes in a hand of the fifteenth century. In the text on Fol. xc. is a relation of the appearance in 1.464 of the stigmata on the hands, feet and side of a girl living at Hamo in Westphalia (a fifteenth century Louise Lateau). After these had remained for fifteen weeks, about the Feast of the Venerable Sacrament she exhibited them to twelve witnesses and predicted that after two hours they would disappear. And so indeed it fell out, for the wounds were healed. The sceptical annotator has written in the margin Nolite credere quia cito difparuerunt.

Fol. ia, a woodeut as on Fol. S2b? perhaps a title? (I have not bech able to find a perfect copy for collation.) Fol. $2 a$, col. I: CCy commence le Pmier liure intitule le fonge du vergier: du clere $\not \approx$ du cheualier. || (a) Udite fomnium quod vidi. || Ces parolles font efcriptes || Genefis. xxxvii. capitulo. la || çoit ce quil foit dit en la fain || cte efcripture que nul ne doit croire es fonges, etc. Fol. $82 a$ blank. Fol. 82b, large woodout, a reduced facsimile of which is given (oviginal measures $169 \times 169$ mm.) showing the author asleep in his orchard (vergier) in presence of the King, who is seated on his throue, having on his right hand a lenceling female figure, crowned, but in a religious dress, with a label, Ceft la puiffance. efpirituclle, on the left a crowonced female figure in Court dress, with the label, Ceft la puiffance feculiere. Fol. 127a, second column, last lines: © Cy finift le fōge du vergier qui parle de la difpu $|\mid$ taciō du clerc $\neq$ du cheualier. Imprime par Iacques \|| maillet/ lan mil cecc quatre vint 5 et vnge le || vintiefme iour de Mars. ||

Folio, printed in gothic type, 127 leazes, taio columns, 51 lines to a columm, tert measures $280 \times 169(=81+7+81)$ min.; zeithout numerals or catchwords; signatures a-v7, a, 0, cud n in eights, the rest in sixes; spaces left for initial letters, initial directors. No watermarks. Hain, 16006 (very imperfectly described), Proctor, 8622.

An imperfect copy of this rare book is in the Bodleian, but there is none in the British Museum.

This very rare edition of the Songe du Vergier was the earliest, perhaps the only, one printed in the fifteenth century. The next, which issued from the press of Le Petit Laurens at Paris, and was printed pour Venerable homme Iehan Petit libraire demourant en la rue St. Iaiques aur Iyon d' Argent, may not have appeared before 1500 . At his press at Lyons, Maillet printed a very few books dating from May 30, 1489 , to Nov. 14, 1494. It is doubtful whether he subsequently printed at Venice.

A fine and leautifully clean copy, measuring $280 \times 205 \mathrm{~nm}$. It wants the first leaf, and has two small corners of leaves in facsimile.

Great interest attaches to this celebrated book, the object of which is the defence of the royal against the inroads of the ecclesiastical jurisdiction. The arguments on each side are put forward in a very able manner in the form of a dialogue between the cler and the chevalier. From the moment of its appearance the work received an appreciative attention which has not even yet wholly evaporated.

The subject-matter was of an importance so great, and the fear on the part of the crown and the laity of the ever-increasing encroachments of the ecclesiastical power so genuine, that it could not well be otherwise. Everything, however, connected with its authorship is, says the latest writer on the subject, enveloped in obscurity, and the composition of the work has been attributed by various modern littérateurs to no less than ten separate French writers. The last of these claims took a very substantial form in the shape of an 8 vo volume of 100 pages, privately printed in 1863 by M. Leopold Marcel of Louviers. His hero is Charles de Louviers, and the earliest document on which he relies for proof is dated 1516 . M. Narcel pleads the cause of his fellow-townsman with much erudition, but has to combat very serious opposition to his theory from M. Iaulin Paris, who supports the claims of Philippe de Maizieres.

A good many years ago, when I was looking with some little attention into Antipapal literature, I stumbled on what seemed to be the indubitable fact that the Songe due Versier zeas not in its entivety the original zoork of any French zeriter, but that its first thirty-six chapters, which furnish the scheme of the
whole work, are simply expansions of the Dialogus inter Clericum et Militem, of which six editions were printed before A.D. 1500 , and whose author was in all probability the Englishman IUilliam Ockham or Occam. Having communicated to Notes and Queries in August, 1863, and later on in January, i869, to the Intermataire des Chercheurs et Curicux, what appeared to be an interesting discovery, a friendly controversy sprang up in the columns of the latter journal between M. Leopold Marcel and myself. I fear that I did not entirely convince my courteous adversary, who dropped the public discussion, but carried on for some little time a pleasant private correspondence with me. Ny contention, however, was in the end left quite unrefuted, the facts I alleged are undisputed, and my conclusions are, I still venture


WOODCUT ON FOL. 826 OF THE SONGE DU VERGIER. (MAHLLET, 84gr.a.)
to think, irrefragable. M. Marcel's last word to me was this: " M/. Brunet, l'outeur du Manuel du Libraire, m'a dit qu'on no pénétrerait jamais le mystère avee quelque certitude, parce qu'un nom cherché pendant des centaines dannes ctait introurable." And this may be perfectly true as regards the identity of the French adapter and amplifier of Occam's treatise, but the credit of the conception of the work and of the text of its carlier portion must remain with the author of the Dialogus. His connection with one of the most memorable phases of the contest between the temporal and spiritual powers has been already alluded to in the note on the Disputatio of (1473?)

1492 Oct. 13. PETRUS HÆDUS. DE AMORIS GENERIbUS.

[TREVISO, GERARDUS IISA, FIFTH PRESS.]

Fol. Ia: DE || AMORIS || GENERIBVS. || (n)On incõmodū fuerit fí uel cōpendio dicemus || quæ res queftiōes ue unoquoq, Anterotico'\& || libro cōtineant. The table ends on Fol. 5b. Fol. 6a: QVINTII AEMYLIANI CIMBRI || ACI POETAE EPOS HEN | DECASYLLABICON IN || PETRI HAEDI AN || TEROTICA AD || CVPIDINEM. Lafciuia Veneris puer cupīdo: \| Seu te cypris erota nominauit:\|etc. Fol. 6b, the Epos ends on tenth line. On eleventh line: :: FINIS :: Fol. 7 (with numeral 'I•): PETRI HAEDI SACERDOTIS POR- || TVSNAENSIS: AD ALEXANDRVM || EX FRATRE NEPOTEM: IN || ANTEROTICORVM LI || BRVM PRIMVM PROEMIVM:: (p) Hilofophiam iufq, uel ciuile: uel pō |tificium mi Alexander, etc. Fol. 103a, line 4: ut nullī aliud amoris genus excellentiffimæ huic uirtu \| ti anteponendum effe iudicetis. \| SOLI DEO HONOR ET GLORIA. II Then follozes another short poem by EEmilianus, this ends on Fol. 1036 with the lines here reproduced, and is succeeded by the colophon:

> Quid inuar affetu lafciuo ducere uitam!
> $\bar{E}_{t}$ tantü ingratis uiuere diuitiis?
> Quam melius feruire deo. Spes una falutis.
> Hac homini:multum catera fraudis habent
> Sol redit \& Cemperreparar difpendia phabe Annus adeft iererum:nos femel occidimus.
> $V$ is iterum quondam uitales furgere in auras More obita: \&L longum uiuere! uiue modo.

ACCVRAIISSIME IMPRESSVM TARVISII PER GERARDVM DE FLANDRIA. ANNO SALV, ILS.M.CCCC.XCII. DIE.XIII. OC TOBRIS. SVB MAGNIFICO PRAETORE AVGVSILNO FOSCARINI.
:: FINLS ::
4 to, printed in roman type, 103 leazes, 25 lines to a page, text measures $128 \times 88$ mmo; the last ninety-seven leaves mumbered at top of page, in centre, in roman mumerals; no catchwords nor signatures; spaces left for Greck quotations to be filled in in MIS.; spaces left for initial letters, no initial directors. The watermarks are (1) scales in circle; (2) a bird. Hain, *8343, Proctor, 6507.

This is the first edition of this curious work, the only other in the fifteenth century being that of the same printer in r 49 . It is one of about six books printed by Lisa at this, his fifth and last, press.

## A beautifully clean copy of this pretty little book, measuring $177 \times 126 \mathrm{~mm}$.

This treatise of Peter Hedus is just mentioned by Burton (Anat. Mel., second cdition, 1624, p. 333), as one of those volumes which many grave and worthy men have composed on the subject of Love Melancholy, but I do not think that he eites him elsewhere in that most delightful Third Partition.

Hadus, says Menage, was born at Padenone (in Latin Portus Naonis), whence the Portusnaensis of the Proemium. The first title, De Amoris Generibus, was, I presume, a pious little trap to catch the worldy-minded reader, the real title being found a page farther on in the Antericorum Lithri Tres, the whole intention of the author being to lure the worldly lover away from his temporary affections to the love of God and his neighbour. Cornelius Agrippa, not knowing the book, jumped to the conclusion that it was an exhaustive treatise on the Art of Love, and in his "De Ironitate Scientiarum et Artium," very unjustly associated the pious Hædus with Boccaccio and others, as one of the tribe of lenones !

## 1493 Narch 4. NICOLAUS SALICETUS. LIbER MEDITATIONis.

[STR-ASBURG, JOHANV REINHARD OF GRÜNINGEN]
Fol. 1a, xylographic title in large white letters on red and black ground: Liber medita || tionu5 ac ora || tionī deuota || rum Qui An || thidotarius || anĩe dicit̃ Cū || tabła inptus || Fol. ib blank. Fol. 2a, col. I, in red: Nicolai
 faliceti ar || tī̄̄ et medecine doctoris: ab- || batis monafterii btē marie đ $\|$ pomerio (ats Bomgart) or || dinis Ciftercicñ. Argent- \|| neñ . dyocefis . in anthidotari if um anime prefaciuncula. || Fol. 144a, col. 2 in red finishes: Actūq 5 diligenti | adhibita cxaminatiōe İpenfis || puidi vivi magiftri Johānis || Reynardi (ats grünynger) in infigni ciuitate Argētin. U vbi cōplets extitit Anno dn̄i || ce incarnatiōis. Mccccxciij || quarto vero nona'4. Marcij. Fol. $144 b$ blank.

Svo, printed in gothic type in black and red; $14+$ leazes, incorrectly pagad 146, the numeration jumping from LNXA. to LXXXIII.; in donble colmmens, 34 lines to the column; text measures $11 \mathrm{I} \times 73 \mathrm{~mm}$.; numerals in roman figures in red; signatures A-S; spaces left for the initial letters. Watermark, bull's head, stylus, and Tau. Hain, *i4161. Proctor, $\dagger 463$. Not in B.M. In the original half-binding of stamped pig-skin.

On the back of the title is the quaint MS. note of ownership of an early possessor :Egidius Alliott Badensis.-Egidius sum vocatus, Alliott vero cognominatus, a matre lactatus, a parcntibus calucatus, a precoptoribus bonis et malis werberibus werberatus.

Of this once very popular little book, the compilation of a pious physieian, eighteen editions are described by Hain, of which this seems to be the only one with the remarkable bicoloured title-page.

It differs hardly at all in other respects from his *14160. The author quaintly olserves that as there are for the help of the body medicines digestive, such as syrups,-laxative, such as pills, potions, and elec-tuaries,-opiates and alteratives; so in this Anthidotarius anime there are prayers and meditation digestive, prompting sorrow and contrition for sin,-prayers purgative, viz., confessions made either to the priest or to God,--prayers sedative to our Lord after the reception of the Eucharist, and so on. Indulgences are scattered broadcast throughout the volume. To him who devoutly recites a certain prayer (Salve sancta facies, $\mathbb{N}_{0}$.) Pope John XXII. grants 3,000 days of indulgences of criminal sins and 20,000 days of venial sins. To him who reads or hears read, or carries about with him a certain prayer of St. Augustine (Deus propicius esto, etc.) shall not perish on that day, either by fire or water, or hy capital punishment or sudden death, nor shall be harmed by any deadly thing, and whatever lawful thing he shall ask of God he shall receive, and when his soul leaves the body it shall not depart into Hell. An extraordinary passage occurs in a prayer to the Deity, in which he is conjured "Per hoc nomen sanctissimum, magnum atque fortissimum gloriosum atque inclitum, per quod Adam in tartaro, cum esset in supplicio, in inferni patibulo te reclinavit dominum auctorem suum pium, et habuit proticium per hoo nomen stnctissimum quod est
 homimum nomen quod est Anelseneton, etc."

1493 July 12. HARTMAN SCHEDEL. LIBER CHRONICARUM. [NUREMBERG CHRONICLE.]

$$
[\text { [JUREMBERG, ANTOV KOBERGER.] }
$$

Fol. Ia contains only the title as here reproduced, about one-third of full size of original, which measures $246 \times 178 \mathrm{~mm}$. Fol. ib blank. Fol. 2a, col. 1: Tabula operis huig de tem || poribus mundi. vt hiftoria'? rerūq, etc. Fol. 20b: Finit regiftrum. Fol. $21 a$ : Epitoma operū fex dierū de mūdi fabrica Prologus Foliū I || ( )Um apud doctiffimos, etc. Fol. 278b, line 39 (numbered ccLviii): Cartas aliquas fine fcriptura pro fexta etate deinceps relinquere conuenit. iudicio pofterio 7. $\tilde{q}$ emē $\|$ dare addere. atq $_{\text {, }}$ gefta principuni q priuatorum fuccedentium perfcribere poffunt. Non en ommia || poffumus omnes, etc. Fol. $279 a$ is entirely blank but for the headline, Sexta ctas mundi, and the number of leaf, ccLviiii. Except in these particulars, Folios 279, 280, and 281 are absolutely blank paper, hazing been so left, as the author has just informed us, for historical notes and corrections to be made by the ownerof the zolume. Fol. $282 a$ (mumbered ccLxii.): Septima etas mundi. Fol. 282b: a woodcut nearly the size of the page $(33 S \times 225 \mathrm{~mm}$.$) of the collapse of Antichrist.$ Fol. 285 (ccLxv.): Ultima etas mundi. Fol. 2856: a woodcut of the same size as the last described, of the Day of Judgment. Fol. 286a (ccLxvi.), line 23: Completo in famofifima Nurembergenfi vrbe Operi II de hyftorijs etatum mundi. ac defcriptione vrbium. fe- $\|$ lix imponitur finis. Collectum breui tempore Auxilio docto || ris Hartmāni Schedel qua fieri potuit diligentia. Anno xpî || Millefimo quadringentefimo nonagefimotercio. die quarto || menfis Junij. || Deo igitur optimo. fint laudes infinite. \|fol. 286b blank. Fol. $287 a$ (ccLevii): Sexta etas mundi. (This is the incorrect heading of some fow of the pages wihich follow, as they are really geographical treatises containing
large and spirited cngrazings of antious European citics and proiinces.) Fol. 319 (ccxcix.)b, and Fol. 320a, a zoodcut map of Europe, measuring $390 \times 574$ mum. Fol. 320b: Adeft nunc ftudiofe lector finis libri Cronicarum per \| viam epithomatis ... Caftigatūq, a viris || doctiffimis $v t$ magis elaboratum in lucem prodiret. Ad in $\|$ tuitū autem $\boldsymbol{z}$ preces prouidorū ciuiū. Sebaldi Schreyer || z Scbaftiani kamermaifter hunc librum dominus Antho || nius koberger Nuremberge impreffit. Adhibitis tame vi |l ris mathematicis pingendiq, arte peritiffimis. Michaele || wolgemut et wilhelmo Pleydenwurff. quar̄̄ (sic) folerti acu- \|f ratiffimaq, animaduerfione tum ciuitatum tum illuftrium $\|$ virorum figure inferte funt. Confummatũ autem duodeci- II ma menfis Julii. Anno falutis nre. 1493. II Fol. 321, without numeral, blank. Fol. 322, also without muneral, blank. Fol. 323a: De Sarmacia regione Europe. Fol. 327b: Ad deum optimū maximū de his que mirabilia geffit pro iuftiff \|| mo excelfo Maximiliano rege romanorum. || Then follow sixty-eight lines of Sapphic verse. : Laus deo. I| Fol. 328 blank. These last six leares of tert are likezvise ummmbered.

Folio, printed in gothic type, 328 leaves; the number of lines to a page varies, text of 62 lines measures $342 \times 223 \mathrm{~mm}$.; first twenty leaves unnumbered, after that numbered in roman caps, as hereinbefore described; no catchworls or signatures; about 2,000 woodcuts. The watermarks are (1) two-ended Latin cross; (2) gothic $\mathfrak{p}$; (3) gothic $\mathfrak{f}$; (4) crown ; (5) turret ? (two types). Hain, *14508, Proctor, 2084.

The first edition ; an edition in German closely resembling the present in many points, also printed by Koberger at Nuremberg, was issued on Dec. ${ }^{23}$, 1493; a second, also with Latin text, was printed at Augsburg in 1497 by Johann Shensperger, and the German text appeared in the same year, and in 1500 .

A fine copy, measuring $442 \times 300 \mathrm{~mm}$., and unusually perfect; slight water stains. In Mr. Quaritch's Typographical Monuments, 1897, the most perfect copy has five blank leaves, Folios 279, 280, 281, one after Fol. 286 and Fol. 292. Our copy has Folios 279, 280, 281, 321, 322, and 328 all blank. The arrangement of the quires in binding is different in different copies, but it seems to me that the proper sequence has been observed in ours. The book has evidently been read with much diligence and yet with great care ; the woodcuts are all uncoloured. On Fol. ${ }_{27} 8 b$, after the printer's note concerning the blank leaves, occurs this MS. inscription (the contractions being omitted):Ex nuremberga dignifima germanie metropoli per conftitutum muncium petrus polonus michi Gaspari Elephantutio hunc librum tradiddit (sic) cui tan proffecto me deainctum fateor quod In dies parte operis prospecto magis oblector. Datum Bonon: (?) .rxij septembris MI.D. .rij.

Ego Gaspar Funtutius mam propria. Petrus Praempskij Polonus.
This is the most important picture-book of the fifteenth century, a book which has furnished, and still continues to furnish where it is known, a store of amusement to old and young. A large edition must have been printed, for in spite of the destruction of copies which its great popularity must have entailed, it is still by no means rare. It is the fashion to decry it, and to declare it to be vastly overrated, and it is true that but little refined art is to be found in the illustrations which form its chief charm, but it is a monument of enterprise and of judicious catering for popular appreciation. Wohgremuth, mentioned in the colophon, was, as is well known, the artist under whom Albert Durer studied. Of his colleague, Pleydenzurff, little seems to be known. Although this delightful book is only too familiar to collectors, it can hardly be so to all of my readers, and I have therefore with much hesitation made for their amusement a selection of a very few of the illustrations for reproduction on a somewhat reduced scale. Before remarking on these, however, I ought to mention that the Liber Chronicarum is one of the books in which, at an early date, the invention of the Art of Printing is located in Mentz. The passage occurs on Fol. 272b, commencing with the words :-

Ars imprimendi libros hijs temporibus primū in germania enata ē Quātum igit littera 4
ftudiofi germanis debcant nullo fatis dicendi gencre exprimi poteft. hauc apud maguntiā rheni urbem folerti ingenio libro4 imprimendorū ratio 1440 inuenta fuiffe aiunt, etc.
This testimony will be referred to again when wc arrive at the Cologne Chronicle in the year 1499.

There is not, on the whole, to be found in the woodcuts of the Chronicle the sly or unintentional quaintness which characterizes the earlier days of wood-engraving. They are more ambitious than those, more laboured, but not so convincing, and yet a spirit of unconscious humour peeps out in many of them. Nothing can be more serious than the intention of the artist in his drawing, partly in section, of the Ark of Noah. In the foreground the squaring by the adze of one of the principal timbers, under the direct instructions of the Patriarch, gives us an exact notion of the costume and action of the carpenter of 1.493 . It will be observed from the sectional elevation that only a very rough scheme of location is attempted in the internal arrangements of the great vessel. The central position just under the roof-tree is allotted to


THE BUILDING OF THE ARK SUPERINTENDED BY NOAH. (SCHEDEL, L/BER CHRONTCARUAM, 1493.)
human habitations, and the eight persons seem to have much the best of it as regards proportionate space. In a long garret on the left side are stowed the tame animals (Habitatio mitium animalium), the wild beasts in a corresponding shelter on the left. The Apotheca herbarmm is no doubt the store-house of grain and fodder; the Apothein specierlm is just "The Store Kouse," species having in Low Latin, in addition to its semi-classical meaning of "spices," that of merchandise generally. Sanitary(?) arrangements (just under Noah's apartments) were not forgotten in this wholesale scheme of distribution. The Dove, it will be remarked, has put in an unnecessarily early appearance.


THE DESTRUCTION OF SODOM AND FATE OF LOT'S WIFE. (SCHEDEL, LIBER CHRONICARUM, 1493.)

The sad procession from the cities of the plain is treated with a certain amount of gravity and decorum. The artist has realized that it is a tragedy which is to be depicted. In our next illustration, however, the happy ending of the story of the Sacrifice of Isaac has left him free to handle the incident in a lighter mauner, of which the hilarious trot of Abraham and the gaiety of the he-goat are illustrative.

Little comment is needed upon the woodcuts on a subsequent page, but attention may be drawn to the extreme modernity of treatment shown in that of the three choristers, MFizahe?, Ananias, and Azarias, and to the want of imagination displayed in the attitudes of the Evangelist and his executioner.

The story of Pope Joan, her learning, the concealment of her sex, her elevation by common consent to the Papal


THE SACRIFICE OF ISAAC-IN TWO SCENES.
(SCHEDEL, LIBER CHRONICARU゙M, 5423.) Chair on the death of Leo IV., the humiliating discovery of her secret, her sudden death after a pontificate of two years, five months, and four days, and her dishonoured burial, with other strange particulars, are related here without the reserve considered needful by some contemporary chroniclers. "As Martin says" is the only expression which is used to relieve the writer from responsibility for the accuracy of the narrative. These are the words with which the history of the episode opens (the "ht ferunt" relating only to her German origin, and not to the rest of the story):-Joannes Anslicus (ot ut forment) Nogunciaco ortus, malis artibus pontificatum adeptus. Mentitus enim sexum, cum femina esset, adolescens admodum Athenas cum viro docto amatore proficixitur. Sbique preceptores bonarum artium audiendo, tantum profecit ut Romam zeniens paucos admodum etiam in sacris literis pares haberet, ne dum superiores. Legendo autem et disputando docto at acute tuntum


SATAN VEXING JOB.
(SCHEDEL, LIBEN CHRONICARUM, 1493.)


THE DESTRUCTION OF THE ALEXANDRIAN LIBRARY. (SCIHEDEL, LIBER CHRONICARUM, 1493.)

## 



THE SONG OF THE THREE "CHILDREN." (SCHEDEL, LIBER CHRONTCARUM, 1493.)


MARTYRDOM OF ST. JOHN.
(SCHEDEL, LIBER CHRON/CARC:H, 1493.)


JOANNES ANGLICUS-POPE JOAN. (SCHEDEL, LIBER CHROATCARUM, 1493.)
benerolentiae et auctoritatis sibi comparauit, ut mortuo Leone, in ejus locum (ut Martinus ait) ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ ) ommium consensu pontifex crearetur, etc., etc.

This story is told in almost the same words at much later, as well as at much earlier dates, in books, some of which are in my collection. I have already alluded to it in the notice of Platyna.

In an edition of 1513 of the Supplementum Chronicarum (first printed in $\mathbf{1 4 8 3}$ ), the history, very fully given, is prefaced merely by the words "ut tradunt"; and as late as 1548 , in an edition of the Mirabilia Urbis Roma, in a mention of the church of St. Clement, the incident is related for the edification of visitors to the Holy City, with the reservation "ut asseritur." I find no notices of the prohibition of these works in any of the editions of the Index Libronm Prohibitorum which I possess.

The goodly company whom you see depicted here made their contribution to history and this Chronicle about the year 1020. They were a merry party of eighteen cavaliers and fifteen dames, all living in the same town in the diocese of Magdeburg. On this particular occasion they made as unfortunate a selection in the matter of locale, as of occasion, when they decided to "coreizare" (play, sing, and dance) in the cemetery of their parish on Christmas Eve. Whether or not they imagined that the priest


COREIZANTES PER ANNUM. (SCHEDEL, LIBER CHRONICARUM, 1493.)
would allow them to do as they liked, by reason that his daughter was, as we shall hereafter discover, one of the mad party, does not appear ; but at any rate they declined to conform with his mild request to keep quiet or else go away, and continued their pranks and made game of his reproof. Then the old gentleman (amaricatus) losing his temper, prayed, I regret to say, with imprecations, that they might keep up the sport they so much relished for a whole year without intermission. And so they did, and incredible as it may appear to some, neither dew nor rain fell on them the while, neither their legs nor lungs grew weary, nor did any pangs of hunger afflict them, their shoes and their gay attire alike remained intact, and still they danced, and drummed, and fifed, and sang at the top of their voices till the twelve-
month had rolled by. And not till then did IForebert, the archbishop of the diocese, come to the spot and release them all from the knot which the priest had tied, absolve and reconcile them before the altar of that very church-too late, alas! for some, for the priest's daughter and two others at once passed away, others slept right off for three nights, and shortly after departed this life. The rest, among whom was Ubertus, the narrator of this strange story, were quits for a lifelong twitching of the limbs (chorea).

Let the reader who has the opportunity buy or borrow a copy of the Nuremberg Chronicle.

## 1493. JOHANNES DE LAPIDE. RESOLUTORIUM DUBIORUM CIRCA CELEBRATIONEM MISSARUM OCCURRENTIUM.

[COLOGNE, HEINRICH QUENTELL.]
Fol. $1 a$ : Refolutorin̄ dubio4 circa || celebratione miffa 4 occur || rentium. p venerabilem patrem dominum Johannem de || lapide doctorem Theologum parifienfem ordinis Cartufi || enfis. ex facrorum canonum probatorumq, doctorum fen || tentijs diligenter collectum. || W'codcut of Master and two puppils ("accipics"). Fol. $1 b$ blank. Fol. 2a: Summarium Operis C Subfequentis operis, ctc. Fol. $5 b$ : Incipit tractatus dubio 4 ac difficultatu 5 circa officium miffe, etc. Fol. 24a, line 44 : Explicit refolutorī̄ dubio4 circa celebratōcm miffay occurrentiū || Impreffum Colonic p Henricū Quētell. Anno dīn. M.cccc.xciii. |

4 to, printed in gothic type, 24 leazes, 45 lines to a page, text, including marginal notes, macasures $142 \times 109$ min.; no mumerals or catchwords; signatures $\mathbf{a}-\mathrm{d}$ in sixes; headines in large type, Folios 1-4, Summarī̄ operis, aftervards Capitulum Secundum-decimum, on Fol. 5b, Summarium (printer's error for Capitulum); spaces loft for initials, no initial dircctors. The watermarks are (1) gothic $\mathfrak{p}$, short stylus, and quatrefoil; (2) crown and fleur-de-lys? Hain, *9906, Proctor, 1320.

Many editions of this tract were printed in the fifteenth century. This is probably the second with a date, Froben having printed one in 4492.

A large copy, measuring $208 \times 14 \mathrm{~mm}$., rubricated throughout ; somewhat stained ; some coeval MS. notes.

Under the date 1473 (S. Thomas Aquinas, Tractatus de Periculis) I have had occasion to refer to the subject of the Cautele for the guidance of the officiating priest during the celebration of the Eucharist. That tract bears about the same proportion to the present as a primer to a scientific grammar, or a vocabulary to a dictionary. The work of John de Lapide contains instructions as to the course to be followed under every possible contingency, so minute and so admirably tabulated that by a reference in the first place to the Summarium, which forms an exhaustive index, and in the second to the body of the treatise, the celebrant could discover in a few seconds how each and every unfortunate oversight or error could be most speedily corrected. In the article (Cap. VII., Art. 3) on doubts about the wine of the Eucharist, it is set forth that

The Wine must be the wine of the Vine, and therefore must not be composed of

Aqua vinata, i.e., water which has been poured on heaps of grape skins after the expression of the juice, Agrestum, that is the expressed juice of unripe grapes (verjuice),
Vinegar,

Because it is not wine.

But in case of necessity " must" may be lawfully made use of ; and it is permissible, though not proper (potest sed non debet) in case of necessity to employ wine unmixed with water.

Heinrich Quentelf's was one of the most productive presses of the fifteenth century. The first issues

> Berolutozin oubioq circa celebsationé miflas occur rentium. $p$ venerabilan patrem oominum Zobainnein be Gopuc ooctozem Tbeologumparificurem. ozdinis $\{$ artufi enfic. ecfacrozum canonum probatozumes doctoruin fen rentije siligenter collectum.


TIIIL-PAGE OF RESOLUTORIUM. (QUE:VTELL, 474.) are of the year 1479, and the last of 1498 or 1500 . Of editions proceeding from it during that period there are no less than 189 in the B.M. or Bodleian. He died in the summer of I501, and the colophons of books printed in his office in the sixteenth century contain such records as the following :- 1502, In officina salubris memorie Henrici Quentell; 1503, In officina Henrici Quentell; 1504, In domo Quentell; 1505, In penatibus bene recordationis Henrici Quentell; 1506, in impressoria officina Quentell-Apud liberos quondam Henrici Quentell, In domo honestorum liberorum quondam Henrici Quentell. The press of the sons seems to have continued to exist till 1524 , in which year Peter Quentell is printing on his own account.

The woodcut which forms the Title of this book makes its appearance very repeatedly, not only in works from the press of Quentell in which it first occurs, but also with a variation in some of those printed by Schönsperger at Augsburg, 1497, and blocks of different designs, but on the same main lines, occur in those of Arnold of Cologne at Leipsig (circu 1500), Johann von Amerbach at Basle (?), and Melchior Lotter at Leipsig. There are at least six different versions of this Accipies woodcut, and an interesting and cxhaustive illustrated monograph on the subject by Mr. Proctor appeared in Bibliographica, Vol. I., I 895 , to which alone I am indebted for the present information. The words Accipies tanti doctoris dogmata fancti are common to all these illustrations. All the varieties which succeed the original of Quentell are of a cruder type. The first book in which the Accipies cut appears to be the Alexandri Doctrinaie, printed by Quentell in r491. Hain, *705.

## [Circa 1493.] (JACOBUS WIMPHELING.) ORATIO QUERULOSA.

[SPIRE OR SPIER, CONRAD IIST:]
Fol. 1a: Oratio querulofa contra || Inuafores Sacerdotum. || Fol. 1b blank. Fol. $2 a$ : Flaminū: vatum: Sacerdotū Gymnofophiftarum $\|$ philofopho 4 druidū. In Teftipremos Conqueftio. || ( )I unquā beatiffime || Maximeq, Pontifex Alexander opus fuit \|| auxilio z defenfione Romane fedis, etc. Fol. 7 b, line 30: quos neq, maximi $\mathrm{q} d e \bar{I}$ Impatores aufi fuerunt unquam $|\mid$ dijudicare. Dixi. \|| Then followe on this page four lines, and on Fol. Ba nine lines of herameters, ending: Heu tua mens ftygia reftat crucianda palude. II

4to, printed in gothic type, 8 leaves, 35 lines to a pagc, text measures $138 \times 87 \mathrm{~mm}$; ; without mumerals or catchzoords; signature, a-a8; space left for initial on Fol. 2, no initial divectors. The watermark is a gothic p. Hain, 12026, also under 16193, Proctor, 2445.

Perhaps the first edition of this curious tract, of which at least five editions were printed in the fifteenth century? It is one of the broadly-satirical productions of Wimpheling of Schlestadt (1450-1528), scholar and reformer, friend of Geyler von Kaiserberg, Erasmus and other men of advanced ideas. Its design is to ridicule the assumption by clerics of extravagant worldly fashions in dress. It possesses besides a special bibliographical interest as containing (on Fol. 3b) a mention of Mentz as giving birth路 to the printing press. Duarwm nobilissimarum arcium inuencione, pre ceteris nacionibus iam
pridem meruerat eximiam Germania laudem quarum altera rei bellice, altera philosophice famularetur. Constat cuim olim bombardas, et nostris iam temporibus Calchographiam hoc est impressoriam artem in nobilissima germanie Urbe Maguncia fuisse repertam. Nune rero nozum tormenti genus inventum cst, etc.

A good, clean copy, measuring $185 \times 135 \mathrm{~mm}$.

1494 Aug. II. ANTHOLOGIA EPIGRAMMATUM GRECORUM.
[FLORENCE, LORENZO DI FRANCESCO DI ALOPA.]

Fol. $1 a$ blank. Fol. ib, table. Fol. 2a: APXIOr. EIS TOYS TEESAPAS I ATSNAS. || ( )ESEAPES EISIN A「̂ीNED, etc. The text ends on Fol. 272b, line 23: TH̃ AӨYPOSTOMIHS, OI MIEEOI KAAAMOI. I| Fol. 273a, an Epigram by Lascaris subscribed AASKAPESS. Fol. 273b, a letter from Lascaris to Peter de Medici, ending on Fol. 2796 with the colophon: IMPRESSVM FLORENTIAE PER LAVRENTIVM FRANCISCI || DE ALOPA VENETVM.III. IDVS AVGVSTI. M, CCCC \| LXXXXIII.

4to, printed in uncial Greck type, 279 leaves, 28 lines to a pagc, text measures $160 \times 98 \mathrm{~mm}$.; no mumerals or catchwords; signatures in Greek caps on bottom line of text, $\mathrm{A}-\Omega$ and $\mathrm{AA}-\mathrm{KK}$ in cights, the last six leaves having no signatures; spaces left for large initials, no initial directors. The watermarks are (1) a ladder in circle, surmounted by star of six points; (2) an cagle displayed; (3) a short column with base and cap, the latter crowned; (4) a cardinal's hat, inverted. Hain, ${ }^{*}$ II45, Proctor, 6406.

The only edition in the fifteenth century. This is the third book from the press of Lorenzo di Francesco di Alopa, beginning in 1492 or 1493 , and terminating, in so far as is known from dated editions, in 1496 . The book is well-known and sought after. It is the earliest of five volumes, editions de cuxe, all printed in capital letters, issuing from the same press. In many copies, as in the present, the last seven
leaves, containing the Epistle of Lascaris and the colophon, are wanting. This deficiency gave rise at one time to a belief in the existence of an undated edition. All the copies printed on vellum seen by De Bure wanted these leaves, but in the B.M. two such copies exist, each of which has the last quire. Maittaire (Annales Typographici, 1719) accounts for this deficiency of the Epistle of Lascaris in many copies by a theory of their abstraction from the volumes by collectors of literary curiosities, and on these pours out his scorn, but in order that the public may not be losers by the mean theft, reprints for their benefit in capital letters the whole epistle. It was reserved for Roscoe, in the Catalogue of his own library (Liverpool, 1816) to furnish a more plausible suggestion of a reason for the mutilation. The Anthologia was published at Florence in August, 1494. In September following the French under Charles VIII. entered Italy, and Peter de Medicis, to whom this letter of Lascaris was addressed, was speedily driven out of Florence. The publisher doubtless hastened to remove from his book the dedication to a proscribed noble, and the issuing of the mutilated copies may in all probability be assigned to a date just subsequent to these events.

A good, clean copy, measuring $221 \times 153 \mathrm{~mm}$., in which Fol. $2 a$ has been delicately illuminated in gold and colours. Fine Old English morocco binding (a petits fers), rose, thistle, shamrock, and acorn. At the foot of the page are the arms of a former possessor, surrounded by a wreath of fleur-de-lys; azure, a fesse, or, between four fleurs-de-lys, three and one of the same. As before stated, it wants the Epistle of Lascaris.

## [1494-8.] GULIELMUS DE OCKHAM. DIALOGUS INTER CLERICUM ET MILITEM. <br> [COLOGNE, HEINRICH QUENTELL.]

Fol. $1 a$ : Dyalogus inter || clericum $\neq$ militem fuper digni || tate papali et regia. || De natiuitate ct moribus || Antichrifti || Fol. ibblank. Fol. 2a: Difputatio inter clericum et || militem fup poteftate prelatis ecclefte atg $_{k}$ principibg terra $4 \|$ commiffa fub forma dyalogi incipit feliciter. \| ( )Lericus fermonis fui exordī̄ fub hac forma po- \| fuit dicens, etc. Fol. 86 : Compendium de vita An- \| tichrifti. || ( )Irca ftatū, etc. Fol. gb, line 35: C Explicit cōpendiuz de natiuitate vita ₹ morte. Antixpii || Impreffum Colonie Per Henricum Quentell. Fol. 10 blank.

4 to, printed in gothic letter, 9 printed leaves, 37 lines to a page, text measures $147 \times 86 \mathrm{~mm}$.; no numerals nor catchwords; signatures Aa six leaves, Bb four leaves; muning title in large type; spaces for large initiols, no initial directors. The watermarks are (I) gothic pand Latin cross; (2) hand from cuff, short stylus, and Latin cross; (3) jug. Hain, *6144, Proctor, 1446.

Large, clean copy, measuring $204 \times 140 \mathrm{~mm}$.
This edition of a treatise prohibited by the Tridentine and later Indices is like all others rare. There is no copy in the Bodleian. This work formed the basis of the Songe du Vergier, see page 191, supra.


FROM THE TITLE-PAGE OF TERENTIUS. (RETVYIARD, 145.)

1496 Nor: i. PUBLIUS TERENTIUS AFER. COMEDIÆ CUM DIRECTORIO GLOSSA ET COMMENTARIIS.

[STRASBURG, JOHANN REINHARD OF GRÜVINGEN.]

Fol. Ia: Abore a large woodeut of a theatre, at the foot of which is cngraved the word THEATRUM, is the title of the work, of which the first two zeords are xylographic and the rest in gothic type. Terentig cū Directorio Glofa īterlineali comētariis, etc. Fol. 16 blank. Fol. $2 a$ : Terentii directorī̄ voєabulorum || vocabularij vicem fupplēs incipit. || Fol. $4 a$ : EINIS (sic pro FINIS). Fol. 4b-5b: Directorī̄ Adagionū (sic). Fol. 6a: THERENTII VITA EXCERPTA \| DE DICTIS .D.F. PETRARCHA. \| Fol. 6b: A repetition of the full-page woodcut of a theatre as on Fol. 1a, but without the title. Fol. 7a: Andria Terentii Folium I. II Fol. 7b, full-page woodcut representing the personages in the Andria. On Fol. 34b, the cast of the Eunuchi ; on Fol. 66b, that of the Heautontimorumenos; on Fol. 92a, that of the Adelphi ; on Fol. 122b, that of the Phormio ; and lastly, on Fol. 153a, that of the Heeyra are in like manner pourtrayed in woodents ocoupying the entire page. Fol. 1796 : 1 mmpreffum (sic) in Imperiali at vrbe libera Argentina Per ma- \|| giftrum Ioannē Grūninger accuratiffime nitidiffimeq, elabo || ratū \& denuo reuifum atq ${ }_{3}$ collectum ex diuerfis commētariis || Anno incarnatiōis dominica Millefimoquaterq, centefimono \|l nagefimofexto. Kalendarū vero Nouembrium. Finit foliciter. ||

Folio, printed in roman and gothic type, I79 leazes, 70 lines of the Commentary to a page, text measures $228 \times 156$ minn.; six leaves without munerals, then numbered in roman mumerals from I. to CLXXVI.; no catchuords; signatures, $\mathbf{a}$ six lanees, $\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{c}$ in cights, $\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{z}$ in sives, $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{E}$ in sixes, F seien leaves; 166 woodouts. The watermarks are (1) small bull's head, no stylus; (2) very small bull's head, short stylus, and Latin eross; (3) longer ditto and star; (4) a Tau; (5) bull's head, long horns, stylus surmounted by Tau; (6) the same design, but smaller; (7) thick double-ended Latin cross; (8) fleur-de-lys; (9) small Latin cross with rounded ends on square base. Hain, ${ }^{\text {¹ }} 543$ I, Proctor, 473.

This is the first of the very remarkable series of four illustrated volumes printed by Reinkard (often called Grïninger), the second being the Horatius of $\mathbf{1 4 9 8}$, shortly to come under notice, the third the Betius of 1501, also in this collection, the fourth the Virgitius of 1502 . A second edition of the Terentius was issued from the same press on Feb. 11, 1499.

A notable feature of these popular editions of the classies, and especially of the present volume, was the abundance of illustrative woodeuts and the ingenious way in which the enterprising printer made the best of his stock of bloeks, an example of which is to be found in the reproductions on another page. The representations of the different personages were so designed as to be readily placed side by side in the same border in any consecutive order required by the text, and the landseapes in the same way lent themselves to subdivision, so as to form bits of scenery suitable to the scene. The personages were to be recognised by scrolls placed over their heads, and in those cases where, for example, there were only two actors in a scene, and yet the printer wished to fill it up, he made no seruple of erasing the name of another actor from his scroll, so as to leave a dummy to complete the picture. The Terentius, the only dramatic work in the scries, was the best fitted for this ingenious procedure, which was not so successful in the later volumes.

Perhaps the earliest woodcut representation of a theatre is to be found in this very curious volume. The reproduction is considerably reduced from the original engraving, which measures $245 \times 167 \mathrm{~mm}$. It will be observed that the two tiers of boxes, under a roof in the flamboyant Gothic style, are raised high above the stage. The actors are in the foreground, and behind them is the pit, or perhaps the stage boxes. Some amusement may be derived from a study of the attitudes of the occupants of the upper boxes. Then, as now, all eyes were not necessarily fixed upon the actors, and there is some rather


WOODCUT TITLE OF PHORMIO, (TEREVTIUVS, RETNHARD, 5436.)


ANTIPHO ON THE STAGE ALONE. TERENTIUS PHORNIO, ACT V., SC. 4 (RETVHARD, 1496).


ANTIPHO AND PHORMIO. TERENTIUS PHORAIO, ACT V., SC. 5 (RI:/N//ARD, 1436).
demonstrative love-making in the principal box, which does not appear as yet to have attracted the notice of the sleepy father or husband, and the indifference of many of the spectators to the progress of the play is very obvious. As I have already remarked, the text of each of the six comedics is preceded by a full-page woodcut of its cast. That which I have selected for reproduction is the Phormio (measuring in the original $248 \times 166 \mathrm{~mm}$.). In this, as in all the other plays, the reader's comprehension of the plot is assisted by lines drawn from one personage to another. In this manner Chremes, the marricd Athenian who knew no better than to have another Mrs. Chremes at Lemnos, is connected with Stilpho, his alias when away from his home at Athens. The line from Demipho in the foreground to Demipho in the distance merely indicates that he had been alsent abroad. Phedria, Cheremes' son, is linked to his innamorata Pamphila the dancing-girl, and Antipho the son of Demipho, in like manner to Phanium, his beloved, daughter of the Lemnian wife of his uncle Chemes. Other characters, /'hormio the parasite, Davus the servant, Geta the tutor, Dorio the procurer, Nausistrata the Athenian wife, and Sosistrata the nurse are all sufficiently indicated by their labels. The judges, Cratinus, Crito, and /hegio, are comfortably ensconced in little boxes to the left. In Scene XX., or as we call it, Act V., Scene 4, Antipho is uttering a soliloquy, and to give importance to the fact the stage is kept entirely clear of scenery or dummies. The next seene, XXI. (Act V., Scene 5) is presented by Phormio and Antipho. The personage to the right, now merely a super, was the Clinia of the Heautontimonumenos but having served his turn in that earlier play had his name removed from the scroll aloove his head to fit him for his present inglorious part.

Johann Reinhard began to print in 1483, and his press was active till 1531. The investigation of his productions, and of his relations with other printers is a matter of great complexity and difficulty, as may be seen in Mr. Proctor's analysis of the types used by him, of which there are no fewer than twentyfive varieties.

A good, sound copy, measuring $285 \times 201 \mathrm{~mm}$., formerly in the possession of the celebrated classical critic, Joh. Aug. Ernesti (1707-1781).

[Circa 1496.] GEORGIO DI LORENZO CHIARINI. EL LIBRO CHE

TRACTA DI MERCATANTIE.

[FLORENCE, BARTOLOMIIEO DI LIBRI.

Fol. 1a: © Quefto e/ ellibbro che tracta di Mereatatie \& ufanze depacfi. The rest of the page is occupied by a woodut of a money-changer's shop. Fol. ib blank. Fol. $2 a$ : the index begins, Alleghe filauora in piu terre : Capi. C.xciiii. II C \| Come il pefo di Firenze fa in piu terre. Ca. Primo il ete. The index ends on Fol. $6 a$ : Vinegia con Ancona Capi. c. 1. \|l Fol. $6 b$ blank. Fol. 7a: CINCOMINCIA IL LIBRO DI TVCTI || ECHOTVMI. CAMBI. MONETE. || pefi. mifure. \& ufanze di lectere di cā- || bi. \& termini di decte lectere che || nepaefi fichoftuma \& in/ || diuerfe terre. \| LIBRE CENTO DI FIRENZE fan || no in Siena libre cēto tre imperugia lib- || bre. c. ii. in. c. iiii. I Lucca libbre cento. ii. || in Pifa libbre cēto ciuque \& horac/ tucto uno con || quel di Firēze, etc. Fol. Sib, the colophon 価here reproduced, full size.

## CImpreflo in Firenze appetitione das Ser Pieso da Pécia.


colophon of lifro di mercantie.
(BART. DIA IIBRI, circa ra36.)

Sro, printed in roman type, 81 leazes, 24 lines, text measures $117 \times 80 \mathrm{~mm}$. ; without numerals or catchwords; signatures, a six leaves, a-t in fours. No watermark. Hain, 4955, Proctor, 6255.

Only two editions of this remarkable little book were printed in the fifteenth century, the other being from the very limited press of Francesco de Dino of Florence, dated July 10, 1481. No less than one hundred and twenty books from the press of Bartolommeo di Libri are recorded by Mr. Proctor.

A very clean and perfect copy, measuring $144 \times 106 \mathrm{~mm}$. This extremely rare and pretty little volume is of much importance for the history of commerce in Europe in the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries. In its pages are to be found the exchange of monies between various countries and towns, correlations of weights and measures, weights and values of coins, terms of payment of letters of exchange, all in the greatest detail, giving a lively insight into the extraordinary complication at this period environing all merchants' and bankers' computations. A chapter (clxxii.) is devoted to the usages (Coftumi) of London. The quarter of grain of London is eight bushels, the equivalent of eight "ftaia" of Florence.

## CTOueftoe elllbbroche tracta diMeratâtre \& ufanze depaefs



IITLE OF I.IPRO DI MERCANTIE. (B.AR\%. DI LIBRI, circa 496.$)$
(THE EANLIEST HOODCUT OF A EAAKER'S COUNTER.)
Two yards and a quarter of London cloth measure one chana of Genoa. A hundred yards of London cloth make in Sybilia a hundred and six vare, but the buger gets a rebate of 4 per cent. for damage by the folding of the cloth. A hundred yards of English fine eloth (tela) are equal to one hundred and forty Flemish vare, and this measure is greater than that of woollen cloth shrunk two inches. Wool is sold by the sack of one hundred and fifty-two chiovi, and each chiovo weighs seven Iondon pounds of sixteen ounces. All merchandise which is sold by the quintal (chantare) are disposed of at 128 lbs . per quintal, and all those sold in London by the hundredweight at 112 lbs . per cwt. Wine and oil are sold by the tun
or pipe, two pipes equalling one tun. The whole island of England has one weight and one measure, and the measure of oil is just as large as that of winc. Gold and siluer thread are weighed just as at Ginoa, with the same weights.

The enormous preponderance at this epoch of the trade of Venice and Florence over that of all other Italian cities is indicated by the fact that out of the one hundred and nincty-four chapters in this volume, eighty-one are devoted to the former and sixty-two to the latter city. The coursc of Letters of Exchange (lectere di cambi) between various places receives full attention in this treatise. Between Florence and Pisa, for example, these letters or bills were drawn at three days from sight, between Florence and Venice at five days, between Florence and London three months, from Milan to Paris or Bruges two months. Under the heading (C) Coftumi di piu merchatantic. Cap. C.lxxxii., full particulars are given to the buyer of drugs, spices, $\mathbb{\&}$., for his guidance in the selection by physical characteristics of the best descriptions of these articles. Assafotida must possess a mingled rosy and white colour ; Sal Ammoniac must be white, granular, and clean ; Cinnamon (chanella) should have a rosy appearance, be hollow, and have a sweetly-mordant flavour, and so it shall be good ; Gum Arabic (Ghommerabica) must be white, large, and clean, Laudanum dark and odoriferous; Musk should be rosy-coloured, and have the fragrance of Cloves, with a slightly bitter and strong odour : if it be placed in the mouth the odour penetrates swiftly to the brain.

1497 Mar. 14. S. BERNARDUS. PSALTERIUM BEATE MARIE VIRGINIS.

> [IENICE, JOH.ANN HAMMAN OR HERTZOG.]

Fol. Ia (in red): Pfalteriū beate Marie \| virginis. Compofitū || per deuotiffimum || doctorem San || ctum Ber || nardu5. || (in black): Et. (in red): Pfalterium beati| Hieronymi || prefby- || teri. || Fol. ib blank. Fol. $2 a$ (in red): © Prologus in pfalteriu 5 compo- || fitum per deuotiffimum doctorem || fanctum Bernardus abbatem: ad || laude gloriofiffime virginis dei ge || nitricis Marie. || Line 6 (in black) : Arripe illā ₹ ex'|| altabit te: glori- || ficaberis ab ea || cū eā fueris am || plexatus: dabit || capiti tuo au || gmēta gratia?:|| ctc. Fol. 5h, full-page zoodcut of S. Bernard praying to the l'irgin Mary (sce facsimile). Fol. 6a (in red): © Incipit pfalterium beate marie || virginis cditū a fancto Bernardo. ( )Eatus vir || qui diligit || nomen tu || um virgo || maria: gra || tia tua eig || animam || gfortabit. || Tanquā || lignu5a aq̃-|| rum fontibus irrigatu5: vberrimos || fructus iufticic propagabit, etc. Fol. $42 a$ : (D) Ixit domi/ || nus domi/ || ne noftre, II etc. (see facsimile). Fol. 57b, line 11, the psalter cnds, Aue Maria. (in red) Cāticū, || then folloze eight Canticles. Fol. 62b, line 3 (in red) : Symbolū marie. || Quicūq, vult faluus effe ante \|I oīa opus eft: vt teneat de ma $\|$ ria firmā fidem. Quā nifi quifq, $\overline{1} \| \mid$ tegrā inuiolatāq, feruauerit : $\mathrm{abfq}_{3} \|$ dubio in etcrnū peribit. Quoniā || ipfa fola virgo manēs peperit: fola || cūctas herefes interemit. Confun $\|$ datur $\boldsymbol{\chi}$ erubefcat hebreus: qui dicit $\| x \mathrm{P} \mathrm{m}$ ex iofeph femīe effe natū. Cō \|fundaũ manicheus: q xp̃m fictū di \| cit habere corpus, etc. Fol. $63 b$ (in red): © Cāticū ad laudē virginis marie.\| (In black): Te mr̃em dei laudame: te \|| mariā virginē cōfitemur. \| Te eterni patris fpōfa 5 : $\|$ omnis terra venerat̃. Ti || bi oēs angeli $\neq$ archangeli : tibi oēs \|principatus fuaister feruiunt, etc. Fol. 65 a, line 13 (in red): © Letania beatc marie valde deuoll ta. de qua dicitur $q$ quicūq, eā qua $\|$ libet die dixerit in honore ipfig: cam $\|$ āte mortē videbit qualifcū $q_{\text {, }}$ pecca \| tor vel peccatrix fit. vel nūq, morte \|| fubitanea morietur: nec ab inimico \| fuperabitur. || Kyriet. Xpeleifon Kyrielei || fon, etc. Fol. Sob, line 20 (in red): © Laus deo. || Fol. SI wenting in this copy, probably a title printed in red. Fol. 82a (in red): © Prologus in pfalteriuum (sic) beati || hieronymi prefbyteri z doctoris \|\| (in black): Beatus hierol|| nymus, etc. Fol. 95b, line $10($ in $r e d): \mathbb{C}$ Pfalterium beatiffime dei geni $\|$ tricis virginis Marie: vna cū Pfal || terio diui Hieronymi prefbyteri: certifquoq, orationibus deuotiffi- \|| mis ₹ efficaciffimis
eiufdem glorio｜｜fiffime virginis Maric：diligēti ftu \｜f dio $\neq$ deuotione：per domnum（sic） De｜｜fiderium noui caftri ：ordinis fancti｜｜Benedicti ：precatu $\widetilde{\neq}$ inftantia Hē－｜｜Fol．96a： rici de alba ciuitatis Metēfis：emē \｜｜datū ac reuifum ：de fpāli gr̄a Illu－｜｜ftriffimi Venetiarū dn̄ij：Sub fe＂reniffimo prīcipe Auguftino Bar \｜｜badico Impfffum ：feliciter explicit：\｜Anno virginalis partus poft Mil－｜｜lefimu；quaterq，cētefimu；nonage \｜f fimofeptimo：Decimo vero octa－｜｜uo kalēdis Aprilis．｜｜

16 mo ，printed in gothic type in red and black， 96 leaves， 20 lines in a page，text measures $67 \times 46 \mathrm{~mm}$. ；without mumerals or catchwords；signatures a－m in eights；space left for large initial on Fol．6a，all other initials printed cither in ornamental wroodcut or in red．The watermark is scales in circle，surmounted by stylus and flower．Not in Hain，not in Proctor．

No copy in British Museum or Bodleian．
1 can find no bibliographical note whatever of this curious and charming book．There were two editions at least in the fifteenth century of the Psalterium Beata lirginis Marie of S．Bonaventure，but that by S．Bernard is apparently unknown．But Holtrop（Cat．Lib．in Bib．Reg．Hagana），Part I．， No．199，gives the collation of a little book of 72 leaves，and like ours of twenty lines，printed at Antwerp by Gerard Leeu in 149r，entitled：－S．Bernardus Souter tot onser liever vrouwen Maria． Each leaf is surrounded with a woodcut border，and there are woodcut letters in the book．It seems reasonable to suppose that that may be the Dutch version of the same work．Of Jokann Hamman or Hertsog，at whose press it was produced，there are in the B．M．some twenty volumes，from Oct．19，1490， to May 27,1500 ．He printed with Hermann Lichtenstein in 1482，by himself till the end of the century， and was associated with Piter Lichtenstein in 1501.

A fine copy of an excessively rare and very pretty little volume，measuring $96 \times 68 \mathrm{~mm}$ ．； Folios 71，72，and 81 appear to be wanting．

 $f$

TRAVESTY OF CぶTu．PSALM，PSALTERIUM
VIRGINIS．（JOHANV HAMMAAN，1497．）


MONSTRA TE ESSE MATREM.
(PSALTERIUM I゙IRGINLS, 497.$)$

The Isalterinm Beate Mariee I'minis is a literary or theological as well as a typographical curiosity. Every psalm is travestied, the first words of the Vulgate being, as far as is practicable, used for the beginning of the address to the Virgin Mary, and the remainder of the psalm, greatly abbreviated, so worded as to resemble the original in sound. Take for instance Psalm XXVI. Vulgrate-Dominus illuminatio mea et falus mea quem timebo? Psult. B.I. -Domina illuminatio mea fit fplendor facici tue. I"ulgate-In petra cxaltavit me : et nunc cxaltavit caput meum fuper inimicos meos. Psalt. B.l.-Exalta caput meum fuper inimicos meos: et cgo pfalmum nomini tuo cantabo. lulgate-Ne avertas faciem tuam a me et ne declines in ira a fervo tuo. Psalt. B.I:-Ne avertas faciem tuam a me: et fpeciem ac decorem tuum ardenti defiderio concupifcam, etc., ctc. The Symbohnm Marice again (Fol. 62b) is an audacious adaptation of the Athanasian Creed, as will be seen by a reference to the prortion cited above, whilst the Canfiam ad laudem virginis Marioe (Fol. $\sigma_{3}$ h) travesties the $T_{e}$ Deum in a shameless fashion. The advantages to be derived from a daily recital of the Ledonia (Fol. 6507 ) are, it will be seen, of a startling character.

## 1497 April 26. BibliA LATINA CUM CONCORDANTIIS.

[STRASBURG, JOHANV REINHAKD OF GRÜHNNGEN.]
Fol. 1a: Title-page, Biblia cū Concordantiis || Veteris ct Noui teftamēti || Sanctus Hicronimus interpres biblie. II Large woodcut of St. Jerome, who is shown in the left-hand compartment kneeling at a crucifix, in the right translating the Bible into Latin; undernenth eight lines of Latin verse. Fol. 16 blank. Fol. $2 a$ : Tabula alphabetil ca. Then follow the Alphabetical Table, the Order of the Books, a Summary of the Bible, the Epistle of St. Jerome to Paulinus, the prologue to the Pentateuch. Fol. 11 verso, col. 2: Incipit liber Genefis $|\mid$ qui dicitur hebraice brefith. Fol. 471b, col. 2, line 3 I: Finit Biblia cū cṑcordātijs ve \|t teris z


END OF PROLOGUE OF ST. JEROME, AND BECINNING OF FIRST PSALM, BIBIIA. (JOHATAF RESVLI.AKD, 1497.) noui teftamēti: Argenti if ne ĩpffũ Anno dn̄i M: cece. xevij. II Sexto vero kalendas Maij. II Fol. 472 blank. Fol. 473 a: lneipiunt in $|\mid$ terpretationes hebraycorum no $\|$ minum, etc. Fol. 492a: Expliciunt interpretationes || hebraycorum nominum.

Folio, printed in gothic type, 492 leares, double columns, $5+$ lines to a column, marginal references; text measuves $222 \times 143(=68+7+68)$ mm.; without numesals or catchzoords; signatures, first eight leaves 2 etc., then a-z, aa-zZ, $\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{Z}_{5}$, on which ends the text, all in eights; spaces for
initicls and initial directors. The watermarks are (1) dreiberg; (2), (3), (4), cross on a base, varieties ; (5) two-ended thick Latin cross ; (6) bull's head, short stylus, and Tau ; (7) bull's head with very long curled horns, short stylus, and Tau; and others. Hain, *3122, Proctor, 479.

Reinharl, whom I have already noticed under the year 1496 , had previously printed other Latin bibles in 1483 and 1492. His press, established in 1483, went on till 1531 . Some typographical errors in this edition are mentioned by Le Long and Masch, but that shown in the facsimile, viz., the strange elision of the second syllable of the word imfionm in the first verse of the first Psalm, seems to have escaped their notice.

Good copy, measuring $282 \times 223 \mathrm{~mm}$., rubricated throughout, and with a large number of illuminated initials, freely and artistically designed. The Interpretationes are not found in this copy.

## 1497. IOA. MEDER. QUADRAGESIMALE DE FILIO PRODIGO.

$$
[B A S L E, \text { MICHAEL FURTER.] }
$$

Fol. 1a: Quadragefimale $\|$ nouum editum ac predicatum a quodā || fratre minore de obferuantia in inclita ci $\|$ uitate Bafflieñ. de filio prodigo et de an \| gelo ipfius ammonitione falubri per fer || mones diuifum. || Fol. ib: In fermones de filio prodigo Car- $|\mid$ mina Sebaftiani Brant Doctoris || vtriufq iuris. Fol. $2 \pi$ : Incipit quadra || gefimale nouum edi- || tum et predicatum a || quoda亏 fratre minore || de obferuantia de filio || prodigo in inclita ciui $|\mid$ tate Baflieñ. Anno dn̄i M.cccc.xciiij. il Fol. 223b, the text finishes: cui eft gloria et honor patri z | filio et fpirituifancto omnipo \|| tenti deo in excelfis in fecula || feculo \%. AMEN. Fol. 224 a is occupied by the colophon and printer's mark here reproduced. Fol. 224b blank. Fol. 225a: Incipit Tabula Ser- || monū precedentium. \| The table finishes on Fol. 231 a. Fol. 23 Ib blank.

Svo, printed in gothic type, 231 leaves, two columns, 34 lines to a column, text measures $109 \times 7 \mathrm{I}$ ( $=34+3+34)$ mm. ; without mumerals or eatchwords; signatures, $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{z}$ and $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{E}$ in eights; cighteen cooodcuts. The watermark is a small bull's head, short stylus between horns, surmounted by Greek cross and star of five points. Hain, *I3629, Proctor, 7736.

Only two editions of this curious work were printed in the fifteenth century, of which this is the second. It is practically identical with the first, which issued from the same press in 1495 .

A remarkably fine and perfect copy (with rough leaves), in the original binding of boards covered with panclled calf, of this quaint little volume, interesting alike in respect of the very telling engravings and of the way in which the parable of the Prodigal Son is presented to the reader. In the fifty sermons of which the work consists,

## Explicít quadragefimale oc pfecta cöucrione pécatozisad ocum per veram penitentiam fub parabolafí lï pdigicxaratū. \$mpzeffum'1Ban lee per 4 Áchaelē furter riluē ba, filicí. Zanno incarnationis onii. Aß。 CCCC. XCVII.




HIS PORTION OF THE GOODS.


ANGELIC REMONSTRANCE.


DEVOURING HIS LIVING.


HE COMES TO HIMSELF


ANGELIC COUNSEL


the fatted calf.

prefigurative.
a series of conversations occur between the prodigal and the Angel or gool genius by whom (unscen by the world) he is everywhere accompanied. This heaven-sent companion does not only at each step in the career of the prodigal so reason with the wayward and worldly-minded youth as almost to convince him of the folly of his course, but moreover fortifies his arguments by what are here called Parabola, in reality visions by heavenly means conjured up before the sinner's eycs, fulfilling precisely the purpose of the never to be forgotten scenes in the House of the Interpreter, explained by him to Christian. It pains me to refrain from giving a large proportion of the little pictures, and to omit a reference to the accompanying text, but I must content myself with the reproduction of eight, upon which no comment will be needful. The reader will readily assign them to (1) The distribution of the intheritance; (2) The departure of the Frodigal on his way to see the zoorld, armed zeith a portentous two-edged szoord; (3) The devouring of his living, "inter lascivos"; (4) His experience as a swineherd; (5) His repentance; (6) His return to his father's house ; (7) The fatted calf. The eighth scene must be interpreted by the text, the inscription beneath it telling us that "This figure showes howe the prodigal son sups with his father and his friends, which story is in a mystical sense representative of the Supper of Our Lord."

## Circa 1497. PETER DE CRESCENTIIS. OPUS RURALIUM COMMODORUM [COLOGNE, HEINRICH QUENTELL.]

Fol. $\mathbf{I} a$ : Petri de crefcentiis Ciuis Bo- || nonieñ. in commodū ruralium || cum figuris libri duodecim. Il Fol. ıb blank. Fol. za (paged Folium ij.): ( )Um ex virtute prudētie/ que


SHOOTING WITH CROSS-BOW
(P. DE CRESCENTIIS, circa 1497. )
inter || bonum et malū caute difcernit/ hu || manus informetur animus etc. Fol. 153b, col. 2,
 deo. \| Fol. 154 blank. Fol. 155 a (not manbered): Incipit Regiftrū duo- || decim libro4 Petri de Crefcentiis, etc. Fol. 158 a : Finit Regiftrum. Il Fol. $158 b$ blank.

Folio, printed in gothic type, 158 leaves, two columns, 53 lines to a column, text measures $212 \times 142(=67+8+67) \mathrm{mm}$. ; zeithout catchaords, roman numerals; signutures, $\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{Z}$, A and R in eights, the rest in sires, $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b} 5$ in sixes; spaces for initial letters, no initial directors; 348 woodcuts. The watermarks are (1) flagon with cover surmounted by gothic 8 ; (2) fleur-delys surmounted by crown (three varieties) ; (3) hand and cuff and flcur-de-lys; (4) much thinner hand and cuff, short stylus, and trefoil ; (5) bull's head, wide horns, short stylus and star. Hain, 5826, Proctor, 1590.

The earliest edition with a date is that of Schüssler, 1471 . Several other editions dated and undated of this popular book were printed in the fifteenth century.

Good copy, measuring $287 \times 191 \mathrm{~mm}$. Most, but not all, of the cuts are uncoloured.


CATCIIING FISH WITH A GOGOLACIA(1) (LOBSTER POT). ( $P$. DE CRESSCENTTIS, circa 1+)?-)


HOW DEER ARF TAKEN $1 N$ NFTS. ( $P$. DE CRESCE.VTIIS, circa 1497.)

[^30]

CLARIFYING WINE.
(P. DE CRESCENT/JS, circa 1497.)

This is one of the distinctly attractive productions of the fifteenth century press, though it be quaint rather than beautiful, admirable for its lively presentments of rustic costume and for the insight it affords into every kind of agricultural operation, forming, as it does a sort of "Gentleman's Recreation." In the twelve books of which it consists every conceivable information is afforded to the Squire; he is instructed how to select the whereabouts of his estate, being guided by aspect, the direction of prevailing winds, water supply, and so on. Then the fullest advice is afforded in the preparation of building materials and the construction of his house, farm buildings, water-courses, and the like. In the later books agriculture, horticulture, viticulture, and the nature and properties of all manner of useful herbs are treated of and profusely illustrated; the breeding and diseases of horses and cattle are exhaustively described, and finally field sports have their very full share of attention. There is a delightful vigour in the woodcuts in outline with which it is lavishly embellished, and it would be impossible to present with fewer lines the various occupations depicted in its pages. The reader will form some idea of the character of the illustrations from those of the four leading field sports of which I have given reproductions.

[BASLE, MICIIAEL FURTER.]

Fol. 1a: Methodius primū olym- $\|$ piade: et poftea Tyri ciuitatum epifcopus. fub diocleci || ano Imperatore In Calcide ciuitate (que nigropontu5 || appellatur vt diuus fcribit hieronimus martyrio) corona \|tur: etc. Line S : De reuelatione facta Ab angelo \|l beato methodio in carcere detēto. || A woodcut representing Methodius in prison visited by an angel completes the page. Fol. $2 b$ : De preuaricatione angelice na- $\|$ ture : $\neq$ illius e celo deiectione. $\|$ A weodcut of the Fall of the Lost Angels into the Mouth of Hell completes the page. Fol. $3 a$ :
 Fol. 29a, under a woodcut representing Jerusalem: Incipit tractatus fuper Methodium qui in fe con \|tinebit quing capitula quorum primu 5 eft de pof $\|$ feffione terre fancte. Fol. 68a, line 20: Finit Bafilee per Michahelem Furter || opera et vigilantia Sebaftiani. Brant || Anno. I.t.9.8. (sic) Nonis Ianuarijs.

4to, printed in gothic type, 68 leaves, 37 lines, text measures $152 \times 96$ mm.; without mumerals or catchzoords; signatures, $\mathbf{a}-\mathrm{h}$ in eights, $\mathbf{i}$ six leaves; 61 woodcuts. The watermarks are (1) Tau; (2) and (3) small bull's head. Hain, *11121, Proctor, 7738.

This is the third edition of a very remarkable book. The first is assigned to the press of Albrecht Kurne of Memmingen, but it bears no date. A copy of the second edition printed by Johann Froschauer at Augsburg, Sept. I, 1496, is in the present collection, but it did not seem needful to describe it. I. have noticed this third edition as being the first to contain woodeuts, one of which I reproduce as an indication of their character. It is from a series illustrating in most realistic fashion the calamities which were in the writer's opinion about to fall upon the Church mainly as a result of the unfaithfulness of its ministers. The chapter in which it occurs is entitled:-

Quomodo ecelefia dei fcindetur per quoddam feifma inauditum.
But as in the case of some other Incunabula which come into the category of Early Antipapal Books, any analysis of its most interesting contents must be deferred till that portion of the collection comes under review. This is a fair copy of a book which was too popular at the time of issue to be easily attainable in good condition at the present day.


A SCHISM IN THE CHURCH. (.1 ETHODIUS, $1 \neq 78$.

## 1498 Mar: 12. QUINTUS HORATIUS FLACCUS.

## OPERA CUM ANNOTATIONIBUS IAC. LOCHER.

[STRASbURG, JOHANN REINHARD OF GRÜNINGEN.]

Fol. ıa: Horatii flacci Uenufini. || Poete lirici opera cū qui- || bufdam Annotatōibg. Imaginibuff, pulcher I| rimis. aptifq, ad Odarū concētus z fentētias. || A woodcut of the poet, laurel-crowned, sitting at a desk under a gorgeous canopy, fills the rest of the page. Fol. ib blank. Fol. 2a: Ad Lectores || Iacobi Locher philomufi poete laureati Epigrāma. I| A woodcut of a chorus of the Muses occurs in the midllle of this page. An Epistle of Locher, a life of Horace, tables of the Metres occupy Fol. 26 to Fol. 6b, which ends Finiunt Genera Metrorum. II Fol. 7a, with signature A and numeral I.: Liber P'rimus || Argumentum Prime odes. \| (p)Rima ode ad Meccenatem loquitur, etc. A large woodout on this page represents Horace, on the right, addressing a figure, Mecanas, with crown and sceptre, on the left; the poct holds in his hand a scroll, on which appear the words, Mecenas. atauis. edite. regibus. Underncath, Prima ode ad Mece.\| Fot. i26a (numbered CXX.), line 16: Q.V. Horatij Flacei artis poctice finis. || Fol. 126b blank. Fol. 213 (the mumeral on Fol. 213 a is CCVII.), the text cnds at the foot of the page ; the printer's mark and colophon are reproduced on page 221. Then follow six leaves of Index in four columns, headed Directorium index Uocum et rerum, and of Directorium fententiarum et ad virtutes Index in two columns. At the foot of Fol. $219 a$ is the word Finis. Fol. 219 hblank.

# Elabozatum imprefiumge eft.lboc elegans.Dana tum: fplêdidum: compumaß Horatī flaciVenufini. Iyrici Poere opus.cum viliffímis ar gumêtis:a cimagimıus pulcherrimis:in celebri:liberatimperialić vube Argentina .opera \& ípenfis fedulis \&q laborib? Prouidi viri Iohänis Reinhardi cognomèto Gürninger ci uis eiufdē vrbis argẽtinenfis:g̣ro idus Marcij, abfoluıtivero Anno domini M. cccc,xcviī. 

COLOPHON OF HORATIUS. (JOHANN REINHARD, 1498.)

Folio, printed in roman and gothic type of five sizes, the text in large roman type in the middle of the page, on cach side of it commentaries in smaller roman type, an interlineary glossary in very small and the headings in a large gothie type; 219 leaves, the number of lines varies, usually 24 lines of text, the printed matter on a full page measures approximately $240 \times 160 \mathrm{~mm}$.; roman mumerals on Folios 7 to 213; no catchwords; signatures, $\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{Z}$ in sixes, AA-II in sixes, KK eight leaves, LL seven leaves, six leaves, 1, 2, 3, etc.; 166 woodcuts. The watermarks are (I) bull's head with floriated stylus ; (2) smaller bull's head, short stylus, and Tau; (3) (4) varieties of dreiberg ; (5) fleur-de-lys ; (6) Tau. Hain, *8898, Proctor, 485.

This edition of Horace, referred to under Terentius, 1496, has always been highly esteemed, and is extravagantly lauded by Dibdin, who reminds us that it was considered " one of the greatest curiosities in the whole Harleian collection." His description is taken from Douce's copy, as that in the Spencer collection wanted seven leaves at the beginning and the whole of the Indexes. A dispassionate examination of its merits will show, however, that it is neither exceedingly rare nor worthy of extraordinary commendation, in


PRINTER'S MARK. (HORATIUS, 1498.) spite of its peculiarities and of the fulsome commendation bestowed upon it by its printer in the colophon. The first venture of Reinhard in this particular field of illustrated literature, the Terence already described, is in all respects a highly-creditable performance ; the repetition of the figures, all expressly cut for the book, has an absolute raison d'être, and the eye is not offended by the economic shifts of the printer which are so flagrant in the Horace. In this latter there are, it is true, a considerable number of well-cut original blocks, and the general scheme of their collocation can be justified, but it is sad to see the BACHIS of the Heautontimonumenos, after the excision of certain letters, rechristened $B \quad C \quad S$ for the Horace, and used to represent Glycera in Od. I. 19. The PITIAS of the Eunuchi is in like manner labelled $P \quad I \quad S$, and does duty for $C$ hloe in $O d$. I. 23, and so on right through the volume. Nay, more, as the printer warms to this kind of work he waxes very bold, and unblushingly gives us $G E T A$ and SYRUS with unmodified labels, when (in Od. I. 25) we should have had the presentments of IIorace and the reprobated Lydia. Notwithstanding these lamentable declensions from the earlier conscientious performance of the printer, the Horace will be always considered a covetable and curious volume. Among the woodcuts above alluded to as specially cut for this work are a half-page illustration of extreme absurdity of the death of Casar, a representation, introduced to call attention to the third ode of the first book, of Virgil laurel-crowned in a boat in which there is only standing-room for himself, two friends, and the mariner who steers with an oar through the stern, and a pretty cut of Macenas ill in a truckle bed, with a crown for a nightcap. Some blocks have been very inappropriately borrowed by the printer from his edition of Brant's Stultifera Navis of 1497 . Reinhard has the reputation of being one of the most careless and inaccurate printers of the fifteenth century.

A perfect and beautifully clean copy, measuring $298 \times 204 \mathrm{~mm}$.

# 1498 May 25. THE BOOK OF THE COMPASSION OF MARY. 

[LÜBECK, STEPHAN ARVDES.]

Fol. 1a: Dat bok der mede- || lydinghe Marien. || Fol. $1 b$ blank. Fol. 2a: Hyr beghinnet dat \| boek vā der bedrof || niffe vnde hertelede der hochghela- || uaden konī || ghinnē der || alder hylli- || gheftē mo- || der Chrifti || marien || etc., woodcut on left of text. Fol. $2 b$ : O Maria ghink to bethleem. de || garte yuncfrouwe reyne. Se || dorch ene eddele börden. vor alle de $\|$ werld gemeyn. Se thelde een fuuer $\|$ lik kyndelyn. ane alle fmerte allein. II In eynē wöften huefelyn. dat was || van mef 5 fere vnreyne, || etc. Fol. 240 a is occupied by the colophon, of zwhich a reproduction and translation will be found on page 224. On Fol. 240b begins the register which ends on Fol. 244a. Fol. $244^{b}$ blank.

8vo, printed in gothic type, 244 leaves, 21 lines, text measures $95 \times 60 \mathrm{~mm}$.; no numerals or catchwords; signatures, a (not printed) - Z in eights; $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{G}$ in eights, H four leaves; twentysix small zvoodcuts. The watermarks are (1) a shield charged with three fleurs-de-lys, surmounted by a crown on which short stylus and (cinquefoil ?), from the crown depends a gothic lower-case $\mathfrak{r}$; (2) a crowned fleur-de-lys; (3) gothic $\mathfrak{x}$, short stylus and quatrefoil. Not in Hain, not in Proctor. No copy in British Museum or Bodleian.

This excessively rare and covetable little book appears to be entirely unknown to all bibliographers except to Deecke (Einige Nachrichten von den im XV. Jahrh. in Lübeck gedr. Büchern), wherein is given a sufficiently exact description, Deecke, however, not having himself seen a copy.

A German edition had however previously appeared (Proctor, 2755) Dat bock van der bedroffenisse unde herteleyde der hogheloueden Konnigynnen der alderleuesten moder cristi marien, printed in 1486 by Johann Grashove, the third printer at Madgeburg.

The volume contains, in a series of dialogues between Christ and his Mother, a succinct history of His life and passion from the point of view of Mary's sufferings-a sort of Via Dolorosa, illustrated by quaint little woodcuts designed for and appropriate to the context. The chapters are headed thus:-C Maria de moder fprikt. © Dat kynd fprikt or $\mathbb{C}$ Dat kynt fprykt. © De moder antwordet or antwardet. (There are abundant evidences that language and spelling were at this period in a transitional stage.)

A beautiful copy, full of rough edges, measuring $136 \times 101 \mathrm{~mm}$., in its original and almost unworn condition, bound in wooden boards covered with brown calf, panelled and impressed.

The first page of text may be rendered as follows :-
Here begins the book of the sadness and heartsuffering of Mary the highly-praised Queen, the most holy Mother of Christ. The first chapter speaks, How that Mary went to Bethlehem, and how she there her dear child bore, and of her great poverty.

##  
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FO1. z OF DAT BOK DER ME1DEI,YDINGIIE MAR1EN. (ARNDES, 1498.)


THE FLIGHT INTO EGYPT.


THE WARNING.


THE THIRTEEN SWORDS (SORROWS).


CHRIST AND THE DOCTORS.

The colophon on Fol. $240 a$ may be rendered as follows:-

To the suffering of our Redeemer Jesus Christ, and to the praise, honour, and glory of the compassionate Mother of Christ, here ends this book of the tribulations of Mary. Whosoever reads this book oft and exercises himself therein, and instructs others who cannot read, can to God and His dear Mother no more pleasing service perform. This book also speaks of many pure teachings and sincere praycrs, as the register hereafter following clearly indicatcs, and is finished and printed in the imperial town of Lubeck, by the art and ingenuity of Steffan Arndes, on the day of St. Urban, the holy Pope After the birth of our Lord. M.cccc.xcviij.
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 Domé '́dieft. W2.cccc.ectiti.
COLOPHON OF DAT BOK DER MEDELYDINGHE MARIEN. (ARNDES, 1498.)

1498 June 28. SEBASTIAN BRANT. STUltifera NAVis.

$$
[\text { LYONS, JACQUES SACON.] }
$$

Fol. 1a: SALVTIFERA (sic) NAVIS; underneath this a woodut of the Ship of Fools, under the cut the Title: NARRAGONICE PROFECTIONIS NVN\|quā fatis laudata Nauis p Sebaftianum Grant. (sic) uer || naculo uulgarig, fermone \& rhythmo pro cuncto || rum mortalium fatuitatis femitas effugere cupien $\|$ tium directione/ fpeculo/ cōmodoq, \& falute:
 Atq, iam || pridem Per lacobum Locher cognomento Philo- || mufum: Sucuum: in latinum traducta cloquium : \& \| per Scbaftianū Brant : denuo feduloq, reuifa : feelici exorditur principio. || The text begins on Fol. 11a, at the side of the well-knozen woodeut of the ignorant amasser of books, flabellum in hand, is the explanation INUTILITAS LIBRORUM, ctc. Fol. 152b: FINIT STVLTIFERE (sic) NAVIS. Finis Narragonicæ nauis per Sebaftianum Brant, etc.
Eit noua II quadam exactaq, cmēdatione climatæ. Al $q_{\text {, }}$ fupadditis qui $|\mid$ buldam nouis admirandifo fatuorum generibus fuppletæ $\|$ impreffum per iacobum zachoni de romano Anno domi \| ni M.CCCC.LXXXViii (sic) die. xxviii. menfis Iunii.\| Fol. 153a: Index libri || REGISTRUM STVLTIFERE NAVIS. II At the cnd of Index at the bottom of Fol. 155a, FINIS. Fol. 155b: Fatuus mundanus. \|| Underneath this title zooodcut of fool falling from a tree, and eight explanatory verses beneath, conchading the volume.
 measures $137 \times 91$ mm.; ronan numerals; without catchzords; signatures, a to $t$ in eights, U three leaves; 119 zeoodeuts. The watermark is a serpent. Hain, 3752, Proctor, 8671 .

Twenty-two editions before 1500 of Brant's extremely popular satire, The Ship of Fools, are described by Hain. The first edition of the German text was printed at Basle by Johann Bergmann in 1494, and the first of the Latin version by Locher by the same printer in 1497. Editions of the English version in prose were printed by Wynkyn de Worde, 1509 and 1517, and of Alexander Barclay's version in verse by Richard Pynson, I 509, and by John Cazeood in 1570.

So familiar is this entertaining work to many, that in spite of the charm of text and engravings, I have contented myself with a single reproduction from its pages. The explanatory verses are taken from Barclay's version (Pynson, 1509).

A beautiful copy, measuring $205 \times 133 \mathrm{~mm}$., and almost uncut at the bottom edge, ruled with red lines throughout.


THE UNFAITIFULNESS OF COOKS AND CELLARERS.
SIULTIFERA NAVIS $U$. SACON, 1498).
30

This fort of feruauntes whome thou doft here efpy
Gyuen to theyr wombe by luft obhomynable
Of meat and drynke and fuperflwe glotony
Ar to theyr mayfters but lytell profytable
Oft Cokes and butlers ar fo difceyuable
Of nature / to theyr mayfter and folowe this offence
That nought they fet by his loffe by theyr expence.

Whan mayfter and mayftres in bed ar at reft
The bordes ar fpred / the dores open echone
Than farys the Coke and Butteler of the beft
Other both togyther / or eche of them alone
With wyne and ale tyll all the beft be gone
By galons and potels they fpende without care
That whiche theyr lorde for his owne mouth dyd fare.

# 1499 March 15 . LUDOLPHUS DE SAXONIA.—VITA CHRISTI. <br> dat booc vanden leven ons liefs heren. 

[ZIVOLLE, PIETER VAN OS.]

Fol. $1 a$ : Dat booc vanden leuen ons liefs herē ihu crifti derdeweruen gheprint ghecor rigeert, etc. Six lines. Below this a very large zooodat of good design, $183 \times 126 \mathrm{~mm}$., of Our Lord, with cruciform nimbus in standing attitude under a canopy. On a scroll the legend Speciofus forma pre Filys Hō̄n. On Fol. ib: Dit is die tafel van alden capittelē des \|| gehelen boecs vanden leuen ons heren \| ihefu crifti.\| The table ends on Fol. ioa, col. I, line 32 : Hier eyndet die tafel om te vinden || die euangelien vanden miffen doer alle || dat iaer Eñ hier na volghet die tytel eñ || prologus van defen boecke. II On second col. two small woodcuts. Fol. rob, a full-page zwoodcut. On Fol. IIa: Prologus || Dit is dic tytel ende dat prologus vā defen boecke dat gheheiten is dat boeck || van ihefus leuen. || A large aroodcut initial H, 102 mm . square, precedes the text, ( )Ier beghint ten loue gods || almachtich c̄̄ ter eren der || reynder, etc. On Fol. 355a, col. 2 (zith mumeral cccxlvii.) is the colophon: © Tot loue goods ende tot heil en falich \| heit alre kerften menfchen fo if hier vol \| eynt dat eerwaerdyghe boec vanden le \|f uen woerden paffye verrifeniffe en glo $\|$ riofe opuaert ons herē iefu mit addiciē $\|$ van fchonen moralen eñ ghecfelijke le || ringhen eñ dcuoten. meditacien eñ ghe \| beden int eynde der capittelen © Toe zwoll gheprint by mij Peter os vā Bre \| da Gheeynt Intiaer ons hecren. M||ccce cxix (for cccc xcix) dè vijftiendē dach in maert || Deo gracias. || A woodiut beneath. Fol. 355b blank. Fol. 356 blank.

Folio, printed in gothic type, 356 leazes, two columns, 42 lines to a column, text measures $207 \times 155(=74+7+74)$ min.; roman mumerals in gothic type beginning with I on Fol. $11 a$, sig. aI, the preaious ten leaves having no mumerals; without catchwords; has signatures Aa, Bb, $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{z}$, some odd intercalary sisnatures, $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{A}-\mathrm{N}$, all in fours or sixes; the memeration is fauty; 158 woodcuts.
The watermarks are (I) gothic p, split tail, short stylus and trefoil; (2) gothic $\mathfrak{p}$, similar, but with thinner stem ; (3) gothic $\mathfrak{p}$, no stylus or trefoil ; (4) gothic $\mathfrak{q}$; (5) large gothic $\downarrow$ with quatrefoil and stroke across tail ; (6) on a shield a chicf bezantce, under this a fleur-de-lys; (7) a flagon, short stylus and trefoil ; (8) a flagon without trefoil; (9) hand with cuff. Holtrop, I. 506, not in Hain or Proctor.

This book is a late production of the second press of lieter van Os of Breda. He printed at Zreolle from 1479 to 1481. His second press at


[^31]

THE DEVILS DEPARTING INTO THE SIVINE
the same place existed from J484 to 1510, and was occupied almost exclusively with religious works.

The first edition with a date of this popular work is that printed in 1474 (Hain, *10290, P'roctor, 297), assigned by Hain to H. Eggestein, but probably printed with his types by a successor. Of the Lozu German version an edition was issued on Nov. 20, 1495, by the printer of the present volume (Proctor, 9446).

A fair copy in the original fifteenth century binding of bevelled boards covered with brown leather panelled, the ornament impressed by rolls, not by separate stamps; eight leaves wanting.


MAN SHALL NOT LIYE BY RREAD ALONE.
with which he enforces his argument? And have any of us, when we call to mind the history of the Gadarene swine, so rivid an appreciation of the denouement as is obtained from the annexed illustration. The stately march of the unclean spirits from their human dwelling is admirably contrasted with the mutual attraction evinced by themselves and their new hosts. The swine, on the one hand, are ready to plunge into the deep in eager search of their new quarters, whilst on the other an intensely quaint demon on the left is tearing open the jaws of a less receptive animal in his haste to enter him. The demon figured in my tail-piece is apparently repentant, but no explanatory text accompanies him.
The date should of course read M.CCCC.XCIX. thought certain to receive the colophons of this period.


JOHN BAPTIST'S HEAD IN A CHARGER.
Similar mistakes in a part of the book which one would have corrections of the "reader" are by no means uncommon in


DEVIL REPENTANT.

1499 Aug. 23. CRONICA VAN COELLEN.
[COLOGNE, JOHANN KOELHOFF THE YOUVGER.]
Fol. Ia: Die Cronica van der || hilliger Stat vā Cocllē. Under this a large woodut, followed by the lines: Sancta Colonia diceris hinc. quia fanguine tincta | Sanctorum meritis. quo 4 ftas undiq, cincta $\|$ Fol. ib blank. Fol. 2a: Dat Regifter || etc. The register enls on $12 b$, Fol. 13 at, the title: Die Cronica van der $\left|\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}\text { hilliger Stat Cocllen. || under which the same }\end{array}\right.\right.$ title and lines as on Fol. ia. Fol. I3b blank. Fol. 14a, with mumeral ij and signature Aij Cronica off dat tzytboich van den geffifichten (sic) \| der vergangen Jairen in duytfchë landen und funderlinge der heilger Stat Cocllen und yrer || buffehoue. \| Fol. 366e, with mum ral cec.l. : Zo der Eren gotz/-fynre lieuer moder / und der hylliger drij || konynge. jtem tzo nutz ind vnderrichtūge in vill fachē der gemeynre Burgerfchaff der hil || liger Stat Coellen. is dit boich van den gefchichten der Ertzbufchoue ind der hilliger $\|$ Stat van Coellen. myt ctzlichen anderen hiftorien van begynne der werlt. ind des int- II ghainwordigen jairs vurfz vergadert mit groiffer arbeit ind vlyff vyff vill boicheren \|y van den bewertften ind ficherftē hiftorie fchrijueren dae vâ berorende. Ind hait gedruckt $\|$ mit groiffem crnft ind vilijf Johan Koelhoff Burger in Coellen. ind vollender up fent || Bartholomeus auent des hilligen Apoftels Anno vurfz. || Got haue lof tzo aller tzijt.vnd || ewichlich. \| Fol. $366 b$ blank.

Folio, printed in gothic type (three sizes), 12 unnumbered and 354 numbered leazes, Hain says 301 numbered leaves (a mere slip, having mistaken the cccl. of the last leaf for ccci.), 49 lines to a page, text measures $236 \times 152$ mm.; the leaves, except those of register, are numbered in gotinic figures (Roman notation), but the mumeration is most ivregular and incorrect, e.g., Sig. II numbered xliij., Sig. H2, xxxviij., Sig. H3, xls., and sometimes altogether wanting; wo catihwords; signatures, $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{B}$ and $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{I}$ in sixes, K ten leaves, $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Z}$ in sixes, a-d in sixes, e four leaves, $\mathbf{f}-\mathrm{z}$ in sixes, aa- -mm in sixes, nn four leaves; a profusion of woodcuts, many occupying nearly the whole page, many repetitions, especially of the small cuts. The watermarks are (1) flower of scven petals, stylus and Latin cross; (2) small bull's head, no stylus; (3) larger bull's head, long horns, no stylus; (4) gothic $\mathfrak{p}$, split tail, short stylus and quatrefoil. Hain, *4989, Proctor, 1464.

The only edition printed in the fifteenth century. The press of the first Johann Koelhoff dates from 1472, and was in existence in 1493. Only three books are recorded by Mr. Proctor as belonging to the press of Joham Koelhoff the younger, who printed with the same types as his father, his press beginning in the year 1494. Dr. Dibdin, in his description of the Spencer copy (an extremely poor one) says: "There are few books which have been so frequently quoted, yet so rarely seen, as the Cologne Chronicles."

A very fine and perfect copy, formerly in the library of the Baron de la Seilliere.
This book possesses an abundance of intrinsic interest, many fine woodcuts, some ornament, and much more or less authentic historical legendary and heraldic information, but it is to the long and detailed statement on the subject of the invention of the art of printing to be found in its pages that it owes its well-known consideration and importance in the bibliographical world. As it has been alluded to but briefly in a previous page, I must here give some rather fuller notice of the text of the famous passage, and of the conclusions which have been drawn therefrom by the men of Huarlem and the men of Mentz respectively. That the reader may have the fullest possible opportunity of seeing for himself what is said by the author, I subjoin a full-sized facsimile of all that portion of the paragraph which can be considered vital to the discussion. This is, as far as I am aware, the first time that such an opportunity has been afforded to the student. The English translation which follows differs in many points from that in Dr, Van der Linde's Haarlem Legend. That is a retranslation into English of Van der Linde's 1)utch rendering of the original. Mine is intended to be strictly literal, but as the old German text is before his eyes the reader can make any corrections in my English version which may appear to him desirable.
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# Of the Art of Book-printing. When, where, and by whom was found the unspeakably useful art of printing books. 

HERE it is to be especially noted that in these last times when the love and ardour of mankind has been very much diminished or corrupted, now by vain glory now by avarice now by indolence etc. which are especially culpable in ecclesiastics, etc.
And that no man might find an excuse, the etcrnal God has out of His unfathomable wisdom brought into existence the laudable art, by which men now print books, and multiply them so greatly that every man may for himself read or hear read the way of salvation, etc.

Item this most valuable art vur $\sqrt{3}$ is (was) found first of all in Germany at Mentz on the Rhine. And it is a great honour for the German nation that such ingenious men are there to be found. And this came to pass about the year of Our Lord, Anno Domini 1440 and from thenceforward until (14)50 was written the art was investigated and what belongs to it. And in the year of Our Lord which is written 1450 there was a Golden year, and men began to print and the first book that they printed was the Bible in Latin, and it was printed in a large character, such as the character with which Missal Books are now printed. Item although the art is (was) found at Mentz as ourlz in the manner as is now generally used, yet the first prefiguration is found in Holland out of the Donatuses, which were in that very (country) printed before that time. And from and out of them (the Donatuses) was taken the beginning of the vurlj art. And it is (was) found much more masterly (adv.) and subtilely than that same manner was, and the longer (it was practised? or investigated?) the more skilful it became. Item one named Omnibonus who writes in a preface to a book called Quinctilianus and also in other books, that a Walloon from France named Nicolaus genson had first of all found this masterly art-but that is manifestly false, for there are still alive who testify that books were printed at Venice, before the aforesaid Nicolaus genson came there-where he began to cut and prepare letters. But the first finder of printing was a burgher at Mentz, and he born at Strasburg, and named joncker Johan Gudenburch. Item from Mentz the vurfz art came first of all to Colognc. Then to Strasburg, and thereafter to Venice. The beginning and development of the purf3 art was told me by word of mouth by the honourable man Master Ulrich tzell of Hanauwe, still, anno 1499 a printer at Cologne by whom the vurl3 art came to Cologne. Item there are some over-clever persons, and they say, that books had already in former times been printed, but this is not true, for we find in no country (any) of the books which in those same times had been printed. Morcover are many books kept back and lost, which one can nowhere find, because so little was written, (so few written copies were made) as the greater part of what Titus Livius produced. Item the books of the Commonwealth( ${ }^{(1)}$ (de Republicâ) which Tully produced. Item the books of the wars of the Germans with the Romans which Plinius made, which one finds rarely or not at all.

In order to avoid any possibility of giving a bias in either direction to the interpretation of the word zonden, which occurs five or six times, I have in all cases rendered it "found." The reader can thus at will substitute the expression "invented" or "discovered" as may best suit his own riew of the idea which it may appear to him that the author of the Chronicle intended to convey.

DeF The word vurfz, the exact value of which is in dispute, here remains untranslated, but will be fully considered on page 233. On its rendering the meaning of the chapter largely depends.
${ }^{( }$) Dr. Van der Linde wrongly Iranslated " die boicher van dem gemeynen goide " as "the books of the goits"!

The student of this celebrated chapter should bring to its consideration not only an unbiassed judgment, but also a certain measure of patience. A first perusal will probably irritate him, as it appears on the surface to contain an irreconcilable mass of almost impertinent contradictions, and might at first sight seem to have been artfully compiled with express intent to bewilder, mislead, and set at variance generations of truth-seekers in centuries to come. Some of these difficulties at least will however be lessened by a patient analysis. One of the results of the paradoxical form in which it has been cast has been that it is appealed to alike by Costerians and Gutenbergians in support of their antagonistic views. A short dissection of its contents may perhaps be found helpful. We are introduced to the subject by the statement" In order (1) that no man might find an excuse the Eternal God has out of his unfathomable zitisdon (brought into existence) the faudable art by which men now print books and multiply them so greatly that every man may for himself read, or hear read the zeal of satvation," and are in the next place informed (2) that the art of printing vurfz reas "found" first of all at Ments about the year 1440, (3) that from that date uh to 1450 investigation ztas made into the art and what belonged to it; we are (4) in the next place given particulars of the first steps taken in 1450 towards the printing of the Bible in Latin $\left({ }^{( }\right)$in a large character, such as that with which Missal Books were printed in Koelhoff's time. In clause (5) appears the remarkable qualification (or amplification as the Costerians would say) that although the art is (zeas) "found" at Ments, afs vurl $\sqrt{3}$, in the manner now generally used, the first prefguration is "found" in Holland in the Donatuses, $\left(^{2}\right.$ ) which zecre in that very country printed (gedruckt) before that time, and that from and out of them zeas taken the beginning of the aforesaid art.

The vurls art we are next informed (6) is (weas) "found" in a much more subtle and skilful mamer in the Mentz production than in the former (the Dutch Donatuses), and the longer it zeas prattised the greater the skill that zeas displayed.

The claims of Jenson to the invention of the art (7) are then very readily and promptly disposed of. At this stage we are again brought back (apparently in refutation of Jenson's claim) to the statements (2) (3) (4) by an assertion (8) (unaccompanied by any of the qualification supplied by the word ourl 3 contained in those sections) that the first "finder" of printing wes a Eurgher at Ments, born at Strashurg, and named Johan Gudenburch, and (9) that from Mentz the art spread to Cologne, and thereafter to Venice. Then follows (10) the remark by the author of the Chronicle that the beginning and development of the aforesaid art zeas orally communicated to him ly Ulrich Zell, still, in 1499 exercising the printed art in Cologne (in which he was the first to establish a press). A contradiction (iil) rather vaguely expressed of attributions of the invention to earlier times is next given, and the historical portion of the statement is concluded by a somewhat irrelevant notice (12) of classical authors whose works have been lost to us. The rest of the chapter is didactic, and is not concerned with the matter under discussion.

Attention must at this point be called to the view put forth by a recent Dutch writer $\left({ }^{3}\right)$ and accepted by many of his countrymen, that the intention of the writer or compiler when making use in clauses (2) and (5) of the now obsolete word vurf3 ("aforesaid") was to earmark that stage of growth of the invention which had been indicated in the passage beginning Bait d'criige got opff frure, etc., that is to say, a condition of development greatly in advance of that assigned by the writer to the Dutch Donatuses. They would contend that the writer or compiler thus used the word gurfj expressly to warn the reader against the idea that in describing the Ments practice he had in his mind the first germs of the art, or was attributing to Menta rather than to Hollond the actual first invention. Not thus do the Gutenbergians read the passage. Dr. Van der Linde assumes the original passage( ${ }^{4}$ ) (clause 6) which ically runs aff ourlz pp die vifile to run thus, afs vurlz is, pp dic miffe, etc., inserting the word is and putting a comma after it. The effect of this alteration is to give an entirely different meaning to the sentence.

The original text as translated by a Costerian would in brief mean that the art had been "found" at Mentz in the improzed stage already described in clause (1)-whilst Dr. Van der Linde's translation, with the comma misplaced, and the word "is" interpolated would lead to the inference that the art had been

[^33]"found" at Mentz, "as has already been said," with no qualification as to the state of progress then arrived at. His version is here as elsewhere somewhat careless and unreliable, a result due I feel sure to the Doctor's original, and not to his scrupulously accurate translator, Mr. Hessels. He renders clause (2) simply thus, "This highly valuable art wurs discovered first of all in Germany at Mentz on the Rhine, thus omitting all translation of the word $\operatorname{vur}\lceil$, and translates clause ( 5 ) "Although the art (as has been said) was discovered at Mentz in the manner as it is now generally used."

In order to put before the reader the views of Costerians and Gutenbergians respectively on the exact meaning of the text, I have with diffidence ventured to subjoin at this point with a view of simplifying the points at issue, my own ideas as to what is probably the view of each party. It is not an easy matter, and my attempt may be thought to be an instance of obscurum per obscurius, but I have done my best, and as I cannot claim a warrant for my gloss, the blame of any unintentional misrepresentation must fall on my shoulders alone.

Dutch view of the real meaning of the passage beginning
Item dese hoichwyrdige kunst vurfe (J. E. H.'s translation).
[The words in square brackets indicate the supposed views of the respective schools.]
Item this most valuable art as above reforred to ( $\mathfrak{v u r} \mid \boldsymbol{3}$ ) [i.e., in the sentence which describes a state of perfection which allowed of a great multiplication and general use of books] was found first of all in Germany at Mentz on the Rhine And it is a great honour for the German nation that such ingenious men are there to be found And this came to pass about the year of Our Lord Anno Domini $144^{\circ}$ and from thenceforward until (14)50 was written the art was investigated and what belongs to it. And in the year of Our Lord which is written 1450 there was a Golden year and men began to print and the first book that they printed was the Bible in Latin and it was printed in large character such as the character with which Missal Books are now printed. Item although the art is (was) found at Mentz, as has been said before ( $\mathrm{afs} \operatorname{pur}[5$ ) [that is to say] in the manner as is now generally used, yet the first prefiguration is found in Holland out of the Donatuses [books printed witll movable types] which were in that very (country) printed before that time And from and out of them was taken the beginning of the art previously referred to (der vur $\boldsymbol{z} \mathfrak{f u n f t}$ ) [i.e. the developed art "found" at Mentz] And it was found much more masterly (adv.) and subtilely than that same manner was and the longer (it was practised or investigated) the more skilful (tecknically perfect) it became . . . The beginning and development of the aforesaid art ( $\mathrm{Der} \operatorname{vur}\lceil 3$ funff) [the art as practised at Mentr] was told me by word of mouth by the honourable man Master Ulrich tzell of Hanauwe etc.

German view of the real meaning of the
SAME PASSAGE
(Dr. Van der Linde's translation, The Haarlem Legend, pages 7 and 8).

This highly valuable art $\left({ }^{( }\right)$was discovered first of all in Germany at Mentz on the Rhine [This is intended to indicate that the first invention and practice of typography zeras at Mentz] And it is a great honour to the German nation that such ingenious men are found among them And it took place about the year of our Lord 1440, and from this time until the year 1450 , the art, and what is connected with it was being investigated And in the year of our Lord 1450 it was Golden year (jubilee) and they began to print and the first book they printed was the Bible, in Latin; it was printed in a large letter resembling the letter with which at present missals are printed. Although the art (as has been said) ( $\mathfrak{a f 6}$ vurlj) was discovered at Mentz in the manner as it is now generally used, yet the first prefiguration (die erste vurbyldung) was found in Holland [the Netherlands] in the Donatuses which were printed there before that time And from these (Donatuses) [printed from zeooden blocks and not from morable types] the begimning of the said (vurl3) art was taken, and it was invented in a manner much more masterly and subtle than this and became more and more ingenious . . . The origin and progress of the $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ art was told me verbally by the honourable master Ulrich Zell of Hanau etc., etc.

A careful consideration of this very inartistically written chapter will repay the reader and enable him to eliminate some of its apparent inconsistencies and contradictions. It will at once occur to him that the narrative is not indited by an author familiar with his subject and writing currente calamo, but that it is a kind of mosaic formed of scraps of information clumsily though candidly put together by the compiler, who would seem to have pigeon-holed for use as he proceeded with his work statements from various sources, which he afterwards roughly assembled without any attempt to reduce them to a consecutive and coherent history. An evidence of this process, which I have not seen so fully noticed elsewhere, is to be found in clause (2) (following pretty closely on the introductory sentence doubtless to be ascribed to Koelhoff, "The Eternal God," etc.), and clause (12). The source of both of these clauses is the passage from Schedel's Liber Chronicarum, Nuremberg, 1493, already alluded to under that year. A translation of the paragraph from the Latin edition of July 12, 1493, and of the German from the edition of Dec. ${ }_{3} 3$ of the same year, given below, will enable the reader to trace their origin.

Koelhoff has availed himself without acknowledgment of this statement of Schedels, but has thought fit to divide the passage, placing one portion at the beginning (2) and a fragment of the other at the end (ir) of his descriptive matter, sandwiching between them other more explicit and original information. The third clause (3) relating to the development of the art appears to be and is generally acknowledged as being a connecting link with clause (4) furnished by Koelhoff, which is categorical, and describes the beginning of the art as practised at Mentz. It is admitted by all that the statements in this fourth clause are to be taken as supplied by $Z e l$ to the compiler. A careful and unbiassed reader is perhaps almost as capable as the experts of deciding whether the important information given in clauses (5) and (6) in reference to the prefigurations "found " in Holland is to be taken as a part of the communication of Zel (the recognized authority for (4) and Koelhoff's acknowledged informant as to the beginning and development of the art), or as an obiter dictum of the compiler of the chapter. The champions of Mentz as the cradle-town of printing, or some of them at any rate, adopt the latter view, those of Holland and of Haarlem the former. In either case the manipulation of his material by Koelhoff is so clumsy as to prepare the way for endless controversies. The determination of the authorship of the refutation in clause (7) of Jenson's claims is of no moment whatever. It was doubtless matter of common knowledge that the French printer learnt his art in Mentz. The assertion in clause (8)-prefaced by a protest-that the first finder of the art was a burgher at Mentz is awkwardly severed from its natural position in clause (2), and this, together with clause (9), is generally attributed to Koelhoff, the avowed author of ( 10 ), to whom we may also assign clause (11). The final clause ( 12 ) is, as has been already seen, a piece snipped off from its context in Schedel's Chronicle, and pinned on to the end of the descriptive matter, in the place where it seemed to Koelhoff that it would smoothly round off a period.

## Schedel, Liber Chronicarum, Latin version, Nuremberg, i493, Ful. ccliib, literal translation.

The art of printing books had its birih (primum enata est) in Germany in these limes. How much therefore students of literature owe to the Germans can by no manner of speech be adequately expressed. It is said that this method, ratio, (should be rationem) of printing books was found at Mentz, a city of the Khine, by some inventive genius (solerti ingenio). At the present day it has spread into almost all parts of the world, and by it all antiquity is bought by posterity for a little money in an infinite number of volumes. Its praises have already been spoken in the prohemium of this work. Than which art none could be more worthy, none more laudable, none more useful. Had it flourished in days gone by, the greater part of the works of Titus Livizs, and of Tiullius de Republica, and of Pliny concerning the German wars, (') and other most celebrated works had not been lost by the malignant ravages of time.

## Schedel, Das buch der Cronicken, German version, Nuremberg, 1493, Fol. ccliib, literal translation.

The art of printing first came to pass (hat sich erstlich creigt) in Germany, in the town of Mentz lying on the Rhine, in the year of Christ, 1440 , and thence quickly sprouted forth into all parts of the world, whereby the costly treasures of the scriptorial art and knowledge which in the old books long unknown to the world lay hidden in the grave of oblivion (der zenzoissenheit) were thenceforward brought to light. So that many excellent books necessary and useful for the service of man which were produced at one time with no small cost, can at the present time be acquired for little money; and if this art had been found and been known and in use, then undoubtedly many works of Titus Livius, Tirllius, and Plizius, and ohher most learned persons, would not have perished by the malignity of time, and so now the finders of the inanual art of these times are worthy of no little praise, who can express in what praise, honour, and renown those Germans must be who, out of their enlightened, intelligent ability have produced and invented $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ this art of printing, by which the long-closed spring of inexpressible wisdom of human and also divine art has found an outlet.
(1) The expeditions of Varus and Germanicus. sentences is evidently a printer's error.
($^{2}$ ) The breaking of this passage in the original German into two

So much for the paternity of the various component parts of the chapter. We may now consider at our leisure its bearing on the claims of Holland and Germany respectively.

The position taken up by the advocates of a priority of invention in Holland is, I take it, briefly this : that if $\overline{Z e l}$ was, by reason of his (supposed) connection with Gutenberg, and of his early acquaintance with the art as practised in Mentz, qualified to give authoritative information to Koelhoff on the very carliest germs of the art ; that if, in the second place, he made statements which Koelhoff has reproduced in clauses (4) and (5), intending them to be consistent, and to qualify clause (4) by explanatory remarks in (5), then-no matter whether he were or were not cognizant of the inartistic and puzzling way in which they were incorporated in the chapter-no matter what any carlier or later chronicles or writers may have averred-to Holland and not to Germany is to be attributed the production of the first page printed with movable types. Whether that page was printed by Coster or by another printer has to be shown by evidence from other quarters. But this position will not be accepted by the Gutenbergians. They contend that even on the assumption that all the pre-suppositions which I have suggested were answered in the affirmative (an assumption which they are strongly inclined to deprecate, not allowing the ascription of clause (5) to Zel, but giving it to Koelhoff ), the cause of the champions of Holland would not be sustained by the chapter-that the Donatuses, the preffgurations alluded to in clause (5) had nothing in the world to do with movable types, but were mere xylographic productions, rubbings in fact, generally in a thin watery ink, from wooden blocks on which the lettering had been cut, a page at a time, and that there were no books in existence other than these which could suggest to Gutenberg any ideas likely to result in his invention of movable types, and moreover that Zel , if he could be made responsible for clause (5), was mistaken when he stated that the Donatuses he referred to were printed in Holland before that time. Dr. Van der Linde, one of the latest and the most voluminous of the pro-Gutenbergian writers, brushes away with a stroke of the pen the inconvenient reference to Dutch Donatuses, and says: "For Holland read the Netherlands, a substitution which may easily be explained in a Cologne author of the fifteenth century." As xylographic printing was being practised in the Netherlands and not in Holland at the period referred to, a reference to xylographic Dutch Donatuses could not have been intended by Ze].

Very briefly to sum up the conclusions arrived at in their interminable controversies by the champions of Haarlem and Mentz respectively as to the meaning to be extracted from this muchbelaboured chapter-the former contend that Ulrich Zel, a perfectly competent authority, intended to describe the art of printing with movable types as invented in Holland and perfected in Germany that the Donatuses to which he referred as the "prefigurations" were printed in Holland with movable characters before a single page was printed at Ments, that one perfect copy and many fragments of such a Donatus are in existence, and that the printer of them, of the Speculum Humance Salvationis, the Doctrinale, and of many other books printed with similar types, which books, or fragments of them, have come down to us, was one Laurens Janszoon Coster of Haarlem, the first and true inventor of the art, somewhere about the year 1445, and the precursor of Gutenberg, Fust, and Schoffer. The champions of Mentz, on the other hand, have the unshakable conviction that Zel intended to ascribe in the most unequivocal manner to Gutenberg alone the art of printing with movable types, i.e., in the manner as is now generally used, and that the allusion to the prefiguration by Donatuses printed long before that time in Holland, if indeed the statement have any value and authority, was intended to refer to certain early $x$ vylographic Flemish Donatuses, and not to type-printed Dutch Donatuses. Finally, that there never was a Haarlem printer called Coster, that the whole story of the invention of printing in Holland is a myth or legend, and that none of the so-called type-printed Costeriana, admitted by most bibliographers to be exclusively of Dutch origin, were printed before 1471-4.

If I have unwittingly misstated the substance of the contention of either party my error arises from inability adequately to summarize in a few sentences that small portion of the extremely voluminous Jiterature devoted to its details to which I have hitherto had access. References will be found under the heading Bibliographical Books to an abundance of fairly accessible volumes wherein the views of each party may be ascertained by any reader in whom an interest in the discussion happens to be inspired.


#### Abstract

This is the most convenient place for a mention of two manifest inaccuracies which occur in the chapter．They may seem in the minds of some to impair the authority of the whole recital，but as they are to be ascribed only to the compiler of the Chronicle，they in no wise prejudice the statements of Zel．In the first place Gutenberg was not a native of Strasburg as the Chronicle asserts，but of Mentz，as Schoeplin was the first to show．In the second there is an error in the enumeration of the sequence of towns into which Printing was successively introduced．Mr．Hessels has shown in his Introduction to Dr．Van der Linde＇s Haarlem Legend（p．xxij．）that the real sequence was as follows：－（1）Mentz； （2）Strasburg ；（3）Bamberg ；（4）Subiaco ；（5）Cologne ；（6）Rome ；（7）Augsburg ；（9）Venice．


# 1499 December．FRANCISCUS COLONNA．POLIPHILI HYPNEROTO－ MACHIA． 

［IENICE，ALDUS MANUTIUS．］
Fol．ia：HYPNEROTOMACHIA POLIPHILI，VBI HV \｜MANA OMNIA NON NISI SOMNIVM \｜ESSE DOCET．ATQVE OBITER \｜PLVRIMA SCITV SANE\｜ QVAM DIGNA COM \｜MEMORAT．$* * *\|* *\| * \|$ CAVTVM EST，NE QVIS IN DOMINIO｜｜ILL．S．V．IMPVNE HVNC LI｜｜BRVM QVEAT｜｜IMPPRIME｜｜RE．｜｜ Fol．Ib：Leonardus Craffus Veronenfis Guido Illuftriss．Duci Vrbini ．S．P．D．Fol． 3 a，line 40 ends Vale．Fol．3b：LEOnardo Craffo mio doctor uerendo｜｜prelato ctc．Fol．4a，line 4I ends Finis．Fol．4b：Andreas Maro Brixianus．II Tivelve lines of Latin verse follow． Fol．5a：POLIPHILI HYPNEROTOMACHIA，VBI\｜HVMANA OMNIA NON NISI SO－\｜MNIVM ESSE OSTENDIT，AT \｜QVE OBITER PLVRIMA \｜SCITV SANE QYAM\｜DIGNA COM－\｜MEMO－\｜RAT．｜｜＊＊＊\｜＊\｜Fol． $5 b$ ：POLIPHILVS POLIAE． S．P．D MOLTE FIATE POLIA COGITANDO．CHE \｜gli antichi ctc．Fol．Ga，zeith signature aii：POLIPHILO INCOMINCIA LA SVA HYPNEROTO｜｜MACHIA，etc． The tert begins（line 10）PHOEBO IN QVEL HORA MANAN \｜do etc．Fol．Igoa， line 4：FINIS DEL PRIMO LIBRO DILLA｜｜HYPNEROTOMACHIA｜｜DI POLIPHI｜｜LO．｜｜＊｜｜Fin Fol．190b blank．Fol．191a，with signature A：POLTPHILO INCOMINCIA IL SECONDO LIBRO DI\｜ LA SVA HYPNEROTOMACHIA etc．See fucsimile of part of page．Fol．232b，line

# HYPNEROTOMACHIA POLIPHILI，VBI HV <br> MANA OMNIA NON NISISOMNIVM ESSEDOCET．ATQVE OBITER PLVRIMA SCITVSANE QVAM DIGNA COM 

 QVIVI FINISSE LA SVA HYPNERO\｜ TOMACHIA ctc．Line 33：Vale ergo Polia．Th Taruifii cum decoriffimis Polix amore lorulis，diftinerctur mifellus｜｜Poliphilus．I｜ ．MI．CCCC．LXVII．Kalendis Maii．｜｜（1）Fol．233b， headed EPITAPHIVM JOLIAE，line 2I， FLOS SIC EXSICCATVS，NVNQVAM REVIVISCIT．\｜VALE．｜｜Fol．234a：Li errori del libro．facti ftampando，liquali corrige cofi．The table of Corrigenda ends on line 60. Line 61：Venetiis Menfe decembri M．ID．in aedibus Aldi Manutii，accuratiffime．II Fol． $234^{b}$ blenk．POLIPHILOINCOMINCIA ILSECONDO LIBRO DI LA SVA HYPNEROTOMACHIA.NEL QVALE PO. LIA ET LVI DISERTABONDI, IN QVALE MODO ET VARIO CASO NARRANO INTERCALARIAMENTE IL.SVO INAMOR AMENTO.

> NARRA QVIVI LA DIVA POLIA LA NOBILE ET ANTIQVA ORIGINE SVA.ET COMO PER LI PREDE CESSORISVITRIVISIOFVE EDIFICATO.ET DI QVEL LA GENTE LELIA ORIVNDA.ET PER QVALE MO, DO DISAVEDVTA ET INSCIA DISCONCIAMENTE SE INAMOROE DI LEI IL SVO DILECTO POLIPHILO.


EMIEDEBILEVOCE TALEOGRA tiofe \& diue Nymphe abfone perueneräno \& inconcinealla uoftra benigna audiétia, quale laterrifica raucitate del urinante Efachoal fua, ue canto dela piangeuole Philomela. Nondi meno uolendo io cum tutigli mei exili cona, ti del intellecto,\& cum la mia paucula fufficié tia di fatifare alle uoftre piaceuole petitionc. non riftaro'al potere.Lequale femota qualuqque hefitatione epfe piuche ficongruerebbealtronde, dignamente meritano piu uberrimo fluuio di eloquentia, cum troppo piu rotunda elegantia \& cum piu exornata poli tura di pronútiato, che in me per alcuno pacto non fi troua, di cófeguire ilfuo gratiofo affecto. Ma uui Celibe Nymphe\& admealquâto, quan túche \& confufa \& incomptaméte fringultiéte haro in qualche portiuncula gratificato affai. Quando uoluntarofa\& diuota a gli defii uoftri \& poftulato me preftaro piu preflo cum lanimo nó mediocre prompto humile patendo, che cum enucleata terfa,\&uenufta eloquentia placédo.

Folio, printed in roman type, 234 leaves, 39 lines to a page, text measures $222 \times 132 \mathrm{~mm}$; without mumerals or catchzords; signature 2 on second leaf of first gathering of four leaves, on Fol. 6 aij, then in eights to y , $\boldsymbol{z}$ has 10 leaves, then $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{F}_{4}$ in eights. The watermarks are (1) bull's head, long stylus capped by trefoil, round which is coiled a serpent; (2) scales in circle, above which a short stylus, ball and cross. Hain, *550r, Proctor, 5574.

A clean but rather short copy, containing the Table of Corrigenda.
A facsimile is appended of a portion of the first page of the Second Book.
The first edition of one of the most beautiful typographical productions of the fifteenth century, printed at the expense of Leonardo Crasso, as is fully set forth in his dedicatory epistle, Fol. : $b$. The work was reprinted by the Aldi in 1545, and editions with French text appeared in 1546, 1554 and 1561, and others in 1600 and 1657. An English version (of the first book only) entitled Hypnerotomachia, The Strife of Loue in a Dreame, was printed for Simon Waterson in St. Paule's Churchyard in 1592. Another edition of the same text was issued in the same year by William Holme, near the Great North Doore of Paule's. A new edition of this version, with an introduction by Mr. Andrew Lang, appeared in 1890.

Few books have advanced more rapidly in public estimation and in monetary value than this. Hibbert's copy sold for $£ 17{ }^{1} 3$ s. od. The late Mr. Quaritch priced copies at $£ 16$ 16s. od. in 1862, $£ 45$ in 1870, $£_{56}$ in 1877 , $£ 90$ in 1882. Gaisford's brought $£ 73$ in 1890, the Beckford copy $£ 130$, the Turner $£ 137$. Some of these were exceptionally fine copies, and owed some part of their value to their bindings. My own, a very desirable copy, but rather short, cost me $£ 7$ in 1858.

The last word has perhaps been said on the personality of the author of this remarkable book, on the nature of his bizarre romance, and on the designer of the numerous and artistic productions which this first edition contains-by M. Claudius Popelin in his literal translation into French of the difficult Italian dialect employed by the author. ${ }^{( }$) To this work the reader is referred for the fullest available details, and at shorter range to Mr. Andrew Lang's delightful preface to the English version above alluded to.

Little is known about Crasso, the spirited promulgator of a work which, but for his aid, might never have seen the light. He was of Verona, belonged to a good Milanese family, and is called by his contemporaries doctor, prelate and protonotary. His undertaking was not at first crowned with success. Between the years $\mathrm{I}_{50}$-1511 he asks that his "privilege," having only a couple of years to run, may be prolonged for another ten years in consideration of the expenses of an edition which had cost him "assai centenara de ducati," and the greater part of which was still unsold.

It is rather remarkable that no certain indication of the name of the artist who designed the beautiful woodcuts which lend the principal charm to this celebrated volume should, in spite of endless discussion, have hitherto been discovered. They have been attributed in turn to Raffaclle, Giov. Bellini, Carpaccio, Mantegna, Benedetto Montagna (the designer? of the Aldine dolphin), Peregrini and others, but the problem is still far from a solution. The well-known device of the anchor round which a dolphin is entwined, first used by Aldus in the year r 501, was, it would seem, unquestionably suggested by an almost identical representation on Fol. 35 a of the Itypnerotomachia.

Considerable research has from time to time been made into the particulars of the life of the author of Hypnerotomachia, whose name is not openly disclosed in any portion of his work

[^34]and whose identity was not generally known till the seventeenth century. A MS. note in Latin, in a copy of Hypncrotomachia, was, according to Temanza, seen by Apostolo Zeno, which revealed, perhaps not for the first time, the name of the author. It runs as follows : MDXII. XX of June MDXVI. The true name of the author is Francis Columna (Colonna), a Venetian of the order of preachers, who, being detained at Treviso by his passion for a maiden called Hippolita, dedicated this book to her, altering her name to Polia as is evidenced by the first letters of the chapters of the book taken in succession." This cryptogram lightly veils, as an inspection shows, the inscription: POLIAM FRATER FRANCISCVS COLVMNA PERAMAVIT. The artifice was not then a new one and has been often made use of since. What seems to be known with some certainty of Colonna is that he was a monk, born about the year 1433 , and that he possessed a considerable knowledge of and love for architecture and classical learning. Of the personality of Polia a romantic and unsupported legend says that Ippolita, the niece of a Monsignor Lelio at Treviso, was passionately beloved by Colonna, who had visited her native place in his travels, that she was smitten by the plague which then devastated the district, and in her peril vowed to take the veil if she were permitted to recover, and her prayer having been answered she entered a convent and Colonna in despair became a monk. The story is proved by M. Popelin to be apocryphal and impossible. He treats Hypnerotomachia as an archæological romance, and finds in the name Poliphilo, the lover not of a tender maiden but of $\pi$ o $\lambda_{t a}$, which connotes not only "the whiteness of age" but also figuratively " hoar antiquity," an interpretation which I agree with him in thinking will appear plausible to a careful reader of the "Strife of Love in a Dream."

It seems to be considered proper in disquisitions on this extraordinary volume greatly to depreciate the text whilst extolling the indubitably artistic and imaginative illustrations. It cannot be denied that in the mouth of the lover of modern romance the fragments of story contained in the Strife of Love may be but dust and ashes, and that the patience of most readers would be in brief space exhausted by the endless prolusions architectural, mythological and botanical which in their exuberance almost shroud the somewhat obscure love passages of the hero and his Polia. But animadversions of this nature may be in a lesser degree directed against the romances of chivalry in general, and it is only those who are tolerant of these long-winded narrations who can be beguiled even for a while by a composition so devoid of motive, so utterly artificial in form. A measure of gratification may, as I have discovered, be afforded to such readers by an occasional ramble through one of the chapters in M. Popelin's translation.

The artistic sense of Colonna, permeated by a rapturous love of the beautiful in that classical art which was in his day making mighty changes in a civilisation long heedless of its charm, was, moreover, fervently alive to the attractions of beautiful scenery, to the symmetry of the female form and to the allurement of dainty raiment, and he gave without scruple expression to his appreciation of all these. It is sometimes difficult to discover whether the kadóv or the $a \rho \chi \alpha \iota o ́ v$ held over him the stronger sway.

In regarding a venerable monument he is seized "with an unknown delight and unreportable pleasure to behold the same, gaping with open mouth, forgetting himself like a young childe, never satisfying his greedie eyes and unsaciable desire to looke and overlooke the exquisite proportion of the auncient worke." ${ }^{( }$) Nor is any enervation of his ardour to be looked for in his delineation of the other kinds of beauty by which he was held in thrall, as even a very cursory perusal of a chapter or two will show.

The woodcut here reproduced as a tail piece is to be found in the second book (Fol.200b), and illustrates the following incident in the story, as related by Polia herself: -Stricken by the pestilence which was devastating the land of her birth, abandoned by all but a faithful nurse and maddened by the torments of the disease, she vows to devote herself if she recovers to the service of Diana. But at the moment of the ceremony of consecration Poliphilo enters the temple and endeavours with burning words to dissuade her from her purpose: she remains unmoved by his prayers, and refuses to bestow a single glance of pity on the despondent youth, who straightway falls lifeless at her feet. Moved by a sudden
and inexplicable hatred she drags him to a corner of the temple, and leaving him there takes to flight. A sudden gust of wind raises and carries her through the air, dropping her unharmed in the midst of a dense and terrible forest, where her ears are presently assailed by heartrending cries of distress. Two unhappy maidens pass by harnessed to a fiery car, and driven by Cupid through the thorny underwood, where after dire torments they are ruthlessly done to death for their disobedience to the little god of Love and resistance to the appeals of natural affection. Terrified and subdued by the gruesome admonitory vision, and saddened by the remembrance of her own cruelty, Polia returns to the temple and succeeds in recalling by her caresses her loyal lover to life, atoning for her former hardheartedness by a thousand evidences of affection. The transports of the reunited pair are, however, evanescent indeed. Poliphilo relates that whilst gazing at the entirely beautiful being, the column of his life to whom he is bound by indissoluble diamond chains (he cannot even at this supreme moment forget his architecture), he perceives a gracious colour to suffuse her cheeks, and her eyes to fill with tears-a sigh escapes her breast and she is resolved into space in a vapour of celestial perfume, crying to him as she vanishes from his gaze, " Poliphilo, my dear love, adieu!" And he awoke, and behold it was a dream.



HE two fragments of which photographic facsimiles are appended (from the originals in the present Collection) are very recent additions to the list of those extremely rare books, or fragments of books, which are described by Mr. Hessels and other advocates of the historical precedence of the Dutch in the Art of Typography as Costeriance. It is to Mr. Hessels, in his Introduction to Dr. Van der Linde's work, "The Haarlem Legend" (London, I871, 8vo), that we are indebted for anything like a complete list of these very remarkable productions. This classified catalogue of books and fragments comprises a notice of forty-three issues. In the revised list of Costeriana contained in the work "Hacrlem, not Menta, the Birth-place of Printing," 1887. by the same writer, four additional examples are mentioned, to which my own two acquisitions about to be illustrated must now be added. The books and fragments of which mention is made in this list are by the believers in a Dutch origin of the art of printing considered to represent the first productions of the printing-press, and are supposed by many to have been printed by Lourcnz Janszoon Coster before a single type was set (in Strasburg or) in Mentz. Be that as it may, they represent, with perhaps some exceptions, a class of printed matter sui generis, and as such compel the close investigation of typographical antiquaries. Mr. Hessels now arranges these Costeriant in eight classes, in accordance with the various types used in their composition. The first type he calls the Specultur type because it is that with which the Speculum Humana Salvationis (small folio) is printed. Of it, as is well known, there are four distinct editions. With the same types were printed seven different issues of Eivius Donatus, de octo partibus omationis (8vo), a Dutch version of the Seven Penitential Psalms, a Liturgical Book (I6mo), four different issues of Alexandri Galli (or De Villa Dei) Doctrinale (4to), and Catonis Disticha (8vo). The fragment of a Doctrinale of which a facsimile follows greatly resembles that described by Mr: Hessels, Type I., No. I5, and figured in Holtrop (Momuments Typographiques des Pays-Bas au Quinsieme Siëcle, La Haye, IS68, fo.) on Plate I5a, and described on page 19 of the same work, but it will be noticed that there are on one side of my fragment 33 lines instead of 32 . The Type II. of the list comprises only one fragment, that of a Speculum Humana Salvationis (small folio). In Type III., called the Talla type, is only

Laur. Valla Facetice morales, \&ic. (8vo). In Type IV., called the Pontanus type, are comprised copies of Ludovici (Pontani) de Roma Singulavia Juris, \&e., and a fragment of a different edition of the same work, also four differing issues of the Donatus. Type V. is called the Saliceto type, and in it are found perfect copies or fragments of two issues of Guil. de Saliceto de Salute Corporis, of the Donatus (six issues), of the Doctrinale (four issues), Catonis Disticha, Pii Secundi Tractatus et Epitaphia, and Iliados Homerica Epitome abbreviatum (two issues). The fragment of a Donatus of 27 lines of which I give a facsimile appears to correspond with Mr. Hessels' No. 33, and with the facsimile in Holtrop, M. T., Plate 29b, and description on page 35. Types V1. and VII. are each represented by a single Donatus. Type VIII., also called the Abecedurium type, comprises two important items: (1) the Abecedarium so often described, and figured in Holtrop, Plate 12, consisting of four leaves 16 mo , printed on vellum, found in 1751 by M. Enschedé, the celebrated printer of Haarlem, in a MS. Breviarium of the fifteenth century originating from the family of Berestyn, related to Jou zion Zuren, printer in Haarlem in 1561 . This little work, which has been regarded by some as the first essay of Laurens Coster, has been often reproduced; it therefore seemed to me desirable, instead of inserting a facsimile of it in my chapter on the Dawn of Typegraphy, to substitute for it a reproduction of the only other example of Mr. Hessels' Type Vill., viz., the fragment of a Donatus of 3I lines, also printed with the Abecedariun type, on one side only of two leaves of vellum. Of a page of this Donatus a notice and facsimile will be found on page 26 a negative specially made at my request having been most courteously supplied through the intermediation of M. Byvanck, the chief librarian at the Royal Library of the Hague.

The books and fragments of books under consideration form a very peculiar group, independently of the importance accorded to them by Costerians or Gutenbergians respectively. Two distinguishing peculiarities are to be found in them, "they all having that peculiar vertical stroke to the cross-bar of the $t$ (which is conspicuous in the facsimiles of the Doctrinale and Donatus) and the down-stroke or curl attached to the $r$ (occurring more rarely), which is found in no other types in the Netherlands." Some of these fragments are anopisthographic, i.e., no impression of the type has been taken on the back of the vellum, a circumstance which to some minds carries the conviction that they belong to a period when impression on both sides of the leaf was not yet practicable. A large number, perhaps a majority of the fragments have been discovered in the bindings of books, one (a Donatus fragment) "having been found in the original binding of an account-book of 1474 of the Cathedral at Haarlen, wherein an entry occurs showing that the volume had been bound by Comelis the bookbinder, the very man who is alleged by Junius to have been the servant of Coster." But unfortunately and provokingly, nonc, as far as I am aware, have ever been discovered in such circumstances as to give independent evidence of an origin earlier than 1472? That the Costeriana are of Dutch origin is perhaps indisputable; that they all belong to the same press is possible, but there is, so far as I know, not the smallest indication of the name of their printer, nor any reasonable certainty within twenty years, of the date of their production. Some day we may know more about them : at present they are the chevaux de bataille of the Costerians, Mr. Hessels advocating for some of them a printing date of 1446 , whilst their importance is minimized by the Gutenbergians, who attribute them to a period not antecedent to 1472 .

The subject, as I have said, has been very fully treated by Mr. Hessels (Haarlem, not Mentz, pages 24-36), and I would refer the reader whom the discussion may attract to that interesting work, with the reservation that in my humble opinion the last word has not yet been said therein, or in the works of his antagonists, on the date of the Costeriana. In order to obtain the views of the Gutenbergians on the same subject it will be advisable to consult the article of D. A. Wyss in the Centralblatt fïr Bibliothekswesen for June, 1888, and that of M. Carl Dziatzko in the Sammlung Bibliothekswissenschaftlicher Arbeiten, Berlin, 1890, on the 42 and 36 -line Bible, in both of which the subject of the Costeriana is fully handled.
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(I.) Circa 1480. A BROADSIDE PROCLAMATION WARNING THE PUBLIC AGAINST BASE GUILDERS;<br>[ANTON SORG, AUGSBURG.]

Hic feind zemerchen die zeichen der falfchen gul $\|$ din jin nyderlan gemacht vad feind etlicher \| mün zer zü Gottingen in Sachfen vnd in an \| dern ftatten verprannt vnd auf vier thunnen || von in gemünt (zet), etc. Line 32: Vnd das Kupffer ift fo hörtt gemüntzet vnnd gefotten \| das es wol clingt 'darumb mag fy niemäd erkennen an || dem clanng oder an dem ftrich. ||

Folio, printed in gothic type, 34 lines; text measures $202 \times 128$ mm.; woodcuts. The watermark is the bull's head (?), stylus, and Tau. On the back is written in red: \&io me Ұoannem zugnstinf Rospicbler. In black: (Library Stamp) Bibliotheca Neocellensis.

An extremely rare broadside proclamation, printed on one side of a sheet measuring $280 \times$ 189 mm ., undescribed by bibliographers, and probably unique. It is from the very fertile press of Anton Sorg, the fifth printer of Augsburg, $\mathbf{1 4 7 5} \mathbf{1 4 9 3}$. There are some eighty productions of his in the British Museum, among which are three broadsides. On the right hand side of the page are woodcut representations of the obverse and reverse of six spurious giildens, to which it was desired to call attention as forgeries, the description of peculiarities of each being placed opposite to the illustration. The laudable intention of the Broadside is to call attention to the forgery of giilden which is going on in the Netherlands and in Saxony, and to give to the public indications sufficing for their detection, as may be seen by the following translation :-

Here are figured the indications of the false gülden made in the Netherlands and some of the coins are struck in Gottingen in Saxony and other towns and are minted to the extent of 4 tuns thereof.

Item the gülden of the four hearts, struck with a two-fold $W$ which stands on the upper part of the coin are false. The two-fold gülden struck with an apple (orb) on one side and on the other St. John with a shield on which is a lion are some of them false.

The Gülden with an apple (orb) on one side; and on the other St. Peter with a star on his breast are false, they are struck in Hamburg.

The Gülden with a Bishop and a large shield, and above on his head a B with a "dittel" are struck at Cologne.

The Gülden with an apple (orb) on one side and a creücz (which here means a figure of our Saviour) with a star between His feet are struck at Frankfort and are falsc.

Item the above mentioned Gülden are not worth more than five weysspfennings and the rim round them is half the thickness of a blade, and the body is of copper gilded, and the copper is so hard-minted and refined that it rings clearly so that no one could detect it by striking or ringing.

The legends of the false coins read as follows:-

| ( I.$)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 . \\ & R . \end{aligned}$ | MONE. NOVA. AVREA. ADOLF ${ }^{\prime}$ ARPIE. RONE. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (2.) | $\begin{aligned} & O \\ & R \end{aligned}$ | MONET. NO. LVNBOET. FRIDERICIVS. RONOR. |
| (3.) | $\begin{aligned} & O \\ & R . \end{aligned}$ | MONET. NO. HAMBVRG. SIGISMV'D. NE. NOIV. NIIAD. |
| (4.) | $\begin{gathered} O . \\ R . \end{gathered}$ | THEGCI. AREI. I. COLN. MONETA. NOVA. AVREA. BV. |
| (5.) | $\begin{aligned} & O . \\ & R . \end{aligned}$ | MONETA. NO. FRANCFORT. FRIDERICVS. RONORV'. REX. |

By the kindness of my friend, Mr. H. A. Grueber, of the B.M., I have been enabled to trace in that collection the originals of four of the coins, of which the forgeries are here specified. It will be seen that the false coins were very carelessly represented in the broadside by the old wood-engraver, especially as regards the legends.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { FACSIMILES OF THE GENUINE COINS THE FORGERIES } \\
\text { OF WHICH ARE FIGURED IN THE BROADSIDE } \\
\text { FROM THE PRESS OF ANTON SORG. }
\end{gathered}
$$

(1.) Gold Ducat of Adolf II., Abp. of Maints 1461-1475.
O. ADOLF. ARCHIEP. D.M.A.


Christ enthroned nimbate, crowned, giving the benediction, at His feet a shield, arms of a Bishop of Nassau and Sec impaled.
K. MONE. NOV. AVREA. MAGVN.

The four shields of Cologne, the Palatinate (Bavaria), Mentz, and Treves, in the arms of a cross fleury, with quatrefoil in centre.
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## Biffiotheca- Necceltensis.


(2.) Gold Florin of Lïneburg, Emperor Frederick: 1/I., 1439-1493.

O. MONETA. NOVA. LVNENB.

St. John and Lamb; below, shield of Lüneburg.
R. FRIDERICVS. ROMANORV. REX.

The imperial orb with the trefoil of Nassau.
(3.) Gold Ducat, struck at Hamburg, of Emperor Frederick Ill., 1439-1493 (resembling one struck by Sigismond, 1410-1437, of which the forgery is shown).
O. MONETA. RO. HAMBURGEI.

St. Peter with keys, nimbate ; below, shield of Hamburg.
R. FREDERICVS. RO'NOR. REX.

The imperial orb within trefoil.
[The fourth coin is a Ducat of Theoderic, Archbishop of Cologne, struck at Bonn, described in more than one book, but of which no illustration can be met with.]
(5.) Gold Florin, struck at Frankfort, of Frederick III., 14391493.
O. MONET. NO. FRANCF D.

St. John, nimbate, with Lamb, between his feet shield of Frankfort.
R. FRIDRICV. ROMAN. IMP.

The imperial orb within trefoil.
(II.) 1482 Nov. DECLARATION OF INDULGENCE BY POPE SIXTUS IV.

$$
[A U G S B U R G, \quad H E R I L A N V \text { K.̈STLIN.] }
$$

Line 1: NORDLINGEN. Line 2: (S)Ixtus Epifcopus feruus feruorum dei ad futuram rei memoriam. De ecclefiał quarumlibet ftatu $z$ decore ac votiua $|\mid$ perfectione' nec non crifti fidelius anima' $\neq$ falute continue foliciti, etc. Linc 48 : Nul $|\mid$ li ergo omnino hominum liceat hanc pagina 5 noftre tranflationis infringere. Vel ei vfu temerario contraire. Siqs \| autem hoc attemptare prefumpferit indignationem omnipotentis dei. Ac beato $\neq$ Petri \& Pauli
apoftolorum eius fe I| nouerit incurfurum. Datum Rome apud fanctum Petrum Anno incarnationis dominice Millefimo quadringente- || fimo Octuagefimo fecundo feptimo ydus Nouembris Pontificatus noftri Anno duodecimo.l| Line 53: Collationata eft hec prefens copia per me. In NTS.: Jodocu(m) Pflantmann Notariunn.|l Line 54: Et concordat cum tranflumpto fuper bulla originali facto. ||

Folio, frinted in gothic type, 54 lines; text measures $303 \times 231 \mathrm{~mm}$. The watermark is the bull's head, long stylus and trefoil.

An extremely rare broadside proclamation, printed on one side of a sheet measuring $430 \times 286 \mathrm{~mm}$. [This copy zeas found in the binding of a copy of the second edition of Galenus, Opera, printed at Venice in 1490 by Philippus Pintius de Caneto. Hain, *7427.]

In this Notification Pope Sixtus $I V$. calls attention to the lack of funds available for the completion of the new church at Nordlingen dedicated to St. George, the Blessed Virgin Mary, and the Blessed Mary Magdalene. He desires that the edifice should be finished as speedily as possible according to the original design, which cannot be accomplished unless the faithful in Christ give their pious assistance, and in order that they may the more readily stretch forth their helping hands, he grants (line 22), among other things, plenary remission of all their sins to all faithful persons who having duly repented and confessed, devoutly visit the said "church in progress" (inceptam ecclesiam) between the Eve of the Festival of the Body of Our Lord Jesus Christ and the second vespers of the Octave of that Festival inclusive at least once, and put something according to his conscience, cither in money counted out or its equivalent, or such a sum as he is accustomed to spend in a week, into the chest, ark, or coffer placed in that church or other convenient place, towards the completion of the church as aforesaid, and to those who shall hereafter visit the said church annually and contribute as aforesaid he relaxes mercifully in the Lord thirty years and as many periods of forty days of penances which have been enjoined upon them. Full provision is then made for a supply of Confessors to take the confessions of those who are about to contribute, and many other regulations for certain eventualities follow, especially providing for the possibility of contributions not flowing in so quickly as is desirable. A minatory clause (of which the text is given above) concludes the text.

It is notified in the last two lines of the document in the handwriting of Jodocus Pflantzmann, notary, that the present copy of the Indulgence has been collated by him, and that it agrees with a transcript made from the original Bull.

Pflantzmann had been a printer at Augsburg (see Proctor, 1736-1737), but had ceased to print before this date, and had it appears become a notary. His signature must be looked upon as of great interest, as autographs of early printers are excessively rare. Bernard reproduces several of Schaffer's.

It will be noted that in this Indulgence contrition as well as confession is treated as essential to the remission of sins.

## (111.) 1482. LITER压 INDULGENTIARUM. PAPE SIXTI QUARTI.

A XYLOGRAPHIC BROADSIDE.

## [MUNTCIH?]

AN INDULGENCE GRANTED UNDER A BULL OF CRUSADING INDULGENCE OF SIXTUS IV.
Line I : Forma Confeffonalis. || Pateat vniuerfis prefentes litteras infpecturis. Qualiter
 dn̄ın nĩm d̄̄m Sixtū diuina puidētia papā quartū || ordinatum debitam fecerit gontributionē, etc. Linc 12: In cuig rei fidem et teftimoniū Ego frater petrg Gardiang ₹ pudicator guētя monaceñ. ordinis mino- \|| rum subcommiffarius ciufdem fanctiffimi dñi noftri Sixti pape quarti fuper prefato negocio deputatus prefētes || litteras fieri feci. Et figilli cruciate impreffione
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muniri. Anno domini. M.ccce.1xxxij. die ( Line 16: Mifereatur tui omnipotens dcus zc.
). || Line 15 : . ; forma abfolutionis. || Dominus nofter ihefus criftus, etc.

Long folio, printed in twenty-three lines from a xylographic block cut in imitation of the type of Friedrich Creussuer of Nuremberg, place of printing probably Munich; below the text are the remains of a papal seal; text measures $132 \times 234 \mathrm{~mm}$. The Watermark is a small pair of scales.

A Broadside Letter of Indulgence of the form called "Confessionale," ptinted on one side of an oblong sheet of paper measuring 280 mm . in length $\times 210 \mathrm{~mm}$. in height. The document has been sealed with a large seal of the shape of the vesica piscis, but this has been removed. It is an unsold copy, the blanks in which have not been filled up in MIS. Not in B.M.

Translation.

## FORM OF THE CONFESSIONAL.

Be it known to all to who may inspect the present Letter. Inasmuch as the devout person in Christ (here follows a blank for the insertion of the name of the person to whom the Indulgrence is granted, and probably that of the diocese), has made the due contribution to the work of the Holy Crusade by the most Holy Father in Christ our Sovereign Sixtus, by the Divine Providence the fourth Pope of that name. For this cause by the authority of the aforesaid our Sovereign (Sixtus) he (the grantee of the Indulgence) on account of his piety is empowered to choose for himself as a fitting Confessor a regular or secular priest who, having diligently heard his confession, can absolve him, in virtue of the above-named authority, from all excesses and sins whatsoever by him committed, however enormous they may be. Even if they were such that the Apostolic See ought to have been consulted about them; and from all Censures, Penalties, and Excommunications by law or by any Statute promulgated, and reserved to the Apostolic See-once only-but from such as are not reserved to that Sce, just as often as he shall ask it (the absolution), and once in life and at the hour of death, to grant him a Plenary Indulgence and the Remission of all his Sins. Notwithstanding any reservations whatsoever made by the aforesaid Pontiff, or his predecessors, as is more fully set forth in the Bull issued on the $4^{\text {th }}$ of December, 1480 , in the tenth year of his pontificate. In confirmation and testimony of which thing, I, Brother Peter, ${ }^{2}$ ) Superior and Preacher of the Convent at Munich, of the order of Minorites, a sub-commissioner of the aforesaid our Most Holy Sovereign, a Deputy over the above-mentioned business-have caused this Letter to be made, and fortified by the Seal of the Crusade. In the year of Our Lord, 1482. On the day of (blank for date).

## FORM OF ABSOLUTION.

Almighty God have mercy on thee, etc. (i.e., forgive thee thy sins and bring thee into life everlasting). May Our Lord Jesus Christ by His most gracious compassion absolve thee. And by His authority and that of the Blessed Peter and Paul the Apostles, and Our Most Holy Sovereign the Pope committed to me, and granted to thee-I absolve thee from the bond of excommunication, if thou shalt have fallen under it, and I restore thee to the Sacraments of the Church, and to the union and participation of the faithful. And by the same authority I absolve thee from all and singular thy crimes, delinquencies, and sins, however grave and enormous. Even if they be such that the Apostolic See ought to be consulted about them. And concerning them, by the same authority I confer upon thee Plentary Indulgence and Remission. In the name of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost. Amen.
© Note, that in the article of death this clause is to be added-Shouldst thou not die of this sickness, I reserve by the same authority the plenary remission and indulgence to be conferred in articulo mortis.

[^35]This broadside deserves particular attention on more grounds than one. In the first place it was, until the Libri sale in 1859, apparently unknown to bibliographers. But other copies have since turned up; there are, besides the present, one in the Bodleian and one in the White Historical Library at Cornell University, and I have recently heard of a fifth. If printed at Munich, as seems most likely, it is probably the earliest monument of printing at that place, Johum Schauer, the earliest printer there, having issued his first book on June 26, 1482. It is, moreover, I believe the only indulgence printed from a block (xylographic), all others, even the earliest, which have come down to us having been printed from movable types. These are its material peculiarities. Its literary ortheological interest arises from its belonging to that class of confessionalia which confer privileges in the most comprehensive and positive form without any of the final reservations which are to be found in another type of indulgences.

A reason may be hazarded for the unique circumstance of the printing of an Indulgence from a wooden block instead of from movable types, already long in use. It was, doubtless, desired at this moment to call in with the utmost speed monies ostensibly required for the prosecution of the war against the Turks. Sixtus had already exhausted his treasury, and was at the end of 1481 imposing new tithes upon the clergy, and offering for sale, without regard to the qualifications of the purchasers, newly created offices in the Roman Court.

It was by this time quite usual to print Letters of Indulgence instead of having them written by the scribe, and as there was no press in Munich till the middle of the year, and no time, or perhaps no desire, to send the document out of the country to be printed, the services of a aylographer were obtained, who doubtless used for his model a book printed by Creussner, which was the first to come to hand.

The contents of the document are somewhat startling. The confessional letters, of which this and the Indulgence of Innocent VIII. ( I 488 ), which will presently be referred to, exlibit more daring and dangerous characteristics than can be found in any other form of Indulgence. For, as will be seen, they entitled the purchaser to choose his own confessor, secular or regular, to whom was given the power after hearing his confession to absolve him from all sins, however enormous, and grant him full remission and indulgence once during life and again at death. Moreover, not a word is said in the present document as to contrition, nor as to the Indulgence being for the particular sins confessed. The form of absolution which follows immediately after the Confessional form was manifestly intended to be pronounced by the pardoner or one of his assistants, so that the purchaser of the Indulgence could be absolved from the most heinous crimes within a few minutes after the price had been paid.

## (IV.) (Before April 5,1488 .) RAYMUNDUS PERAUDI. LITERA INDULgentiarum. INNOCENTII Vili.

## (AENTZ, PETER SCHCEFFER.)

Uniuerfis. Pn̄tes lr̃as infpecturis. Raymūdus peraudi facre pagine pfeffor Archidiaconus Almifien. in || ccclefia Xanctoncn̄. fedis apoftolice pthonotarius. ad Almaniā, ctc., 19 limes, conding, Die ( )Menfis ( )Anno dn̄i M.cccc.lxxxviij. Line zo: Forma abfolucōnis in vita totiens quotiens. II Mifereatur tui zẽ. D̄̄s nẽ ihcfus x $\underset{\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{s}}$ 甲 meritū fue paffiōis te abfoluat aũcte cuig et apoftolica michi in \| hac pte cōmiffa et tibi oceffa ego te abfoluo ab oñibe peccatis tuis. In noīc patris z filii ct fpūs fancti. Amen || Line 23: Forma abfolucōis et plenarie remiffionis 1 vero mortis articulo ucl verifimili. || Mifereatur tui. ₹c. Dñs. nofter ihefus Xp̈s, etc. Ends on fifth line of section ( 27 th line of page) : In noie patris et filii et fpüs fancti amen. II

Oblong, printed in gothic type on one side of a sheet of vellum, 27 lines; text measures 203 (over intitials 213 ) $\times 128 \mathrm{~mm}$.; the titles and first word or tion of cach section in large text type; spaces left for names and dates. Hain, 9204? Proctor, 125.

This document, belonging to the class of Indulgences called Confessionalit, as entitling the recipient to choose a complacent confessor, was issued by Raymundus Peraudi,(') protonotary to Innocent VIII. It contains in the first place a recital of that Pontiff's offer to all those faithful in Christ of either sex who shall, in conformity with his ordinance, stretch forth helping hands in aid of the orthodox faith against the Turk, or visit certain churches appointed by him or his commissioners, etc., etc. To these he gives the right to choose a fitting confessor, secular or regular, who shall have the power to absolve them during life from all excesses and sins except those reserved for (the judgment of) the Apostolic see, just as often as occasion may arise. And further, whensoever it may appear probable that death is approaching, even if it should not happen then to take place, he (Innocent VIII.) of the plenitude of his power has granted the confessor the ability to grant (impertiri) at the very point of death plenary remission of all their sins. And the Holy Father, of his own free will (proprio motu) wishes that all and singular such benefactors and their deceased relatives, and benefactors who died in charity (with all men ?) should be made everlasting partakers in all prayers, petitions, masses, alms, fastings, disciplines, and other spiritual benefits which are made or can be made in the universal Holy Church of Christ and its members. And since the devout in Christ (Johannes de Scharsteyn and Elizabeth his reife) have contributed to such pious assistance and help of the Church, it follows that such Indulgence should deservedly be granted them. Given under the seal ordered for this purpose the ( r 4 th ) day of the month of (April), Anno domini M.cccc.lxxxviii. (The names, the day, and the month are in MS.)

## Form of Absolution, as often as may be during life.

Misereatur tui, etc. Almighty God have mercy upon thee, etc. Our Lord Jesus Christ by the merit of His Passion absolve thee, by whose authority and the Authority Apostolic to me in this behalf committed and to thee conceded, I absolve thee from all thy sins, etc.

## Form of Absolution and plenary Remission in the article of death or its probability.

Misereatur tui, etc. Our Lord Jesus Christ by the merit of His Passion absolve thee, and I by His Authority and by the Authority Apostolic to me in this behalf committed and so to thee conceded absolve thee-first from every sentence of the greater or lesser excommunication which thou hast incurred -next from all thy sins sorrowed for confessed and forgotten, by conferring upon thee full remission of all thy sins and remitting thee the pains of purgatory, etc.
(V.) 1496 Aug. 14. PROCLAMATION BY MAXIMILIAN CONCERNING HIS WARS WITH THE SWISS.

$$
[F R E I B U R G \text { IN BREISGAU, FRIEDRICH RIEDRER.] }
$$

Wir Maximilian von gots gnaden Römifcher Kŭnig zŭallentzeiten merer des Reichs zu Hunngern Dallma || cien Croacien \&c. . . . 7th line begins: Nu haben wir vmb folhen vertrag kain wiffen, etc. I1th line: Datum || Freyburg in Breyfgaw am Vierzehennden tag des Monnats Augufti. Nach Crifti gepūrde Vierzehen- || hundert vnnd im Newnundnewntzigiften. Vnnferer Reiche des Römifchen im Virezehenden vnnd des \|i Hunngerifchen im zehenden Iahren. . . . In MS.: Ad mandatum domini Regis proprium.

Long folio, printed in gothic type, 14 lines, text measures $66 \times 196$ mm., exclusive of initial letter. The watermark is a curricomb, or comb for wool.

[^36]An extremely rare broadside proclamation, printed on one side of a sheet measuring $210 \times 305 \mathrm{~mm}$. It is apparently unknown to bibliographers. Its tenour is as follows:-

We Maximilian by God's grace King of the Romans Semper Augustus of Hungary Dalmatia Croatia etc. King. Royal Archduke of Austria, Duke of Burgundy, Gueldres, \&c. Count of Hapsburg Flanders Tyrol \&c. do extend to all the subjects and faithful lieges of the Empire in whatever state or condition they may be, Our grace and all favour.

We have been informed that everywhere a report has been spread that the war between Us and the Swiss has been brought to an end and that Peace has been concluded. Also that to our side in that war great damage has been done by murder rapine and fire. Now we have no knowledge of any such Peace, for the matters between us and the said Swiss daily point more to war than to peace or anything like it. But on both sides, the damage by murder rapine and fire is manifest and great. Still, our enemies have hitherto at every encounter received in those three particulars quite as much damage from Us as We from them. That we do not conceal from you, that you may give no credence to the said invented rumours.

Given at Freyburg im Breysgaze on the fourteenth day of August, after the birth of Christ Fourteen hundred and ninety-nine of our Roman Empire the fourteenth and of the Hungarian the tenth.

From the press of Friedrich Riedrer, the second printer in Freiburg, 1493-very few books were issued in the fifteenth century. There are seven in the B.M., the earliest of which is dated December in, r493, and the latest 1499, and only one in the Bodleian. This breadside is apparently quite unknown to bibliographers.

The facsimile resembles the original so closely that the reader may perceive (on the blank part of the paper, about two inches below the type, extending across the sheet, but visible especially at the left side under the word Hungerischen), the marks of embossed letters; these were uninked types, used much more frequently by the earliest printers than at the end of the century for the purpose of relieving the uneven pressure on the lower lines of the type. These would not have been necessary had the matter occupied the whole page.

The Emperor Maximilian had not been able to renew with the Swiss the friendship and alliance which they had formerly maintained with his predecessor Sigismund of Austria, and whilst deeply involved in the war with Charles Duke of Guelderland was compelled to turn his arms against the Szeiss, who at this time (1499) had just renewed with Louis XII. of France the ancient alliance which they had entered into with his predecessors. Maximilian in this emergency endeavoured to sow dissensions among the Soviss, but his manœurres only resulted in uniting more closely the bond between their cantons. He succeeded, however, in drawing supplies of men from the cities of Franconia and Suabia, and sent against the Swiss an army of 16,000 men. This force was totally routed in an obstinately fought engagement near Obcrdorff, and Maximilian was compelled to grant the Swiss a peace, and to sign in 1500 the ratification of Swiss independence. The vapourings, therefore, of the Emperor which form the subject of this intercsting broadside were somewhat premature.
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HE paper upon which all the Ineunabula are printed is of course what is known as hand-made paper, no paper-making machinery having been used till the year 1798. In the primitive operation-still, as is well known, much used for papers of a high class-the " mould " is a shallow box of wood on which is stretched fine wire cloth made of parallel wire, and called "the sieve" in English, and "les vergeures" in French, its weight being supported by strong wires running at right angles to the former ; these are called in English "the wires," and in French "les pontuseaux." The "watermark," called in French "le filigrane," is formed by wires bent into the shape of the required device and sewed to the surface of the wire-cloth, or in some of the older papers bound clumsily to it by wire. The "deckle" is a thin frame of wood of which the inner part corresponds with the size of the sheet to be made; when this is placed upon the mould it makes a shallow sieve, which the "dipper" dips into the vat of paper pulp, and leaving in it just so much as he thinks needful for a sheet of paper of the desired thickness, shakes it gently till it is distributed evenly over the surface of the mould; as the water drains from it the pulp becomes more solid, and the "deckle" having been removed, the sheet, when of sufficient tenacity, is placed on a piece of felt, and thus a sheet and a felt are laid alternately till a large pilc is produced, which is subjected to heavy pressure, the sheets being subsequently pressed without the felts and subjected to drying, sizing, and other operations before they are ready for use.

For purposes of his own the paper-maker has from the earliest times earmarked his product, and had it not been for his custom of selling it in large quantities to dealers, who thus had in their stocks the papers not only of various makers but also of various countrics, the indications afforded by these paper marks might have had a high value in deciding questions of date in early printed volumes. Although it is only in somewhat rare cases that the practice of recording the watermarks in a printed volume has any such practical valuc, it is manifestly one of the duties of the careful bibliographer to take note of this, as of other minor characteristics of a volume which passes through his hands; the information may or may not prove serviceable at some later period, and in any case a description which omitted it would be incompletc. Some of my readers will and many will not thank me for reproducing a selection of the marks occurring in my Incunabula. I am very fond of these devices, and have made a great many tracings of such as occur in manuscripts as well as in printed books. One circumstance is certain to
attract attention, viz., the large number of different watermarks often found in a single volume. No satisfactory explanation has yet, as far as I know, been given of the fact that a printer had the opportunity of making use of or was obliged to use some dozen different kinds of paper, all of the same size and nearly of the same quality and thickness, in one and the same volume. It has been suggested that the printer of small means had to buy from hand to mouth as it were, and thus had to take the product of any paper-maker which he might from time to time find at the warehouse ; but that theory will not meet the case of the well-to-do Jenson with his dozen watermarks in one volume (Nicolous, I477), or of the wealthy Koberger with eight in his Biblia, 1475. To what an extent this curious characteristic of the early press sometimes prevailed may be gathered from the observation of Sotheby (Princ. Typog., III. 32) that in Jan Veldener's edition of Rolewinch, Fasciculus Temporam, Utrecht, 1480 (Proctor, 8858) there are no less than fifty-six different watermarks.

The earliest watermarks of which I have scen any notice are those described and figured in the interesting work of Jansen, Essai sur l'origine de la Gravure, etc., Paris, I808, two vols., 4to, in which is incorporated a resumé of the work of Gotthelf Fischer on the antiquity of these marks derived from his inspection of early books of account in the department of Mont-Tonnerre. In the most ancient of.these, dating from 130I, is found an elementary form of a mark of very common occurrence one hundred and fifty years later, viz., a circle from which issues a stylus and star: As early as the year 1310 we have the extremely familiar bull's head and stylus; in 1318, a Cock; in 1324, a bow and arrow. In 1358, the Jug, destined to a long existence on the Continent and in this country, makes its appearance. Cross-keys, attached at their upper parts, are also found as a watermark in a volume of the same year. In 1370 the types increase in number, an evidence of the spread of paper-making. Through the preceding years the different types of the bull's head vary with the varying qualities of the paper, being used perhaps as indications of the different kinds manufactured at the same mill. In I389 we get the post-horn suspended by a cord, which centuries later gave the name to our "post" letter-paper.

Other very early marks, several of which are of a religious character, are described and figured in an attractive little book, "Etude, sur les Filigranes des papicrs employées en France aux XIV.e et XV.e Siècles par Étienne Midoux et Auguste Matton" (Paris, 1868, 8vo, 600 facsimiles), and a mass of information will also be found in Sotheby's Principia Typographica, London, 1868,3 vols., 4 to.

Among the watermarks of which I have seen either originals or reproductions, by far the most frequent is the Bull's head (emblem of Death) in a huge varicty of forms. The Gothic p fills perhaps the next place, and here again the multiplicity of modifications is most remarkable. The hand, the anchor, the cross-bow, the flower (of a very conventional type), the pair of scales, the keys in saltive, the pair of shears, the droiberg, a very simple reminder of Calvary, mect us at every turn. Such marks as the Paschal Lamb, the unicom, the fleur-de-lys, the dolphin, the bunch of grapes, the two-headed cagle, the crossed darts, the dog, the jug, are of somewhat less usual occurrence.

Many conjectures and assertions, some of them now discredited, have been made as to the origin of the countless watermarks with which we meet; the identification by Koning of the gothic $\mathfrak{p}$, so often mentioned in these pages, as the initial of Philip of Burgundy, and of the $\boldsymbol{D}$ as that of Ysabel his wife, once accepted, is now out of favour.

The whole subject, if not one of supreme importance, will repay the attention of the reader whom it may happen to attract.
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## INDEX T() WUTER\ARK.




1658. WILLIAM LONDON. (A bookseller of Newcastle-on-Tyne.) A Catalogue of the moft vendible Books in Greek, and Latin Books, for Schools and Scholars. The like Work never yet performed by any. Farietas Delctat. London, Printed in the lear 1658. Sm. 4to. (This vare volume contains the first cssay printed int England on the use of books.)
1671. FRANCIS KIRKMAN. A True, perfect, and exact Catalngue of all the Comedies, Tragedies, Tragi-Comedies, Paftorals, Mafques and Interludes, that were ever yet Printed and Publifhed, till this prefent year 1671. All which you may either buy or fell, at the Shop of Francis Rirkman, in Thames-fircet, over againft the Cuftom Houfe, London, sm. 4tr, 1 vol.

A very , are volume, of great interest to the bibliographers and lovers of the English drama. There may possibly lee in existence a copy of the catalogue of stage-plays which Kirkman says be published in 1661. I take it that in any case Kivoman was the first bookseller to issue an announcement of what he had in stock, and that this and his abore-mentioncd list form the earliest catalogues of English plays in existence. Kivkman says that now (1671) the English stage-plays amount to So6. "They are all in print and he has seen them all but ten, and now has them all by him within thirty." He assigns 49 plays to Shakespear.
1719-41. MICH. A. M. MAITTAIRE. Annales Typographici ab artis inventre origine ad annum MD. Hagre comitrm, Vol II. Apud faacan aillant 1719-41, 4to, 6 valso 01.1. Ab Artis Origine ad Annum 1500, Hag. Com, 1719. dat. 11. . 1500-36, Hag. Com. 1722. In tho parts. Vol. III.: $1536-57$, Hag. Com. 1725. In two parts. Some copies bear date Amst. 1726. Vol. IV. : Not so marked ont title-fage, but called Tomus primus, editio nova. Amst. 1733, 4to. In two parts. A reprint of the first volume, with numerous additions and some omissions. This completes Maittaire's own publication, but the following volumes form a desirable supplement. Vol. V., in two parts, separate vols., called on title-page Tomus Quintus et ultimus ; indicem In Tomos Quatuor preeuntes complectens.
1725. JOHN BRIDGES. Bibliotheca Bridgefiance Catalogus: or, a Catalogue of the Entire Library of John Bridges, Late 25. of Limolns-Im, Esq. ; Confifing of Above 4000 Books and Manufripts in all Languages and Faculties; particularly in Claffics and Hiftory; and efpecially the Hiftory and Antiquities of Great Britain and Jocland: which will begin to be fold by Auction on Mfonday the feventh day of February 1725 , at his Chambers in Lincolns-Intr, No. 6. London, Printed by J. Tonfon and $/$. Watts, and to be Sold at moft Bookfellers in Town and Country. MDCCXXV. Svo (priced catalogue).
1740. JO. CHRISTIANUS WOLFIUS. Monumenta Typographica, quae artis huius praestantissimae originem, laudem et abusum posteris produnt. Hamburgi, Christiani Herolai. MDCCXL. Sm. 8ro, 2 vols.
JOIIANNES VOGT. Catalogus historico-criticus librorum rariorum, jam curis tertiis recognitus et copiosa accessione ex symbolis et collatione bibliophilorum per germaniam doctissimorum adauctus. Hamburgi, somtibus Christiani Heroldi. MDCCXLVIl. Sm. 8vo, i vol.
1760. JO. DANIELIS SCHOEPFLIN. Vindicir Typographicre, Argentorati Apud Joh. Gotkofredum Bauer, Biblipol. MDCCLX. $4^{\text {to, }}$ I vol.
*** It has only been thought needful to notice about one-half of the books which compose this sub-section.

GUILLAUME-FRANCOIS DE BURE. Bibliographie instructive ou traité de la connoissance des Livres rare et singuliers. A Paris chez Guillaume-Francois de Bure. 1763-6S. 8vo, 7 vols.
1765. GERARD MEERMAN. Origines Typographice Hagic Comilum, Farisiis, Londoni. MDCCLXV. 4to, two vols. in one.
1765. J. M. PAPILLON. Traité historique et pratique de la gravure en bois, ouvrage enrichi des plus jolis morceaux de fa compofition \& de fa gravure. A Paris chez Pierre Guillazme Simon. M.DCC.LXVVI. 2 vols., 8vo.
1766. ROBT. SAYER. A catalogue of New and Ufeful Maps Curious \& Entertaining Prints Books of Architecture Great Tariety of Drawing Books on the beft Principles from the greateft Mafters Copy Books in all the Branches of PenmanThip. And the beft of each kind. Printed for Robt. Sayer at the Golden Buck near Serjeants Inn, Fleet-ftreet, London. Where Merchants, Gentlemen and Shopkeepers, etc. May be fupplied on the beft terms. (r766.) 8vo, 1 vol., engraved title-page, R. Morris Invt., Mercier Pint; (now very rare).
1768.

JOHN BOWLES. A catalogue of Maps, Prints, Copy-Books, etc. From off Copper-plates. Printed for John Bowles at Number 13 in Cornhill, London. Where Merchants, Gentlemen, City and Country Shop-Keepers, and Cbapmen, may, be furrijhed with the neweft and beft Variety at the loweft Prices. 1768. 8vo, I vol., engraved title-page, Baston delin., J. Clark sculp. This book has become so rare that Messrs. Laurie \& Whittle, Bowles's successors, had when I knew them only an imperfect copy of a later edition.
1768.
J. ENSCHEDE. Proef Van Letteren welke gegooten worden in de nieuwe Haerlemfhe lettergietery. 1768. 8vo, I vol.
GUILLAUME FRANCOIS DE BURE. Supplement a la bibliographie instructive, ou Catalogue des liyres du Cabinet de feu M. Louis Jean Gaignat, Ecuyer, Consceller-Sécretaire du Roi Honoraire, etc. Avec une table alphabétique des auteurs. Paris, M.DCC.LXIX. $8 \mathrm{vo}, 2$ vols.
1778.83.

JACOBUS LE LONG. Bibliotheca Sacra post CL. CL. VV. Jacobi Le Long et C. F. Buerneri iteratas curas ordine disposita, emendata, suppleta continuata ab Andrea Gottlieb Masch. Halae, 1778-83. 4 to, 2 vols.
1783.

GUILLAUME DE BURE. Catalogue des livres de la bibliothèque de feu M. le Duc de la Valliere. Contenant les Manufrits, les premieres Éditions, les Livres imprimés fur vélin \& fur grand papier, Ies Livres rares, \& précieux par leur belle confervation, les Livres d'Eftampes, etc. Dont la Vente fe fera dans les premiers jours du mois de Décembre, $17 \$ 3$. Parris, Guillanme de Bure, M.DCC.LXXXIII. 8vo, 3 vols., portrait.
1788. PLACIDUS BRAUN. Notitia historico-litteraria de libris ab artis typographice inventione vsque ad annvm MCCCCLXXVIIII. impressis : in bibliotheca liberi, ac imperialis Monasterii ad SS. vdalricym et aifram avgvstæ extantibvs.-accedynt V11I. Tabvlæ ærex sexaginta primorvm typographorvm alphabeta continentes. Avgigsta L'indelicorvim. mDCCLXXXVII. 4 to, 1 vol.
1791.
P. FR.-XAV. LAIRE. Index Librorum ab inventa typographia ad annum 1500 ; Chronologice dispositus cum notis historiam typographico litterariam illustrantibus. Senonis, M.DCC.XCI. 8vo, 2 vols.

GEORG WOLFGANG PANZER. Annales Typographici ab artis invente origine ad annvm MD. post 1793-1803. Maittairii Denissi Aliorvmque Doctissimorvm virorvm curas in ordinem redacti emendati et aveti. Norimbergac, 1793-1803. $4^{\text {to, }} 11$ vols.
P. LAMBINET. Recherches historiques, littéraires et critıques, sur l'origine de l'imprimerie ; particulièrement sur les premiere établissemens, au $x v^{m e}$ siècle, dans la Belgique, maintenant réunee à la Republique Française ; Ornées dés portraits et désécursons des premiers imprimeurs belges; Bruxelles, vendémiaire, AN VII. de l'ère française. 8vo, 1 vol.
GOTTHELF FISCHER. Beschreibung einiger typographischen Seltenheiten nebst Beyträgen zur ersindungsgeschichte der Buchdruckerkunst. Mainz, 1800 . 8 vo , 1 vol.

GOTTHELF FISCHER. Essai sur les Monuments Typographiques de Jean Gutenberg Mayencais inventeur de J'inprimerie. Mayence, 1802 . 4to, I vol.
1802. DAUNOU. Analyse des opinions diverses sur l'origine de l'imprimerie, Paris, frimaire an XI. Svo, 1 vol.
1803.

ANT. AUG. RENOUARD. Annales de L'imprimerie des Alde, ou Histoire des trois Manuce et le leurs Éditions A Paris, $1803.8 \mathrm{vo}, 2$ vols., with portraits.
1805-7. M. DE LA SERNA SANTANDER. Dictionnaire Bibliographique choisi du quinzième siècle. Bruxelles, 1805\%. 3 vols. in 8 vo.
FR. IGN. FOURNIER. Nouveau dictionnaire portatif de bibliographie, contenant plus de vingt-trois mille Articles
1809. de Livres, etc. l'rééedé D'un Précis sur les Bibliothdzues et sur la Bibliographice, et suivi du Cataloguc des Editions citées par l'Academie de la Crusca, etc. A laris, M.DCCC.IX. 8vo, I vol.'
P. LAMBINET. Origine de l'imprimeric d'apres les titres authentiques. L'opinion de M. Daunou et celle de
M. Van I'raet ; Suivie des établissemens de cet art dans la Belgi que et de l'Histoire de la Stéréotypie ; ornée dé calques, de portraits et d'écussons, P'unis, M.DCCC.X. 8vo, 2 vols.
JACOBUS KONING. Verhandeling over den oorsprong, de uitvinding, verbetering en volmaking der bockdrukkunst. Te Haurlem, A. Loosjes, 1816 . 8vo, I vol.
1819.

JACQUES KONING. Dissertation sur l'origine, l'invention et le perfectionnement de limprimeric. A Ansceridam, 1819. 8 vo , I vol.
T. C. HANSARD. Typographia: an historical sketch of the origin and progress of The Art of Printing ; with
1825. practical directions for conducting every department in an office ; with a description of Stereotype and Lithography; illustrated by Engravings, Bibliographical Notices, \& Portraits. London, IS25. 8vo, I vol.

LUDOVICI HAIN. Repertorium bibliographicum in quo libri omnes alb arte typographica inventa uique ad ammum MD. typis expressi ordine alphabetico vel simpliciter enumerantur vel adcuratius reeenjentur. Stutlgurtic, Parisiorum. I\$26-1838. Svo, 4 vols.
REV. TIIOMAS FROGNALL DIBDIN, D.D., F.R.S. An introduction to the knowledge of rare and valuable editions of the Greek and Latin Classics together with an account of Polyglot Bibles, Polyglot Ysalters, Hebrew Bibles, Greek Bibles, and Greek Testaments ; the Greek fathers and the Latin fathers. Lon $\ell_{0 \text { on, }} 1827 . \quad 8 v o, 2$ vols.
1837. FREDERIC ADOLPHUS EBERT. A general Bibliographicalf Dictionary. Oxford, MDCCCXXXXVIT. 8vo, 4 vols.
LÉON DE LABORDE. Débuts de L'íaprimerie a Strasbourg, ou recherches sur les travaux mystérieax de Gutenberg dans cette ville, et sur le procès qui lui fut intenté en 1439 a cette occasion. Paris, 18 \&o. Svo, I vol.
1840. DR. KARL FALKENSTEIN. Geschichte der Buchdruckerkunst in ihrer entstehung und ausbildung. Ein Denkmal zur vierten Säcular-Feier der Erfindung der Typographie. Leipzig, $18 \ddagger 0.4$ to, 1 vol.
1840.

LÉON DE LABORDE. Débuts de L'Imprimerie a Hayence et à Bumber's, description des lettres d'indulgence du pape Nicolas V. pro regroo cypri imprimées en 1434 . Paris, 1840 . Folio.
1840. (DUVERGER.) Histoire de l'invention de l'imprimerie par les monuments. Paris, rsfo. I vol.
1842. THOMAS FROGNALL DIBDIN, D.D. Bibliomania; or Book-Madness; A bibliographical romance, illustrated with cuts. London, 1842 . 8vo, I vol.
1853. AUG. BERNARD. De l'origine et des débuts de l'imprimerie en Europe. Paris, MDCCCLIII. 8vo, 2 vols. RICHARD IIERRING. Paper \& Paper Marking, ancient and modern; with an introduction by the Rev. Georye Croly, LL..D. London, 1855. Svo, i vol.
1856. JOH. GUIL. HOLTROP. Catalogus librorum sæculo xvo impressorum, quotquot in bibliotheca regia hagana asservantur. Hagra-Comitum, MDCCCLVI. 8vo, 1 vol.
1858. WILLIAM THOMAS LOWNDES. The Bibliographer's Manuat of English Literature, containing an account of rare, curious, and useful books, published in or relating to Great Britain and Ireland, from the invention of printing ; with bibliographical and critical notices, collations of the rarer articles, and the price at which they have been sold in the present century. Newe edition, revised, carrected, and enlarged by Henry G. Bohn. London, 1858. Svo, 5 vols.

JACQUES-CHARLES BRUNET. Manuel du Libraire et de L'amateur de Livres contenant $1^{\circ}$ un nouveau estimés dictionnaire bibliographique dans lequel sont decrits les Livres rares, precieux, singuliers, et aussi les ouvrages ses pplus de l'imprimerie jusqu'a nos jours. . . $2^{0}$ une Table en forme de catalogue raisonné. Exemplaire souscrit par J. E. H. Paris, 1860 . Svo, 6 vols,, in two parts and supplement. Paris, 1878.

WILLIAM YOUNG OTTLEY. An inquiry concerning the Invention of Printing: in which the systems of
1863. Meerman, Heinecken, Santander, and Koning are reviewed; including also notices of the early use of wood-engraving in Europe, the block-books, etc. London, MDCCCLXIII. 4to, 1 vol.
1868. ETIENNE MIDOUN et AUG. MATton. Etude sur les Filigranes des Papiers employés en France aux xivo et xve siècles. Accompagnée de 600 dessins lithographies. Paris, iS6S. 8vo, i vol.
1868. J. W. HOLTROP. Monuments Typographiques des Pays-Bas au Quinzième Siècie. La Haye, I86S, folio.

DR. A VAN DER LINDE. The Haarlem Legend of The Invention of Printing by Lourens Janszoon Coster,
1871. critically examined. From the Dutch by J. H. Hessels, with an introduction and a clasified list of the Costerian, incunabula. London, 187 I . 8 vo , I vol.
1872. WILLIAM SKEEN. Early Typography. Colombo, Ceylon, 1872. Svo, 1 vol.
1877. THEO. L. DE VINNE. The Invention of Printing. A Collection of Facts and Opinions descriptive of Early Prints and Playing Cards, the Block-books of the fifteenth century, the Legend of Lourens Janszoon Coster, of Haarlem, and the work of John Gutenberg and bis associates. Illustrated with facsimiles of carly types and woodcuts. London, 1877. 4 to, 1 vol.
1882.
I. H. HESSELS. Gutenberg: Was he the Inzentor of Printing? An historical investigation embodying a criticism on Dr. Van der Linde's " Gutenberg." London, 1882 . Svo, 1 vol.
1887. TALBOT BAINES REED. A History of the Old Fnglish Letter Foundries, with notes Historical and Bibliographical on the rise and progress of Englisb Typography. London, 1887. 4to, I vol.
1887. J. H. HESSELS. Haarlem the Birth-place of Frinting, not Nentz. London, I887. Svo, I vol.
1888. DR. A. WYSS. Gutenberg uder Coster? An article in the Centralblatt für Bibliothekswesen. Leifzig, i888. 8vo.

HENNV BRADSHAW. Collected Papers, comprising:-1, Memoranda; 2, Communications read before the
1889. Cambridge Antiquarian Society; together with an article contributed to the bibliograpber, and two papers not previonsly published. With thirteen plates. Cambridge, I889. Svo, 1 vol.

18\&9. W. A. P. F. L. BAKKER. Een wcord in het geding "Haarlem-Mainz." Haarlem, 188g. Svo, 1 vol.
KARL DZIATZKO. Beiträge zur Gutentergfrage mit einem lichtdruck-facsimile des helmaspergerschen notariats instrumentes vom 6 November, 1455, nach dem original der K . universitäts-bibliothek zu göttingen. Berlin, 1889. Svo, 1 vol.

KARL DZ1ATZKO. Gutenbergs fruheste Druckerpraxis auf grund einer mit hiilfe der herren Dr. Phil. W. Bahrdt,
1890. Dr. Phil. Karl Meyer und cand. Phil. J. Schnorrenberg ausgeführten vergleichung der 42 zeiligen und 36 zeiligen bilel. Mit 8 lichtdrucktafeln. Berlin, 1890.8 vo, 1 vol.
1890.

LORD CRAWFORD. Eibliotheca Lindesiana. Catalogue of a Collection of English Ballads of the Seventeenth and Eighteenth Centuries, printed for the most part in Black Letter. London, MDCCCXC. 4to, I vol. 1
1891.

HORATIO BROWN. The Venetian Printing Press. An historical study based upon documents for the most part hitberto unpublished. With twenty-two facsimiles of early frinting. London, MDCCCXCI. 4to, I vol.
1893. E. GORDON DUFF, Early Printed Books London, MDCCCNCIII. Sm. 8vo, I vol.
1893.

JOH. ENSCHEDÉ. De lettergieterij. Gedenkschrift ter gelegenheid van haar honderdvijftig-jarig bestaan op 9 Maart, 1893 . Haarlem, 1893.4 to, 1 vol.
1898.

LORD CRAWFORD. Bibliothcca Lindesiana. Catalogue of English Broadsides, 1505-1897 Londor, MDCCCXCVIII. 4 to, 1 vol.
1898.

ROBERT PROCTOR. An Index to the Early Printed Books in the British Muscum : from the Invention of Printing to the year MD., with notes of those in the Bodleian Library. London, MDCCCXCVIII. 4to, 2 vols.

DR. HEINRICH MEISNER UND DR. JOHANNES LUTHER. Die Eifindung der Buchdruckerkinft. zum
1900.
fünthundertfen geburtftage Johann Gutenl ergs, mit 15 kunft eilagen und 100 abtildungen. l'ielefeld und Leifzig, 1900. Svo, I vol.

19C0. OTTO HARTWIG. Festschrift zum funthundertjahrigen geturtstage von Jobann Gutenberg. Mainz, 1900 . 4 to, 1 vol.
1900.

DR. PAUL SCHWENKE. Festschrift zur Gutenlergfeier herausgeget en won der koeniglichen bibliothek 2u Berlin. 1900. Folio, 1 vol.

DR. GOTTFRIED ZEDLER. Die inkunal:eln nassauischer bibliotheken. Festschrift zur fünfhundertjährigen Eedachtnisteier Johann Gutentergs herausgegetion vorn verein für massatische altertumskunde und geschichtsforschung. Hiesbader, 1900. Koyal 8vo, I vol.
1900.

THEODORE LOW INE VINNE. The Fractice of Typography. A Treatise of the processes of typemaking, the I oint system, the names, sizes, styles, and prices of Plain Trinting Types. New Iork, 1900. Snı. Svo, I vol.
1900.

ARTHUR WrSS. Ein deutscher Cisianus für das Jahr 1444, Gcdruckt von GutenLerg. Strassburs, 1900. $4^{\text {to }}, 1$ vol.


Abecedarium, type of, 26, 242.
Abraxas, 187 note.
Accipies woodcut, versions of, 202.
Acta Diurna, newspaper established by Julius Cæsar, $3^{6 .}$ Adolf, Auchbishop, 4.
Adrianus Carthusiensis de remediis, etc., first use of pagination in, iii.
Asopus, Fabulce at Vita (G. Leeu, 1486), description with woodcuts and Caxton's text of fables, 171-179; number of editions before $1500,171$.
Aix-la-Chapelle, fait at, 9.
Albech, Henricus (Tlluminator), notes of, in the Mazarine Bible, 1456, 22.
Albertus Magnus, cited by de Retza, 186, 188 ; Compendium Veritatis (Sensenschmid, 1472), described, 90-92.
Aleria, Bishop of, Address presented to Sixtus IV. by, on behalf of Sweynbeym and Pannartz, 86; epistle of, 88.

Alexandri Doctrinale, Accipies woodcut in (Quentell, 1491), 202.

Alignment, securing of tegular, with-
Leaden matrices, method, $53-55$.
Wooden type, difficulties of, 40, $4^{2-43}$; method of, 45-46.
Alopa, Francesco di, Anthologia Epigrammatum issued by, Florence, 1494, 203-204.
Amboise, $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$, Jacques, Abbot, of Cluny, Press established by, 135.
Amorbach, Johann of, mention of brass type by, 47.
Anagrams, specimens of, from distributed modern wooden types, $4^{6}$.
Anglo-Saxon Church, Laws of, origin of the Cautela from, 94.
Annales Hirsaugienses, testimony from, on the origin of printing, 4.
Anne of Bretagne, inventory of, 12.
Anthologia Epigrammatum Gracorun, di Alopa, 1494, description with facsimile of text, 203-204.
Antipapal books described-
Dialogus inter Clerieum et Militem, G. Ockbam (Quentell, $1494-9$ S), 204.

Antipapal books described (comtinuted)-
Disputatio inter Clericum et Militem, G. Ockham (Printer of Augustinus de Fide, 1473?), 96-97: (Gops, 1475), 110.
Methodius, Revelationes (Furter, 1498), 219-220.
Songe ${ }^{2} u$ Vergier, Le (Maillet, 1491-92), 191-192.
Antipodes, description of, by Mlanilius (with translation), 189.

Antiquitatum Libri Figinti (Schüssler, 1470), description of, 69.
Antoninus-
Summula Confessionis of, produced from trpe in are. 47.

Tractatus de Instructione ( 7 el e. 1470), description of, 70-71; facsimile from, 68.
Antwerp-
Dialogus Creaturarum, English edition issued at (1540), 137.

Kesler, N., connection with, $1 \%$.
Leeu, G. First Press established, 1484. ${ }^{13}$; ; books issued by, Esopus Fabule (1486), 171-179; Psalterium Virginis (1491), 212.
Appianus, De Civilibus Bellis of, description of (Ratdolt, Maler \& Löslein, 1477), 124-125.
Aquinas, S. Thomas-
De Periculis (Zainer, 1473), described, with facsimile of text, 94, 95.
De Veritate (Pannartz, 1475), described, with facsimile of colophon, 103-104.
Secunda Secunde (Mentelin, 1q66), described, with facsimile of text, $65-67$; comparison of passage printed in modern wooden type with original passage, 44 ; contracted words in modern print, ii.
Arabic numerals used by N. Gotz (1474-75), 100.
Aristoteles, De Animalibus (Joh. of Cologne and Joh. Manthen, 1476 ), described with facsimile, 120.
Arndes, S., Book of the Compassion of Mary issued by (1498), 222.

Arnold, Christopher, Comtendium Veritatis issued by. ( 1475 ), 90.
Artis impressoria magister, - x .
Ascaroth, $8_{4}$ and note (2).

Astronomy-
Books on described-
Hyginus, Poeticon Astronomicon Liber (Ratdolt, 1482),
Manilius, Astronomicon Liber (Unknown Printer, c. 1490), 189.

Purbach, Sacro Bosco (Ratdolt, 1485), 167-168.
Milkie Way, Manilius' explanation of, ISg.
Purbach, George, Professor of ( $15^{\text {th }}$ century), 168.
Stars, Manilius' assertions concerning the fixed, 189.
Auctoritates Utriusque Testamenti (Eggestein, 1475), description of, 107.
Auerbach, Joh. von, Accifies woodcut printed by, 202.
Augsburg Press-
1468-78. Zainer, G. (Prototypographer), account of press, 112; Legenda Sanciorum issued by (1475-6), 110.

1470-72. Schüssler, J. (2nd Printer), editions by: Josephus (1470), 69.
Orosius ( 1471 ), 79. Belial (1472), 83-85.
1475-93. Sorg, A. (5th Printer), editions by: Augustinus, Quinquaginta (1475), 100-101. Broadside Proclamation on base guilders (c. 1480 ), 243-45.
1482. Kästlin, H., Declaration of Indulgence by Pope Sixtus IV., $245-46$.
1484. Ratdolt, E., Fasciculus Tcmforzm issued by, 146.
1496. Froschauer, J., Methodius issued by, 220.

Augustinus de Fide, Printer of, Disputatio initcr Clericum
et Militem issued by ( 1473 ?), 96 ; similarity of, to Gops' edition, 1ro-ini.
Aulus Gellius, Noctes Attica (Paltasichis, 1477), described, with facsimile of text, 122,123 .
Ausculta fili, Bull issued by Boniface VIII., 96.
Autograph of J. Pflantzmann, 246.
Bakker, on the Cologne Chronicle evidence on the invention of printing, $23^{2}$ and note (3).
Bale, John, biography of John Mandevil by, 148.
Bamberg Bible. Sce Thirty-six Line Bible.
Bamberg, Sensenschmid printing at, 92.
Bankers' counter, earliest woodcut of, reproduction of, 210 .
Barclay, Alexander, Ship of Fools, issues of and verses from, 225.
Bartholomæus, De Proprietatibus Rcrum (J. Bellaert, 1485), description of, 164-166.

Bartolommeo, mention of brass type by, 47.
Basle Press-
Ruppel, B. (Prototypographer), Legenda Aurea issued by, 92.
1474-89. Wenssler, M. (2nd Printer), Legcnda Aurea issued by, $9^{2}$; De Civitate Dci (1479). 335.
1486. Kesler, N. (8th Printer), Legenda Sanctorum issued by, ${ }^{170}$.
1489. Fisenhut, Leona:d, Defensorium issued by, 183-188.
1497. Furter, M., Quadragesimale (1497) issued by, 214.

Methodius (1498) issued by, 219-220.
Batavia, testimony from, on the origin of printing, 6.
Bechtermunze, Catholicon ascribed to, 4.
Henry and Nicholas, printing office of, 4 .
Beckenhut, J., partner of Sensensclimid at Ratisbon, 92.
Beildeck, Lorenz, cridence of, in the law suit of Guter-
berg $v$. Dritzehen, 10.

Belial scu consolatio Peccatorum, editions of -
Knoblouch ( $\mathrm{x} ; 7 \mathrm{~S}$ ), completed with brass type, 48 .
Pfister, A. (D.d.), 1dentity of type with that of the 36 -line Bible, 25.
Schüssler ( 1472 ), description of, $83-85$.
Bellaert, Jacop, prototypographer of Haarlem (?), 7 ; account of, 164; Bartholomeus issued by, 1485, description of, with facsimile of text, 164-166.
Bellfortis, Andreas, Martial printed by (2471), 81.
Bergellanus, J. A., first mention of Helmasperger document by; 18 .
Berlin Royal Library, Tbisty-line Indulgence in, 16 ; investigations of the Librarian of on the Forty-twoline Bible, 22 and note.
Bernard, M. Aug., cited, 12, 15, 23, 25, 31, 41 note, 44, 51, 66, 71, 83 note ( ${ }^{2}$ ), 92, 118.
Bible-
Decorated initials in the Bible of $1462,31-32$.
Dutch, issue of (1477), 137.
Forty-two-line. See that title.
Thirty-six-line. Sce that title.
Biblia Latine, issues of Koberger (1475), description, with facsimile of text, 104.106; Gotz (1480), 100; Reinhard (1497), description of, 213-214.

Biblia Latine, (Koberger, 1475), number of watermarks in, 252.
Bibliander, T., mention of wooden types by, 39.
Bibliographical Books, 279-282.
Bibliothèque de l'Arsenal, Paris, MS. in, containing first mention of Jenson, 83 .
Bibliothèque Nationale, Paris-
Dodatus of 27 lines in, facsimile of page, 28.
Psalterium of 1457 , editions of, in, $30-31$.
Thirty-six-line Bible in, 25 .
Thirty-one-line letter of Indulgence, facsimile from, 13.

Xylographic block in, facsimile printed from, 37.
Binding-
Devices for facilitating, ii.-iii.
Bindings of books, fragments discovered in, 26, 242, 246.
Bingen, ducats struck at, 62 .
Blocks (see also Xylographic blocks), use of double, for decorated initials, $3^{1-32}$.
Block-books-
Catholicon (Gutenberg and Fust), 4.
Direction of grain of wood in, 43 .
Defensorium, 183.
Donatus, facsimile of part, 37.
Indulgences $30^{-}$and 3 I -linc, considered as, 16-17.
Boccaccio, G., Genealogie Deorum Gentilium (Gotz, 1474-5?), description of, 98-99.
Bodleian Libraty-
Mazarine Bible, price paid for, 22.
Rare books and documents in, $7^{2}, 8_{3}$ and note, 103, 137, 191, 248.
Wetter's experimental letters in, 41 note.
Bodmann, M., Donatus of 27 lines discovered by, 27.
Boetius, J. Reinhard (I501), 206.
Boke named Cordyale, The (Caxton, ${ }^{4} 40$ ), original of, 126.

Bonaventura, Auctoritates Testamenti (Eggestein, c. 1475) described, 107.
Boniface VIII., anecdote of Jacobus de Voragine and, 112; quarrel with Philippe le Bel, 96.
Boninus de Boninis, Macrobius printed by, 90.
Book of the Compassion of Mary (Arndes, 149§), described, 222-224.

Books, Incunabula distinguished from later, ii.-iii.
Bookseller's prospectus. See l'ublishers' Circulars.
Bow and arrow, earliest use of, 252.
Boxhorn, testimony of, on the origin of printing, 7.
Brant, Sebastion, Siultifera Navis (Sacon, 1498), described, 224.25.
Brass punches, used for the 30 - and 31 -line Indulgences (?), 60.
Brass type, mentioned in colophons, 47.
Braun, cited, 66.
Breda, Heinrich of, Rubricator and binder of De Mcdiciná, 129.

Breda, Jacob van, Henric's type used by, 6r.
British Museum, rare editions in, 16, $21-22,24,25,26$, 31, 96, 130, 137.
Broadside-
Catalogue of books, Schæffer's (1469), 78.
Indulgences, 245-246; Xylographic, 246-24S.
Proclamations, 243-245, 249-250.
Bronze mirrors, Roman, 36.
Brothers of the Common Life at Brussels, Vitas Patrum, first edition, published by, 130 .
Brown, Horatio F., The Venetian Printing Press, cited, iii., $82-83,98$.

Bruges, printed book procured at in $1445,12$.
Brussels, Vitas Patrum published at, 130.
Bull's head, meaning and frequency of, 252 ; varieties of, with different qualities of paper, 252.
Bull's head and stylus, earliest use of, 252.

## Cæsar, Julius-

Hyginus, the slave of, 144.
Newspaper establisbed by, 36 .
Opera (Sweynheym and Pannartz, 1472), described, 88; registers in edition of 1469 , iii.
Cæsaris and Joh. Stol, account of, 125.126; Cordiale issued by (Paris, c. 1477), 125.
Cambrai, Abbot of, 5 ; extracts from the diary of (1445), 12.

Campbell, Mr., discovery of 3 r-line Donatus by, 26 .
Camus, experiment with wooden type, 40 ; cost of letters made by, 43 .
Cantica ad Matutinas, attributed to Schoeffer's press, 3, 17.
Casting of Type. See Type, casting of.
Catchwords, absence of, in the earlier incunabula, ii.; definition and first use of, iii.; unusual position of, used by the "Printer of Servins," 105-107.
Calholicon, ascribed to the press of Gutenberg, 3, 4, 14 ; to that of Bechtermunze, 4.
Cautelæ, origin of, 94 ; examples of, from the Resolu. torium of Jobn de Lapide, 201-202.
Caxton, William-
Esop's Fables issued by ( $14 \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ ), fables quoted from, 173-179.
Burial of, record of, 66. Leeu, Gerard, prints for, 137. Legenda Sanctorum issued by ( $148_{3}$ ), legends quoted from, 114-118.
Celsus, Cornelius, De Medicina (Laurentii, 1478), described, 128-129.
Certosino, B. G. Di Dio, Decor Puellarzm (Jenson, 1461 or 147 1), described, $81-S_{3}$.
Chappe, Paulinus, facsimile of indulgence procured by, from Nicholas V., I3-14; envoy of John III. of Cyprus, 15.

Chardella, Simon Nicolai, partnership with Ulrich Ilan, 70.

Clarles VII. (of France), Patron of Jenson, 83 .
Charles V111. (of France), invasion of Italy by, 204.
Chaucer, likeness of, on a broken Egyptian pebble, 187.
Chiarini, G. de Lorenzo, Libro Di Mereatantic (Di Libri, c. 1496) described, 209.211.

Clina, printing in, in the 6th century, 34 .
Chren, Thomas, note of ownership of Sccunda Secunda, 65 ; facsimile of, 67 .
Chronicon of Spanheint, testimony from, on the origin of printing, 4.
Chronik van Köln. See Cologne Chronicle.
Chunloa period, art of printing in the, 34 .
Church Type, use of in the 3 I -line Indulgence and in the 36 -line lible, 14 ; in the 30 -line Indulgence, 16 ; identity of, used for the 30 -line Indulgence and the 42 -line Bible, 17.
Cicero, issue of works of-
De Oratore (Sweynheym and Pannartz, 1465), 86.
Epistola ad Alticum (Jenson, 1470), 83 .
Epistole ad Familiayes (John of Spire), 76 .
Circle, with stylus and star, earliest use of, $25^{2}$.
Cividale, G. Lisa's press at, 79.
Clay cores, value of, 51 .
Clericus diocesis Moguntinensis, 71.
Clericis Laicos, Bull issued by Boniface Vlli., g6.
Clouds, representation of, in woodcuts, iS6, 185.
Cluny Monastery, Wenssler's press at, 135.
Cock, earliest use of, 252 .
Coins-
Forged, broadside concerning the issue of, 243.245 .
Punch cutting for, in the 14 th and 15 th centuriec, 62.

Colonia, John of, associated with Jenson, 83 .
Cologne Chronicle (Joh. Koelhoff, junior, 1499) descrip.
tion of, 229.238; testimony from on the invention of
Printing, see under Printing.
Cologne Press-
1464-1478. "The $\$$ Printer," account of, 72, 74; Sophologium (circa 1470) described, 72-74.
1466-r499. Zel, Ulrich, publications of, 7r; Antoninus De Instructione (circa 1470) described, 70-7 .
${ }^{1743 \text { ? }}$ "Augustinus de Fide, Printer of," Disputatio inter Clericum, described, 96 .
1474-1480. Gotz, N. (9th Printer), works issued byBoceacio (1474-5?) described, 9S.100.
De Duobus Amantibus (1475?), described, 108, 109. Other publications of, Ioo.
1475. Gops, Goiswin, Disputatio inter Clericum, described.
1479-1498. Quentell, H., description of works issued by-

Resolutorium of De Lapide (1493), 201-202. Dialogus inter Clericum, etc, (1494-98), 204. Crescentios (c. 1497), 217-219.
1494. Koelhoff. Joh., junior. Cronica van Coellen (1499), described, 229-236.

Thirty-line letters of Indulgence printed at, 15 .
Colonna, Franciscos, account of, $23^{8-239 \text {; Polifhili }}$
Hypnerotomachia of (Manutius, 1499), described, with
facsimile of text, 236 -240.
Commerce, treatise on (1496), 209-211.
Common Place book of P. Kempeneere, 166.
Compendium Veritatis (Sensenscbmid, 5472 ) described,
with facsimile of text, 90-92.

Confessionalia, issued by-
Innocent VIII., 248-249.
Nicholas V., $3^{r}$-line letter of indulgence, 15 .
Sixtus IV., form of confessional and absolution (translated), 247.
Congreve, Sir William, double block patented by, 32 .
Constellations, woodcuts representing, 145.
Contractions, casting of types for, 57 ; use of, in the Incunabula, ii.; excessive use of, by Gops, ino, iri.
Coornhert, testimony of, on the origin of printing, 6.
Copper matrices-
Fifteenth century, representation of, 61.
Invention of, 62.63 .
Thirty- and thirty-one-line Indulgences used in? 59-61.
Cordiale (Wagener and Stol, c. 1477) described, 125-126.
Corea, printing with movable types in, with illustration, 33-35.
Coreizantes Per Annum, story of, and woodcut from Liber Chronicarum (1493), 200.
Cores (see also Matrices), materials suitable for, $5 \mathbf{r}$; use of, in casting type, 50.
Cornelius, testimony of, on the origin of printing, 7 .
Corrector of the Press, Peter Löslein, 125.
Coster, Lourenz Janszoon-
Books ascribed to Press of, classification of, 241-242; date of, $2 \not 22$.
Invention of Printing? by, 2 ; testimony in favour of, 5-7; claims refuted by the Gutenbergians, 7.
Pedigree of, now first reproduced, 5 .
Costeriana, 2\&1-242.
Cotton MS. Titus C., text quoted to describe woodcuts from Mandeville, 14S-158.
Cradle Books, i. and note (1).
Ctantz, Martin, account of, 128.
Crasso, Leonardo, the promulgator of Hypnerotomachia, 238.

Cremer, 22.
Crescentiis, P. de, Opus Ruralium Commodorum (Quentell, $c$. 1497), described, 217-219.
Cronica van Callen. See C'ologne Chronicle.
Crusading Indulgence of Sixtus IV., Confessionale granted under, 246 -248.
Curtius, Quintus, De Rebus Gestis Alexandfi Magni (Wendelin of Spire, 1470-1), described, 74-76.
Cyprus, John III., King of, menaced by the Turks, 15.
Darmstadt, Library at, Psalterium (1457) in, 3r.
Daunou, on the origin of printing (quoted), 2 ; objection to wooden type theory and Wetter's criticism of, 41.
De Amoris Generibus (G. Lisa, 1492) described, 193-19.4.
De Animalibus (Joh. of Cologne and Joh. Manthen, 1476) described, ${ }^{2}$ zo.
De Bello Judaico Libri Septem (Schüssler, 1470) described, 69.
De Civilibus Bellis (Ratdolt, Maler and Löslein, 1477) described, 124-125.
De Civitate Dei (Wenssler, 1479) described, with facsimile of text, $135-136$; line of argument adapted in, 183-184.
De Flonesta Volupiate (Lorenzo and Sibyllinus, 1475) described, 102-103.
De Lamiis et Phitonicis Myulieribus (Joh. Prüss. 1488?) describerl, with woodcuts, 180-182.
De Linguá Latina (Joh. of Cologne and Joh. Manthen, 1474) described, $97 \cdot 9^{9}$.

De Medicina (Laurentii, 1478) described, 128-129.
De Rebus Gestis Alexandri Magni (Wendelin ol Spire, 1470-71) described, 74-76.
De Reformatione Poctarum, mention of brass type in, 47 .
De Vanitatibus Seculi (Fyner, 1477.79) described, 126.
De Veritate Catholica Fidei (Pannattz, 1475) described, 103-104.
De Vinne, Mr., on decorated initials, process of, $3^{2}$; on the significance of the invention of the type mould, 38 ; on the durability of wooden type (quoted), 45; his observations not founded on experiment, 45 ; Treatise on the Invention of Printing, 31 note.
Decor Puellarum, Jenson ( $\mathbf{r} 4(7) \mathrm{r})$, description of, with facsimile of passage bearing on the date, $81-83$; rubricator's error in, iii.
Defensorium (Eisenhut, c. 1489) described with woodcuts, and text in illustration of, 183-188.
Delft, the second printing press of IIolland established at (1477), 137.
Demering, Otto of, Translator of Montevilla, note by, 14.7 note.

Device of Nicolas Gotz, 1 oo.
Dialogus Creaturarum Moralizatus (Leeu, 1480) described, with woodcuts, fables, and facsimile of text, 137-143.
Dialogus inter Clericum et Militem (Quentell, r494-98) described, 204; Le Songe du Vergier, an expansion of, 192.
Diary of the Abbat of Cambrai, extracts from, 12.
Dibdin, quoted, 85 , I44.
Die-sinkers, technical skill of, 14 th-15th centuries, 62.
Dino, Francesco de, Libro Di Mercatantic issued by (1481), 210.

Directorium Humanae ITitae (Jolı. Prüss. 1484-85), described, with woodcuts, fables, and facsimile of title. 158-162.
Disputatio inter Clericum et Militenn (Printer of Augustinus de Fide, 1473?) described, 96-97; (Gops, 1475) described, 110; facsimiles from both editions, 111.

Doctrinale, facsimile of early Dutch, in modern wooden types, 44 ; 3,50oth impression, 45 .
Doctrinale of Alexander Gallus, issued by Fust (c. 1442), 6.

Doctrinales, ascribed to Coster, types of, 241, 242; printed, mentioned by the Abbat of Cambrai (1445), 12, 13.
Documents relating to the invention of printing described, with facsimiles of extracts from, S.3i.
Donatus of 27 lines, fragment of, in the Bibliothèque Nationale, Paris, description of, 27 ; facsimile of page, 28; facsimile of Duverger's impression of, 29; full title of, 232 note ( ${ }^{2}$ ); type of, 27, 242.
Donatus of 3 r lines, evidence of its type on early methods of printing, $26 \cdot 27,242$.
Donatus of 35 lines, approximate date of, 23; evidence of its type on early methods of printing, 23 .
Donatuses-
Ascribed to Coster, types of, 241, 242.
Editions of, attributed to Sch@effer's press, 3.
Facsimile of a part printed from a xylographic block, 37.

Fragment discovered in Haarlem, 7.
Gutenberg indebted to, 5 .
Printing, prefiguration of the art in, Cologne Chronicle evidence and discussion of, $230-235$.
Type of the 42 -line Bible, fragments of, in, 23 .

Double letters, production of type for, 57 ; made from wooden punches, facsimile of, $57,58$.
Dreiberg, meaning and frequency of, 252.
Dresden, Psalterium of 1457 in Royal Library at, 30.
Dritzehen, Andres, partnership with Gutenberg, Riffe, and Heilmann, 9.
Dritzehen, Jerge, law-suit with Gutenberg, 3; account of, 9 ; documents relating to, $8-9$; testimony of witnesses concerning the secret transaction, 10-1m.
Ducats, examples of, struck in the $14^{\text {th }}$ and $15^{\text {th }}$ centuries, 62.
Duff, Mr. E. Gordon, discovery of Wetter's wooden types by, 41 note.
Dunne, Hans, testimony of, on the origin of printing, if
Dutch invention of printing. Sce Coster and also Printing.
Dutch type, character of, used in the Donatus of $3^{11}$ lines, 26.
Duverger, investigations on the Donatus of 27 lines, 27 ; facsimile of page, experimental impression of, 29 ; on metal type, 56 .
Dziatzko, M. K., cited, 14, 15, 17-18, 22, 25, 26; discovery of the original Helmasperger document by, 17.

Earth, rotundity of, 167, 168.
Egerton MS., The Buke of John Maundeuil, Knight, text quoted in illustration of woodcuts, 14 S-158.
Eggestein, Heinrich (3rd Strasburg Printer)-
Description of editions by-
${ }^{1472}$ or 1473. Legenda Aurea, 92-93.
Circa 1475. Auctoritates Utriusque Testamenti, 107. Vita Christi attributed to (1474), 227.
Egyptians, use of papyrus among, 36 .
Eisenhut, Leonard, Defensorium issued by (c. 1489), described, with woodcuts and text illustrating, $183-188$
Eltville, printing office at, 4.
Emeric, John, 76.
England, description of, by Solinus (circa A.D. 230), 93 ; by Rolewinck, 147.
Enschedé, Mr. Charles, attributes the invention of the steel punch to Peter Schoeffer, 62; on the types of the $3^{66}$ and $4^{2}$-line Bibles, 61-62.
Enschedé, Messrs., mentioned, 56, 61, 63 .
Johannes, investigations on the impracticability of wooden types (quoted), 39-40, 43-44.
Ensehedé, M., Abecedarium discovered by, 26.
Encomion Chalcographio, is.
Ennel, Dame, evidence of, in the law-suit Gutenberg v. Dritzehen, 10.

Epistelen Ende Evangelien (J. van Breda, 1493), printed with Henric's type, 61; issued by G. Leeu (1477), 137.
Efistola ad Familiares, question as to priority of the Decor Puellarum and, $\mathrm{S}_{2}-8_{3}$.
Erasmus, friendship with Gerard Leeu, 137.
Esslingen Press, De Vanitatious Scculi printed by Fyner at (1477.79), 126.
Etude sur les Filigranes, etc., cited, 252.
Eusebii Chronicon, testimony from in favour of Guten. berg's invention of printing, 4 .
Exempla Sacra Scripturce (Gering, 1478) described, 126, 128 .
Explanatio Psalmorum, brass type mentioned in colophon of, 47.
Expositio in Tria Virgillii Opera (Printer of Servius, 1475) described, 106-107.

Factorum ct Memorabilium Liber (Schœeffer, 1471) described, -6.78 .

Fasciculus Temporum editions described1484. Ratdolt, 146 -147.

Circal 1490. Prüss, 190.
Fasciculus Temporum (Veldener, 1480), number of watermarks in, 252.
Ferdinand, Archduke, Psalterizm from the library of, $3^{\circ}$.
Ferrara, Printers of -
1471. Bellfortis, A., Martial issued by, 8s.
1475. Carnerius, A., Poticon Astronomicon Liber issued by, 144.
Fichet, Gul., testimony of, to Gutenberg's invention of printing, 4, 5 .
Ficino, M., Translation of Pimander (G. Lisa, 1471) described, 78-79.
Fischer, Gotthelf, treatise on the Donatus of 27 lines, 27. Fischer, Gotthelf, cited, 252 .
Fishing, with a float, first printed representation of, 139; with a gogolacia, woodcut from Ciescentiis (Quentell, c. 1497$), 218$.

Florence Press-
1492-1496. Alopa, Francesco di, Anthologia Epigrammatum issued by (1494), 203-204.
1477. Laurentii, N. (4th Printer), De Mediciná issued by ( 1478 ), 128 -129.
1481. Dino, Francesco de, Libro di Mercatantie issued by, 210 .
Circa 1496. Libri, Bart. Di, Libro di Thercatantie issued by, 209-211.
Fong-Too, Chinese invention of printing attributed to (circa A.D. 932), 34.
Foresti, Jac. Phil, testimony of, to Gutenberg's inven. tion of printing, 4.
Forty-two-line Bible described, 21.22 ; commercial value of, 22 ; facsimile of page of, 21 ; identity of the printer, 3, 22-23; order of precedlence of the 36-line Bible and, 22, 61-62; type of, excellence of, 22-23; change in the size of, 23 ; facsimile of lines from, compared with the corresponding text in the 36 -line Bible, 24 ; identity (?) of, with that of the 30 -line Indulgence, 16 , 17 ; process of casting, experimental reproduction of passage, with facsimile, $57-59$; Enschedé's views on, 61-62.
France, first press established in, 125; contention with Italian press on the printing of Decor Pucllarum, 82 and note.
Freiburg, Proclamation of Maximilian, 1496, issued at, 249-250.
Friburger, Michael, invited to establish his press at Paris, 128.
Frisner, Andreas, partner of Sensensclimid at Nuremberg, 92 .
Froben (Printer at Basle), editions by-
1492. Resolutorium, 201.
1544. Josephus, Greek text, 60.

Froschauer, Joh. (Printer at Augsburg), Alethodius issued by (1496), 220.
Furter, M. (Printer at Basle), editions by, described-
1497. Quadragesimale, 214.217.
1498. Methodius, 219-220.

Printer's mark of, 214.
Fust (or Faust), Johann-
Invention of printing attributed to, 2 .
Law-suit with Gutenberg, causes of, 3-4, 18; case for the plaintiff, 19-20; notorial instrument, discovery of original, 17-18; English translation of (quoted), 19-2I; decision, 20; defence of Gutenberg, zo.

Fust (or Faust), Johann (continued ${ }^{\text {t }}$ ) 一
Partnerships of -
With Gutenberg, 3-4; forty-two-line Bible printed by, 23 .
With Schœeffer, 4, -S; Psalterum, 1457 ; printed by, 30 .
Press of, at Mentz, destroyed, 4 ; works issued by, 6. Theft of type and tools from Coster's house, 6-7.
Fyner, Conrad (Printer), account of, 126.
Gaguin, Robert, 4.
Gale, Nicholas, testimony of, on the origin of printing, 7.
Gallus, Alexander, Doctrinale of, issued at Mentz (c. 1442), 6.

Garamond, Claude, type mould of, 56 .
Garlandia, Johannes de, quoted, 126.
Gasparini Orthographia, letters quoted from, in testimony of Gutenberg's invention of printing, 4.
Genealogice Deorum Gentilizun (N. Gotz, 1474-5?), described, 98-99.
Gensfleisch, Gutenberg drops the name of, 3 .
Geographical Treatise, Solinus (circa A.D. ${ }^{2} 3^{\circ}$ ), described Jenson (1473), 93.
Gering, Ulrich, I'rototypographer of Paris-
Description of books issued by-
1475. Legenda Aurea, 92. 1475. Legenda Sanctorum, II2. 1478. Exempla Sacra Scripturce, 126.

Wagener and Stol pupils of, 125 .
German invention of Printing. Sce Gutenberg.
Germany, punch cutting for coins in the $14^{\text {th }}$ and 15 th centuries, 62.
Good Friday, date of observance of, 84 and note (1).
Gops, Goiswin (Printer at Cologne), Disputatio inter Clericum et Militem (1475), described, 110 ; resemblance of type to that of the "printer of Augustinus de Fide," 96.
Gothic $\boldsymbol{y}$, Irequency of, in watermarks, and Koning's explanation of, 252 .
Gothic Type and Roman. Sce Roman and Gothic.
Gottingen, Library of University, Helmasperger document discovered in, $1 \%$.
Gotz, Nicolaus ( $9^{\text {th }}$ Printer of Cologne) -
Account of Press of, roo.
Books issued by, described-
1474-5? Genealogia Deorum Gentilium, 98-1oo.
1475? De Duobus Amantibus, 108-109.
Gouda Press, prototypographer of, 137-143.
Grammar, Latin, of Varro, issue of (Joh. of Cologne and Joh. Manthen, 1474), 97-98.
Greeks, use of papyrus among, $3^{6}$.
Greek type, description of, first printed example of (Jeuson, 1472), 87, 90; used by F. Di Alopa (1494), 203, 204; used by the "Printer of Servius," 106, ro7.
Grïninger, Reinhard. See Reinhard.
Guicciardini, Luigi, testimony of, on the origin of printing, 6.
Guilders, forgeries of, description of, in broadside (c. 1480), 243-244; facsimiles of coins forged, 244-245.

Guntheri, Herr Heinrich, 19.
Gutenberg, Johann-
Colnur blocks, invention of, ascribed to, 32 .
Partncrship of, with Riffe, Heilmann and Dritzehen, 9 and note (1).
Printing, invention of (?), by-
Cologne Chronicle evidence. See under Printing, invention of.

Gutenberg, Johann (continued)-
Printing, Invention of (?), by (continued) -
Contentions of his advocates, 3.4 ; refutation by the Costerians, 5.
Evidence of, from contemporary works, quotedDiary of the Abbat of Cambrai, 12-13.
Liber Chronicarum, 196.
Oratio Querulosa, 203.
Law-suit with Dritzehen, see Dritzehen; and with Fust, see Fust.
Printing-press, alleged discovery of part of, 38.
Process of casting type by, Enschedé's views, 6i-62.
Servant of, established press at Nuremberg, 92.

## Haarlem-

Abecedarium in the Town Hall Library at, 26.
Claims of, to the invention of printing. See Coster, and also Printing.
Type discovered at, 56.
Haarlem Press, J. Bellaert (Prototypographer?) account of press of, 164 ; Bartholomaus issued by (1485), description of, 164-166.
Hædus, Petrus, De Amoris Generibus (G. Lisa, 1492), described, 193.
Hague, The, Royal Library at, Donatues preserved in, facsimile of page, 26 .
Hamman, Johannes (Printer of Venice), Psalterium Virginis of S. Bernard, issued by (r497), described, $211-2$ I 3
Han, Ulrich (2nd Printer at Rome, $\mathrm{I}_{4} 67$-1478), description of editions by-
1470. Justinus, In Trogi Pompei Historias Epitome, 70.
1472. Livy, Historiae Romanae Decades, 85.87.

Hanau, Berthold von, testimony on the origin of printing refuted, 5 .
Harris, facsimiles executed by hand by, 81, 21 .
Haslewood, J., English edition of Dialogus Creatorum issued by (1816), 137.
Heilmann, Andres, partnership with Gutenberg and Riffe, 9; attempt of, to conceal the printing press after the death of Dritzehen, io.
Helmasperger document, discovery of the original, 17-18; English translation of the text of, 19-2I ; facsimile of, with description, 18.
Hendricsz, Cornelis (of Delft), a chalcotyper, 48 note; type of, 61.
Henry, Solomon, double block patented by, 32.
Hereford Cautels, 94.
Hermit and the Horned Monster, The, woodcut from Montevilla (Joh. Prüss, 1484), with description, I49.
Hermolaus Barbarus, hard metal (type ?) mentioned in the colophon of (1495), 47 .
Hertzog, Johannes. See Hamman.
Hessels, Mr., cited, on the Donatuses, 23,27 ; on the Indulgences, $14,15,16,17,78$; on the origin of printing, 3, 5, 11; researches on the Helmasperger document, 17 and note (1).
Hieronymus, S., Vitas Patrum Germanice (Unknown Printer, Strasburg? 1478-9) described with woodcuts and legends from Caxton's text, ${ }^{130-1} 34$.
Hispanus, Petrus, tracts of, printed at Mentz (c. 1442), 6.
Hist, Conrad (Printer of Spire or Spier) Wimpheling, Oratio Querulosa, issued by (c. 1493), 203.
Hist, Conrad and Johann, first and second editions of the Defensorium attributed to, 183 .
Ilistoria de Duobus Amantibus (Gotr, $1+75$ ?) described, 108-109.

Historia Lombardica. See Legenda Sanctorum.
Histora adversus Paganos (Schüssler, 147) described, with facsiunile from text, 79-8I.
Historia Romana Decades (Sweynheym and Pannartz, 1472) described, 85 -87.

Histories. See under Incunabula, described.
IIoernen, Arnold ter, title page first used by, iif.
Hohenstein, Iodocus, 76.

## Holland-

Invention of Printing in. See Coster and also Printing. Palaotypographia Mollandica, 26, 48, 241-244.
Printing press established in ( 147 1-4), 137.
Holtrop, T., 26.
Homborch, Conrad de, Dialogus Creaturarum Moralizatus issued by ( 548 r ), 137.
Homer, first printed text of, 90.
Homery, Dr. Conrad, Gutenberg assisted by, 4 .
Horace. Opera (Lavagna, 1476), described, 118-120. (Reinhard, 1498), ,, 220-221.
Humphreys, Mr. Noel, reproduction of the $30-$ line Indulgence by, 16.
Husner, mention of brass (aere) type by, 47.
Hyginus, Julius, Poeticon Astronomicon Liber (Ratdolt, 1482) described, with woodcuts, $144,145$.

Hypnerotomachia (Manutius, 1499) described, 236-240.
Illyricus Flaccius, on the authorship of the Disputatio, 96.
Imperial Libraries of Berlin and Vienna, Psalterium of 1457 in, 30.3 r.
Incunabula -
Definition of the word i. and note; its application, ii.; number of, and characteristics, ii.-iii. ; paper of, 251.
Index-
Fasciculus Temporum, to, device for assisting reference, 146 and note.
Tridentine Index. See that name.
Indexes, Biblical, 107, 126, 128.
Indulgence, ${ }^{0}$ oline letter of -
Cause of issue of, 15 .
Editions of, 16.
Facsinile of, 16.
Printing attributed to Schoeffer, 3 .
Type of, $59-6 \mathrm{r}$; identity of, with that of the 42 -line Bible, 17.
Value of, in the history of typography, i4.
Indulgence, $3^{1 \text {-line letter of- }}$
Cause of issue of, 15 .
Evidence contained in, for the date of the 3 6-Ine Bible, 25:
Facsimile of, $13-\mathrm{I} 4$.
Issues of, 14.
Printing attributed to Gutenberg, 3 .
Type of, $59-6 \mathrm{r}$; De Laborde's demonstration of the shapes of, 43 .
Value of, in the history of typography, 14.
Indulgence, Thirty-three-line letter of, evidence of, as the work of the printer of the 30 -line indulgence, 17 .
Indulgences, accuracy desirable in, 15 ; granted by Innocent VIII., 248-249; by Sixtus IV., 245-248.
Initial directors, use of, iii.
Initial letters, coloured, in Psalterizm of 1457 , method of printing, $3^{0}, 3^{1}$; spaces for, in the Incunabula, ii. ; supplied by hand, iii.
Ink, viscous, discovery of, 37.38 ; not known to the Romans, 36.
Innocent Vili., Pope, confessionale indulgence granted by, 248-249.

Institutiones, testimony from, on the origin of printing, 5 .
In Trogi Pompei Historias Epitome (U. Man c. 1470) described, 70 .
Invention of Printing. Sec P'rinting, invention of.
Isidorus, cited by de Retza, 185,188 .
Ispida (a bird), marvel related of, by de Retza, $185^{\circ}$
Italy, commercial usages of Iondon compared with those of, $210-211$; ill success of first printers in, 86 .
Italian l'ress (see also Venice, llorence, Rome), conten. tion with the French Press concerning the printing of Decor P'ucllarum, 82 and note.

Jacobus Magni (Jaeques le Grand), account of, 74; Sophologium of, described, 72-74.
Janson, cited, 252.
Janua, Joanmes Balbus de, Catholicon, printer of (?), 4.
Japanese invention of printing, 33 .
Jenson, Nicolas (Prototypographer? of Venice), account of, 83 ; calls limself Librorum Sculttor, 47; his claim to the invention of printing, refuted, 232 ; number of Roman type, developed by, 86.
Watermarks used in Nicolous (1477) by, 252.
Works issued by, described-

```
1470. Josephus,70.
1472. Casar, 88.
```

1472. Macrobius, 88-90.
1473. Solinus, 93.
1474. Nicolaus, Panormitanus Abbas Siculus, 121-122.
Jesus Christ-
Dialogues between His mother and, 222.
Genealogy of, in Fasciculus Tensportem, 146.
Woodcuts illustrating His life (Arndes, 1498 ), 223.
Joan, Pope, story of, with woodcut from Liber Chronicarum, 198, 200; in Vile Pontificum, 163.
Joannes Regiomontanus Kialendarium, first ornamented title-page in, iii.
Johannes de Capua, Dircctorium Humana Vite (Joh. Prüss. 1484-5), ${ }^{158-162 .}$
Johannes Chrysostomus super Psalmum L., issued by U. Zel (1466), 7 I.

John III., King of Cyprus, menaced by the Turks, 15.
John VIIİ., Pope. Sce Joan, Pope.
John XX., Pope, Occam's quarrel with, 97.
John XXII., Pope, indulgences granted by, 195.
John of Cologne (Printer of Cologne), account of, 9i-gS.
and Joh. Manthen. See Manthen and
John of Cologne.
John of Mentz, ducat of, 62.
Josephus, Flavius, Antiquitatum Libri Viginti (Schüssler, ${ }_{1470}$ ) described, 69.
Jug, earliest use of, and frequency as a watermark of, 252.
Junius, Hadrianus, testimony of, on the origin of printing, 6.
Justinianus, testimony of, on the origin of printing, 5.
Justinius, In Trogi Pompei Historias Epitome (U. Han, c. 1470 ) described, 70.

Kästlin, Herman (Printer at Augsburg), Indulgence of Pope Sixtus IV. issued by (1482), $245-246$.
Keffer, Ileinrich, Nuremberg press established by, 92.
Kempeneere, Pauwels, account of, and facsimiles of sketches by on a page of Bartholonseus, 166.
Kesler, Nicolaus (Printer at Basle), characteristics of his press, 170; Legenda Sanctorum issued by (1486), description of, 1 \%o.

Kessel, W. Van, pedigree of Coster published by, 5 .
Khe-tchi-king-youen (Chinese Encyclopædia) on printing in China in the 6th century, 34 .
Kloss, Dr., 6; sale of Library of, 72.
Knoblouch, John, use of brass type by? (ereis figuris), 48.
Koberger, Anton (2nd Printer of Nuremberg)-
Account of, and of press of, 104, 106.
Editions by, 1478 . Hieronymus. Vitas Patrum, 130. 1493. Nuremberg Chronicle, described with woodcuts, 195-20x.
Koelhoff, J. (senior) (Printer of Cologne, 1472-I493?), 229 ; signatures first used by ( $147^{2}$ ), iii., 97.
Koelhoff, J. (junior), Cronica van Callen issued by (1499), 229 ; facsimile of the passage on the invention of printing, 230; translation of, 231; discussion of, 232-236.
Köhler, Joh. David, Helmasperger document printed by, 19; presented to Gottingen by, 17.
Koning, explanation of Gothic $\mathbf{j}$ and $\mathbf{y}$, as watermarks by, 252.
Kunne, Albrecht (Printer of Memmingen) Methodius attributed to the press of, 220.
La Vallière, Duc de, Collection of, 37, 72.
Laborde, M. Léon de, cited, 15, 17; researches on origin of printing, $\$$; experiments with wooden type, $42 \cdot 43$.
Lacroix, M. Paul, on Gutenberg's lawsuit, 9 note (1).
Lamary, Yle of, Mandeville's observation of stars in, 158.

Lambinet, cited, 30 -and 3 r-line Indulgences maintained to be xylographic productions, 17.
Lang, Mr. Andrew, preface by, to English version of Hypnerotomachia, 1890, 238.
Lapidarium, Marbodus, quoted, 93.
Lapide, Johannes de, Resolutorizem, etc. (Quentell, 1493) described, 201-202.
Lascaris, Epistle of, abstracted from Anthologia Epigrammatum Gracorum, 204.
Lauer, Georg (Printer at Rome), edition of Quintus Curtius by (1471), 76.
Laurentii, Nicolaus, Celsus De Medicinâ, issued by ( $1+78$ ), described, 128.
Lavagna, Philippus of (Printer of Milan), edition of Horace (1476), account of, ins.
Lead, suitability of, for type, 59.
Leaden matrices, made with wooden punches, 52.53 ; discovery of, $5^{2}$; reproduction of passage of 42 -line Bible from type cast in, 57-59; ancient, in museum of Messis. Enschedé, 6r.
Leeu, Gerard (Prototypographer of Gouda) Account of, 137.
Editions by-
1480. Dialogus Creaturarum MLoralizatus, descrip. tion of, with woodcuts from, with fables, 137-143.
1486. Esopus, Fabule et Vita, woodcuts and Caxton's text of fables, 171-179.
1491. I'ress at Antwerp. S. Bernard Psalterium Virginis, Dutch version of (?), 212.
Types and woodcuts of, copied by Jacop Bellaert, 164.
Leginda Aurea (Eggestein, 1472 or 1473) described, 92-93; Legenda Sanclorum, Tegenda Aurea (Zainer, G., $1475 \cdot 6$ ), description of, with woodcuts and fables from Caxton's text of, rio-ir8; Legenda Sanctorum (Ǩesler, 1486) described, 170.
Legends (see under Incunabula) described, fable and legends.

Letters of Exchange, information concerning (c. ${ }^{1} 496$ ), between various towns, 21 I.
Liber Chronicarum (Koberger, 1493) described, with woodcuts, 195-201; evidence on the invention of printing, translation of Latin and German versions, 234.
Liber De Potestate et Sapientia Dei, translated by M. Ficino (Gerardus Lisa, 1471 ), described, ${ }^{\text {S }}$-79.

Libri, Bartolommeo Di, edition of Libro Di Mercatantic, Florence (c. 1496), described, 209-211.
Libro Di Mercatantie, issued by Bart. Di Libri, c. 1496, 209-211.
Lichtenstein, Hermann and Peter, associated with Joh. Hamman, 212.
Lignamine, Philip de, mention of Mentelin in Chronicle printed by, 66 .
Lille, earliest mention of printed book in archives of, 12.
Lisa, Gerardus (Prototypographer of Treviso), account of, 79; Pimander issued by (1471) described, 78-79; De Amoris Generibus, issued from bis $5^{\text {th }}$ Press at Treviso (1492), 193.

Livy, editions of, 85; Historia Romance Decades (Sweynheym and Pannartz, 1472) described, 85.87.
London, commercial usages, compared with Italian, 210.211 .

Looking-glasses, Gutenberg's association for manufacture of, 9 and note (1).
Lorenzo and Sibyllinus (Printers of Venice). account of press of, 162; Platina De Honesta Voluftate issued by (1475) described, 102, 103.
Löslein, Petrus. See Pictor and Löslein, and also Ratdolt, Maler, and Löslein.
Lot's Wife, Fate of, woodcut from Liber Chronicarum ( 1493 ) 198.
Lotter, Melchior, Accipies woodcut printed by, 202.
Louis XI., art of printing not patronized by (146i), $8_{3}$; letters of naturalization granted to the first printers in Paris (1474-5), 12.
Louviers, Charles de, authorship of Le Songe du Vergier attributed to, 19 .
Lubeck Press, Stephan Andes, The Book of the Compassion of Mary (1498), 222-224.
Lucan, registers in edition of 1469 , iii.
Luscretius, first printed text of, 90 .
Lye, use of, for cleaning type, 45 and note.
Lyons Press, Naillet, Jacques, 1491-2, Le Songe du Vergier, issued by first press of, described, 191; Sacon, Jacques, Stultifera Navis (149S), 224 ; Press of Wenssler at (1495.6), 135
NacCarthy Sale. Price of Psalterizu, I457 (2nd edition, 1459), 31.

Mâcon, Press of Wenssles at (1493-9), 135.
Macrobius, Saturnalia (Jenson, 1472) described, SS-90.
Madden, M., investigations on the works of the printer, 72-74.
Magnet, miraculous powers of, 184.
Maillet, Jacques, Le Songe du Vergicr, issued by (1491-2), 191.

Maittaire on the date of the Decor Pucllarum, $S_{2}$ and note.
Maizicres, Plilippe de, authorship of Le Songe du Vergier attributed to, 191.
Maler, Ratdolt and Löslein, De Civīlibus Bellis, issued by, 124-125.
Mandevil, John, Bale's accnunt of, 148 .
Manilius, Marcus, Astronomicon Liber (c. 1490), unknown printer, described, 189 .

Manthen, Johann, añd Johann of Cologne (Printers at Venice), account of l'ress, 97-98, 120.
Works issued by-
1474. De Lingua Lotina, 97-98.
1476. De Animalibus, 120.
1479. Vitc Pontificum, 163.

Manutius, Aldus (Printer of Venice), Colonna, Hy pnerotomachia issued by (1499), 236.
Marbodus, Lapidarium, quoted, 93.
Marcel, M. Leopold, controversy concerning authorship of the Songe du Vergier, 191-192.
Martialis, V., Epigrammata (Wendelin of Spire, 1471) described, 81.
Martineau, Mr. Russell, Treatise on Psaltcrium (1457), 3r.
Matrices (see also Cores), device for obtaining accuracy in, 55; leaden, see Leaden Matrices.
Maximilian, King of the Romans, proclamation of, concerning his wars with the Swiss, 249-250.
Maximis, Peter and Francis de, Press in house of, 86.
Mazarine Bible. See Forty-two-line Bible.

- Library (Paris), 42 -line Dible first discovered in, 22.
Meder, Ioa, Quadragesimale (M. Furter, 1497), 214.
Medicine, Treatise on, by Celsus (Laurentii, 1478), 128-129.
Medicis, Peter de, driven out of Florence, 204.
Meer, Jacob Jacobszoen van der, and Yemantszoen, Prototypograpbers of Delft, 137.
Meerman, Gerard, experiment with wooden type, facsimile of, 39 ; Latin version of Coster's pedigree, published by, 5 ; testimony on origin of printing, 7.
Meerman-Westreenen Museum at the Hague, 14 note (1).
Meerman, conjectures on metal types, 48 .
Memmingen, Press at, Methodius assigned to, 220.
Mentelin, John (Prototypographer of Strasburg), account of, and of his work, 66 ; Secunda Secunde (1466), issued by, 65.67 ; work of the $\mathbf{X}$ printer attributed to, 72.
Mentz-
Donatus, 27 lines discovered at, 27.
First book with printed date originates from, 30 .
Invention of printing, claims of, to. See Gutenberg, invention of printing by.
Printing press of Gutenberg, alleged discovery of part of at, $3^{8}$.
Sack of, and its results, $\$_{5} .86$.
Schoeffer Press at, account of, 78 ; Indulgence of Pope Innocent VIII. issued by ( 1488 ), $248-9$.
36 -line Bible discovered near, 25 .
Wetter's experimental letters deposited in library at, 41 note.
Metal punches, materials suitable for, 55 and note.
Metal types. Sec Types.
Methodius Revelationes (Furter, 1498), 219-220.
Milan Press-

1471. Zarotus (Prototypographer) editions by-
1472. Fsop̀'s Fables, earliest dated edition, 171.

1485 om after. Tacitus, 165.169.
${ }_{1472-1489 \text {. Lavagna, Horace issued by (1476), } 118 . ~}^{1}$.
1475. "Printer of Servius," Expositio issued by, so6. 107.
1481. Pachel and Scinzenzeler, De Medecinat issued by, 129.

Milkie Way, Manilius' explanation of the, i 89.
MLirabilia U'rbis Roma, 1548 , Legend of Pope Joan given in, 200.
Missal, printed at Cluny, Wenssler's colophon of, quoted, 135.

Missal letters used in Psalterium of 1457, 30 .
Molitor, Ulricus, De Lamiis et Phitonicis Mulieribus, described with woodcuts, $180-182$.
Molle, jcttez en molle, controversy as to value of phrase, 12-13.
Monumenta Typographix, Ilelmasperger document printed in, 19.
Montanus, partnership with Lavagna and Valdarier, 118.
Montevilla, Johannes von, Ritter (German version) J. Prüss, 1484, with woodcuts and descriptions, 147-158. Observation on shape of world by, 158.
Moranti, Marquis de, editions of Jlorace, collections by, 118.

Moulds. See Type Moulds.
Moxon's type, 56.
Munich, Royal Library at, Orosius sold by, 8ı ; Seczuda Secunde sold by, 66.
Munich, Xylographic broadside printed at (?), $2,46-24 \mathrm{~S}$.
Nassau, Adolph yon, sack of Mentz by, $\$_{5}-86$.
Nicholas V., l'ope, Indulgences granted by, for resisting the Turks, 15 .
Nicholaus de Tudeschis, Panormitanus Abbas Siculrs (Jenson, 1477) described, 121-122.
Nider, Praceptorium of, produced from brass lype, $4 \%$
Nider Expositio Decalogi, signatures first used in, iii., 97.
Noctes Attice (Paltasichis, 1477) described, 122, 133 .
Nordlingen, Church of, Indulgences issued to assist the building of, 246 .
Novels of $15^{\text {th }}$ Century. See Romances.
Numerals. See Pagination.
Nuremberg Press-
Sensenschmid, Johann (Prototypographer)-
1472 (?) Compendium Veritatis issue of, described, 90-92.
Koberger, A. (znd Printer) -
Account of press of, 106.
Editions by, described-
1475. Biblia Latina, 104, 106.
1493. Liber Chronicarum, with woodcuts, 195. 201.

Nuremberg Clironicle, Koberger, 1493, description, with woodcuts, 195-20r.

Ockham, William of, account of, 96-97; Diologus inter Clericum (H. Quentell, 1494-8) described, 204 ; Disfutatio inter Cleritum et Militem (Printer of Augustinus de Fide, 1473) described, 96; Disputatio inter Clericum et Militem (Gops, 1475) described, 110; Songe du Vergier attributed to, 192.
Officia Ciccronis, edition 1628 . Testimony from the dedication of, on origin of printing, 6.
Oil, use of, lor cleaning wooden types, 45 and note.
Oratio Querulosa (Hist. c. 1493) described, 203.
Ornamental title-page, first use of, iii.
Orosius, Paulus, Historia Adversus Paganos (Schüssler, 1471) described, with facsimile, $79-\mathrm{Si}_{1}$.

Os, Pieter van (Printer at Zwolle), Vita Christi issued by (1499), 226.
Ott, Iodocus, von Azespach, recipient of Indulgence printed $1454,14$.
Overhanging letters, production of type for, 57.
Pagination, absence of, in the earlier Incunabula, ii.; introduction of, ii.; use of, bv Koberger (1493), 196 : by Joh. Koelhoff, jun.. 229; by Gerardus Lisa (1492), 193; by Pieter van Os (ri99), 226; by Job. Prüss

Pagination (continued) -
(c. 1490), 190; by Quentell (1497), 2 IS ; by G. Ratdolt (1484), ${ }^{46}$; by Joh. Reinhard (1493), 194, 206 ; by J. Sacon (1498), 225.
Palaotypographia Hollandica, 26; printed from wooden types, 48, 24 r-242.
Palmieri, Mattia, testimony of, to Gutenberg's invention of printing, 4 .
Paltasichis, Andreas Jacobi De (Printer at Venice), Aulus Gellius, Noctes Attica, issued by (1477), described, 122-3.
Pannartz, Arnold (Printer at Rome), Aquinas issued by (1475), 104.5.

Pannariz and Sweynheym. Sce Sweynherm and Pannartz.
Panormitonus Abbas Siculus (Jenson, 1477) described, 121-122.
Panthcologia (Sensenschmid and Keffer, 1473), 92.
Panzer attributes the work of the $\mathbb{R}$ printer to Mentelin, 72 .
Papal authorities (for Antipapal Books see that title), appreciation of the invention of printing by, 15 ; Bulls issued during the quarrel of Boniface VIII, and Philippe le Bel, 96-97.
Paper, different kinds of, used in the same volume, 252 ; hand-made, method of making and impressing the watermark, 251 ; quality of indicated by watermark (?), $25^{2}$; scarcity of, among the Romans, 36 .
Paraleipomena Rerum Memorabilium, notice of Ulrich Han quoted from, 70.
Parchment used by the Romans, 36.
Paris-
Bibliothèque Nationale. See Bibliothèque.
Mazarine Bible first discovered at, 22.
Press of-
Gering, Ulrich (Prototypographer)Account of press of, 12 S . Editions by,
1475. Legend̆a Aurea, 92.
1478. Exempla Sacree Scriptura, 126, 12 S.
1477. Wagener and Stol, issue of Cordiale by, described, 125-126.
Le Petit Laurens, Le Songe du Vergicr issued by (1500?), 191.
Rivalry of printers at, 125 .
University, request for German printers (1469) by, 128.

Paris, M. Paulin attributes Le Songe du Vergier to P. de Maizieres, 19 I.
Parsons, Mr. Daniel, Wetter's experimental letters presented to the Bodleian Library by, 41 notc.
Pater, Paulus, on types, 55 note.
Paul II., Pope, facsimile of extract from Platina's life of, 163.

Pembrcke, Lord, Decor Puellarum in the library of, 83 .
Peraudi, Raymundus, indulgences issued by, 17, 248-249, 249 note (1).
Petyt, Brother Johan, monogram of in Montevilla, 148.
Petzensteiner, Illeinrich, partner of Sensenschmid, $9^{2}$.
Pfister, Albrecht, printing of the 36 -line Bible attributed to, 3, 25.
Pflantzmann, Jodocus, autograph of, 246.
Phanium, monastery of, founded by S. Antony, 130 .
Philip of Burgundy, Gothic $\boldsymbol{p}$ initial of, 252 .
Philippe le Bel, quarrel with Boniface VIII., 96.
Phormio, woodcut of cast of (Reinhard, 1496), 207 ; description of, 209.

Physiognomia (Rubeus, c. 1485 ) described, 168.
Pi-ching, movable type invented by, 34 .
Pictor, Löslein, and Ratdolt-
Account of, 124-125.
Printers of the first ornamental title page, iii.
Picture book of the 15 th century, 196.
Pimander, Mercurius Trismegistus, De Potestate et Sapientia Dei, translation by M. Ficino (G. Lisa, 1471) described, 78.79.
Pius II., Pope (Eneas Sylvius), account of and of $D C$ Duobus Amantibus by, 108-109.
Plane, used in making wooden types, 43 and notc (2).
Plaster cores, value of, 5 r.
Platina, Bartholomæus, works of, described-
De Honesta Voluptate (Lorenzo and Sibyllinus, 1475), 102-103.
Vite Pontificum (Rubeus, 1485), with facsimile of extract, $162-163$.
Pliny, De Retza borrows from, IS4, 185 ; published by John of Spire, 76.
Poetical Incunabula described, Poetry and Drama.
Poeticon Astronomicon Liber (Ratdolt, 14S2), described with woodcuts from, 144, 145.
Poliphili Hyfncrotomachia, editions and translations of, $23^{8}$; issued by A. Manutius (1499), description of origin and story of, $236-240$.
Polyhistor sive De Situ et MLcmorabilibus Orbis (Jenson, 1473) described, 93.

Pontanus type, 242.
Popelin, M. Claudius, translator of Hytnerotomachia, 238.

Popes. Sce Boniface, John, Innocent, Nicholas, Paul, Pius, Sixtus. See also Antipapal Books.
Post, letter-paper, origin of name, 252 .
Post-horn, suspended by a cord, earliest use of, as a watermark, $25^{2}$.
Praceptoriums of Nider, produced from brass type (ex ere), 47 .
Print, smallest yet achieved, 63 .
"Printer of Servius," issue of Expositio in Tria Virgilia Opera by (1475), described, 106-7.
Printer's mark of G. Leeu, 137.
Printing, invention of (see also Type-mould, evolution of)Chinese, 33.34 .
Corean, $34-35$.
Evidence of, previous to 1445, 12.
Identity of the $15^{\text {th }}$ century inventor (see also Coster and Gutenberg)-
Cologne Chronicle of 1493, testimony of, 4, 7.
Cologne Chronicle of 1499 , evidence of, facsimile of chapter on, with English rendering, 230, 23I; discussion of, 232-235; interpretations of the passage by the adherents of rival claims, 233 ; sources of information in, 234 ; summary of conclusions, 235 ; inaccuracies in the chapter, 236.
Diary of the Abbat of Cambrai, evidence of extracts from, 12.
Donatus of 27 lines, evidence from, 27.29.
of 31 lines, evidence from, 26 .
Fasciculus Temporum (Joh. Prüss c. 1490), evidence from, 190.
Forty-two-line Bible, evidence of, 21-24.
Liber Chronicarum (Koberger, I493), evidence of (quotcd), 196; translation of Latin and German versions, 234 .
Oratio Qucrulosa (IIist c. 1493), evidence from, quotcd, 203.

I'rinting, invention of (comtinued)-
Identity of the 15 th century inventor (imntinued)-
Thirty- and thirty-one-line Indugences, evidence from, 14-17.
Thirty-six-line Bible, evidence from, 25, 2S, 29.
Zel, Ulrich, testimony of, 5, 7, $7^{1}$; facsimile of passage in Cologne Chronicle, containing his testimony, 230, 231; discussion of, 232, 234, 235 .
Relation of $15^{\text {th }}$ century invention to previous knowledge of printing, $35 \cdot 38$.
Sequence of towns into which the, was introduced, 236 .
Type-mould, the essential part of the invention, 3 S.
Yarious claimants of the, x-2.
I'rinting, not practised in Greece or Rome, 35; reasons for, $3^{6}$.
Irinting-press, invention of, 38 .
l'roclamation, Maximilian, hy, concerning his wars with the Swiss, 249-250; warning the public against base guilders (c. 1480), 243.245.
l'roctor, Mr., cited, 31, 92, 96.
I'riiss, Johann (14th Printer of Strasburg) -
Account of press of, 148 .
Fditions issued by-
1484. Montevilla described, with woodcuts and text illustrating, ${ }^{1} 47-15$ S.
1484-1485. Dirctorium Tlumana Vitu, described, with woodcuts and fables, 158 -162.
1488 (?) De Lamiis, describecl, with woodcuts, $1 \mathrm{SO}-182$.
c. 1490. Fasciculus Temporm, 190.

P'salms, travesty of, in Psalterium 17 erginis, 213.
Psalterium of 1457, commercial value of, 31; editions and copies extant, 30 ; excellence of type of, 23 ; facsimile of two pages of, description of, 30 .
Psalterium Beata Maria Virginis (Hamman, 149-) described, 211-213.
P'ublishers' prospectuses issued by, Mentelin, 06 ; Schœeffer, 78 ; Sweynheym and l'annartz, 86 ; Zainer, G., 112.

Punches, used in the $3^{0-}$ and 31 -line Indulgences, 59-61.
_._. hardened lead, of, 55.56.

- wooden, methods of securing alignment and squareness with use of, $54-55$.
Purbach, Georgius, professor of astronomy (15th century), 168; work of, issued by Ratdolt (1485), 167-168.
Pynson, Richard, Ship of Fools issued by (1509), 225.
Quadragesimale de Filio Frodigo, edition by Furter (1497), 214-217.

Quentell, Heinrich (Printer at Cologne. 1479-1500)-
Account of press of, 201.
Accipies, woodcut of, 201.
Books issued by-
c. 1497. Crescontiis: 217-219.

1494-S. Dialogres inter Clericum, 204.
1493. Resolutorium, 201-202.

Quentell, Peter, 202.
Quinquaginta, issued by A. Sorg (1475), 100.
$\boldsymbol{R}$ printer, the (Strasburg)-
Account of press of, 72,74 .
Editions by-
c. 1470. Jacobus Magni, Sophologizm described, 72:74.
Servius, Expositio in Tria Virgilii Ofera, 106.
Roman type first used by, $\$ 6$.

Ratdolt, Exhard-
Account of partnerelijps and press of, 124.125.
Fiusebii Chronicon issued by ( 1483 ), 4 .
Jresses of-
Augsburg, 1484. Rolewinck, Fasciculus Temporum, description of, 146-147.
Venice, 1482. Pocticon Astronomicon Liber described, with woodcuts, 144,145 .
1485. Sacro Bosco, l'urbach, described, 167-168.

Ratdolt, Maler and Löslein (Venice), Appianus De
Civilibus Bellis issued by (1477), described, 124.125.
Ratisbon, press at, 92.
Reed, Mr. Talbot 13., on wooren type (quoted), 45, 52-53.
Registers, absence of, in the earlier Incunabula, it.;
description and use of, ii.-iii.; irregularity of, of De
Duobus Amantibus, 108; of Legenda Sunctorum, 112 ;
perfection of, of Panormitamus Abbas Siculus, 122.
Reinhari, Johan (Printer at Strasburg)-
Editions by, 206-
1493. Salicetus described, 194.
1496. Terentius described, with woodcuts, 205-209.
1497. Biblia Latina described, $213-214$.
1.49\%: Horace described, 220-221.

Printer's mark of, facsimile of, 228 .
Reputalion for carelessness and inaccuracy, 221 .
Religious woodcuts of 15 hl century, remarks on, 183.
Religious works. See under Incunabula described, Religious and Thenlogical.
Resolutorium Dubiorum circa Celebrationem Missarum Occurrentium (Quentell, 1493) described, 201.
Retza, Franciscus de-
Comestorium Uitiorum ( 1470 ), first dated book issued from Nuremberg, 90.
Defensorium issued at Basle c. ${ }^{1} 4 \mathrm{Sg}$, described with woodcuts and text illustrating, 18 3-184.
Reyser, Michael, first and second editions of the Defensorium attributed to, 183.
Richtenau, connection of the Nayor of, with Gutenberg, 9.
Riedrer, F. (2nd Printer at Freiburg), Proclamation of Maximilian, issued by (1496), 249-250.
Riffe, Hans, partnership with Gutenberg, Dritzehen, and Heilmann, 9.
Robert, Jean le. See Cambrai, Abbat of
Rolewinck, Werner, Fasciculus Temporum (Ratdojt, 14 $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ ) described, 146-147; (Joh. Priiss, c. 1490), 190; (Veldener, 14 So), number of watermarks in, $25^{2}$.
Rome, Press of, success of ( $1465-14 i 6)$ compared with that of Strasburg ( 1461 -1477), S6.
1.465-1473. Sweynheym and Pannartz (Prototypo-graphers)-

Account of, $\Sigma_{5}-86$.
Editions by, described-
Cresar, Opera (1472), SS.
Livy, Historia Romance Decudes (1472), S5-87.
1473. Pannartz, A. Aquinas De Veritale described, 103.104.

1467-14方S. Ilan, Ulirich (2nd Printer), Justinus, In Trogi Pompci Historias Epitome, described, 70.
Roman bronze mirrors, designs on the backs of, $3^{6}$.
Romans, primitive ideas of printing among, 35-36; reason for non-development of, 36 .
Romances, $15^{\text {th }}$ century-
De Duobus Amantibus, plot and facsinile of last chapter, 10S-109.
Hyfnerotomachia, description of, with facsimile of text, 236-240.

Rubeus, Johannes (Printer at Treviso and Venice) 1485. Vita Pontificum (Treviso) described, with facsimile of teat, $162-163$.
c. 3485 . Physiognomia (Venice), 168.

Rubricator, work of a, iii.
Rugerius, Vgo, Manilius issued by (1474), 189.
Rupert, Count Palatine, ducat of, 62.
Ruppel, Berthold, Prototypographer of Basle, 135; Legenda Aurea issued by, 92.
Rylands, Mrs., Psalterium of 1457 presented to Manchester by, 30.

Sacor, Jacques (Printer at Lyons), Stultifera Navis issued by ( 1498 ), 224.25 .
Sacro Bosco, Johannes de, Sphaera Mundi (Ratdolt, 1485) described, 167-168.

Sanspach, Conrad, evidence of, in law-suit Gutenberg v. Dritzehen.

St. Augustine-
De Civitate Dei commenced by John of Spire, $7^{6}$; Wenssler, 1479, described, 135, 136 ; line of argument adopted in, 183.4: De Retza borrows from, 185.

De Vanitatibus Seculi (C. Fyner, 1477-9), 126; Prayer of, in the Indulgeaces of Pope John, xxii., 195.

Quinquaginta (A. Sorg, 3475), 100.
St. Bernardus, Psalterium Virginis (Joh. Hamman, 1497), 211-213.
Saliceto type, $24^{2}$.
Salicetus, Nicolaus, Liber Meditationis (Reinhard, 1493), 194.

Sand Moulds. See Moulds.
Satow, Sir Ernest, Treatise on Corean invention of printing, $34-35$.
Saturnalia of Macrobius (Jenson, 1472) described, 88.90.

Saxonia, Ludolphus de, Vita Christi (P. van Os, 1499), 226-228.
Schedel, Hartman. Liber Chyonicarum (Koberger, 1493), description with woodcuts, 195-201; evidence of, on invention of printing (Ziber Chronicarum), 234.
Schelhorn Bible. See 36 -line Bible.
Schinkel, experiments with wooden type, 40.
Schoeffer, Johann, Compendium printed by (1515), 4.
Schoeffer, Peter, account of, and of Press of, at Mentz, 78; Inventions ciaimed for: of copper matrices, 3 r ; printing, 2; steel punch, 31, 62, 63; partnershi力 with Fust, 4, 78; works attributea to press of, 3; Indul. gences, 30 - and 3 -line, $13,14,15,16,17,23 ; 42$-line Bible, 3, 23.
Schoeffer, Peter (and Fust, J.), works issued by: Psalterium, 1457, 30; Secunda Secunda, 1467, 66; Valerius Maximus, 1471 (described), 76-78; Institutiones Justinianus, 1476, 5; Indulgence of lnaocent V'TII., 1488,248 -9.
Schoepflin, cited, $2,7,8,48,66,92$.
Schonsperger (Augsburg), Accipies woodcut printel by, 202.

Sclorbach, M. Karl, ciled, 11, 17, note (2), 21.
Schuissler, Johann (second I'rinter of Augsburg), account of Press of, Gg.
Editions by-
1471. Crescentiis, 218 .

Orosius describert, 79.8r.
1472. Piclial seut Consolatio Peccatorum described, $83-85$.

Schwartz, 3 -line Bible first mentioned by, 35 .
Schwenke, Dr. P'aul, investigations on $3^{0-}$ and $3^{1-l i n e}$ Indulgences, 17 ; on Mazarine Bible, 22 and note, 23 ; on the significance of the Donatus type, 23; on the printer of 36 -line Bible, 25 .
Scotus, Michael (Printer of Venice), Physiognomia isswed by (1405), 168.
Screwpress, probable use of, by inventor of printing, $3^{8}$.
Scriverius, testimony on origin of printing, 5 .
Sculplo-fusi, $4^{8}$.
Seckingen, Fridel von, deposition of, against Gutenberg, 9.

Secunda Secunda, Mentelin edition (1466) described, $65-67$; facsimile of original passage (rom, and of same in modern wooden type, 44; opening words in modern print, ii.
Selector. Jur. et Hist. Anecdot., Helmasperger document first printed in, 19.
Sensenscbmid, Johann, account of press of, 90, 92.
Sermo ad populum tredicabilis, first title-page in, iii.
Servins, Horatius Maurus, account of, ro7; Expositio of, described, 106-107.
Shensperger, Johann, Nuremberg Chronicle issued by, 1497, 196.
Ship of Fools, Brant (J. Sacon, 1498) described, 224.5.
Sigismund, Archduke, De Lamiis, dedicated to, his part in dialogue of, 180.
Signatures, absence of, in the earlier Incunabula, ii.; definition of, iii.; early use of in Varro (Joh. of Cologne and Joh. Manthen, 1474), 97 ; first used in Nider Expositio (Joh. Koelhoff, 1492), iii., 97.
Sixtus IV., Pope, appeal to, from Sweynheym ard Pannartz, S6: declatations of Indulgence issued by, description of, 245-6, 246-S.
Skeen, William, Early Typograply cited, 13, $3^{8}$.
Solinus, Julius, De Situ et Memorabilibus Orbis (Jenson, 1473) described, 93.

Songe du Vergier, Le, authorship of, 191-192; issued by Afaillet (1491-2), described, 191.
Sophologinm (the R printer, c. 1470) described, 72-74.
Sorbonue, Press of, 125, 12 S .
Sorg, Anton (Fifth Printer of Augshurg), works issued by, Augustin's Quinquaginta ( 1475 ), roo; Broadside Proclamation (c. 1480), 243-5.
Sotheby, cited, 14 note (2), 23 ; in reference to watermarks, 252.
Sotzmann, 17.
Spaces for initials, iii.
Spacing, peculiarities of, ii.
Speculum Historiale, Mentelin's edition distinguished from that of the $\boldsymbol{R}$ printer, 72 .
Speculum Ilumana Salvationis, date of, 26-27; fac. simile of portion of, 26 ; printers of, $6,137,235$; type of, classification of, and books printed in, 241-242.
Speculum Ahorale, date of Mentelin edition, 66.
Speculum Nostrae Salutis, first printed book, 6.
Spencer Collection, Manchester, 16 .
Spencer, Earl of, Psalterium formerly in library of, 30.
Spices, directions for buying (c. 1496), 211 .
Spire or Spicr, l'riniers of, 76 ; John of, I'rototypo. grapher of 1taly (?), $\$_{2}-8_{3}$; Conrad Ifist. of, issue of Oratio Ouerulosa (c. 1493) described, 203; Wenclelin of, see Wendelin of Spire.
Sports, Treatise on, by Crescentiis (Quentell, 1497), 217-219.
Steel punches, invention of, 62-63: use of, for the 30 . and 3 -line Indulgences (?), 59-01.

Stigmata, account of girl aflicted with, 190 .
Stocker, Mydehart, evidence of, in the law-suit Gutenberg v. Dritzehen, 9, 10.11.
Stol, Johann, and Peter Wagener, press of, at Paris, 125-126.
Stones, cutting of, marvels disclosed by, de Retza's account of, 186, 187.
Stops, use of, in the Incunabula, ii.
Strasburg-
Bombardment of, documents destroyed during, 8 .
Gutenberg's law-suit at, 3 .
Library at, Colophon of Peter Schœeffer preserved in, 78.

Press of (1461-1477), success compared with that of Rome (1465-1476), S6.
Printers of
Mentelin, John (Prototypographer)-
Accuunt of press of, $65 \cdot 66$.
1466. Sicunda Secunda, described, 65-66.

Eggestein, II. (3rd Printer), editions by, described1472 ar 1473. Legenda Aurea, 92-93. c. 1475. Auctoritates Utriusque Testanenti, 107.

I'rúss, Johann (14th Printer), editions by, described1484. Montevilla, with woodcuts, 147-158. 1484-5. Directorium Humana Vita, 158-162. c. 14 SS (?) De Lamits, 180 -182. c. 1490. Fasciculus Temtorum, 190.

The $/ \mathcal{I}$ printer, account of press of, $72-74$.
c. 1470 . Issue of Sohholagium, described, 72-74.

1483-1531. Reinhard, Joh., editions by-
1493. Salicetus, 194.
1496. Terentius described, with woodcuts, 205-209.
1497. Biblia Latina described, 213-214.

149S. Horace, 220.
Yolumes discovered in the Pfenningthurm at, 8.
Stultifera Navis, by Sebastian Brant (Sacon, 1498), 224-225; editions of, 225.
Stuttgart, Royal Library at, price paid to, for Psalterium (1457), 31.

Subiaco, Monastery of, Press established at, SG; De Civitate Dei issued by, 135 .
Summula Confessionis (of Antoninus), brass type mentioned in colophon of, 47.
Sunderland Sale, Cæsar's Works, price of, 88.
Sun-tzü Shi-i Chia chu, printed with movable types, 35.
Supplementum Chranicarum, 1513, legend of Pope Joan given in, 200; testimony from, to Gutenberg's invention of printing, 4.
Sweynheym and Pannartz (Printers at Rome) (approx. 1465-1475), account of press, $\$_{5}-86$; coloured initials in Bible printed by, 31 ; registers frrst used in editions of, iii. ; De Civitate Dei, first edition published by, 1465, 135 ; Cresar, Opera (1472), described, 8S; Livy (1472) described, $85-6$.

Swiss War with Maximilian, King of Romans, 250.
Sykes, Sir M. M., price of Psalterium, 1457 (second edition, 1459), 31 ; Valerius Maximus from library of, 78.

Sylvius 不neas, Historia de Drobus Amantibus (Gotz, $1475^{\text {? }}$ ), with facsimile of last chapter, 108.
Syston Park Sale, Psaltirium sold at, 1457; second edition, 1459, 31.

Tacitus, Cornelius, Opera (John and Wendelin of Spire), first use of catchwords in, iii., 106; (Zarotus, 1485 or after), described, 168.169.

Talesius, Quirinus, testimony of, on the ongin of printing, 7.
Tchin-Kouo, movable types mentioned in the menioirs of, 34.
Tercntius, Conzedic (Reinhard, 1496), described, with woodcuts, 206-209.
Tethel (Indian philosopher), cited by de Retza, on stones, ${ }_{1} 87$.
Thai-song, Emperor of China, orders MSS. to be engraved and printed from stonc, 34 .
Theatre, woodcut of (Rcinharel, 1496), 205, 206.
Thebaid, Monks of the, legends related of, in the l'itas Patrum, resumé of some, $130-134$.
Theodoric 11. of Köln, ducat of, 62.
Theological Treatises. Sce under Incunabula described, Religious and Theological.
Theramu, Jacubus of, Belial (Schüssler, 1472) described, with account of author of, 83.85 .
Thisty-one-line letter of indulgence. Sie indulgence.
Thisty-six-line Bible-
Account of, 25.
Date posterior to that of the 42 -line Bible (?), 22.
Printing of, ascribed to Pfister, 3; to Schoffer, 17.
Type of
and of 4 -line Bible, Mr. C. Enschede's views on the process of casting and order of precedence, $61-62$; facsimiles of compared, 24,25 .
Cast in leaden matrices, 59 .
Identity of, with that of Donatus of 27 lines (?), 27 ; of 3 -line Indulgence (?), 14.
Thomaszoon, Gerrit, 5 .
Title-pages, absence or, in the earlier Incunabula, ii. ;
earliest instance of ornamental, iii. ; first emploved, iii.
Tractatus de Instructione seu Dircctione, etc. (Zel, circa 1470), described, 70.71.

Tractatus de Periculis (Zainer, 1473) described, 94.
Travels, Montevilla's Book of (Joh. Prüss, 1484) described, $147-158$.
Treviso, Press of-
1471-1475. Lisa, Gerardus (Prototypographer), editions by, described, Pimander, De Potestate et Sapientia Dei (1471), 78.79.
De Amoris Generibus (1492), 193.
1485. Rubeus, Johannes, Titc Pontificum issued by, described, 162.
Tridentine Index, Ockham's works prohibited by, 96-97, 204.
Trithemius, Johannes, testimony of, on the origin of printing, 4 .
Turks, Indulgences granted for aid against, $15,246,248$, 249.

Turrecremata, Cardinal, Subiaco press under the protec. tion of, 86 .
Type-
Brass, 47.
Classification of, used in the Costeriara, 241-242.
Hard-metal, 47-48.
Initial letters, of. Sec Initial Letters.
Large text, used by J. Bellaert ( 1485 ), 164 .
Leaden, 5 I.
Movable, invented by the Chinese, 6th century, 34 .
Sculpto-fusi, 4.
Uncial Greek, used by F. di Alopa, 203.
Valdarfer's, used by P. of Lavagna, in $S$.
Type Mould, evolution of-
Attempt by author to reconstitute the method of the earliest type-founders, 48-61; Enschedés views on, 61-62.

Type-Mould, evolution of (continued $)$ -
Casting of type, sand-moulds, 49; in one operation, 50-5 I ; in two operations, $51-52$.
Leaden matrix, discovery of, 52 .
Metal type mould, early forms of, 53.54 ; adjustable mould invented, 54 ; securing of alignment and accuracy, 54.55 ; hardened lead punches, 55.56 ; break in type introduced, 56-57; double types, method of casting, 57.
Typography (see also Printing), first book produced by, 21-24.

Udine, Gerardus Lisa's press at, 79.
Ulm, Press, Zainer, Joh. First press, 1473.1487, De Periculis issued by (1473), 94 .
Unam Sanctam, Bull issued by Boniface VIII., 96.
University Library at Berlin, Psalterium (1457) in, 30.
Utrecht, first printing press of Holland established at (1471), 137.

Valdarfer, partnership with Lavagna and Montanus, in ; types of, employed by 1 'h. of Lavagna, if 8 .
Valenciennes, printed book procured at ( $c, 1451$ ), 12.
Valerius Maximus, Factorum et Memorabilium Liber (Schoeffer, 1471) described, $76-78$.
Valla type, 241 .
Van der Linde, Dr.. cited, $2,3,13,19$; on the invention of printing, $3,7,11$; the Cologne Chronicle evidence, $23^{2}, 233,235$; his criticism on the wooden type theory (quoted), 40; his objections refuted, 43-46; his observations not founded on experiment, 45.
Van Praet, nodrling $X$ recorded by, 72; Secunda Secunde cited by, 66.
Varro, Marcus Terentius, De Lingua Latina (Joh. of Cologne and Joh. Mañthen, 147+) described, $97-9$.
Veldener, Jan, number of watermarks in one volume by, $25^{2}$.
Vellum, used by the Romans, 36 .
Venice Press-
1469. John of Spire (Prototypographer?), account of press of, 76 .
14(7) I. Jenson, N. (Prototypographer?) -
Account of, $8_{2}$. $\mathrm{S}_{3}$.
Editions by, described-

## 14(7)r. Decor Puellarum, Sr-83.

1473. Solinus, 93.
1474. Panormitanus Abbas Siculus, 121-122.
1475. Wendelin of Spire, editions by, described-

1470-1. Curtius, De Rebus Gestis, etc., $74 \cdot 76$.
1471. Martial, 8ı.
1472. Boccaccio, Genealogia Deorum Gentilium, 99.
r474. Johann of Cologne and Joh. Manthen, editions by, described-
1474. Varro, De Jing gua Latina, 97-98.
1476. Aristoteles, De Animalibus, 120.
1479. Fita Pontificum, first edition issued bv.
1475. Lorenzo and Sibyllinus, description of De Honesta loluptate issued by, 102-103.
1476. Arnold, C., first edition of Compendium Veritatis issued by, 90.
1476. Ratdolt, Pictor, and I.öslein, first ornamental title page printed by, iii.
1477. Iisa, G..: printing at, 79.
1477. Paltasichis, A. de, issuc of Aulu; Cellius Noctes Attica, rlescriled, 122-123.
r477. Ratdolt, Maler and Iöslein, De Civilibus Rellis described. 124-125.

Venice Iress (continued)-
1482. Ratdolt, E., editions by, described1482. Poeticon Astronomicon 'iber, 144-145. 14S5. Sacro Bosco, Georgius Purbach, 167-16S.
1485. Rubeus, Joh., Physiognomia described, 16 S. 1493. De Medicinâ issued by, 129.
r490-1500. Hamman, Joh., S. Bernard, Psalterium Virginis (1497) descrabed, 211-213.
c. 1490 (?) Unknown printer, Manilius of, described, 189.
1497. Pincius, P., De Medicinâ issued by, 129.
1499. Manutius, A., Poliphili Hypnerotomachia described, 236-240.
Vienna, Imperial Library in, Psalterium of 1457 in, 30.
$V$ ier Geschichten, 5462 , type of, identical with that of the 36 -line Bible, 25 .
Vindelin de Spira. See Wendelin of Spire.
Virgilizs issued by Reinhard ( 1502 ), 206 .
Vito Christi issued by N. Gotz (I 474 ), 100 ; by P. van Os (1499), description, 226-22S.
Vita Pontificum (Rubeus, 1485) described, 162-163.
Vitias Patrum (1478-9) described, $130-134$.
Voragine, Jacobus de-
Account of, 112.
Legenda Aurea (Eggestein, $147^{2}$ or 1473) described, 92.93.

Legenda Sanctorum (G. Zainer, 1475-6) described.
Wagener, Peter, and Johann Stol, account of, 125-126; Cordiale issued by (c. 1477) described, 125-126.
Wan heen iung $K^{\prime \prime}$ aou, printed in Corea with movable type (1337), 35 .
Watermarks, method of impressing, in hand-made paper, ${ }^{251}$; not generally indicative of date, 251; numbers found in the same volume, 251-252.
Wax, use of, for writing, among the Romans, 36 .
Weidenbach Convent (Cologne), Madden locates the press of the $\mathbb{R}$ printer at, 74 .
Weights and Measures, relations of, of different countries (c. 1490́), 210.

Wendelin of Spire (Printer at Venice)-
Account of Press of, 76 ; catchwords first used by, iii.
Editions byr.470. Livy, $8_{5}$.
1470.7r. Curtius, De Rebus Gestis Alexandri Magni described, $74-76$.
147r. Martialis, Epigrammatio described, Su.
1472. Boccaccio, Genealogia Deorum Gentilium, 9 §. 1472. Appianus, De Civilibus Bellis, 124.

1473 (?). Tacitus, 169 ; catchwords first used in, iii. Roman type developed by, \$6.
Wenssler, Michael (2nd Printer at Basle)-
Account of Press of, 135 .
Editions hy-
${ }^{1} 474$ (not later than). Voragine, Legenda Aurea. 92, 112.
1479. Augustinus, De Civitate Dei described, 135-136.

Went-ti, inedited texts engraverl on wood in reign of, 34 .
Wetter, cited, 11,17 ; experiments with wooden type, and Jacsimile of column printed with, $40-42$; his experimental letters discovered and supposed to be specinens of earliest types, 41 note.
Wimpheling, Jacobus, attributes the invention of printing
to Gutenberg, 4; Oratio Queruldsa of, issued be. C. Hist (c. 1493), 203.

Windsor Castle 1.ibrary, Psalterium of 1457 in, 31.
Wine-pots, cast from the metal of Coster's type, 6 .

Witchcraft, treatise on (e. 14 SS) described, 1 So. 182.
Wohigemuth, 196.
Wolf, J. C., Helmasperger Document printed by (:770), 19.

Woodcut border, floriated, used by Ratdolt, Maler and Löslein, 124 .
Woodeut initials, used by Fyner, 126 ; by Ratdolt, 167 ;
by ILamman, 212.
Woodcuts reproduced-
Accipies, from Resolutorium (Quentell, 1494), 202.
Esofus, Fabula, from (G. Leeu, 1486), 172, 173-179.
Book of the Compassion of Mary, from (Arndes, 149S), 222.223.

Crescentiis, from (Quentell, 1497), 217, 218, 219.
De Filis Prodigo, from (Furter, 1497), 215-216.

Defensorium, from (Eisenhut, c. 1489), 184.188.
Dialogus Creaturarum Moralizatus, from (G. Leeu, 1480), $139-143$.

Dirctioriam, from (Joh. 1'rüss, 14§4-5), 159-162.
Eurialus and Lucretia from the Chronicon Nurem. bergense (1493), 108.
Fasciculus Temporum, from (Ratdolt, $1_{4} S_{4}$ ), 146 .
Hypnerotomachia, from (Manutius. 1499), 240 ; account of, 239-240.
Legenda Sanctorum, from (Zainer, 1475-6), 114-118.
Liber Chronicarum, from (Koberger, 1493), 195-201.
Libro di Mercalantie, from (Bart. di Libri, c. 1496), 210.
Methodius, from (Quentell, ${ }^{1} 49$ S), 220.
Monterilla, from (Joh. Pruss, 14S4), 14S-15S.
Poeticon Astronomicon Liber, from (Ratdolt, $1_{4}$ S2 $_{2}$ ), 145 .
Psalterium Virginis, from (Joh. Hamman, 1497), 213.
Sacro Bosco, from (Ratdott, 1485), 167, 168.
Songe du Vergier, Le, from (Maillet, 1491-2), 192.
Stullifera Navis, from (J. Sacon, 149§), 225.
Terentius, from (Reinhard, 1496), 205, 207, 20 S.
Vita Christi, from (Van Os, 1499), 226, 227 ; (Sacon, 499), 227, 228.

Vitas Patrum, from (Unknown Printer, Strasburg, 1478-9), 130 -1 34.
Wooden blocks. See Xylographic blocks.
punches, used, by the Chinese, 34 ; for making
leaden matrices, $52-53$.
Wooden types, investigations on the practicability of printing from, 39-40; Wetter's experiment, 40.42; De Laborde's experiments, 42-43; practical method of making, $43-46$; durability of, $44-45$; cleaning of, 45 ; modern use of, 47 note; as "patterns" for metal type, 49.

Wynkyn de Worde, works issued by-
Brant, Ship of Fools, English verston, 225.
Hieronymus, Vitas Patrum (1495), 130.
Sylographic blocks (see also Block Books), impressions frum-
Catholican, 4.
Donatus, facsimile of part, 37 and note.
Indulgence ( 1482 ) of Pope Sixtus IV., $246-24$ S.
Initials in the 3 -line Indulgence, 14 ; in the 30 -line Indulgence, 16 .
Thirty- and Thirty-oneoline Indulgences, 17.
Titles of, Johann von Montevilla, 147; Salicetus, 19t.
lemantszoen, Mauricius, and Van der Meer, prototypographers of Delft, 137.
Ysenech, Johann, 21.
lunglo (of Corea), cummands movable type to be male, 34.

Zabern, Barbet won, evidence of, in the law-suit, Gutenberg $v$. Dritzehen, 10.
Zainer, Günther (I'rototypographer of Augsburg)-
Account of press of, 112.
Editions by-
1472. Belial, 84 .
c. 1475. Legenda Aurea, illustrated edition, 92.

1475-6. Legenda Sanctorum described, 112.
Zainer, Johans (Printer at V'lm)-
Account of press of, 94 .
Editions by-
De Periculis (1473) described, 94.
Vilas Patrum issued, r3o.
Zarotus, Antoaius (Prototypographer of Milan) -
1474. Esop's Fables, earliest dated edition issued by, 171.
1474. Horace, earliest dated edition issued by, uS. 1485 or after. Tacitus, 168 -9.
7.el, Ulrich (Printer at Cologne, 1466-1 499? ) Account of press of, 7 I .
Antoninus. De Instructione described, 70.71.
Evidence of, on the invention of printing, 5,7 ; facsimile and translation of the paragraph in the Colognt Chronicle, 230, 231; discussion on, 232, 234, 235.
Zuren, John van, testimony of, on the origin of prict. ing, 5 .
Zwolle, Press of I . van $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{s}}$ at, Vita Chirisi issued by, 1499.
-
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[^0]:    (') Lat. Cusne, a cradle.
    () "Early Printed Books," E. Gordon Duff (London, IS93).

[^1]:    These passages convey to the minds of many, but not of all, bibliographers the explicit information that books printed with movable types were in existence in 1445 . The inference is based on the rendering by the term printed of the expressions gette en molle (jeltez en molle), literally cast in a mould, and in support of this rendering M. Bernard, who was, I think, the first writer to appreciate the full value of the passage, adduces some eight examples of the use of the phrase in the sense of printed. The term escriture en molle is thus uscd in the letters of naturalization granted in Feb., 1474-5, by Louis XI. to the first printers in Paris, "Michel liriburgier Udalric Quering et Martini Crantz (qui) sont venuz demourer en nostre royaume puis aucun temps en şà, pour l'exercice de leurs ars et mestiers de faire livres de plusieurs manieres descriptures, en mosle ot cutrement, et de les vendre en ceste nostre ville de Paris." In 1496 the Duke of Orleans bought two books of hours on parchment, and the accountant calls them both escrits en monle. Commines in his memoirs mentions the sermons of Savonarola, "qu'il a fait mettre en molle"; the inventory of the furniture, jewels and books of Anne of Bretagne contains the mention of many books "tant che parchemin que en papier, ì la main et an molle." (Suy Marchand says of his Livret de Consolations, " qu'il P a fait mettre en mole pour le salut des àmes." The catalogue of the library of the Dukes of Bourbon distinguishes the printed books from the MSS. ly the respective terms "en molle" and "ì la main." M. liernard goes on to say that the terms jefe en moule, lettres monlies, are still employed by country folks, and that he has often heard them used in this sense hy the peasants when they brought some affiche to be struck off by his father, a printer at Montbrison.

[^2]:    (1) The earliest date in MS. on a copy of this Indulgence is November 15 (Meerman-Westreenen Museum at the R/azuc). (2) Doults have been raised by Sotheby, Princ. Typag., M1. 191, et serg., and Mr. Hessels, Gutenberg, 164, upon the identity of these types.

[^3]:    (1) J. H. Hessels, Gutenberg, pages 63-102. Kianl Dzialzio, II. Heff. Berlin, Asher \& Co., 1889. Mentz, 1900).

[^4]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ P'eter Schœeffer of Gernsheim, shortly to become the associate of Johann Fust.

[^5]:     des Ersten Buchdiuckis zor: Dr. H'aul Sihucnke, 1900.

[^6]:    (1) Conf. Dr. A. Wyss, Centralblath for June, 1858, pages 255, 272: M. Karl Dzialzko, Sammhang Ribh. Abeiten IV. Hefl, pages 129-132.

[^7]:    facsimile of a page of a donatus of 3 I lines preseried in the

[^8]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ But hereon see M. Dziatzko (Sammlung, page 12), who has minutely compared resemblances and divergences of the compositor's work in the 36 -line Bible and the Donatus fragment.

[^9]:    facsimile, from a photograpli taken for tilis work, of a page of a donatus of twentv-seven lines

[^10]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Mr. De Vinne has made an odd slip in his translation of this colophon, which he renders "This book of Psalms decorated with antiqzee initials," having evidently read zetustate for renustate. I note so trivial an error in an admirable book with great humility, feeling assured that far more important blunders will be found in my own volumes. Although I venture 10 differ from the author on one or two points, notably on his wiews about wooden types, and to dissent from his ton faithful allegiance to Van der Linde, I can say without hesitation that if I were only allowed to possess one modern volume on the Invention of Printing it would be De Vime's.

[^11]:    (1) This block affords an extremely instructive object-lesson. A careful scrutiny will disclose some points of similarity, almost of identity, between letters and combinations of letters more striking than those to be found in many early documents unquestionably printed from type, and evidencing the extraordinary perfection to which the cutter of Block-books had brought his art, and the facility with which, when called upon, he would be able to carve the characters for movable types. I advise the reader to compare the $d^{\prime \prime} s, e^{\prime} s, m$, $s$, and $o^{\circ} s$.

[^12]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ The words in this title were printed from wooden types made by Messts. Day \& Collins, Ltd. ( ${ }^{(2)}$ Commentatio de ratione communi omnium linguarum et literarum. Tigur, $154 \mathrm{~S}, 410, \mathrm{pp}$. So- 8 . $\quad{ }^{(3)}$ Origines Typographice, I. $2 弓$.

[^13]:    ${ }^{(1}$ ) The history of these experimental letters is comical yet instructive. Wetter tells us in a note that he deposited them with the "frame" in the town library of Mentz, where they might be seen by anyone. Hence after a time they seem to have been returned to his printer. M. Bernard when at Mentz asked M. Wetter to Let him look at them, but the printer assured the visitors that they had been stolen, "and one fine day," says M. Bernard, "some unsophisticated German will find them among the robber's spoils, and ask us to believe that they are the types of Gutenberg. In this wise traditions too often have their origin." This is just the way in which, strange to say, the jocular prophecy was fulfiled almost to the letter. They were purchased some years after M. Bernard's visit in the shop of a dealer in curiosities as authentic specimens of the earliest types and offered to the authorities at the British Museum, but, declined by them were subsequently presented by Mr. Daniel l'arsons, M.A., Oriel Coll., to the Bodleian Library, where they remain to this day. Many speculations took place as to their origin, which was ultimately discovered in ISS6 by Mr. E. Gordon Duff, M.A., Wadham Coll. By the courtesy of my friend Mr. F. Madan, of the Bodleian, I have seen a photographic facsimile of an impression taken from these types, which are still retaned in the chase. The string which Wetter passed through the holes he had bored in them is knotted at each end, but seems, as might bave been expected, to have exercised little control over their vagaries. There are nincteen lines in the Bodleian facsimile.

[^14]:    (1) "Débuts de l'imprimerie a Strasbourg," Paris, 1840, 8vo, pp. 70-75.

[^15]:    SECOND EXPERIMENT IN MAKING WOODEN TYPES. IROOF IMPRESSION FROM BLOCK IEEFORE CUTIING UP INTO SEPARATE TYPES.

[^16]:    (1) It is strange to note how hurriedly some writers on this subject have jumped to conclusions. Modern printers clean their metal types, which cannot be damaged by moisture, with an alkaline wash. Therefore the printers with wooden tyles, if such there were, would have ruined their types by the thoughtless adoption of a process not then known, instead of washing off the superfluous ink with a harmless medium like oil.

[^17]:    ${ }^{(2}$ ) See mention (p. 97) of the Varro (1474) printed with Wendelin's types by Johann of Cologne and Johann Manthen.

[^18]:    (3) The protagonists of the early discussions were Maittaire (Annales Typographici, ed. 1719, pp. 36-59), who warmly espoused the cause of the precedence of Jenson, and Branel (Bibliographic Instructive, 1764, Pp. 203-210). M. le Boze (Memoires de l'Academie des Delles Lettres, Tour XIV., p. 232).

[^19]:    ${ }^{2}$ ) There are variations in the copies, which are classified by Mr. Brown as Decor $A$ and Decor $B$. Mine is of the $B$ type, as are those in the B.M. and Bodleian. ( ${ }^{2}$ ) Bernard II., 184, 273.

[^20]:    (1) Burton, "Anatomy of Melancholy," Part III., Sec. 3. "The same complaint Eurialus makes to his Lucretia :- 'Day and night I think of thee, I wifh for thee, I talke of thee, call on thee, looke for thee, hope for thee, delight myfelfe in thee, day and night I love thee."

[^21]:    - Many one that thinketh his Neyhoure to kyll

    Is flayne with that fame fwerde fore agayn his wyl.

[^22]:    Ditolin makes this far too appreciative note on this edition:-" Hozever inferior to the previous impression in rarity, this book is greatly preferable to it for the heauty of its type and the splendour of its cxecution. Indead, I am not at fresent anture of ann similar zolume from the press of Ratdolt or of any other printer zehich is superior to it."

[^23]:    (5) To this effect:-"I Otto of Demering, prebendary at Metz in Lothringen, haze translaztd his book from the Latin ant Italian languages into the German tongw. That Germans also may therein read of the many wonderful thintss that are therein written; of forcign lands and their foiths, of their manners, of their raiment and many other wonkers, as are hereinafter set forth in the Chapters. And this book is divilded into five farts. The furst treats of the journey frome the Dutch Nelheriands to Jerisalem, to Mount Sinai and St. Catherine's graie, and of the lands and wonders to be fornt in the way,", etio, chio

[^24]:    (5) I have not succeeded in finding an English text to illustrate this inimitable portrait of a plausible Antichrist and of the coy Queen who has been perverted by his oratory. The title which I have given to it is translated from the 14 \& $q$ edition, in which the cut occurs.

[^25]:    (1) The border of this device was afterwards made use of by Leen in a mutiated condition in The Chronicles of the Lamat of England, 1493. ( ${ }^{2}$ ) Hollrot, 1. 18, mentions a blank leaf after Fol. 24 , of which there is no trace in my copy. His last fotio is therefore 465. (3) Luckombes "History of I'rinting," 1771.

[^26]:    ILLUSTRATION OF THE ROTUNDITY OF TIIE EARTH. (SACRO BOSCO, RATDOLT; 1485.)

[^27]:    This rare edition of the works was preceded only by the undated one of Wendelin of Spire (r473?). A notice of it by Dibdin (Bib. Spenc.) is full of amusing guesses at the place, printer and date of printing, which last he conjectures to have been 1475 .

[^28]:    THE MOON'S CHANGES MANIFESTLY KEFLECTES IN THE MOON-STONE.
    (DEFENSONIUM, EISEAVHUT, cira + SQ.)

[^29]:    (1) This is evidently not a freak of Nature at all but merely an Abraxas engraved on a jasper, a stone very commonly" used for the presentation of Gnostic devices. Abraras had serpents for legs and feet.

[^30]:    (') The only inslance of the use of this Low. Iatin word given in Migne's Glossary is from this passage.

[^31]:    HOW ST. PETER CAUGHT A FLSH WHICH HAD A PENNY $1 N$ 1TS MOUJH, WIICH D'AlD "WEECIIGELT" FOR CIIR1ST AND FOR IETER.

[^32]:    IIIE LESCRIPIIVE FORTION OF THE TEXT OF THE CHAPTIR ON TUE INVENTION OI PRINTING IN THE

[^33]:    (1) This Bille was completed before Aug. 15, 1456, as appears from the rubrication penned by the binder of the copy in the Billiothique Nationale at Paris.
    $\left(^{2}\right)$ The full title of the Donatus is Donatus aie Calo fartibus orationis or Donatus fro fuc ufis. The Donatus was the extremely pejular abridgment of the Latin Grammar of Alius Donatus, a Reman grammarian of the fourth century, and more than fifty $2 y \mid$ e-printed editions were issued in the fifteenth century.
    ( ${ }^{3}$ ) Bakkcr. En zoord in het Geding Haarlem-Naintz, (Haarlem 1889). (4) Gutenterg (p. 264, etc.).

[^34]:    (1) "Le Le Songe de Poliphile, ou Hypnerotomachie de Frère Francesco Colonna . . par Claudius Popelin." Paris, ISSo. $8 \mathrm{vo}, 2$ vols.

[^35]:    (1) "Gardianus-Prapositus monasterii apud Fratres Minorcs sen Franciscanos."-Ducange.

[^36]:    (1) Raymundus Peraudi (Perault) officiated through the reigns of three Pontiffs, Paul II., Sixtus IV., and Innocent V1LI., as Apostolic Nuncio to collect monies, ostensibly for the war (Crusade) against the Turks; he was Archdeacon and Bishop of Saintes, and made Cardinal of Gurck by Alexander VI. By his industry enormous sums were collected through the trafic in Indulgences. On the scope of the Confessionalia see ante page 246, under No. III.

